Transcranial direct current stimulation unveils covert consciousness

Dear Editor

Thanks to modern neuroimaging techniques it appears that 30% of clinically unresponsive patients (i.e., unresponsive wakefulness syndrome – UWS [11]) retain cerebral functions that are similar to patients in a minimally conscious state – MCS [1], as assessed by fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG). These patients are newly labeled as MCS+ or with cognitive-motor dissociation [2,3]. Even if the majority of them will regain some signs of consciousness, techniques to promote their recovery are still lacking. In this context, transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) has been shown to improve the recovery of signs of consciousness in a subset of patients in MCS [4]. This technique offers a safe, inexpensive and easy-to-use tool to stimulate patients' brains in a non-invasive manner. Based on previous studies, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DPLC) seems to be the most relevant area to target [5].

Here we present the case of a patient who has been repeatedly diagnosed in UWS but who has shown consistent response to commands after 20 minutes of prefrontal tDCS. The patient, a 67-year-old woman who has been considered in a UWS for 3 years and 10 months after a subarachnoid hemorrhage, came to our University Hospital for a week of multimodal evaluation, including daily behavioral examinations (see supplementary table S1), leading to a diagnosis of MCS minus (no language related behavior [1]). However, after the active tDCS session, the patient demonstrated reproducible command following at bedside (i.e., close your eyes occurring three out of four trials – diagnosis of MCS plus; i.e., presence of language functions [1]), while no changes were observed after the sham session (see supplementary Table S1). When looking at all CRS-R evaluations, the presence of a reproducible response to command was thus only observed after the active prefrontal tDCS session.

Regarding neuroimaging, the patient presented a preserved brain metabolism in the brainstem and frontal lobes bilaterally, inconsistent with the diagnosis of UWS. Diffusion tensor imaging showed a partial preservation of the white matter, more likely to reflect partial preservation of consciousness. fMRI showed an impairment of the spontaneous brain activity, but active paradigm detected an atypical but reproducible brain activation during the motor task (Fig. 1). Even if atypical, it suggests that voluntary modulation of spontaneous brain activity could be demonstrated. The EEG showed an encephalopathy with a basic rhythm of 5–6 Hz, but the active tasks induced a differentiated response within the motor regions (with a classification accuracy of 70%), suggesting that the patient could understand the task and modulate her brain activity accordingly, as it is usually observed in healthy subjects [5].

Therefore, neuroimaging and neurophysiological data were in line with a diagnosis of MCS plus.

The present report shows the case of a patient who came with the diagnosis of UWS and who was then diagnosed as being in MCS minus following repeated standardized behavioral assessments. Neuroimaging data further suggested that the patient presented preservation of brain activity closer to what is usually observed in healthy subjects (Fig. 1). The presence of a minimal sign of consciousness (i.e., localization to noxious stimuli) was only observed once out of seven behavioral assessments, and active tasks using fMRI and EEG suggested the presence of covert command-following. During this week of assessments, overt response to command was never observed at the patient's bedside. This behavior was solely seen following the experimental procedure of tDCS, after which the patient could reproducibly answer a simple behavioral command. Based on these findings, we hypothesize that tDCS may facilitate motor execution of the command when cognitive functions are preserved in patients with cognitive-motor dissociation [2]. This term is used when a patient does not present any language-related behaviors (i.e., UWS or MCS minus), while she demonstrates command following through modulation of brain activity during active tasks. This category of patients raises ethical questions since their cognitive abilities are better preserved than what can be observed at bedside. In addition, their actual level of cognitive impairment cannot be determined and no reliable communicative tools have been developed yet. In this context, tDCS could be used to trigger behaviors requiring both command integration and motor execution. By increasing cortical excitability of the frontal region, tDCS could unlock some motor execution pathways and facilitate patients' ability to behaviorally interact with their environment. Indeed, the prefrontal region is an area that has been shown on many occasions...
to be involved in consciousness recovery processes, spontaneous or linked to therapeutic interventions [9].

It should be noted that this patient showed a preservation of brain metabolism in the prefrontal area, which seems to be necessary to clinically respond to tDCS [10]. Future studies on tDCS in patients with disorders of consciousness and documented cognitive-motor dissociation should investigate the residual regional brain metabolism to determine if all tDCS-responders present a preservation of the prefrontal cortex function and if other brain regions could be targeted by this technique. In addition, in the case presented here, the active stimulation was only done once, and the patient never demonstrated a response to command again. Therefore, repeated sessions should be tested to investigate if tDCS could lead to sustainable behavioral improvement.

Conflicts of interest

Nothing to report.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the University and University Hospital of Liège, the French Speaking Community Concerted Research Action (ARC - 06/11 - 340), the Belgian National Funds for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS), Human Brain Project (EU-H2020-fetflagship-hbp-sga1-ga720270), Luminous project (EU-H2020-fetopen-ga686764), the Wallonie-Bruxelles International, the James McDonnell Foundation, Mind Science Foundation, IAP research network P7/06 of the Belgian Government (Belgian Science Policy), the European Commission, the Public Utility Foundation ‘Université Européenne du Travail’, “Fondazione Europea di Ricerca Biomedica”, the Bial Foundation, “Plan National Cancer” of Belgium (Grant number 139) and the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions (H2020-MSCA-RISE-2017-DoCMA-778234 and H2020-MSCA-IF-2016-ADOC-752686). AT, CC and OC are post-doctoral fellows, and SL is research director at FRS-FNRS. The authors thank the whole staff from the Neurology, Radiodiagnostic and Nuclear Medicine departments, University Hospital of Liège and the patient.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2018.02.002.
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