

Journal Pre-proof

Preseason shoulder screening in volleyball players : is there any change during season ?

Camille Tooth, PT, PhD, Cédric Schwartz, PhD, Amandine Gofflot, PT, Stephen Bornheim, PT, PhD, Jean-Louis Croisier, PT, PhD, Bénédicte Forthomme, PT, PhD

PII: S2666-6383(23)00105-6

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseint.2023.03.022>

Reference: JSEINT 781

To appear in: *JSES International*

Received Date: 27 March 2023

Accepted Date: 31 March 2023

Please cite this article as: Tooth C, Schwartz C, Gofflot A, Bornheim S, Croisier J-L, Forthomme B, Preseason shoulder screening in volleyball players : is there any change during season ?, *JSES International* (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseint.2023.03.022>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons.



Preseason shoulder screening in volleyball players : is there any change during season ?

Running title : Shoulder screening in volleyball players

Camille Tooth (PT, PhD)^{a,b}, Cédric Schwartz (PhD)^a, Gofflot Amandine (PT)^b, Bornheim

Stephen (PT,PhD)^b, Jean-Louis Croisier (PT, PhD)^{a,b}, , Bénédicte Forthomme

^{a,b}(PT,PhD)

a Laboratory of Human Motion Analysis, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

b Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

Corresponding author: Tooth Camille PT, PhD

Allée des Sports, 1

4000 Liège (Belgium)

+32 495363755

ctooth@uliege.be

Disclaimers:

Funding: No funding was disclosed by the authors.

Conflicts of interest:

The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundation with which they are affiliated have not received any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial entity related to the subject of this article.

The University Hospital of Liège Medical Ethics Committee approved this study File

number: 218-242 B707201837397

1 Preseason shoulder screening in volleyball players : is there any change during season ?

2 **Background:** Volleyball players solicit their shoulder with high velocity and high ranges of
3 motion. Musculoskeletal adaptations have been described after some years of practice but have
4 not been explored after some months of practice. The objective of this study was to analyze
5 the short-term evolution of shoulder clinical measures and functional performance in youth
6 competitive volleyball players.

7 **Methods:** 61 volleyball players were assessed twice, at preseason and at mid-season. Shoulder
8 internal and external rotation range of motion as well as forward shoulder posture and scapular
9 upward rotation were measured in all players. Two functional tests were also performed: the
10 Upper Quarter Y Balance Test and the Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw. The results obtained
11 at mid-season were compared to those measured at preseason.

12 **Results:** Compared to preseason, an increase in absolute value of shoulder external rotation,
13 total rotation range of motion and forward shoulder posture were observed at mid-season
14 ($p < 0.001$). An increase in side-to-side difference for shoulder internal rotation range of motion
15 was also observed during the season. As for scapular kinematics, scapular upward rotation was
16 significantly decreased at 45° and increased at 120° of abduction at mid-season. Concerning
17 functional tests, an increase in throwing distance in the Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw was
18 observed at mid-season while no change was noted for the Upper Quarter Y Balance Test.

19 **Conclusions:** Significant changes in clinical measures and functional performance were
20 observed after some months of practice. Since some variables have been suggested to be
21 correlated to a higher risk of shoulder injuries, the current study emphasizes the importance of
22 regular screening in order to highlight injury risk profiles throughout the season.

23 **Keywords:** shoulder, overhead athlete, assessment, volleyball, sport, performance

24 **Level of evidence :** Basic Science Study; Kinesiology

25 Volleyball players hit the ball with high velocity and high range of motion. Both in training
26 sessions and matches, their shoulder is highly solicited, particularly during serves and spikes
27 ^{5,19,56}. In high-level players, the total number of spikes can exceed 100 for a team per match,
28 with outside hitters and middle blockers hitting most of them ⁵⁸. All of these solicitations can
29 influence musculoskeletal structures and biomechanics at shoulder part in the medium-to-long
30 term.

31 Different adaptations have been described in overhead athletes following years of practice. The
32 capsule undergoes adaptations, with an increase in mobility for the anterior capsule and a
33 decrease in mobility for the posterior capsule ^{8,48}. A decrease in internal rotation as well as an
34 increase in external rotation range of motion was also reported in athletes practicing overhead
35 sports ^{4,8,17,32,40,47}. The decrease of internal rotation range of motion seems to be associated with
36 posterior shoulder stiffness, caused by repetitive eccentric contractions of the posterior
37 shoulder muscles in the follow-through phase of serves and spikes ^{4,20,47}. A decrease of total
38 rotation ⁴⁷ and of horizontal adduction ⁴³ is also observed for the dominant arm of overhead
39 athletes after some years of practice. Another main change that occurs in overhead athletes
40 following practice concerns scapular kinematics. Indeed, it has been observed a few years ago
41 that scapular dyskinesis was present in 61% of overhead athletes ¹⁰. Moreover, Myers et al ³⁷
42 observed an increase of scapular upward rotation and scapular retraction during shoulder
43 elevation in asymptomatic throwers. Thomas et al ⁴⁷ found the same results for baseball
44 players. As for Hosseinimehr et al ²⁹, they found a decrease in scapular upward rotation in a
45 resting position but an increase of this rotation at 90° and 135° of abduction. Concerning
46 scapular anterior tilt (and forward shoulder posture), an increase has been reported in
47 swimmers and tennis players ^{28,52}, mainly due to pectoralis minor retraction ^{9,17,31}. Finally,
48 adaptations have also been reported in the maximal strength developed by the dominant arm

49 of volleyball players, with an increase of internal rotators maximal strength at the expense of
50 external rotators strength ^{11,24,39}.

51 Although the relationship between those adaptations and the occurrence of shoulder injuries
52 has not been clearly defined in the scientific literature for all the variables considered ⁴⁹, it
53 seems important to understand the evolution of them, not only after years of practice but also
54 during a mere season or after a few weeks of practice (especially in an objective of prevention).
55 In baseball, Thomas et al ⁴⁶ observed changes in glenohumeral range of motion as well as
56 scapular kinematics after a season but the evolution of the previously described variables over
57 the short-term has not yet been explored in volleyball. Moreover, functional testing has become
58 more and more popular over the last years ^{14,50}. However, the impact of sport practice on the
59 results of those tests has not been explored yet.

60 Since the evolution of strength has been more documented in literature ^{18,34}, the objective of
61 this study was to explore the evolution of clinical measures and functional tests values between
62 preseason and mid-season in competitive young volleyball players.

63 **Material and methods**

64 **Participants**

65 61 young players (16 males and 45 females) were included in the study. They were 14.84±2.09
66 years old, weighted 60.58±10.55 kg and measured 172.48±8.90 centimeters. Fifty-eight of
67 them were right-handed and the 3 other ones were left-handed. They had to practice volleyball
68 at least 8 hours a week (3 training sessions and 1 match), for at least 3 years, at National and/or
69 at Provincial levels in the Belgian Volleyball Championship. Some of them practiced shoulder
70 prevention exercises during the training sessions, which mainly consisted in rotator and
71 scapular exercises with an elastic band but stopped these exercises throughout the duration of
72 the study to avoid influencing the results. Moreover, they were asked to keep their normal

73 training schedule but not to perform any lifting or strengthening work on their own to limit the
74 influence on the results on the study. Players with current or history of shoulder pain/injury in
75 the last 6 months or a history of shoulder surgery were not included (or excluded) in the sample.

76 The entire protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Liège University
77 Hospital (process number: B707201837397). Participants were informed about the different
78 tests prior to the study and signed a written consent.

79 A first session of tests was organized at the beginning of September, prior to the beginning of
80 competition (about 3 weeks after their return to training). A second one was organized at mid-
81 season (at the end of January).

82 All the measurements were done on the field and divided into three main parts: clinical
83 assessment (aimed at assessing shoulder range of motion and appreciating scapular upward
84 rotation), Upper Quarter Y Balance Test (UQYBT) (aimed at assessing shoulder stability in a
85 closed kinetic chain) and Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw (SAMBt) (aimed at assessing
86 shoulder strength and power)⁵⁰.

87 Each part was evaluated by a different assessor, who was always the same for the first and the
88 second sessions in order to limit potential biases. The order of the different evaluations was
89 randomized between subjects but was kept between the first and the second sessions. Warm-
90 up consisted in two series of 10 repetitions of internal and external rotation, with a resistive
91 elastic band, at 0° and 90° of abduction as well as two series of 10 overhead medicine ball
92 throws. Warm-up was always performed before the measurements (mobility as well as
93 functional performance testing).

94 **Clinical assessment**

95 Internal (IR) and external rotation (ER) range of motion were measured with a goniometer.
96 The subject was lying on a table (supine), arm at 90° of abduction in the frontal plane and

97 elbow at 90° of flexion. The glenohumeral joint was passively moved into the maximal range
98 of motion for rotations, without compensation of the scapula (for internal rotation), of the
99 humeral head or the back (for external rotation). Good intra-rater reproducibility has been
100 reported for these measures (ICC =0.94-0.97; SEM=2.11-3.44; MDC90=4.93-8.03) ¹⁵.

101 Then, in a supine position, the distance between the posterior border of the acromion and the
102 table was measured (with a tape measure) to estimate forward shoulder posture (PM) (ICC=
103 0.92-0.93) ^{24,33}.

104 Finally, in a seated position, scapular upward rotation was measured at 0°, 45°, 90° and 120°
105 of abduction (frontal plane) with an inclinometer with the same method as Johnson et al ³⁰. A
106 good reproductibility has been demonstrated on 20 non-overhead sportspeople (mean age
107 22.1±2.8) by the experimenter of the study for this method (ICC= 0.653 (0.053-0.871)) (non-
108 published data) ⁵¹.

109 All the measurements were done on both the dominant and non-dominant sides in a randomized
110 order. Only dominant and bilateral difference were considered for analysis since they seem to
111 be the most relevant values to consider when screening athletes in an objective of prevention.

112 **Upper Quarter Y Balance Test (UQYBT)**

113 The UQYBT ^{25,45,57} is a closed kinetic chain functional test. This test consists of, in a push-up
114 position, pushing a plastic indicator using their hand as far as possible in three directions
115 (medial, superolateral and inferolateral). A very good intra- and inter-rater reliability has been
116 reported for this test (0.80> ICC >0.99) in literature ^{6,25,57}, with a SEM about 1.41-1.77 and a
117 MDC95 about 3.91-4.91 ⁷. In order to get accommodated with this test, players underwent two
118 familiarization trials. They then attempted the UQYBT three times on each side and only the
119 best score (in centimeters) was retained for analysis. Between the three repetitions, participants
120 had a one-minute of rest. The average score of the three directions was calculated to obtain the

121 composite score. All the scores obtained were normalized by the length of the upper limb
122 (distance between C7 and the extremity of the middle finger in centimeters) to make
123 comparisons between subjects. The test was performed on both dominant and non-dominant
124 sides in a randomized order.

125 **Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw (SAMBT)**

126 The Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw test was only performed on the dominant side. In a lunge
127 position, participants had to throw a 0.8kg medicine ball as far as possible from a cocking
128 position (90° of abduction and 90° of external rotation)²³. A significant correlation has been
129 found between this test and maximum peak torque of internal rotators at 60° and 240°/s²³.
130 Three familiarization trials were performed to get used to the movement. Then, the test was
131 performed three times, with a rest-time of two minutes between repetitions. Only the best
132 distance (in meters) among the three trials was considered for analysis.

133 **Statistical analysis**

134 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).
135 Dominant side values as well as bilateral differences were considered for analysis. The
136 normality of the variables was assessed with a Shapiro-Wilk test. Since the variables were not
137 distributed in a normal way, descriptive data was expressed with the median value as well as
138 the 1st and the 3rd quartile. Differences between preseason and mid-season values were assessed
139 with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$ for all the tests.

140 **Results**

141 **Comparison between preseason and mid-season**

142 Results of clinical measures are presented in *Table 1*. In comparison to preseason, a significant
143 increase of shoulder external rotation ($p=0.0001$) and total rotation range of motion ($p=0.001$)

144 were observed on the dominant side of players. This increase was about 6° for both variables
145 considered. However, no significant changes were observed during the season for bilateral
146 difference for both variables ($p>0.05$). The opposite was observed for shoulder internal rotation
147 range of motion. No significant difference was observed in absolute value ($p>0.05$) but a
148 significant increase of 2° was observed in bilateral difference at mid-season in comparison to
149 preseason ($p=0.018$) (explained by a decrease in internal rotation on the dominant side). For
150 forward posture, a significant increase of one centimeter was observed for absolute value at
151 mid-season on the dominant side ($p=0.001$) but no change was observed when considering
152 bilateral difference. Finally, concerning scapular upward rotation, significant changes were
153 observed between mid-season and preseason for all the ranges of motion considered, except
154 for 0° . Indeed, a decrease of 2° at 45° of abduction ($p=0.0001$) as well as an increase of 2.5°
155 at 120° of abduction ($p=0.0001$) were observed at mid-season on the dominant side. However,
156 bilateral differences concerning scapular motion tended to significantly decrease between 0.5°
157 and 1° for all range of motion considered, except for 0° ($p=0.0001-0.032$).

158 Unlike clinical measures, the Upper Quarter Y Balance Test values were not significantly
159 changed between preseason and mid-season ($p>0.05$) (*Table 2*).

160 Finally, the maximal distance reached in the Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw was
161 significantly increased during season ($p=0.003$). Indeed, the median score reached 9.20 meters
162 at the beginning of the season and was about 11.20 at mid-season (*Table 3*).

163

Discussion

164 The shoulder is a frequently injured body part in volleyball (12-18%)^{2,13,16}, mainly due to
165 overuse mechanisms. In prospective studies, it has been reported that 15 to 23% of volleyball
166 players were subject to shoulder injuries or shoulder complaints during a season^{13,16,24}. The
167 relationship between musculoskeletal adaptations (such as gleno-humeral internal rotation

168 deficit, rotator cuff weakness or scapular dyskinesis) and the occurrence of injuries in overhead
169 athletes has been widely explored throughout the last years in order to be able to detect the
170 injury risk profiles and to implement specific prevention measures ^{12,24,42}. Nowadays, players
171 often undergo tests during the preseason but few of them are regularly screened during the
172 year. Therefore, the evolution of musculoskeletal adaptations over a mere season is often
173 misunderstood and it remains unclear if an athlete without an injury risk profile at the beginning
174 of the season might be considered as having an increased risk of injury after some months of
175 practice. That is why the objective of this study was to explore the evolution of shoulder range
176 of motion, scapular kinematics and functional performance between preseason and mid-season
177 in young competitive volleyball players.

178 At the beginning of the season, a decrease in glenohumeral internal rotation as well as an
179 increase in external rotation were observed on the dominant side, in comparison to the non-
180 dominant side. An increase of forward posture was also found on the dominant side. Then,
181 scapular upward rotation was decreased at 0° and 45° but increased above 90° on the dominant
182 side in comparison to the non-dominant side. The adaptations measured in the current study
183 are in accordance with the adaptations reported in overhead athletes in literature ^{12,22,44}.
184 However, the standard deviations for all the variables considered are quite high, which means
185 that there is an important individual variability between the players and strengthens the
186 importance of individual screening ⁵³.

187 A decrease in internal rotation as well as an increase in external rotation and total rotation range
188 of motion have been reported in volleyball players after some years practice ^{21,40,41}. Thomas et
189 al ⁴⁶ even observed changes in a single season. The current study showed that similar
190 adaptations were observed over the short-term (4 months). These changes have been shown to
191 be related to the spike, which requires extreme external rotation range of motion before ball
192 contact and intense eccentric contraction of external rotators during follow-through ^{19,54}.

193 The current study shows a significant increase in shoulder external rotation range of motion
194 during the season on dominant side. Since having values of external rotation above 100° ⁵⁵ or
195 an ER gain superior to 7.5° ¹ have been respectively described as a risk factor in swimmers and
196 handball players, this adaptation further increases the risk of being injured in volleyball players
197 too. As for internal rotation, only bilateral differences were significantly influenced during the
198 season, which means that internal rotation is more decreased on the dominant than on the non-
199 dominant side, which could predispose the players to an increased risk of shoulder injuries³⁶.
200 However, this difference appears to be inferior to SEM values for this variable and can,
201 therefore, not be considered as clinically relevant¹⁵.

202 A significant increase of forward posture was also observed during season. However, no
203 change in bilateral difference was reported between preseason and mid-season. An association
204 between asymmetric pectoral shortening and shoulder injuries have been demonstrated in
205 volleyball players⁴⁰. From a biomechanical point of view, an increase in forward shoulder
206 posture results in an increase of scapular anterior tilting, thus decreasing the sub-acromial space
207 and enhancing the risk of shoulder pain³¹. However, since the difference is quite minor (about
208 1cm), further explorations will be necessary to understand the evolution of this variable over
209 time.

210 As for scapular kinematics, as reported by Thomas et al⁴⁶ in baseball during a season, the
211 current study reported changes in upward rotation between preseason and mid-season. Unlike
212 these authors, no changes were observed at 0° of abduction. Since this position is quite far from
213 any sport gesture, the results seem consistent. But a decrease of upward rotation was observed
214 at 45° of abduction on the dominant side of our population. Nowadays, the influence of
215 scapular dyskinesis on shoulder injuries in overhead athletes is still discussed. Some authors
216 like McKenna et al³⁵ in swimming or Clarsen et al¹² in handball found an association between
217 scapular dyskinesis and the occurrence of shoulder injuries. Contrariwise, Myers et al³⁸ in

218 baseball or Asker et al³ in handball found no correlation between scapular dysfunction and
219 shoulder injuries. As for Struyf et al⁴⁴, they demonstrated, in a two-years prospective study,
220 that a decrease of scapular upward rotation at 45° and 90° of abduction increased the risk of
221 shoulder injuries in recreational overhead athletes.

222 The current study also observed a decrease of scapular upward rotation at 45° as well as an
223 increase at 120° of abduction during the season. This observation can be correlated with the
224 results of Hosseinimehr et al²⁹ who found an increase of upward rotation at 90° and 135° of
225 elevation in the dominant arm of overhead athletes, in comparison with the non-dominant arm,
226 after some years of practice. This increase in upward rotation was considered by Myers et al³⁷
227 to be a beneficial adaptation to help clear the acromion from the subacromial structures, thus
228 decreasing the risk of shoulder impingement and injuries. Then, the current study observed that
229 side-to-side differences for scapular upward rotation tended to slightly decrease at 45°, 90° and
230 120° of elevation during season (from 0.5 to 1°). This observation could be explained by
231 undergoing bilateral movements during practice or by the exercises performed during the
232 strength and conditioning sessions. Although prevention exercises were not done throughout
233 the duration of the study, the players still performed some strength and conditioning exercises
234 with their trainers. However, this decrease in bilateral difference is not clinically relevant and
235 might not have any consequences in practice.

236 Considering functional performance, no significant difference was found between preseason
237 and mid-season for the Upper Quarter Y Balance test results. However, despite becoming more
238 and more popular over the last years, the relationship between this test and the occurrence of
239 shoulder injuries has not been clearly defined yet⁵⁰. Borms et al⁷ observed a correlation
240 between scores in the superolateral direction and isokinetic external rotators strength while
241 Westrick et al⁵⁷ found a significant relationship between this test and trunk endurance. This
242 test involves shoulder stability, mobility, proprioception but also core stability, which makes

243 the results sometimes difficult to interpret ^{25,57}. Volleyball gestures are always performed in
244 open chain while the Upper Quarter Y Balance Test is performed in a closed chain position.
245 This may be the reason why no difference was found between preseason and mid-season
246 evaluations, despite a high intensity of practice during the season. However, this test could
247 have been interesting to use in order to assess if a decrease in “shoulder stability” occurred on
248 the dominant side during the season ⁵⁰. And, according to the results of the current study, this
249 is probably not the case even if future studies will be necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

250 Finally, the score of the Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw was significantly increased during
251 the season. This functional test is closer to volleyball gesture but is more correlated to
252 performance than to the occurrence of shoulder injuries. Indeed, this test has been correlated
253 with both ball velocity during field performance test and absolute peak torque of internal
254 rotators strength in concentric mode ^{21,23}. The increase observed in the current study can be
255 explained by the important number of spikes and strokes performed and/or by the strength and
256 conditioning sessions performed by the players on a regular basis. This observation can be
257 considered as a positive adaptation designed to increase performance.

258 In practice, these results show us that significant changes in range of motion, scapular
259 kinematics and functional performance do not only occur after some years of practice but that
260 those variables can even evolve in some months of practice. Therefore, a single preseason
261 assessment appears to be insufficient in the management of competitive athletes since a player
262 that is not considered as having an atypical profile (or a risk pattern) could be in a different
263 situation at another moment of the season, or the opposite, based on practice and the evolution
264 of training load. External rotation range of motion, scapular dyskinesis and functional
265 performance are recommended to be screened on a regular basis in order to prescribe
266 appropriated exercises, if needed, and to adapt them at different moments of the season
267 according to the athlete’s requirements.

268 This study still presents some limitations. The first one is the variability of the level of practice,
269 with some players performing at National and others at Provincial level. The second one is the
270 variability of the age of the players (between 11 and 18 years), including both pre-pubescent,
271 pubescent and post-pubescent players. In adolescents players, growth and puberty have an
272 important impact on strength, flexibility and motor control, and changes can be observed in a
273 short period, especially around growth spurts ^{26,27}. This factor may also have influenced the
274 results obtained.

275 **Conclusion**

276 This study highlighted that changes in shoulder range of motion, scapular upward rotation and
277 functional performance can occur after some months of practice in young competitive
278 volleyball players.

279 Firstly, significant changes in clinical shoulder measures were observed between preseason
280 and mid-season ($p < 0.001$). A significant increase in bilateral shoulder internal rotation
281 difference as well as a significant increase in shoulder external rotation and total rotation range
282 of motion has been reported at mid-season. An increase in forward shoulder posture was also
283 observed.

284 Secondly, concerning scapular kinematics, in comparison to preseason, scapular upward
285 rotation was significantly decreased at 45° and increased at 120° of abduction at mid-season.
286 Since all of these factors (except scapular upward rotation at 120°) have been described as risk
287 factors of shoulder injuries ^{12,44}, they have to be seriously considered and regularly assessed in
288 the follow-up of athletes.

289 Finally, for the functional tests, a significant increase was observed at mid-season for the Single
290 Arm Medicine Ball Throw, in comparison to preseason. This increase can be considered as a
291 positive adaptation aimed at increasing ball velocity and performance. No change was noted

292 for the Upper Quarter Y Balance Test values during the season. The usefulness of this test in
293 volleyball players should maybe be reconsidered.

294 The current study emphasizes the importance, in an individual approach, of a regular screening
295 of the athlete to determine atypical profiles and risk patterns (for which training should be
296 adapted or exercises could be prescribed), which can be modified during the season. In the
297 future, prospective studies will be necessary to determine at which frequency the evaluations
298 would have to be done.

299 **References**

- 300 1. Achenbach L, Laver L, Walter SS, Zeman F, Kuhr M, Krutsch W. Decreased external
301 rotation strength is a risk factor for overuse shoulder injury in youth elite handball
302 athletes. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 2020 ;28(4):1202–1211. doi:
303 10.1007/s00167-019-05493-4.
- 304 2. Agel J, Palmieri-Smith RM, Dick R, Wojtys EM, Marshall SW. Descriptive
305 epidemiology of collegiate women’s volleyball injuries: National Collegiate Athletic
306 Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988-1989 through 2003-2004. *J. Athl. Train.*
307 2007;42(2):295–302. no doi
- 308 3. Asker M, Waldén M, Källberg H, Holm LW, Skillgate E. Preseason Clinical Shoulder
309 Test Results and Shoulder Injury Rate in Adolescent Elite Handball Players: A
310 Prospective Study. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2020; 50(2):67–74.
311 doi:10.2519/jospt.2020.9044
- 312 4. Astolfi MM, Struminger AH, Royer TD, Kaminski TW, Swanik CB. Adaptations of the
313 shoulder to overhead throwing in youth athletes. *J. Athl. Train.* 2015; 50(7):726–732.
314 doi:10.4085/1062-6040-50.1.14
- 315 5. Bere T, Kruczynski J, Veintimilla N, Hamu Y, Bahr R. Injury risk is low among world-

- 316 class volleyball players: 4-year data from the FIVB Injury Surveillance System. *Br. J.*
317 *Sports Med.* 2015; 49(17):1132–1137. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-094959
- 318 6. Borms D, Cools A. Upper-Extremity Functional Performance Tests: Reference Values
319 for Overhead Athletes. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2018; 39(06):433–441. doi: 10.1055/a-0573-
320 1388.
- 321 7. Borms D, Maenhout A, Cools AM. Upper quadrant field tests and isokinetic upper limb
322 strength in overhead athletes. *J. Athl. Train.* 2016; 51(10):789–796. doi:10.4085/1062-
323 6050-51.12.06
- 324 8. Borsa PA, Laudner KG, Sauers EL. Mobility and Stability Adaptations in the Shoulder
325 of the Overhead Athlete. *Sport. Med.* 2008; 38(1):17–36. doi:10.2165/00007256-
326 200838010-00003
- 327 9. Borstad JD, Ludewig PM. The Effect of Long Versus Short Pectoralis Minor Resting
328 Length on Scapular Kinematics in Healthy Individuals. *J. Orthop. Sport. Phys. Ther.*
329 2005; 35(4):227–238. doi:10.2519/jospt.2005.35.4.227
- 330 10. Burn MB, McCulloch PC, Lintner DM, Liberman SR, Harris JD. Prevalence of Scapular
331 Dyskinesis in Overhead and Nonoverhead Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Orthop. J.*
332 *Sport. Med.* 2016; 4(2):2325967115627608. doi:10.1177/2325967115627608
- 333 11. van Cingel R, Kleinrensink G, Stoeckart R, Aufdemkampe G, de Bie R, Kuipers H.
334 Strength Values of Shoulder Internal and External Rotators in Elite Volleyball Players.
335 *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2006; 15(3):236–245. doi:10.1123/jsr.15.3.236
- 336 12. Clarsen B, Bahr R, Andersson SH, Munk R. Reduced glenohumeral rotation, external
337 rotation weakness and scapular dyskinesis are risk factors for shoulder injuries among
338 elite male handball players: a prospective cohort study. *Br J Sport. Med.* 2014 ; 48:1327–
339 1333. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-093702
- 340 13. Clarsen B, Bahr R, Heymans MW, Engedahl M, Midtsundstad G, Rosenlund L, et al.

- 341 The prevalence and impact of overuse injuries in five Norwegian sports: Application of
342 a new surveillance method. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports.* 2015; 25(3):323–330.
343 doi:10.1111/sms.12223
- 344 14. Cools AM, Maenhout AG, Vanderstukken F, Declève P, Johansson FR, Borms D. The
345 challenge of the sporting shoulder: From injury prevention through sport-specific
346 rehabilitation toward return to play. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2021; 64(4):101384.
347 doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2020.03.009
- 348 15. Cools AM, De Wilde L, van Tongel A, Ceyskens C, Ryckewaert R, Cambier DC.
349 Measuring shoulder external and internal rotation strength and range of motion:
350 Comprehensive intra-rater and inter-rater reliability study of several testing protocols. *J*
351 *Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2014;23(10):1454–1461. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.01.006
- 352 16. Cuñado-González Á, Martín-Pintado-Zugasti A, Rodríguez-Fernández ÁL. Prevalence
353 and Factors Associated With Injuries in Elite Spanish Volleyball. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2019;
354 28(8):796–802. doi:10.1123/jsr.2018-0044
- 355 17. Downar JM, Sauers EL. Clinical Measures of Shoulder Mobility in the Professional
356 Baseball Player. *J. Athl. Train.* 2005; 40(1):23–29. no doi
- 357 18. Ellenbecker T, Roetert EP. Age specific isokinetic glenohumeral internal and external
358 rotation strength in elite junior tennis players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport.* 2003; 6(1):63–70.
359 doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(03)80009-9
- 360 19. Escamilla RF, Andrews JR. Shoulder muscle recruitment patterns and related
361 biomechanics during upper extremity sports. *Sports Med.* 2009; 39(7):569–590.
362 doi:10.2165/00007256-200939070-00004
- 363 20. Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW, Escamilla RF, Andrews JR. Biomechanics of overhand
364 throwing with implications for injuries. *Sport. Med.* 1996;21(6):421–437.
- 365 21. Forthomme B. Exploration musculaire isocinétique de l'épaule. 2005.

- 366 22. Forthomme B, Crielaard JM, Croisier JL. Scapular positioning in athlete's shoulder:
367 Particularities, clinical measurements and implications. *Sport. Med.* 2008; 38(5):369–
368 386. doi:10.2165/00007256-200838050-00002
- 369 23. Forthomme B, Crielaard JM, Forthomme L, Croisier JL. Field performance of javelin
370 throwers: Relationship with isokinetic findings. *Isokinet. Exerc. Sci.* 2007; 15(3):195–
371 202. doi:10.3233/IES-2007-0273
- 372 24. Forthomme B, Wiczorek V, Frisch A, Crielaard J-M, Croisier J-L. Shoulder Pain
373 among High-Level Volleyball Players and Preseason Features. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.*
374 2013; 45(10):1852–1860. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318296128d
- 375 25. Gorman PP, Butler RJ, Plisky PJ, Kiesel KB. Upper Quarter Y Balance Test. *J. Strength*
376 *Cond. Res.* 2012;26(11):3043–3048. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182472fdb
- 377 26. Granados A, Gebremariam A, Lee JM. Relationship Between Timing of Peak Height
378 Velocity and Pubertal Staging in Boys and Girls. *J. Clin. Res. Pediatr. Endocrinol.* 2015;
379 7(3):235. doi:10.4274/JCRPE.2007
- 380 27. Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating testosterone as the hormonal
381 basis of sex differences in athletic performance. *Endocr. Rev.* 2018; 39(5):803–829.
382 doi:10.1210/er.2018-00020
- 383 28. Hibberd EE, Laudner KG, Kucera KL, Berkoff DJ, Yu B, Myers JB. Effect of Swim
384 Training on the Physical Characteristics of Competitive Adolescent Swimmers. *Am. J.*
385 *Sports Med.* 2016; 44(11):2813–2819. doi:10.1177/0363546516669506
- 386 29. Hossein Hosseinimehr S, Anbarian M, Asghar Norasteh A, Fardmal J, Taghi Khosravi
387 M. The comparison of scapular upward rotation and scapulohumeral rhythm between
388 dominant and non-dominant shoulder in male overhead athletes and non-athletes. *Man.*
389 *Ther.* 2015;20:758–762. doi:10.1016/j.math.2015.02.010
- 390 30. Johnson VL, Halaki M, Ginn KA. The use of surface electrodes to record infraspinatus

- 391 activity is not valid at low infraspinatus activation levels. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.*
392 2011; 21(1):112–118. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2010.08.007
- 393 31. Kibler BW, Sciascia A, Wilkes T. Scapular Dyskinesis and Its Relation to Shoulder
394 Injury. *J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg.* 2012;20(6):364–372. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-20-06-
395 364
- 396 32. Kibler WB, Chandler TJ, Livingston BP, Roetert EP. Shoulder range of motion in elite
397 tennis players. Effect of age and years of tournament play. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 1996;
398 24(3):279–85.
- 399 33. Lewis JS, Green A, Wright C. Subacromial impingement syndrome: The role of posture
400 and muscle imbalance. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2005; 14(4):385–392.
401 doi:10.1016/j.jse.2004.08.007
- 402 34. Liaghat B, Bencke J, Zebis MK, Sørensen H, Myklebust G, Wedderkopp N, et al.
403 Shoulder Rotation Strength Changes From Preseason to Midseason: A Cohort Study of
404 292 Youth Elite Handball Players Without Shoulder Problems. *J. Orthop. Sport. Phys.*
405 *Ther.* 2020; 50(7):381–387. doi:10.2519/JOSPT.2020.9183
- 406 35. McKenna L, Straker L, Smith A. Can scapular and humeral head position predict
407 shoulder pain in adolescent swimmers and non-swimmers? *J. Sports Sci.* 2012;
408 30(16):1767–1776. doi:10.1080/02640414.2012.718092
- 409 36. Meeuwisse WH, Tyreman H, Hagel B, Emery C. A dynamic model of etiology in sport
410 injury: The recursive nature of risk and causation. *Clin. J. Sport Med.* 2007;17(3):215–
411 219. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e3180592a48
- 412 37. Myers JB, Laudner KG, Pasquale MR, Bradley JP, Lephart SM. Scapular Position and
413 Orientation in Throwing Athletes. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2005; 33(2):263–271.
414 doi:10.1177/0363546504268138
- 415 38. Myers JB, Oyama S, Hibberd EE. Scapular dysfunction in high school baseball players

- 416 sustaining throwing-related upper extremity injury: a prospective study. *J Shoulder*
417 *Elbow Surg.* 2013; 22:1154–1159. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2012.12.029
- 418 39. Pluim BM, Staal JB, Windler GE, Jayanthi N. Tennis injuries: occurrence, aetiology,
419 and prevention. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2006; 40(5):415–23. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2005.023184
- 420 40. Reeser JC, Joy EA, Porucznik CA, Berg RL, Colliver EB, Willick SE. Risk Factors for
421 Volleyball-Related Shoulder Pain and Dysfunction. *PM&R.* 2010; 2(1):27–36.
422 doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2009.11.010

423 **Tables and figures**

- 424 Table 1: Clinical assessment at the beginning of the season (preseason) and at the mid-
425 season. IR= internal rotation; ER= external rotation; Tot Rot= total rotation; PM= forward
426 shoulder posture; UpwardR= scapular upward rotation; D= dominant; Diff= bilateral
427 difference [median-value (Q1;Q3);p-value Wilcoxon test]
- 428 Table 2: Upper Quarter Y Balance Test values (normalized according to upper limb length) at
429 the beginning of the season (preseason) and at the mid-season. D= dominant; Diff= bilateral
430 difference [median-value (Q1;Q3);p-value Wilcoxon test]
- 431 Table 3: Results of Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw at the beginning of the season
432 (preseason) and at the mid-season [median-value (Q1;Q3); p-value Wilcoxon test]

1. Achenbach L, Laver L, Walter SS, Zeman F, Kuhr M, Krutsch W. Decreased external rotation strength is a risk factor for overuse shoulder injury in youth elite handball athletes. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 2020 ;28(4):1202–1211. doi:10.1007/S00167-019-05493-4
2. Agel J, Palmieri-Smith RM, Dick R, Wojtys EM, Marshall SW. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate women's volleyball injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988-1989 through 2003-2004. *J. Athl. Train.* 2007;42(2):295–302.
3. Asker M, Waldén M, Källberg H, Holm LW, Skillgate E. Preseason Clinical Shoulder Test Results and Shoulder Injury Rate in Adolescent Elite Handball Players: A Prospective Study. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2020 Feb 1;50(2):67–74. doi:10.2519/jospt.2020.9044
4. Astolfi MM, Struminger AH, Royer TD, Kaminski TW, Swanik CB. Adaptations of the shoulder to overhead throwing in youth athletes. *J. Athl. Train.* 2015 Jul 1;50(7):726–732. doi:10.4085/1062-6040-50.1.14
5. Bere T, Kruczynski J, Veintimilla N, Hamu Y, Bahr R. Injury risk is low among world-class volleyball players: 4-year data from the FIVB Injury Surveillance System. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2015 Sep 1;49(17):1132–1137. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-094959
6. Borms D, Cools A. Upper-Extremity Functional Performance Tests: Reference Values for Overhead Athletes. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2018 ;39(06):433–441. doi:10.1055/a-0573-1388
7. Borms D, Maenhout A, Cools AM. Upper quadrant field tests and isokinetic upper limb strength in overhead athletes. *J. Athl. Train.* 2016 Oct 1;51(10):789–796. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-51.12.06
8. Borsa PA, Laudner KG, Sauers EL. Mobility and Stability Adaptations in the Shoulder of the Overhead Athlete. *Sport. Med.* 2008 ;38(1):17–36. doi:10.2165/00007256-200838010-00003
9. Borstad JD, Ludewig PM. The Effect of Long Versus Short Pectoralis Minor Resting Length on Scapular Kinematics in Healthy Individuals. *J. Orthop. Sport. Phys. Ther.* 2005 ;35(4):227–238. doi:10.2519/jospt.2005.35.4.227
10. Burn MB, McCulloch PC, Lintner DM, Liberman SR, Harris JD. Prevalence of Scapular Dyskinesis in Overhead and Nonoverhead Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Orthop. J. Sport. Med.* 2016 ;4(2):2325967115627608. doi:10.1177/2325967115627608
11. van Cingel R, Kleinrensink G, Stoeckart R, Aufdemkampe G, de Bie R, Kuipers H. Strength Values of Shoulder Internal and External Rotators in Elite Volleyball Players. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2006 ;15(3):236–245. doi:10.1123/jsr.15.3.236
12. Clarsen B, Bahr R, Andersson SH, Munk R. Reduced glenohumeral rotation, external rotation weakness and scapular dyskinesis are risk factors for shoulder injuries among elite male handball players: a prospective cohort study. *Br J Sport. Med.* 2014 ;48:1327–1333.
13. Clarsen B, Bahr R, Heymans MW, Engedahl M, Midtsundstad G, Rosenlund L, et al. The prevalence and impact of overuse injuries in five Norwegian sports: Application of a new surveillance method. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports.* 2015 ;25(3):323–330.
14. Cools AM, Maenhout AG, Vanderstukken F, Declève P, Johansson FR, Borms D. The challenge of the sporting shoulder: From injury prevention through sport-specific rehabilitation toward return to play. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2021;64(4):101384. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2020.03.009
15. Cools AM, De Wilde L, van Tongel A, Ceysens C, Ryckewaert R, Cambier DC. Measuring shoulder external and internal rotation strength and range of motion: Comprehensive intra-rater and inter-rater reliability study of several testing protocols. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.*

- 2014;23(10):1454–1461. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.01.006
16. Cuñado-González Á, Martín-Pintado-Zugasti A, Rodríguez-Fernández ÁL. Prevalence and Factors Associated With Injuries in Elite Spanish Volleyball. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2019;28(8):796–802. doi:10.1123/jsr.2018-0044
 17. Downar JM, Sauers EL. Clinical Measures of Shoulder Mobility in the Professional Baseball Player. *J. Athl. Train.* 2005;40(1):23–29.
 18. Ellenbecker T, Roetert EP. Age specific isokinetic glenohumeral internal and external rotation strength in elite junior tennis players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport.* 2003 Mar 1;6(1):63–70. doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(03)80009-9
 19. Escamilla RF, Andrews JR. Shoulder muscle recruitment patterns and related biomechanics during upper extremity sports. *Sports Med.* 2009 ;39(7):569–590. doi:10.2165/00007256-200939070-00004
 20. Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW, Escamilla RF, Andrews JR. Biomechanics of overhand throwing with implications for injuries. *Sport. Med.* 1996;21(6):421–437. doi:10.2165/00007256-199621060-00004
 21. Forthomme B. Exploration musculaire isocinétique de l'épaule. 2005;
 22. Forthomme B, Crielaard JM, Croisier JL. Scapular positioning in athlete's shoulder: Particularities, clinical measurements and implications. *Sport. Med.* 2008 ;38(5):369–386. doi:10.2165/00007256-200838050-00002
 23. Forthomme B, Crielaard JM, Forthomme L, Croisier JL. Field performance of javelin throwers: Relationship with isokinetic findings. *Isokinet. Exerc. Sci.* 2007 ;15(3):195–202. doi:10.3233/IES-2007-0273
 24. Forthomme B, Wieczorek V, Frisch A, Crielaard J-M, Croisier J-L. Shoulder Pain among High-Level Volleyball Players and Preseason Features. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 2013;45(10):1852–1860. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318296128d
 25. Gorman PP, Butler RJ, Plisky PJ, Kiesel KB. Upper Quarter Y Balance Test. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2012 ;26(11):3043–3048. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182472fdb
 26. Granados A, Gebremariam A, Lee JM. Relationship Between Timing of Peak Height Velocity and Pubertal Staging in Boys and Girls. *J. Clin. Res. Pediatr. Endocrinol.* 2015 ;7(3):235. doi:10.4274/JCRPE.2007
 27. Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating testosterone as the hormonal basis of sex differences in athletic performance. *Endocr. Rev.* 2018 ;39(5):803–829. doi:10.1210/er.2018-00020
 28. Hibberd EE, Laudner KG, Kucera KL, Berkoff DJ, Yu B, Myers JB. Effect of Swim Training on the Physical Characteristics of Competitive Adolescent Swimmers. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2016 ;44(11):2813–2819. doi:10.1177/0363546516669506
 29. Hossein Hosseinimehr S, Anbarian M, Asghar Norasteh A, Fardmal J, Taghi Khosravi M. The comparison of scapular upward rotation and scapulohumeral rhythm between dominant and non-dominant shoulder in male overhead athletes and non-athletes. *Man. Ther.* 2015;20:758–762. doi:10.1016/j.math.2015.02.010
 30. Johnson VL, Halaki M, Ginn KA. The use of surface electrodes to record infraspinatus activity is not valid at low infraspinatus activation levels. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesio.* 2011;21(1):112–118. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2010.08.007

31. Kibler BW, Sciascia A, Wilkes T. Scapular Dyskinesis and Its Relation to Shoulder Injury. *J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg.* 2012;20(6):364–372. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-20-06-364
32. Kibler WB, Chandler TJ, Livingston BP, Roetert EP. Shoulder range of motion in elite tennis players. Effect of age and years of tournament play. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 1996 ;24(3):279–85. doi:10.1177/036354659602400306
33. Lewis JS, Green A, Wright C. Subacromial impingement syndrome: The role of posture and muscle imbalance. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.* 2005 ;14(4):385–392. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2004.08.007
34. Liaghat B, Bencke J, Zebis MK, Sørensen H, Myklebust G, Wedderkopp N, et al. Shoulder Rotation Strength Changes From Preseason to Midseason: A Cohort Study of 292 Youth Elite Handball Players Without Shoulder Problems. *J. Orthop. Sport. Phys. Ther.* 2020 ;50(7):381–387. doi:10.2519/JOSPT.2020.9183
35. McKenna L, Straker L, Smith A. Can scapular and humeral head position predict shoulder pain in adolescent swimmers and non-swimmers? *J. Sports Sci.* 2012 ;30(16):1767–1776. doi:10.1080/02640414.2012.718092
36. Meeuwisse WH, Tyreman H, Hagel B, Emery C. A dynamic model of etiology in sport injury: The recursive nature of risk and causation. *Clin. J. Sport Med.* 2007;17(3):215–219. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e3180592a48
37. Myers JB, Laudner KG, Pasquale MR, Bradley JP, Lephart SM. Scapular Position and Orientation in Throwing Athletes. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 2005 ;33(2):263–271. doi:10.1177/0363546504268138
38. Myers JB, Oyama S, Hibberd EE. Scapular dysfunction in high school baseball players sustaining throwing-related upper extremity injury: a prospective study. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.* 2013 ;22:1154–1159. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2012.12.029
39. Pluim BM, Staal JB, Windler GE, Jayanthi N. Tennis injuries: occurrence, aetiology, and prevention. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2006 ;40(5):415–23. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2005.023184
40. Reeser JC, Joy EA, Porucznik CA, Berg RL, Colliver EB, Willick SE. Risk Factors for Volleyball-Related Shoulder Pain and Dysfunction. *PM&R.* 2010 ;2(1):27–36. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2009.11.010
41. Schleichardt A, Erber C, Wolfarth B, Beyer CN, Ueberschär O. Physiological adaptations in the dominant and non-dominant shoulder in male competitive junior volleyball players. *Sport. Orthop. Traumatol.* 2019 ;35(1):22–30. doi:10.1016/j.orthtr.2019.01.007
42. Shanley E, Kissenberth MJ, Thigpen CA, Bailey LB, Hawkins RJ, Michener LA, et al. Preseason shoulder range of motion screening as a predictor of injury among youth and adolescent baseball pitchers. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.* 2015 ;24(7):1005–1013. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.03.012
43. Shanley E, Thigpen CA, Clark JC, Wyland DJ, Hawkins RJ, Noonan TJ, et al. Changes in passive range of motion and development of glenohumeral internal rotation deficit (GIRD) in the professional pitching shoulder between spring training in two consecutive years. *J. Shoulder Elb. Surg.* 2012 ;21:1605–1612. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2011.11.035
44. Struyf F, Nijs J, Meeus M, Roussel N, Mottram S, Truijen S, et al. Does Scapular Positioning Predict Shoulder Pain in Recreational Overhead Athletes? *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2013;35(01):75–82. doi:10.1055/s-0033-1343409
45. Taylor JB, Wright AA, Smoliga JM, DePew JT, Hegedus EJ. Upper-Extremity Physical-Performance Tests in College Athletes. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2016 ;25(2):146–154.

- doi:10.1123/jsr.2014-0296
46. Thomas SJ, Swanik CB, Swanik K, Kelly JD. Change in Glenohumeral Rotation and Scapular Position After a Division I Collegiate Baseball Season. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2013;22:115–121.
 47. Thomas SJ, Swanik KA, Swanik CB, Huxel KC, Iv JDK. Change in Glenohumeral Rotation and Scapular Position After Competitive High School Baseball. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2010;19(2):125–135. doi:10.1123/jsr.19.2.125
 48. Tonin K, Stražar K, Burger H, Vidmar G. Adaptive changes in the dominant shoulders of female professional overhead athletes. *Int. J. Rehabil. Res.* 2013 ;36(3):228–235. doi:10.1097/MRR.0b013e32835d0b87
 49. Tooth C, Gofflot A, Schwartz C, Croisier JL, Beudart C, Bruyère O, et al. Risk Factors of Overuse Shoulder Injuries in Overhead Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Sports Health.* 2020;12(5):478–487. doi:10.1177/1941738120931764
 50. Tooth C, Schwartz C, Ann C, Croisier J-L, Gofflot A, Stephen B, et al. Upper limb functional testing in athletes: A Delphi study. *Shoulder Elb.* 2022;175857322211018. doi:10.1177/17585732221101880
 51. Tooth C, Schwartz C, Colman D, Croisier J-L, Bornheim S, Bröls O, et al. Kinesiotaping for scapular dyskinesis: The influence on scapular kinematics and on the activity of scapular stabilizing muscles. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 2020;51. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2020.102400
 52. Turgut E, Colakoglu FF, Baltaci G. Scapular motion adaptations in junior overhead athletes: a three-dimensional kinematic analysis in tennis players and non-overhead athletes. *Sport. Biomech.* 2019 ;18(3):308–316. doi:10.1080/14763141.2017.1409256
 53. Verhagen E, Van Dyk N, Clark N, Shrier I. Do not throw the baby out with the bathwater; screening can identify meaningful risk factors for sports injuries. *Br J Sport. Med.* 2018 ;52(19). doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-098547
 54. Wagner H, Tilp M, Von Duvillard SPV, Mueller E. Kinematic analysis of volleyball spike jump. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2009;30(10):760–765. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1224177
 55. Walker H, Gabbe B, Wajswelner H, Blanch P, Bennell K. Shoulder pain in swimmers: A 12-month prospective cohort study of incidence and risk factors. *Phys. Ther. Sport.* 2012 ;13:243–249. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2012.01.001
 56. Watkins J, Green Msc BN. Volleyball injuries: a survey of injuries of Scottish National League male players. *Br J Sp Med.* 1992;26(2).
 57. Westrick RB, Miller JM, Carow SD, Gerber JP. Exploration of the y-balance test for assessment of upper quarter closed kinetic chain performance. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2012;7(2):139–47.
 58. Wolfe H, Poole K, Tezanos AGV, English R, Uhl TL. Volleyball overhead swing volume and injury frequency over the course of a season. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2019 Feb;14(1):88–96. doi:10.26603/ijsp20190088

Journal Pre-proof

	Preseason	Midseason	p-value Wilcoxon
IR D (°)	50.0 (45.0;55.0)	48.0 (45.0;52.0)	0.627
Diff IR (°)	10.0 (5.0;15.0)	12.0 (8.0;20.0)	0.018*
ER D (°)	105.0 (98.0;114.0)	111.0 (102.0;121.0)	0.0001*
Diff ER (°)	7.0 (2.0;13.0)	6.0 (3.0;11.0)	0.565
Tot Rot D (°)	154.0 (147.0;154.0)	160.0 (150.0;172.0)	0.001*
Diff tot rot (°)	12.0 (6.0;17.0)	13.0 (7.0;19.0)	0.519
PM D (cm)	5.0 (4.0;6.5)	6.0 (5.0;7.0)	0.001*
Diff PM (cm)	1.0 (0.0;2.0)	1.5 (0.5;2.0)	0.156
UpwardR 0 D (°)	-2.5 (-5.0;0.0)	-2.50 (-5.0;-0.5)	0.630
Diff UpwardR 0 D (°)	2.0 (1.0;5.0)	2.0 (0.5;3.5)	0.082
UpwardR 45 D (°)	1.5 (0.0;3.5)	-0.5 (-2.5;0.0)	0.0001*
Diff UpwardR 45 D (°)	2.5 (0.5;4.0)	1.5 (0.5;2.5)	0.032*
UpwardR 90 D (°)	14.0 (12.5;16.0)	14.0 (12.5;15.0)	0.717
Diff UpwardR 90 D (°)	1.0 (0.5;2.0)	0.5 (0.5;1.5)	0.004*
UpwardR 120 D (°)	33.5 (30.5;35.5)	36.0 (34.0;38.5)	0.0001*
Diff UpwardR 120 D (°)	1.5 (0.5;2.0)	1.0 (0.5;1.5)	0.0001*

Table 1: Clinical assessment at the beginning of the season (pre-season) and at the mid-season. IR= internal rotation; ER= external rotation; Tot Rot= total rotation; PM= forward shoulder posture; UpwardR= scapular upward rotation; D= dominant; Diff= bilateral difference [median-value (Q1;Q3);p-value Wilcoxon test]

	Preseason	Midseason	p-value
Medial D	0.96 (0.88;1.00)	0.95 (0.89;0.98)	0.827
Diff medial	0.05 (0.03;0.07)	0.03 (0.02;0.06)	0.085
Superolateral D	0.71 (0.62;0.79)	0.72 (0.62;0.80)	0.124
Diff superolateral	0.05 (0.03;0.08)	0.05 (0.02;0.07)	0.534
Inferolateral D	0.87 (0.80;0.95)	0.87 (0.78;0.95)	0.877
Diff inferolateral	0.05 (0.03;0.09)	0.05 (0.03;0.10)	0.749
Composite D	0.85 (0.79;0.90)	0.83 (0.79;0.88)	0.462
Diff composite	0.04 (0.02;0.06)	0.04 (0.02;0.06)	0.695

Table 2: Upper Quarter Y Balance Test values (normalized according to upper limb length) at the beginning of the season (pre-season) and at the mid-season. D= dominant; Diff= bilateral difference [median-value (Q1;Q3);p-value Wilcoxon test]

	Preseason	Midseason	p-value
Score (in meters)	9.20 (10.30;11.70)	11.20 (10.20;13.10)	0.003

Table 3: Results of Single Arm Medicine Ball Throw at the beginning of the season (pre-season) and at the mid-season [median-value (Q1;Q3); p-value Wilcoxon test]

Journal Pre-proof