the effect of dilution is quite pronounced. The radial velocity determined from the absorption lines is V = -6.5 km/sec. Hence, the shell—if there really is a shell—does not expand rapidly, as it does in P Cygni. The presence of Fe III in  $\gamma$  Cassiopeiae was first detected by Swings and Edlén, who identified several emission lines measured by Baldwin in the fall of 1937. Additional lines of Fe III were observed in emission by Mao-Lin and Dufay.

We are indebted to Dr. Swings for the use of an unpublished list of Fe III lines by himself and Edlén.

YERKES OBSERVATORY
AND
McDonald Observatory
February 20, 1940

## NEW EMISSION LINES IN MIRA CETI

## By P. Swings

P. W. Merrill and A. D. Thackeray¹ have shown that only the component  $z^3G^{\circ}_4$  of the  $z^3G^{\circ}$  term of Fe 1 is excited in long-period variables and gives rise to emission lines. The observed lines  $\lambda\lambda$  4202, 4308 ( $a^3F_{4,3}-z^3G^{\circ}_4$ ) and 3565 ( $a^5F_3-z^3G^{\circ}_4$ ), all arise from the sub-level  $z^3G^{\circ}_4$ , whereas other strong components of the same multiple transitions (for example,  $\lambda$  3570.13,  $a^5F_4-z^3G^{\circ}_5$ ) do not appear in Mira Ceti.

A spectrogram of o Ceti obtained on February 4, 1940, by Struve and Elvey at the McDonald Observatory, shows a strong line (int. 6) at  $\lambda$  3565 and, simultaneously, another line at  $\lambda$  3521.21 (int. 2). This line is obviously the other component,  $a^5F_4 - z^3G^{\circ}_4$ , arising from  $z^3G^{\circ}_4$ . The line 3570.13 is again absent. These results confirm the conclusion of Merrill and Thackeray.

Another emission line (int. 2) was measured at  $\lambda 3277.41$  and is the Fe II line  $\lambda 3277.36$  ( $a^4D_{3\frac{1}{2}} - z^6D_{4\frac{1}{2}}^{\circ}$ ). The excited sub-level  $z^6D_{4\frac{1}{2}}$  is directly connected with the ground level

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pub. A.S.P., 49, 120, 1937.

 $a^6D_{4\frac{1}{2}}$  by a very strong line,  $\lambda 2599.39$  ( $a^6D_{4\frac{1}{2}} - z^6D_{4\frac{1}{2}}^\circ$ ). The line  $\lambda 3277.36$  was absent in October 1939 (near maximum).

Finally, a third line has been measured at  $\lambda 3735.0$  (int. 4), which is presumably  $\lambda 3734.88$ , Fe I ( $a^5F_5 - y^5F_5$ , int. 40 in the solar spectrum). This line is of a type similar to  $\lambda 3277.36$  Fe II; the excited level  $y^5F_5$  is directly connected with the ground sub-level  $a^5D_4$  by the very strong line  $\lambda 2966.9$ . But this wave length does not coincide with any other known strong line. The other component  $a^5F_4 - y^5F_5$ ° at  $\lambda 3798.52$  (int. 6 in the solar spectrum) is also weakly present (int. 1).

In September and October, 1939, several spectrograms of o Ceti in the ultraviolet region and extending as far as  $\lambda$  3300 A, showed the Balmer series at least up to  $H_{19}$ ; the Balmer continuum was fairly strong in emission.

YERKES OBSERVATORY February 1940

## NEW B-TYPE SUPERGIANTS By J. A. O'Keefe

In the course of a spectroscopic examination of the reddened B-stars of the Stebbins-Huffer catalogue<sup>2</sup> a number of previously unrecognized supergiants were found. They are listed in Table I. The notation c— indicates a star of somewhat

|                  |     | TABLE I |       |       |
|------------------|-----|---------|-------|-------|
| $^{\mathrm{HD}}$ | m   | Sp      | С     | E     |
| 15571            | 8.0 | cB2     | +0.02 | +0.22 |
| 17088            | 7.5 | cB9     | + .25 | + .37 |
| 17145            | 8.0 | c—B5    | + .28 | + .45 |
| 17857            | 7.8 | c—B8    | + .16 | + .29 |
| 23675            | 6.8 | cB1     | + .08 | + .29 |
| 25443            | 6.8 | cB2     | + .02 | + .22 |
| 185859           | 6.4 | cB1     | + .04 | + .25 |
| 195592 ~         | 7.2 | cB0eα   | + .30 | + .52 |
| 199216           | 7.1 | c—B1    | + .11 | + .32 |
| 208501           | 6.0 | cB8     | + .26 | + .39 |
| 216411           | 7.2 | cB1     | +0.15 | +0.36 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This line practically coincides with a strong Fe I line ( $\lambda 2599.57$ ;  $a^5F_4 - x^5G^{\circ}_4$ ). Thus fluorescence effects are probable, but the excited lines are either weak or too far in the ultraviolet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Washburn Obs. Pub., 15, 217, 1934.