



Category of Product	Product	Typical organism	Market value
Whole cells	Baker's yeast	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	
	Lactic acid bacteria	Lactic acid bacteria	
	Single cell protein	Methylotrophic bacteria	
Primary metabolites	Beer, wine	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	
		Saccharomyces	
	Ethanol	carlsbergensis	12 billion US\$
		Saccharomyces cerevisiae	
	Lactic acid	Zymomonas mobilis	200 million US\$
		Lactic acid bacteria	
	Citric acid	Rhizopus oryzae	1.5 billion US\$
	Glutamate	Aspergillus niger	1 billion US\$
	Lysine	Corynebacterium glutamicum	500 million US\$
	Phenylalanine	Corynebacterium glutamicum	200 million US\$
		Escherichia coli	
Secondary metabolites	Penicillins	Penicillium chrysogenum	4 billion US\$
	Cephalosporins	Acremonium chrysogenum	11 billion US\$
	-	Streptomyces clavuligerus	
	Statins	Aspergillus terreus	9 billion US\$
	Taxol	Plant cells	1 billion USS
Recombinant proteins	Insulin	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	3 billion US\$
		Escherichia coli	
	tPA	Chinese Hamster Ovary cells	1 billion US\$
	Erythropoietin	Chinese Hamster Ovary cells	3.6 billion US\$
	Human growth hormone	Escherichia coli	1 billion US\$
	Interferons	Escherichia coli	2 billion US\$
	Vaccines	Bacteria and yeast	
	Monoclonal antibodies	Hybridoma cells	700 million US\$
Enzymes	Detergent enzymes	Bacilli, Aspergilli	600 million US\$
	Starch industry	Bacilli, Aspergilli	200 million US\$
	Chymosin	Aspergilli	
Polymers	Xanthan gum	Xanthomonas campestris	400 million US\$
	Polyhydroxyalkanoates	Alcaligenes erytrophus	
DNA	Vaccines	Escherichia coli	









































Different modelling alternatives for microbial growth :

-The simplest way to express microbial growth : Monod type equation (saturation)

- Structured modelling : take into account the internal dynamics of the system to be studied

- Segregated modelling : take into account the heterogeneity of the microbial population























		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Durée (h)	r <sub>x</sub> (g/l,h)	r <sub>s</sub> (g/l.h)
0	-	-
0,5	0,003	0
1	0,008	0,02
1,5	0,011	0,04
2	0,019	0,04
2,5	0,044	0,09
3	0,083	0,20
3,5	0,142	0,27
4	0,242	0,54
4,5	0,412	0,96
5	0,698	1,43
5,5	1,158	2,34
6	1,940	4,44
6,5	3,089	7,41
7	5,00	11,10
7,5	3,811	8,89
8	0,87	0,087
8,5	0,27	0,012
8,5	0,27	0,012



D (1.01)	1/6 (~/1)	u (b-1)	1/µ (h)
Duree (n)	1/3 (g/1)	μ (11-)	1,4 (11)
0	0,05		
0,5	0,05	0,15	6,67
1	0,05	0,35	2,86
1,5	0,05	0,39	2,56
2	0,05	0,56	1,79
2,5	0,05	0,94	1,06
3	0,05	1,06	0,94
3,5	0,051	1,09	0,91
4	0,051	1,09	0,91
4.5	0,052	1,11	0,90
5	0,054	1,10	0,91
5,5	0,056	1,08	0,93
6	0,061	1,08	0,93
6,5	0,075	1,03	0,97
7	0,112	1,02	0,98
7,5	10,90	0,48	2,08
8	71,20	0,10	10
8,5	249,0	0,03	33,33
9	626.5	-	















$$\rightarrow \text{ SUBSTRATE}: \quad \frac{dS}{dt} = -r_{c} - \frac{dV \cdot S}{dt} + Q \frac{S_{a}}{V}$$
Hypothesis : added substrate is immediately consumed (S = 0)
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -r_{c} + Q \frac{S_{a}}{V} = 0$$

$$r_{s} = Q \cdot \frac{S_{a}}{V} = m_{s} \cdot X + \frac{r_{p}}{Y_{p/s}}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{ METABOLITE}: \qquad \frac{dP}{dt} = -r_{p} - \frac{dV \cdot P}{dt \cdot V}$$

$$r_{p} = \chi \cdot X$$

$$\rightarrow \text{ VOLUME}: \qquad \frac{dV}{dt} = Q$$







Durées	X	S	Q.R.	Q	V (litre)	Sa.(V-V <sub>0</sub> )
(h)	(g/l)	(g/l)		(l/h)		(g)*
0	0,28	0,15	-	0,02	10,00	1,6
0,83	0,3	0,21	1,22	0,016	10,013	2,6
1,83	0,39	0,19	1,3	0,009	10,022	4,4
2,83	0,42	0,18	1,76	0,003	10,025	5
3,5	0,47	0,11	1,12	0,003	10,027	5,4
4,33	0,58	0,12	1,02	0,016	10,040	8
4,83	0,66	0,11	1,13	0,016	10,048	9,6
5,33	0,97	0,14	0,97	0,072	10,084	16,8
6,33	1,52	0,14	1,04	0,063	10,147	29,4
7,33	2,42	0,13	0,97	0,104	10,251	50,2
8,33	3,12	0,06	1,02	0,129	10,38	76
9,08	4,68	0,04	0,98	0,181	10,516	103,2
10,08	5,66	0,14	1,15	0,128	10,644	128,8
23,83	16,17	0,27	1,03	0,11	12,164	432,8
25,83	18,33	0,24	0,97	0,18	12,527	505,4
26,83	19,11	0,25	1,2	0,139	12,666	533,2
28,83	20,28	0,28	0,9	0,107	12,88 .	576,0

















### Chemostat : modelling

X and S mass balance equations with Monod kinetics. At steady state,  $\mu$  = D :

$$S_{eq} = K_s \cdot \frac{D}{\mu_{max} - D}$$

 $X_{eq} = \frac{Y_{x/s} \cdot D}{D + m_s \cdot Y_{x/s}} \cdot (S_a - S_{eq})$ 

If we focus our attention on generation time and productivity :

$$g = \frac{\ln 2}{\mu_{eq}} = \frac{\ln 2}{D}$$

 $productivit\acute{e}=X_{eq}\cdot D=Y_{x/s}\cdot(S_a-S_{eq})D$ 

$$D=D_m=\mu_m\left[1-\sqrt{\frac{K_s}{K_s+S_a}}\right]$$







### System biology

















Réseau métabolique à l'état stationnaire (turnover des intermédiaires) sous forme matricielle :

S.v = 0 On a K métabolites et J réactions

La matrice steechiométrique S a donc les dimensions (K,J) et le vecteur de flux s a la dimension (J,1)

Le modèle reviens a un système d'équations linéaires dont le nombre de degré de liberté  $\mathsf{F}=\mathsf{J}\text{-}\mathsf{K}$ 





















































New development in sensor technology for bioprocess control : optical systems

Principle : collision between oxygen and dye molecules inducing luminescence quenching























Freelance	AUTO	DA	104	QR_C02_C	mv	QR_02_Conv	tic0	24_conv	V.AQ           20         Klaxon
SB20_6_2 Choix Page datam	EFT	cel <u>S</u> électionner ( SB 20 litr	Options (mprimer			Général		'F	red'
	CV	SP1	SP2	Délais	OP	Mode	Output		
Agitation	0.0	150.0	0.0	00:00	150.0	Manuel		Agit OFF	HL 500.0 LL 150.0
Température	21.5	30.0	0.0	00:00	0.0	Manuel	Sans	Circ. OFF	00:00:00
	8.51	3.00	0.00	00:00	3.00	Manuel	Sans		DEE 0.0 Factour X
Oxy. Dissous	4.9	50.0	0.0	00:00	50.00	Manuel	0.0	Sans action	3.5
Aeration	0.00	Cat KLo	CO2 Gazeux	O2 Gazeux	O2 Cons.	Tot. O2 Cons	CO2 Prod	Tot. CO2 Proc	
	0.00	7.60	-0.02	0.00	0.0 0/1		0.0 9/0		
Fed Batch	Volume Initial 250.0	Volume Final 510 315		Délai Fed	'SANS FED	OR	0.0	Pompe OFF	Temps fed 1607, 138
	0.000	0.000						Appel	300 litres
Agitation	Tempér.	рН	Dis. 02						C3
							- -	0 10	22/04/02 11:25:015
		PC.2_géné	à		Syno.	Courbes	Groupe	SEC	
<u>⊻</u> .veille	Alarmes.	lm. Sys.	Incr.	<u>&lt;</u> >>	JEXP	JEV	JPER	G. <u>R</u> amp.	Conduire



### **Advanced bioprocess control**

- Fed-batch control :

   - Exponential feed Q = Q<sub>0</sub>.exp(μ.t)

   - RQ (respiratory quotient)

   - Feedback loop (biomass, ethanol or glucose probe)

- pH-stat - DO-stat























# Application: Main and the second provided and the second



















We consider two propeller (d = 0.	o kinds of impelle .5 m). The equation	er : a TD6 and a profiled ons for the loading curve
are the following	:	
Prop	TD6 : Na = 30 . (d. beller : Na = 6000 .	/D) <sup>3,5</sup> . Fr (d/D) <sup>1,55</sup> . Fr <sup>2.7</sup>
Considering the determine the ga	e constraints p Is-liquid flow regin	resented in the table ne for each impeller
Considering the determine the ga	e constraints p Is-liquid flow regin N maximum for shear (s <sup>-1</sup> )	resented in the table ne for each impeller G minimum for Oxygen transfer (vvm)
Considering the determine the ga	e constraints p as-liquid flow regin N maximum for shear (s <sup>-1</sup> ) 0,51	resented in the table ne for each impeller G minimum for Oxygen transfer (vvm) 0,13





Substrate Con	centration in liquid	Critical concentration	Consumption rate
	phase (ppm)	(ppm)	(mmoles/g biomass.h)
Glucose	10.000	100	2.6
Oxygen	7	0.8	7.7

































































































### Microbial kinetics :

20L strirred bioreactor (RTD6 ; working volume 10L + glass bulb for SDRs)

Regulation : pH 5.5 ; T° 30  $\ensuremath{^\circ}$  ; pO  $_2$  30% ; air flow rate (no regulation for the nonmixed part of the SDR)

Exponential feed of glucose (start after 5 hours) : F = F<sub>0</sub> exp( $\mu$ t) (F0 = 0.086 ml/min;  $\mu$  = 0.005 min<sup>-1</sup>)



Reactor	Biomass yield Y <sub>xs</sub>
Classical bioreactor	0.48
SDR recirculation flow rate Q = 18 l/h	0.36
SDR recirculation flow rate Q = 39 l/h	0.45





# 6. Perspective : modelling the microbial response to bioreactor environmental fluctuations

We have described the physical parameters BUT What about the biologicals implications

What is the microbial response in front of these environmental fluctuations ? TO MAKE THE LINK

What about the environmental sensing capabilities of microorganisms ?

### In the case of S. cerevisiae :

- Cells react very rapidly in front of glucose fluctuations

- Glucose sensing mechanisms are well documented bฟะดูการนับอยู่ FEBS journal data available

Özcan et al. [1999] Microbiology and molecular biology reviews

- The cell don't sense all the environmental fluctuandris (୧୫ନାରେ) କୁମ୍ମର୍ବାରେ କେନ୍ଦ୍ର some specific metabolic pathways act as intraceମାସଙ୍କ Korrନିର୍ଦ୍ଦିଶିକ୍ଷାଙ୍କରା society trans. devices) c

Wolf et al. [2005] Journal of theoretical biology

Rao et al. [2002] Nature

Important in order to make the link between the physical and the biological parameters



Case study 2 : Whole cell biosensors for the detection of mixing imperfections























### Results Screening among an E. coli GFP clones library

Binary mode of gene expression  $\rightarrow$  sources : -Short mRNA and protein half-lives

-High sensitivity for the detection of the reporter protein

Generally not observed for GFP reporter system considering the high protein stability of this system compared with  $\beta$ -galactosidase and luciferase reporters

This mechanism of gene induction give rise to differentially expressed phenotypes at the protein level. Can potentially be used to gain more sensitivity about the impact of extracellular fluctuations





### Results

Behaviour of prpoS::gfp strain i Basic observations :

in fed-

- Binary mode for GFP expression at the end of the batch phase and during the transition from batch to fed-batch phase
- After the induction of the major part of the population (all the cells are in the GFP+ state), graded mode of GFP expression is observed
- Successive glucose excess tends to slow down the binary expression phase























## Perspectives and conclusion

prpoS::GFP strains seems to react to the degree of homogeneity inside the bioreactor :

> Homogenous reactor : GFP+ Inhomogenous reactor : GFP-

### **Perspectives and conclusion**

- Two questions have to be raised : - Flow cytometry combined with  $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{stress}}{:}\mathsf{GFP}$  expression  $\rightarrow$  impact of extrinsic fluctuations What about the intrinsic fluctuations ?
- Characteristic times of hydrodynamic mechanisms compared with those of the biological processes behind GFP synthesis







