

# Fundamental rights and nationality – the experience of civil law countries

**Patrick Wautelet** 



#### **Outline**



- Two steps-reasoning:
  - 1<sup>st</sup>) Impact of fundamental rights in the law of nationality?
  - 2<sup>nd</sup>) Fundamental right to have a nationality?
    - Statelessness
    - Acquisition of nationality: towards a more 'rights-based approach'



## I. Fundamental rights and nationality - Gender neutrality



- Equality women-men is completely accepted in all methods of acquisition of nationality:
  - E.g.: acquisition ius sanguinis in all EU civil law countries, complete equality women/men for acquisition ius sanguinis a patre & a matre
  - Even when acquisition ius sanguinis is nuanced : no impact of gender



## I. Fundamental rights and nationality - Gender neutrality



- Equality women-men became reality after evolution where fundamental rights and constitutional courts played key role:
  - **Germany**: Constitutional court 21.05.1974 § 4.1 (acquisition *ius* sanguinis a patre) violates equality
  - <u>Italy</u>: Corte constituzionale 28.01.1983 concern of Parliament for double nationalities not sufficient to justify excluding transmission ius sanguinis a matre

ACHPR Experts Meeting - March 2011



#### II. Fundamental right to a nationality



- Fundamental right to have a nationality less firmly established than other fundamental rights
- Two important trends have strengthened this right:
  - Concern to avoid statelessness
  - Move towards a more rights based approach in acquisition by foreigners





- Concern in all civil law countries to avoid situations of statelessness – rules adopted to that effect at various levels of acquisition :
  - Acquisition at birth
  - Acquisition as an adult widespread adoption of provisions aiming to speed up acquisition by stateless eg art. 5 (d) 2010 Greek Act: naturalization possible after 3 y. (instead of 7 y.)





- Acquisition of nationality at birth in situations of statelessness – very common occurrence - e.g.
  - Sweden: Art. 6 (option right to acquire nationality in case of birht in Sweden and statelessness)
  - Spain: Art. 17 § 1 lit. c Civil Code (automatic acquisition)





- In some countries, acquisition ius soli in case of statelessness recently nuanced – does not apply if child could obtain nationality of parents by registration – e.g. France, Finland, Belgium
- Eg. **Belgium** 
  - Art. 10 CNB: provision acquisition if stateless at birth
  - Dec. 2006: no acquisition if acquisition of parents' nationality possible by registration





- Constitutional court 24.04.2008 :
  - No constitutional right to acquire Belgian nationality
  - Nonetheless review by Court test: no arbitrary refusal of nationality
  - New provision only acceptable if:
    - Read narrowly
    - Only applied if child has a right to nationality (not tied to discretionary assessment by foreign authority)
    - No application if parents cannot contact authorities of country of origin



# II.Fundamental right to a nationality B. Towards a more 'rights based approaching like and the state of the s

- Long term trend (since 1980) in civil law countries: opening up possibilities of acquisition for newcomers – much wider recognition of acquisition ius soli in civil law countries (e.g. Germany 2000)
- Two different avenues :
  - 1st generation : acquisition as an adult exacting requirements no 'right' to a nationality
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> generation & following: acquisition at birth, less exacting requirements comes close to a 'right' to nationality e.g. double ius soli eg art. 1-5° Luxemburg 2008)



# II. Fundamental right to a nationality B. Towards a more 'rights based approaching like a second control of the second control of th

- More recent trend imposing additional requirements for acquisition – e.g. 'naturalization tests'
- Overall, approach in civil law countries remains characterized by less emphasis on sovereignty and more weight on right(s) of candidates to nationality
- Some examples



# II.Fundamental right to a nationality B. Towards a more 'rights based approaching like and the state of the s

- 1<sup>st</sup> indication: legal framework made more objective and more foreseeable
- e.g. requirements of 'assimilation' disappeared and integration requirement objectively tested – no longer interviews with civil servants (e.g. Netherlands: interviews with local civil servants replaced by a test)



# II.Fundamental right to a nationality B. Towards a more 'rights based approaching like B.

- 2<sup>nd</sup> indication: more room for judicial review
- e.g.: France: Council of State maintains that there is no 'right' to naturalization but requires that all decisions pertaining to naturalization (refusal and inadmissibility) be comprehensively reasoned



# II.Fundamental right to a nationality B. Towards a more 'rights based approaching like B.

- 3<sup>rd</sup> indication: greater concern for cost of procedure
- *E.g.* : *Belgium* since 2000 Act all procedure are free of charge
- E.g. Luxemburg: fees for language courses and 'civil education' courses are paid by State (art. 7-1-c 2008 Act)

