INTRODUCTION

Manuals on historiography routinely say that the discipline of history is shaped by documents. In this context, the word “document” is interpreted in a broad sense as the traces left behind by the thoughts and actions of men. More pragmatically, what is understood in the field of history as a document are the authentic traces of tools necessary for the needs of daily life (thus administrative and private documents, coins, buildings, etc.), or put in other words “everything that has remained immediately and directly of the historical facts or events.”

All these materials are the subjects of particular disciplines, that is to say papyrology, epigraphy, numismatics, and archeology, better known as the auxiliary sciences of history. Each one studies a documentation defined as impartial, because documents are not reckoned to lie (although forgeries are sometimes found); that must be the starting point for research, “the historian’s choice morsels” to quote one documents expert. It is thus clear that in speaking of documentary studies, one could expect this article to treat all these disciplines. It does not, but rather aims at presenting the state of actual research on handwritten documents from the Mamluk period. I do not say papyrology because I think this word is unfortunately misleading. Although influenced by the discipline developed by scholars of antiquity, Arabic papyrology does not deal exclusively with documents written on papyrus. In the Islamic context, this would be nonsensical, as other writing materials
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were used together with papyrus (ostracum, parchment, and at a rather early stage paper, but also cloth, and such odd materials as ostrich eggs, etc.). On the other hand, as we will see, archives have been preserved in institutions for which the documents had been issued. If we were considering only papyrology, they would not be included in this survey since they were not discovered through excavation, or in a cave.3 Numismatics,4 epigraphy, and archeology are thus left aside even though they clearly involve documents and some of them are of great help in corroborating information found in handwritten documents. I particularly think of the Mamluk decrees found on monuments in Egypt and Syria which reproduce originals that once were written on paper.5 Documents, in the sense just defined (handwritten), are thus the exclusive focus of this article.

Students of medieval Islamic history are confronted with a leitmotiv that until the sixteenth century Islamic civilization has left only a few documents. This calamitous statement, somewhat tempered for Egypt, which historically, even in antiquity, has preserved more documents than other areas like Syria, the Arabian peninsula, or even North Africa, has been reassessed recently, particularly by R. Stephen Humphreys, who judged the situation to be encouraging,6 and Donald Little, who said some time ago that "original documents [for the Mamluk period] or remnants of archives are exceedingly important."7 I do not know if this is a problem of the bottle being half full or half empty, but I am rather optimistic, too.

3Arabic papyrologists generally consider that their discipline covers all the documents from the dawn of Islam until the emergence of Ottoman rule on the grounds that official archives prior to this period have not been preserved. I agree that it is difficult in Islamic studies to trace a border line between papyrology and diplomatics, which in Western studies defines archival materials in a broad sense, but I tend to believe that differences remain between the study of a papyrus of the first century of Islam and a document of the late Mamluk period, and the criteria invoked by papyrologists are not relevant, in my opinion.


6Islamic History: A Framework for Inquiry (Cairo, 1992), 40.

Of course, compared to medieval Europe or China, the situation is not so good and it has led some scholars to allege that medieval Islamic civilization (not the Ottoman one) was less bureaucratic than, say, Sung China or the Italian states.\(^8\) This statement is based on the surviving evidence, but is a non sequitur. It is as if Assyriologists, prior to the discovery of thousands of tablets through excavations, would have said that the chancery of the Assyrian kingdom was not fond of red tape. The problem, for medieval Islam, lies in the fact that few official documents which have been preserved are the original documents that were issued by the chancery and given to the beneficiary. What we lack are the state archives, because we know that for every original document issued, a copy was made in the various bureaux in charge of the affair treated. These state archives have completely disappeared, except for one item, almost a hapax, going back to the Fatimid period,\(^9\) which offers us the opportunity to imagine how the registers prepared by chancery clerks must have looked.

To explain the disappearance of the state archives, different scholars have offered various explanations. One of the most repeated is that, unlike what happened in Europe, Islam had no legally organized social bodies which could have preserved archives, the unique exception being the waqf documents, as shown by Carl Petry. This means that only state archives could exist. Secondly, it has been argued that written documents do not establish the law (\textit{kitābun yushbih kitāban}: one writing looks like another writing and can be exchanged with it), but if so why would non-Muslim communities have held for centuries documents that had no legal value and that were referred to in case of necessity? Thirdly, it has been alleged that in Europe most of the documents are of a judicial nature, while in Islam, on the other hand, these kinds of documents were kept by the qadis. When they became useless or obsolete, they were discarded. Whatever the case may be, my feeling is that an answer must be found in the sources, considering both state archives and private documents, including original documents issued by the chancery and handed over to the beneficiaries. In the first case, an important protagonist of the Mamluk sultanate, who experienced the transition between the Qipchak and Circassian regimes, witnessed a decisive event for our purpose: al-Maqrīzī (d. 845/1442). Speaking of his experience as a secretary in the chancery, he notes that in 791–92/1389–90, during Sultan Barquq’s reign, all the documents kept in the


room of the diwān al-inshā’ at the Citadel of Cairo were removed and sold by weight,\(^{10}\) probably to paper merchants. Clearly, the disappearance of the state archives of the first Mamluk dynasty may be explained by this testimony, and this behavior must have been repeated in the periods that preceded and followed. As for private archives, the sources remain silent, although we may surmise that the same conduct prevailed. Original documents were often recycled and used as scrap paper. A unique case of this phenomenon, for the Mamluk period, has recently been discovered in several autograph manuscripts of al-Maqrīzī.\(^{11}\) To conclude with this crucial problem, documents were obviously no longer valuable after a given period of time, and depending on various circumstances (political events, need for money, etc.), they were either destroyed, or more appropriately, reprocessed due to economic reasons.\(^{12}\)

**Survey**

In what follows, the reader will find a census that aims at surveying quite exhaustively the collections that hold documents from the Mamluk period.\(^{13}\) Two approaches to the subject may be employed: either to take into account the venue of the collections, or to consider the nature of the documents as described above. I chose the first because it gives a more unequivocal idea of the number of documents preserved and their location.

Islamic documents, generally speaking, whatever their nature may be, are to be found in two different forms: copies preserved in historical or literary sources,
and original documents held in different institutions in various countries, mostly in Europe, North America, and the Middle East. None of these categories are sufficient due to the limited number of documents, but placing them side by side and examining them does allow the raising of questions, and even the verification of their respective value. From this starting point, a more detailed investigation will be carried out, which will lead to broader conclusions.

**SOURCES**

The literary and historical sources from the Mamluk period are renowned to be, if not comprehensive, at least plentiful in comparison with other periods of Muslim history. It is one of the features that has attracted many of us to Mamluk studies. Critical editions of important sources are becoming more available, even if some gaps remain, as Li Guo noted in his state of the art article on historical sources.\(^{14}\) It is a well-known fact that some of them have preserved in one form or another copies of official or private documents and it is easily understandable why these have been the source of numerous studies on treaties concluded with other Muslim rulers or Christian rulers. However, we must insist on the fact that historical and literary sources be used with the greatest caution. They raise numerous problems, particularly that of authenticity. The historian must always bear in mind that what he has in hand is only what the author wanted to transmit, adding to this the problems of the accuracy of the copy, and sometimes of falsification. When several authors quote a document from different sources, it is possible to establish the discrepancies between the versions,\(^{15}\) and this calls once more for caution. Generally speaking, we must keep in mind that these copies are important for their content. As for diplomatics, it realizes little benefit from this kind of source because most of the authors systematically disregard the less interesting parts, in their eyes; yet elements such as preamble formulas, customary expressions of the various bureaux of the chancery, dates, names of persons, mottos, as well as paper sizes, may be crucial in terms of diplomatics.

**OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS**

Confronted with the apparent scarcity of archives from the Mamluk period, historians first settled for documents quoted in the narrative sources. Their works mainly dealt with the edition, translation, and analysis of the correspondence exchanged between the Mamluk sultans and their counterparts or others. The result is a quite detailed knowledge of the nature of the relations between the Mamluk sultanate


\(^{15}\) A clear example of this is to be found in William M. Brinner, “Some Ayyūbid and Mamlūk Documents from Non-Archival Sources,” *Israel Oriental Studies* 2 (1972): 117–43.
and other states and how this was expressed in diplomatic terms. The copies of these documents have been retrieved from sources the nature of which may vary greatly. They may be classified as follows:

I. Historical and Literary Works
Among the most widely-used sources of this type are the *Tashrīf al-Ayyām wa-al-ʿUṣūr fī Sīrat al-Malik al-Mansūr* by Ibn ʿAbd al-Zāhir,16 *Al-Faḍl al-Maʿthūr min Sīrat al-Sulṭān al-Malik al-Mansūr* by Shāfiʿ ibn ʿAlī,17 *Zubdat al-Fikrah* by Baybars al-Mansūrī,18 all three of them dealing with Qalāwūn’s reign; and finally Ibn al-Furāmah’s *Tārīkh al-Duwal wa-al-Mulāk*19 for the other periods. As we will see, documents are sometimes found in European archives in translation and this allows a comparison with the Arabic versions. The stage of inventory and study of these texts is well advanced and it can be said that no surprises are awaiting us, even if some sources are still available only in manuscript form. Among these studies, P. M. Holt’s are particularly noteworthy. These are devoted to the treaties concluded with Christian states,20 and his pioneering book on those concluded

16Edited by Murād Kāmil (Cairo, 1961).
19Vols. 7–9, ed. Qustāntīn Zurayq and Najlaʿ ʿIzz al-Dīn, [American University of Beirut] Publications of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Oriental Series, nos. 9, 10, 14, 17 (Beirut, 1936–42).
mainly with Crusader states has remained unsurpassed. He translated them and made an historical and diplomatic commentary, comparing them with other sources, in particular Christian ones. The Muslim sources to which he traced these treaties are Ibn ‘Abd al-Zahir, Ibn al-Furat, and al-Qalqashandi. Beside Holt, other studies are useful, such as the one carried out by Daoulatli on an exchange of letters between Qalawun and rulers of Ifriqiya on the basis of Ibn ‘Abd al-Zahir’s text. It is this same source which recently gave Reuven Amitai-Preiss the opportunity to examine the correspondence between the Mongol ruler Abagha and Baybars. Despite the numerous articles published on the subject, some sources have been neglected, particularly the Tarih Bayruṭ by Saliḥ ibn Yahyá (fl. 1424–37). He was a member of a family of amirs in Lebanon (the Buhturids) and his text was available to scholars as early as 1898, although a more accurate edition, by Francis Hours and Kamal Salibi, appeared in 1969. This is a special case in the category of historical sources because the author reproduced archival documents of his family, such as nomination documents to the rank of amir. This is not
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Michele Amari also studied a peace treaty preserved in Ibn ‘Abd al-Zahir’s Tashrif al-Ayyām regarding the King of Aragon and the King of Sicily: Michele Amari, Bibliotheca arabo-sicula ossia raccolta di testi arabici che toccano la geografia, la storia, le biografie e la bibliografia della Sicilia (Lipsia, 1857), 342–52. See also Francesco Gabrieli, “Trattato di Qalawun coi Templari di Tortosa,” in Storici Arabi delle Crociate, ed. idem, Scrittori di storia, no. 6 (Turin, 1957), 305–12, 314–21.


exceptional, but what makes it valuable is that in this particular case he indicated
in great detail all the elements appearing on the documents, which were commonly
disregarded by others, and if we now have a good knowledge of which motto was
used by which sultan, it is largely thanks to his work.

Besides Egyptian sources, it must be kept in mind that other sources may be
useful. Although copies of Mamluk correspondence are rarely found in the works
of Andalusian historians, in one instance they are (al-Maqqarî, d. 1632).

Christian sources may also prove interesting and reliable in this respect as was demonstrated
by Marius Canard, who found a copy of a letter sent by al-Nāṣir Ḥasan to the
Byzantine emperor John VI Cantacuzene (dated 1349), a document which gives
valuable information on the fate of Christians in the Mamluk sultanate, events
about which Mamluk chronicles remain silent. The letter was found in the chronicle
written by the emperor himself at the end of his life, and although it is preserved
only in its Greek translation, it undoubtedly reflects the Arabic original as Canard
was able to prove by a comparison of the epithets reserved for the Byzantine
emperors according to Muslim chancery manuals.

II. Chancery Encyclopedias and Manuals

Among all the sources, this kind of work is one of the most useful in tracing the
evolution of diplomacy, particularly in the Egyptian context. Written by several
authors at various periods, they offer the possibility to recreate the functioning of
the Egyptian chancery over several centuries. The most famous of these manuals
is unquestionably al-Qalqashandi’s Šubḥ al-Aʿshā fī Šināʿat al-Inshā’. Available
in a rather good edition with an index, its value has been quickly recognized and
it is no surprise if we find it frequently utilized in the studies published so far. Its
major shortcoming lies in the fact that it is not practical to use, even with the
indexes, and Björkman is to be commended for having given a clear account of its
contents, although he failed to supply the required diplomatic commentary. Since
that time, several parts of the Šubḥ al-Aʿshā have been translated, the most recent

being Maria Pia Pedani’s book on the dār al-ṣulh³⁰ where the section dealing with truces (sing. hudnah) is translated into Italian. The Šubḥ is renowned for the great number of documents it has preserved, and these have been the subject of various articles. In addition to Holt’s studies already mentioned, the articles of Canard on the relations with the Merinids in the fourteenth century and with the Byzantines³¹ are important, and also those of Urbain Vermeulen concerning the correspondence exchanged between the Crusaders and the Mongols.³² Unfortunately, most of these lack a diplomatic commentary.

Besides al-Qalqashandi, other works, some of which he used extensively, have been made available in critical editions. Ibn Fadl Allāh al-‘Umār’s Al-Ta‘rīf bi-al-Muṣṭalāḥ al-Sharīf,³³ Ibn Nāzir al-Jaysh’s Tathiq al-Ta‘rīf bi-al-Muṣṭalāḥ al-Sharīf,³⁴ and al-Nuwayrī’s Nihāyat al-Arab fī Funūn al-Adab are now completely

³⁰Maria Pia Pedani, La dimora della pace: Considerazioni sulle capitolazioni tra i paesi islamici e l’Europa, Quaderni di Studi Arabi, Studi e testi, no. 2 (Rome, 1996).
³⁴Ed. Rudolf Veselý, Textes arabes et études islamiques, no. 27 (Cairo, 1987).
Other works may still prove useful for specific subjects, as is shown by Rudolf Veselý, who published a short treatise by the same Ibn Faḍl Allāh al-‘Umarī: the ‘Urf al-Ta‘rif, one of the sources used by al-Qalqashandī, which was considered lost, and of which he discovered a unique copy in the Chester Beatty Library (no. 3849). He provided an edition of this notable work on official letters to which he added the text of another treatise ascribed to the same author and dealing with the same subject, providing an essential supplement to the references available on this subject.

III. Munsha‘āt

Compared to the manuals which have a wider aim, these anthologies of models are more restricted. Their authors had a different aim, that is collecting various models of documents issued by the chancery, so that they could be used by uninspired clerks. Once again, caution is required for the use made of them. Few of them are available in published form, although manuscripts have been identified for a long time. A quick glance in catalogues of manuscripts arranged according to themes will inform students of the work that remains to be done. Among the most valuable, let us note the Paris Ms. 4439, entitled “Al-Maqṣid al-Ra↵ī.” Already described by Max van Berchem at the beginning of the last century, its interest has diminished since most of its sources (al-Qalqashandī, Ibn Faḍl Allāh al-‘Umarī, Ibn Nāẓır al-Jaysh) have been published. However, it remains important for the documents issued under the last sultans. Another manuscript, held by the same institution under shelfmark 4440, is also noteworthy. Anonymous and with no title recorded, it is divided into two parts: one containing examples of letters written by high government officials, and another preserving samples of letters addressed by the Mamluk sultans to other Muslim rulers. The manuscript is undated, but the most recent document goes back to 1468. Despite its value, since it supplements previous sources for the correspondence exchanged with other Muslim rulers, it has not been thoroughly studied. Colin studied five letters among which

---

35Cairo, 1964–97.
38Max van Berchem, Matériaux pour un Corpus Inscriptionum Arabicarum: Égypte (Cairo, 1894–1903), 441–53.
39Baron de Slane, Catalogue des manuscrits arabes.
one had been issued by Baybars,40 while Darrāj published two letters exchanged with the Indian sultanate of Malwa41 (one was issued by Qāytbāy’s chancery).

Less known but nonetheless significant, the Qahwat al-Insha’42 of Ibn Ḥijjah al-Ḥamawī (lived beginning fifteenth century) is preserved in several manuscripts. It deals with another kind of model (writs of investiture) and Veselý called our attention to its value in 1991.42 As early as 1967, Rose di Meglio studied a copy held by the Naples library. She published one of these deeds dealing with the governorship of Tripoli under the rule of Khushqadam (866), confirming that in this case too the chancery rules as prescribed in manuals had been applied.43

Other texts of this kind are still waiting to be discovered and studied. Recently, Veselý published44 new data about a Leiden manuscript whose title (“Zumrat al-Nāẓirin wa-Nuzhat al-Nādirīn”) reveals nothing about its contents. After having examined the whole manuscript, which contains more than 100 documents and letters all connected to the Qaramanid princes of Larenede, he was able to demonstrate that these were original documents, not models, and that the volume was a copy of documents found in the Qaramanid archives by a professional clerk. As for the Mamluk period, 31 letters regarding international affairs (letters exchanged with Barqūq about Timur’s threat) as well as private matters (e.g., demand for the return of a young kidnapped boy) complete our knowledge of the relations established between these two powers. It is to be hoped that Veselý will complete an edition of this important text in the near future.

PRIVATE DOCUMENTS

As for private documents, Muslim scholars devoted various works to their composition. The most important ones for our purpose are those dealing with the judicial formularies (shurūṭ), whose main aim was to provide judges with models of legal documents so that their own could withstand scrutiny and legal challenges. Donald Little has shown, on several occasions, that a serious study of legal documents cannot be undertaken without the help of these works, which provide indispensable help in the deciphering of technical terms. The restriction put forward regarding documents found in historical sources and chancery manuals is confirmed for this kind of source: these manuals offer to the reader models where the scholar finds interesting information on the formularies used in a great variety of circumstances illuminating judicial and a fortiori social life, but no genuine documents. Some of these valuable texts have been published, mainly for periods preceding the Mamluk sultanate. For this period, Mamlukists have had at their disposal, for a long time, al-Asyūṭī’s treatise, one of the most important in this genre. There is still new material which requires study and publication. To this end, Gabriela Linda Guellil published in 1985 a study of al-Ṭarsūsī’s Kitāb al-‘I‘lām, an important shurūṭ work for the judicial system of Damascus in the fourteenth century.

Private documents may also be found in historical sources, as Carl Petry has shown. He discovered an account of a divorce case mentioned in al-Ṣayrafī’s Inbā‘ al-Ḥaṣr bi-Abnā‘ al-‘Aṣr. In his capacity as deputy judge, al-Ṣayrafī used to record the proceedings of the court, and it is on these notes that he relied to report this case, giving quite a detailed account of it, and in particular the text of the petition. The value of this example lies mainly in the information it provides on the status of women in medieval Egypt and their rights in marriage. Another significant source of this type of data lies in the many collections of judicial

---


DOCUMENTS
NON-MUSLIM COUNTRIES
I. Archival Collections
Dozens of documents from the Mamluk period are preserved in the archives of the contemporary Latin states (mainly Venice, Genoa, Pisa, Florence, Ragusa, and Barcelona).\footnote{A general assessment of this kind of source was proposed in the following article, which was however too brief to be useful: Ḥāmid Darrāj, ‘Les documents arabes sur l’Égypte islamique dans les archives européennes,’ in Colloque international sur l’histoire du Caire/Al-Nadwah al-Duwal‘iyah li-Tārīkh al-Qāhirah, Cairo 27 March–5 April 1969, ed. André Raymond et al. (Cairo, n.d.), 131.} This figure is however less than what one might expect in view of the close relations between some of these states over the centuries and even more so when one takes into account that European institutions kept well-organized archives. This is partly explained by the fact that Arabic documents, as well as others in foreign, exotic languages, were translated and their content transcribed into the official records (Libri commemoriali, Libri iurium). Some of them, however, have survived despite this practice. As expected, these collections contain above all else documents regarding diplomacy, and they throw some light on the nature of the relations that two states, one being Muslim, could establish. Despite this, it is not surprising to discover documents of a private nature, such as those regarding European merchants in the Levant. But here again, these deliver more information on the conditions of dhimmīs in the Muslim country than on the country itself. A Mamlukist might therefore believe that their benefit is less than other documents, but he must keep in mind that thanks to them we know how the Mamluks treated citizens from the Dār al-Šulḥ or the Dār al-Hārb. Moreover, if these documents have been preserved in their original form, they permit us to see how these chancery or private documents issued for foreign non-Muslim countries looked and how the chancery operated.

The majority of these documents may be classified in two categories: one comprising original documents, and the other translations (into Latin, Italian, or Spanish) of Arabic documents. The historian of the Mediterranean world must be able to handle both types, because those of the second type turn out to be helpful,
above all when the translation is faithful to the original (rather than a paraphrase, as in most cases). Comparisons with other preserved documents of the same sort (either original or copies in manuals) afford us the opportunity to complete their study. Finally, the bulk of preserved archives regarding merchants and their business illuminates their trading practices in the Levant, and correspondingly commercial activities under Mamluk rule. The last example is eloquent: Georges Jehel’s study of the Genoan trade in the eastern Mediterranean is based exclusively on such documents.\footnote{51}

Under the influence of Occidental diplomatics, the study of documents preserved in these countries, in particular Italy, began as early as the nineteenth century. The great Arabist de Sacy took an interest in these kinds of documents and published some of them.\footnote{52} However, it was Amari, above all, who should be considered the founder of the study of Arabic documents preserved in Europe, which were the basis of several of his works.\footnote{53} A summary work, always valuable, is that of L. de Mas Latrie, who gathered in a thick volume all the peace and commercial treaties concluded by Latin states with the Muslim countries of North Africa (Egypt included).\footnote{54} The majority of the documents he gathered had already been the subject of studies by other scholars, but it would be erroneous to believe that their work does not call for revision. Firstly, the diplomatic commentary is frequently defective or obsolete. On the other hand, for the period we are considering, reproductions are rarely provided. These remarks are based on personal experience, although others, like John Wansbrough, had already noticed it. Recently, I have been charged with the task to reexamine in the light of recent research documents

\footnote{51}Les Génois en Méditerranée occidentale (fin XIème–début XIVème siècle): Ébauche d’une stratégie pour un empire (Amiens, 1993). For the Mamluk field, such studies as the following were based on both types of material: Subhi Y. Labib, Handelsgeschichte Ägyptens im Spätmittelalter (1171–1517), Vierteljahresschrift für Sozial- und Wirtschaftsgeschichte, Beihefte, no. 46 (Wiesbaden, 1965); Eliyahu Ashtor, Levant Trade in the Later Middle Ages (Princeton, 1983).
\footnote{54}Traités de paix et de commerce et documents divers concernant les relations des Chrétiens avec les Arabes de l’Afrique septentrionale au moyen âge: Introduction historique (Paris, 1865), Documents (Paris, 1868), Supplément et tables (Paris, 1872). De Mas Latrie reproduced documents in their original language, when it was an Occidental one, and only the translation of the Arabic documents.
These documents consist of two peace treaties concluded between the Banū Ghāniyah amirs of Majorca (one dated to 1181 and the other to 1188) and Genoa. Along with another one issued in favor of Pisa, these are rare witnesses, almost unique, of the existence and functioning of the Almoravid chancery in these islands which were to be conquered a couple of decades later by the Christians. This work allowed some corrections to Amari’s readings, but more essentially the reinterpretation of the texts in the light of the latest developments in the field. Anxious to compare both documents with the only other surviving example of this chancery bureau (the document issued in favor of Pisa), I was soon disappointed to learn that the original had been destroyed during the World War II bombing of Naples in 1944, where the document had been sent for an exhibition. No facsimile or photograph is known for this document and this means that the unique third witness of the activity of Almoravid chancery practice in Majorca has definitely been lost.

Among the most important archives from the Mamluk period in European countries, the collection of Venice is undoubtedly the one that holds the most value for Egypt and Syria. Almost 20 commercial treaties were concluded and are preserved in the State Archives. Most of them are in Latin or Venetian, which is explained by the fact that original documents were commonly held by the Signoria in Alexandria while the translations were sent to Venice. The whole collection was published by Tafel and Thomas in the nineteenth century. However, Wansbrough, who devoted his Ph.D. thesis to the commercial relations between the Italian states and Egypt in the Mamluk period, discovered several Arabic documents from the Circassian period that he published in several articles (a letter.

56 Frédéric Bauden, "Due trattati di pace conclusi tra i Banū Gāniya, signori delle isole Baleari, e il comune di Genova nel dodicesimo secolo," in *I trattati del comune di Genova in età consolare*, ed. Maddalena Giordano, Frédéric Bauden, and D. Russo, Fonti per la storia della Liguria (Genoa, forthcoming).
of Qāyṭbāy dated 1473 and a study of the embassy of Taghrībirdī in 1507). More recently, Rossi studied Venetian documents regarding an embassy sent to the Mamluk sultan in 1490, even if in this case they are less important for our field. But more documents are to be discovered. Thus, Benjamin Arbel has discovered, in a manuscript held by the Marciana library, a copy of an Italian translation of a letter written by the dawādār, who was in 1473 at the head of an expeditionary force in Northern Syria, directed to the Venetian authorities. This document, although it has been preserved only in a translation, is important in that it bears witness to the fact that official correspondence was exchanged between functionaries other than rulers.

Moreover, some time ago, Maria Pia Pedani, Professor at the University of Venice, who probably knows better than anyone else the Arabic and Turkish documents preserved at the State Archives as she worked there as an archivist for many years, brought to my attention the existence of 15 Arabic documents pertaining to the Mamluk period. A first examination reveals that we are dealing with documents of a private nature (lease contracts, purchase deeds, sworn declarations, etc.) as well as official documents concerning the Venetian community in Alexandria. They owe their preservation to the fact that they were found in the archives of a former Venetian consul in the harbor city and were sent to Venice with the rest of his estate.

---


Documents are also held in Florence, Pisa, and Genoa. They were the subject of two books published by Amari in the nineteenth century, scarcely available for consultation these days. The main critique to be made with regard to these studies concerns the fact that almost no reproduction of the documents is provided. Their reconsideration by modern scholars has revealed that part of the work must be revised in light of the most recent research. Wansbrough republished in 1971 a safe-conduct granted by Qānsūh to the Republic of Florence in 1507, adding to his edition and translation a complete study of amāns in Islam, and particularly those issued by the Mamluk chancery. He also discovered unpublished material in Arabic in Florence at the Biblioteca Mediceo-Laurenziana consisting of Mamluk treaties dated 1489 and 1497.

These were the main Republic cities in Italy, but the less important Republic of Ragusa, competitor of Venice in the late Middle Ages, also had contacts with other Mediterranean powers and it is not surprising to find within the holdings of the State Archives of this city (now Dubrovnik) three Mamluk documents, all of which have been published.

Closing this panorama of the main archives collections in Europe, a word must be said about the Archives of Castilla and Aragon (Barcelona). Mamluk documents preserved in this collection reveal the extent of the relations established between the Mamluk state and the West. These consist of nine treaties concluded with the King of Castilla and Aragon. Some of them were examined by Atiya for his study on the relations between Aragon and Egypt during the second and third

---


69Gliša Elezović, Turski spomenici (Belgrade, 1952), 1:2:168, 175–76; Besim Korkut, Arapski dokumenti u državnom arhivu u Dubrovniku (Al-Watha‘iq al-‘arabiyah fi Dār al-Mahfūzât bi-madinat Dūbrūnik), vol. 1, pt. 3, Osnivanje Dubrovačkog Konsulata u Aleksandriji, Posebna Izdanja (Orijentalni Institut u Sarajevu) no. 3 (Sarajevo, 1969).
reigns of Muḥammad ibn Qalāwūn, but not without mistakes in the dating and reading, as has been demonstrated. Later, these documents were published extensively in a general catalogue of the archives collection.

II. Library Collections
Besides these archival collections where the documents have been kept since their issue, there exist other small collections in Europe, particularly in libraries, where important collections of papyri are preserved. The most important collection of Arabic papyri outside Egypt is the Erzherzog Rainer Sammlung at the National Library in Vienna. Here, 235 documents on paper have been discovered and studied by Werner Diem in three different catalogues according to their subject (business letters, private letters, and state letters). A few of them are dated, but most are not and pose a problem since their identification as belonging to the Mamluk period must rely mainly on paleographical elements and philological

---

72 Los documentos árabes diplomáticos del Archivo de la Corona de Aragón, ed. and trans. Maximiliano A. Alarcón y Santón and Ramón García de Linares, Publicaciones de las escuelas de estudios árabes de Madrid y Granada, series C., no. 1 (Madrid, 1940). The following publication is now useless: Antonio de Capmany y de Montpalau, Antiguos tratados de paces y alianzas entre algunos reyes de Aragón y diferentes príncipes infieles de Asia y África, desde el siglo XIII hasta el XV (Madrid, 1786).
73 For an overview of the collections and their contents, with specific references to the published material, see Adolf Grohmann, Einführung und Christomathie zur arabischen Papyruskunde, vol. 1, Einführung, Monografie Archiv Orientalní, vol. 13 (Prague, 1954); Chrestomathie de papyrologie arabe, ed. Adolf Grohmann and Raif Georges Khoury, Handbuch der Orientalistik (Leiden, 1993).
analysis. Diem has been criticized for his working method by Yūsuf Rāqīb, as too hasty. Rāqīb’s critiques may be accepted to a certain extent, but there is no doubt that what is involved here is a settling of scores which goes beyond scientific work. Diem may be criticized for his classification (some documents that appear in a given volume are not really of this nature), his readings, and/or his translations, but he must be commended for having published each time a volume of plates which illustrates all the documents, giving the reader the opportunity to verify his results. Hasty as he may have been, his work has the merit of putting at the disposal of scholars the majority of the Mamluk documents held in Vienna in a relatively short time.

The Cambridge University Library is known for its large collection of Geniza documents. Besides this, it also holds the Michaelides collection of papyri and papers. It was among these that Richards discovered a scroll acquired from Christie’s in 1971, the origin of which is unknown. The document is the product of a series of court procedures in Damascus with various acts stretching over a period of one hundred eighty years from 1366 to 1546. As Richards has shown in his study, it deals essentially with a waqf in favor of the Yūnusīyā Sufi order in Damascus, the terms of which were confirmed during this long period. Later on, he published an article about a rare type of document issued by the army bureau (called murabba: square decree) of which only nine examples were attested in the Haram collection.

Undoubtedly, some documents, small in number, must have found their way into other library collections. Even the Cyril and Methodus Library in Sofia, which preserves several hundred Arabic and Turkish documents dating to the Ottoman period, owns a copy of a daftar regarding waqf properties in Beirut, stretching over a period of 250 years (1274–1544). Ottoman copies of documents pertaining to the Mamluk period are as such significant and cannot be disregarded on the basis of chronology.

---

76To state that a reading and the translation offered, whoever did them, are never definitive is probably depressing for young students, yet unavoidable.
III. Museum Collections
Museum collections undoubtedly hold Mamluk documents. However, they are seldom catalogued and known (Louvre, British Museum, etc.).\(^8^0\) Recently, D. S. Richards learned of the existence of a scroll preserved at the Oriental Institute Museum of Chicago, which obtained it in 1929 from Bernhard Moritz.\(^8^1\) His study has shown that it deals with Frankish commercial practice at Tripoli in 1513. It is quite a rare chancery document as it was addressed to a Muslim official. Another document, still unpublished (OIM 13789), was issued in the reign of Tūmān Bāy (dated to 12 Muharram 922/17 February 1516) and regards the trade of the Venetians in Alexandria and other ports.\(^8^2\)

The University of Pennsylvania Museum is also worthy of mention as it holds four letters written by Mamluk officers. These are scattered in the middle of a collection of Arabic papyri catalogued by Levi della Vida.\(^8^3\) The author provided in an appendix a reproduction of one of these letters, which served as the basis for an edition by Diem.\(^8^4\) However, the remaining three still await study.

IV. Private Collections
Some documents find their way into private hands. To get a clear idea of these is almost impossible. If one surfaces, it is always by chance and depends on the collector’s good will. Most of the time, the collection has to be sold and bought by an official institution to get an exact accounting of its contents. One example of the first reality is illustrated by Denise Rémondon, who owned a Mamluk document. This was fortunately published by Cahen, who got a photograph from the owner before her death.\(^8^5\) The document is interesting as it deals with a short news item of a type rarely reported by the chronicles regarding the murder of three persons

\(^{8^0}\) In addition to the census of libraries and museum collections of papyri surveyed by Grohmann and Khoury (Chrestomathie de papyrologie arabe), consult now for the U.S.A. Petra M. Sijpesteijn, “North American Papyrus Collections Revisited,” Al-Bardiyyat, Newsletter of the International Society of Arabic Papyrology 1 (2002–3): 11–19, where references to documents on paper, some of them from the Mamluk period, are found.


\(^{8^2}\) Gladys Frantz-Murphy, who shared this information with me, intends to publish the document in question.


in the countryside of Egypt. An order is given to arrest the perpetrators and bring them to Cairo, but the accused prefer to pay blood money instead. Since the death of its owner it has been impossible to discover what has become of this document.

**Muslim Countries**

1. Non-Muslim Collections and Archives

A. Egypt

1. Geniza (Ben Ezra Synagogue, Cairo)

The word *geniza* designates in Hebrew a repository of discarded writings. It was a common feature among certain Jewish communities not to discard papers where the name of God was written. This explains why, for centuries, the Jewish community of Old Cairo gathered all the documents considered obsolete in a particular repository. These consist of religious manuscripts as well as private documents regarding merchants, although some official documents from the chancery were also recovered among them. This huge trove was packed into a room (Geniza) during a period that stretches from the tenth to the fifteenth century (mainly to the thirteenth century). After this time, its existence was forgotten until 1890, when it was rediscovered. It gave an extraordinary impetus to Jewish studies in general, not only for Egypt, but also for all the Mediterranean area and even Asia (India). Almost half a million scraps of papers and manuscripts, sometimes almost complete, were retrieved from this room. The majority are written in Hebrew or in Judaeo-Arabic. However, some 10,000 scraps of paper are in Arabic characters. Unfortunately for researchers (or fortunately, because who knows what would have happened to these documents otherwise?), most of them were bought by private collectors who gave them, or bequeathed them, to libraries in Europe and North America. Among these, two took the lion’s share: the Firkovitch collection (St. Petersburg), which is not relevant for our topic, and the Taylor-Schechter collection in Cambridge (Cambridge University Library). This dispersal does not facilitate their consultation as well as the fact that, being mostly scraps of paper, the cataloguing work is still in progress. Be that as it may, study has begun and to date has thrown new light on the religious, economic, and social life of the

---

86 For this last category, it remains questionable how they found their way into private hands, in this case Jewish. A convincing answer would be the reason invoked in the Introduction, pp. 17–18.


medieval Jews in the Orient, and more particularly on the middle class which is rarely mentioned in the contemporary chronicles. Some dated, or datable, documents go back to the Mamluk period, as has been demonstrated by S. D. Goitein in an article published in 1972, which has remained little known because it is in Hebrew. Undoubtedly, Goitein is the scholar most familiar with these Geniza documents of a private nature, and his masterly study in several volumes is a prerequisite for anyone working on the Mediterranean societies in the Middle Ages (mainly eleventh–thirteenth centuries). This work is essential reading, even for Mamlukists, as the author makes reference here and there to documents from this period. A similar assessment can be made of Ashtor’s book on the evolution of prices. Unfortunately, most of the documents relevant for us are still awaiting publication and are not easily available for consultation. A list of these documents would be most welcome. Meanwhile, the researcher has at his disposal bibliographies.

89 Besides the essential study of S. D. Goitein (see below), the most recent results are to be found in David Marmer, ‘Patrilocal Residence and Jewish Court Documents in Medieval Cairo,’ in Judaism and Islam: Boundaries, Communication and Interaction: Essays in Honor of William M. Brinner, ed. Benjamin H. Hary et al., Brill’s Series in Jewish Studies, no. 27 (Leiden, 2000), 67–82; Judith Olszowy-Schlanger, Karaite Marriage Documents from the Cairo Geniza: Legal Tradition and Community Life in Mediaeval Egypt and Palestine, Etudes sur le judaïsme médieval, no. 20 (Leiden, 1998).


91 Shlomo Dov Goitein, A Mediterranean Society: the Jewish Communities of the Arab World as Portrayed in the Documents of the Cairo Geniza (Berkeley, 1967–93); Werner Diem and Hans-Peter Radenberg, A Dictionary of the Arabic Material of S. D. Goitein’s “A Mediterranean Society” (Wiesbaden, 1994).

92 See also Shlomo Dov Goitein, “The Exchange Rate of Gold and Silver Money in Fatimid and Ayyubid Times: A Preliminary Study of the Relevant Geniza Material,” Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient 8 (1965): 1–46, where, despite the chronological span indicated in the title, nine documents from the Mamluk period are studied.

published regularly for the Cambridge collections (the most recent was published by Reif in 1988 and covers the period stretching from 1896 to 1980), albeit the main criticism I would make is that the material is arranged according to the shelfmark of the documents studied. This means that it allows one to know whether a document has been published or not, but it presupposes that one knows what one is searching for. It is thus like squaring the circle. Fortunately, in 1993, Geoffrey Khan published a book devoted to the study of 159 administrative and legal documents in Arabic in the Cambridge collection. Among them, some are dated or datable to the Mamluk period (business and personal correspondence, wills, contracts of all kinds, bills of account, etc.), the most recent one being dated to 697/1298. The only criticism to be made, for which the author must surely not be blamed, rather the commercial editor, regards the small number (22) of documents reproduced, which does not facilitate further study by other scholars. Other documents from the Mamluk period are still awaiting publication.

2. Monastery of Saint Catherine (Mount Sinai)
This is an old story, too, in the sense that the discovery of the treasures it holds goes back to the nineteenth century. In fact, the first who paid attention to it was a traveller, Konstantin Tischendorf. During his travels in the East in 1844 and 1859, he visited the monastery, where he was shown a manuscript which was to revolutionize the field of Biblical studies, and was to be known later on as the codex sinaiticus (Greek translation of the Bible dated to the fourth century A.D.). Afterwards, a Prussian mission was sent in 1914 under the direction of Carl Schmidt and Bernhard Moritz. Photographs were taken not only of manuscripts, but also of documents in Arabic and Turkish. Unfortunately, despite the publication of the results of this mission, all the photographs were destroyed in St. Petersburg during the First World War. The treasures of the monastery had to await an American mission in 1950 which resulted in the microfilming and measuring of all the manuscripts and documents which were presented to the staff by the librarian, some of them being discovered by A. S. Atiya. Atiya published a handlist

---

(this is not the only one\textsuperscript{97}) in 1955 where 1,072 Arabic documents (from the Fatimid down to the Ottoman period) are mentioned, which makes it the most important collection of chancery documents for Islam.

However, there is a caveat, since these documents deal exclusively with the affairs of the monastery, meaning that they were issued for the benefit of non-Muslims by the successive chanceries. Nevertheless, this is a remarkable group of different kinds of official and private documents for the dynasties which succeeded each other in Egypt. Fortunately, in this case, the whole collection has been made widely available to researchers as various sets of the microfilms were distributed (Egypt, North America, and Europe). On the other hand, scholars drew attention to the importance of this collection very early, like Hans R. Roemer, who wrote at least four articles on this topic from 1957 to 1981.\textsuperscript{98} He also succeeded in convincing some of his students to prepare Ph.D. theses on various aspects (Ayyubid and Ottoman documents in Arabic and Turkish\textsuperscript{99}). What immediately attracted scholars were the decrees issued by the chancery bureau in answer to petitions sent by the monks to the sultan regarding problems they faced with the local authorities or populations. Their interest lies in the fact that some of the petitions have been preserved as the decree was sometimes written on the back of it. Decrees of the Mamluk period are particularly significant in this collection since they were issued


\textsuperscript{99} Horst-Adolf Hein, \textit{Beiträge zur ayyubidischen Diplomatik, Islamkundliche Untersuchungen}, no. 8 (Freiburg, 1971); Klaus Schwarz, \textit{Osmanische Sultansurkunden des Sinai-Klosters in türkischer Sprache, Islamkundliche Untersuchungen}, no. 7 (Freiburg, 1970); Robert Humbsch, \textit{Beiträge zur Geschichte des osmanischen Ägyptens nach arabischen Sultans- und Statthalterurkunden des Sinai-Klosters}, Islamkundliche Untersuchungen, no. 39 (Freiburg, 1976); and also Elias Khedoori, \textit{“Charters and Privileges granted by the Fāṭimids and Mamlûks to St. Catherine’s Monastery of Tür Sinai (ca. 500 to 900 A.H.),”} M.A. thesis, University of Manchester, 1958.
under the rule of no less than 20 sultans and cover quite comprehensively the whole period, with only a few small gaps. This gives us the opportunity to follow how a particular kind of document evolved over time through the different Egyptian dynasties. Thanks to this, the system of mazālim in Egypt during the three periods (Fatimid, Ayyubid, and Mamluk) is better understood.  

S. M. Stern’s pioneering work on the study of this kind of document, within a broad context which took into account other periods and countries, especially for the diplomatic commentaries, has led the way. The decrees from the Mamluk period were studied by Hans Ernst in his Ph.D. thesis, published in 1960. He edited and translated all the decrees of the given period he had knowledge about, basing himself on Atiya’s catalogue (although, as already mentioned, others exist). However, in some cases, Atiya misread the dates of documents, which means that some of them were not considered by Ernst. Qāsim al-Sāmarrā‘ī published in 1990 an article on one of these neglected decrees which was in fact issued by the first Mamluk sultan, Aybak! Besides this, Ernst’s book has been the object of criticism mainly for his meagre diplomatic commentary, due to the fact that he was unable to read most of the confirmation formulas of the various bureaux, and for the lack of reproductions (this last is less fair as Ernst had to publish his thesis at his own expense before presenting it). His work must be read in the light of Stern’s review article, where he carefully studied three of the petitions and gave a full diplomatic commentary. Since Stern’s premature death, other scholars, like D.

---


102 Hans Ernst, Die mamlukischen Sultansurkunden des Sinai-Klosters (Wiesbaden, 1960). Doc. XXI was also published later by Muḥammad Muḥammad Amīn, “Marsūm al-Suľṭān Barqūq ilā Rubbān Dāyr Sānt Kātarīn bi-Sīnā‘: Dirāsah wa-Nashr wa-Tahqīq,” Majallat Jāmi‘at al-Qāhirah bi-al-Khartūm 5 (1974): 83–113. A comparison with Ernst’s reading of the decree shows that Amīn’s work is not trustworthy, although it was published fourteen years later.


S. Richards and Geoffrey Khan, have followed in his footsteps and have shed new light on the system of petitions.  

This aspect of the royal chancery (dīwān al-inshā‘) has thus been revealed, even if our knowledge of it is based only on dhimmī petitions. The functioning of other bureaux, less prestigious than the chancery, remains less known as documents issued by them have rarely survived. This is the case, for instance, for the dīwān al-jaysh, the army bureau, which was responsible for the granting of fiefs (iqtā‘āt) and their control. But here, documents of the monastery can provide some relevant information. Richards studied a petition regarding a problem encountered by the monks with the beneficiary of a fief. On the back of it, he found a report from the army bureau connected to the fief in question where previous attributions of it are mentioned. This significant document shows that the clerks updated their records regularly and that accurate records were available when required.  

The Saint Catherine Monastery documents are probably the best studied so far. The significance of these studies for the history of the Mamluk sultanate is limited, as they essentially give us important historical and economic information on the monks (relations with the surrounding populations, the bedouins, and also their properties elsewhere, like Cairo). However, unlike the Geniza documents, they are an invaluable source of chancery practice and provide us with a unique opportunity to study the diplomatics of the Egyptian dīwān al-inshā‘. Nonetheless, much remains to be done. Private documents have so far received little attention. Only recently, Richards has studied three of them (Muslim and Christian documents), two dating from the Mamluk period. They are related to a waqf made in favor of

---

105Donald S. Richards, ”A Fāṭimid Petition and ‘Small Decree’ from Sinai,” Israel Oriental Studies 3 (1973): 140–58; Geoffrey Khan, ”The Historical Development of the Structure of Medieval Arabic Petitions,” BSOAS 53 (1990): 8–30, where a comparison with papyri held at Cambridge is provided.


the monastery and they enabled him to demonstrate that the Christians followed the Muslim legal system for the redaction of documents of this nature.\footnote{Furthermore, see for a study of two juridical documents (purchase deeds) from this collection ‘Abd al-Latif Ibrâhîm, "Min Wathâ‘iq Dayr Sânt Kaṭrîn: Thalâth Wathâ‘iq Fiqhiyyah," \textit{Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts (Cairo University)} 25 (1963): 95–133 + 4 pl.}

Beside the private documents, official ones, the decrees, as I said, were not all studied by Ernst and still await publication. More worrisome is the fact that probably not all the documents were microfilmed. This is evidenced by the fact that Atiya catalogued 17 decrees from the time of Qâytbây although Schmidt and Moritz described more than 20 during the 1914 mission.\footnote{It is essential to mention here that Moritz sold a private collection of manuscripts and documents to various institutions around the world, and particularly to the University of Chicago. Surprisingly, some of these documents may originate from the Monastery of Saint Catherine and the question must be raised how he got them (see above, p. 34) Other documents were found in Istanbul, Cairo, and even in the Ägyptisches Museum in Berlin. See Stefan Heidemann, Christian Müller, and Yûsuf Râgîb, "Un décret d’al-Malik al-‘Âdil en 571/1176 relatif aux moines du Mont Sinaî," \textit{Annales islamologiques} 31 (1997): 81–107, particularly 81.} On the other hand, new discoveries have been made since the American mission of 1950. In 1976, cases were discovered by the librarian and their contents were placed in 47 boxes. The material consisted of papyri, parchment, and scraps of paper and was described as being mainly of a liturgical nature, but it remains unknown whether Arabic documents are among them.\footnote{Roemer, "The Sinai Documents," 381.}

3. Karaite Community (Cairo)
The Karaite community in Cairo holds a small collection of Arabic documents preserved in their old synagogue, situated in the old Fatimid city (Shâri‘ Khurunfîsh). As early as 1904, these drew the attention of Gottheil, who published (1908) a Fatimid decree issued under the Caliph al-Ẓâhir (415/1024) and a Mamluk document (ḥukm tanfîdîhî = order confirming previous documents) dated 860/1456, which deals with the permission to lawfully repair the synagogue which had been damaged in the course of recent riots.\footnote{Richard J. H. Gottheil, "Dhimmitis and Moslems in Egypt,” in \textit{Old Testament and Semitic Studies in Memory of William Rainey Harper}, ed. Robert Francis Harper, Francis Brown, and George Foot Moore (Chicago, 1908), 2:353–414. He also published another document from the fifteenth century which was at that time in the possession of the Cattaoui Brothers: see idem, “A Document of the Fifteenth Century Concerning Two Synagogues of the Jews in Old Cairo,” in \textit{Jewish Quarterly Review} 18 (1927–28): 131–52.} In 1969, D. S. Richards had the opportunity to study the entire collection and he presented the results of his researches carried

\cite{108}\cite{109}\cite{110}
out on the spot in an article published in 1972.\textsuperscript{112} This detailed catalogue describes the contents of 27 documents running from the early eleventh century to the mid-nineteenth century, all of which focus on the Karaite community. With the exception of the Fatimid decree just mentioned, they are all of a private nature (deeds of conveyance, grants of ownership, deeds of \emph{waqf}, sworn declarations, etc.). Among them, 18 pertain to the Mamluk period. Working on such collections often requires that the researcher, after having received permission to study the documents, must still bring with him all the necessary tools (camera, rule) so as to be in a position to study them properly. One should not be surprised that Richards could not measure all the documents nor photograph them completely. While he intended to return to several issues in connection with these documents, and to fully publish the texts with facsimiles, to my knowledge such a study has never appeared, so that they still await complete publication.\textsuperscript{113}

4. Orthodox Coptic Patriarchate (Cairo)
This institution in Cairo holds several \emph{waqf} documents concerned with Copts. They were catalogued by Muḥammad Muḥammad Amīn and will be dealt with together with the archives collections in Cairo.\textsuperscript{114}

5. Centre of Oriental Studies/Franciscan Custody of the Holy Land (Cairo)
Our knowledge of the documents preserved in this center relies completely on articles published in 1956, where 69 items are described.\textsuperscript{115} According to the first of these, only one document pertains to the Mamluk period (dated 914 A.H.).

B. Palestine
1. Franciscan Monastery of the Custody of the Holy Land (Jerusalem)
The Franciscan Monastery of the Custodia di Terra Santa (Mount Zion) in Jerusalem, like the Saint Catherine Monastery, is another important repository of documents,

\textsuperscript{114}See below, pp. 44–45.
\textsuperscript{115}E. Boers, “Arabische Documenten in het Archief van het Studiecentrum in Muski,” \textit{Studia Orientalia} (Cairo) 1 (1956): 177–79. Martiniano Roncaglia, “Catalogus documentorum Muski,” \textit{Studia Orientalia} (Cairo) 1 (1956): 165–75, presents the contents of a manuscript which consists of a catalog of Arabic and Turkish documents held by the Center (“Manoscritto degli Archivi del Centro di Studi Orientali del Muski”). They are all dated after the Mamluk period (the oldest is from 942 A.H.) and are thus irrelevant for our purposes.
although in this case too we are speaking of documents issued for Christians. The whole collection amounts to 2,644 documents dated from 1219 to 1902. Among them, 83 pertain to the Mamluk period, consisting mainly of decrees and legal documents (court records). As one would expect, the majority belong to the last period of the Mamluk sultanate (43 from 1427 to 1513). A study of 12 of them (dated 1309 to 1472) was published by Pourrière as early as 1898, but it was not until 1922 that a general catalogue, by Eutimio Castellani, appeared. This catalogue, published by the Franciscan monastery and printed in Jerusalem, was not put on the market and is as inaccessible as the documents themselves. In 1936, another Franciscan, Norberto Risciani, published a book where he studied 28 Mamluk documents (21 decrees and 7 court records), all belonging to the Circassian period. This is a landmark study, due to the nature of the documents, the quality of the analysis, and the facsimiles provided, but is unfortunately as unobtainable as the preceding one. Produced under the same conditions, it even seems that the copies preserved in very fortunate libraries lack the title page, which does not facilitate research. Allusions to these studies are seldom found in the scientific literature, except from those who are fortunate enough to own a copy (Stern and Little, who made reference to some of the documents published by Risciani) or to have access to it in a library (Richards).


117 Eutimio Castellani, Catalogo dei Firmani ed altri documenti legali emanati in lingua araba e turca concernenti i Santuari, le proprietà, i diritti della Custodia di Terra Santa conservati nell’Archivio della stessa Custodia di Gerusalemme (Jerusalem, 1922) (docs. 1–83 dated from 1247 to 1523).

118 Norberto Risciani, Documenti e firmani (Jerusalem, 1936). See also Custodia di Terra Santa, 1342–1942, ed. Virgilio Corbo (Jerusalem, 1951), 82 (regarding doc. VI in Risciani = decree issued by Barqūq in 1396).

119 The Library of the University of Leiden (the Netherlands) is particularly fortunate to possess two original copies of this work. Ahmad Darrāj, Waṭḥaʾiḍ Dāyr ǧahyūn bi-al-Quds al-Sharīf (Cairo, 1968), is the only work so far in which the documents held by the Franciscan monastery are extensively studied on the basis of the above-mentioned catalogs. Its main focus is on Mamluk-Christian relations in Jerusalem during the period covered by these documents, with the help of other kinds of documents preserved in other places (historical sources, epigraphy).
2. Greek Orthodox Patriarchate (Jerusalem)
Jerusalem seems to be a good place for Mamlukists interested in documents. This is due to the numerous Christian institutions which were developed over time and which were eager to maintain good relations with the Muslim authorities by negotiating treaties. Until a few years ago, the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate was not particularly known for the collection it inherited from the Monastery of the Holy Cross at the beginning of the nineteenth century. Recently, Johannes Pahlitzsch was fortunate enough to gain access to it and discovered several documents, some of which date to the Mamluk period. Among these is a missive addressed by Baybars to one of his amirs (665/1266), which sheds light on his relations with the Georgians. The remaining items will be published progressively in chronological order. The arduous circumstances in which he had to study the documents is also symptomatic of the difficulties met with by researchers: lengthy negotiations with the Franciscan authorities, lack of adequate tools on the spot (camera, rule), etc.

II. Muslim Collections
A. Egypt
1. Archives Collections (Cairo)
What is meant by the Cairo archives are the National Archives of the Citadel which, if my information is accurate, are now held by the Dār al-Wathāʾiq, close to the Dār al-Kutub, the Ministry of Waqf (Daftarkhānah), the Dār al-Kutub wa-al-Wathāʾiq al-Qawm|yah, and finally the Coptic Orthodox Patriarchate. Consisting of private legal documents (court records, deeds of waqf, contracts on subjects of all kinds [marriage, sale, partnership, lease, etc.]), they were estimated at 2,000 by a pioneering scholar in this field, ‘Abd al-Latīf Ibrāhīm ‘Alī. Dating mainly from the thirteenth century to the sixteenth century, their importance has

---

120 For a provisory evaluation of these, see Johannes Pahlitzsch, "Georgians and Greeks in Jerusalem from the End of the 11th to the Early 14th Century," in East and West in the Crusader States: Context, Contacts, Confrontations: Acta of the Congress Held at Hernen Castle in September 2000, ed. Krijnie N. Ciggaar and Herman Teule, Orientalia Lovaniensia analecta, no. 125 (Leuven, 2003), 35–51.
121 Delivered at the conference The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian Politics and Society organized by Tel Aviv and Haifa Universities in May 2000. The article will be published in a forthcoming issue of Arabia.
122 Mahkamah Sharʾiyah, which became Mahkamat al-Aḥwāl al-Shakhṣiyah wa-al-Wilāyah ʿalā al-Nafs.
been quickly recognized, and Daniel Crecelius and Carl Petry have drawn the attention of Mamlukists to these documents in tempore non suspecto, before the publication of Muhammad Muhammad Amīn’s catalogue. This catalogue, published in 1981, gave for the first time a clear overview of all these documents held by the various institutions mentioned above. It inventories 888 numbers, but documents are more numerous as one number may contain more than one document. Among these, I would like to call attention to one in particular that is identified as a chancery document. This is in fact a manshūr iqtā’ (grant of a fief) dated to the reign of Qānsūh. Many studies have dealt with the feudal system of Egypt, but they lacked original documents of this kind. The survival of this almost unique (see Unsuspected Places, below) witness provides the opportunity to compare its structure to the models in chancery manuals like al-Qalqashandi’s, written a century before, and to study the evolution which it underwent.

Yet the most impressive, no doubt, of these documents are clearly the several endowment deeds that have survived. In a rather provocatively entitled article, Carl Petry has emphasized the various issues that can be addressed thanks to the Cairene waqf documents. Waqf documents offer the greatest challenge for future study, and the recently announced foundation of a journal devoted entirely to this topic reinforces this impression. The recently published article “Wakf” in the Encyclopaedia of Islam and the bibliography to be found in it relieve me from

---

123 Leo A. Mayer, The Buildings of Qaitbay as Described in His Endowment Deed (London, 1938). Endowment deeds may also be found in historical sources. For a good example taken from ibn ‘Abd al-Zahir’s work, see Axel Moberg, ‘Zwei ägyptische Waqf-Urkunden aus dem Jahre 691/1292 (nebst Bemerkungen zur mittelalterlichen Topographie Kairos),’ Le Monde Oriental 12 (1918): 1–61 + 3 pl.


125 Muhammad Muhammad Amīn, Catalogue des documents d’archives du Caire de 239/853 à 922/1516, Textes arabes et études islamiques, no. 16 (Cairo, 1981).


giving a complete list of all the publications regarding Egyptian waqf documents, save for the latest of them. Undoubtedly, endowment deeds provide answers to a lot of questions and this has been understood by scholars. So far, the main studies carried out on this material have dealt with architectural, social, and economic issues. I am quite confident that things will proceed smoothly and that the state of research in this matter is far from giving cause for concern. My main concern is that we need more text editions. It is in this sense that I would like to mention an important project of the Institut Français d’Archéologie Orientale (IFAO) in Cairo. This project, under the direction of Mustafa Taher, Sylvie Denoix, and Michel Tuchscherer, aims at cataloguing all the microfilmed archival documents of Cairo (thus not only the endowment deeds, but also the sale contracts, court records, etc.), as well as those of the Saint Catherine Monastery, so as to provide researchers with a catalogue, to be published on the Internet or on CD-ROM, which would foster further research on this material, particularly editions and studies of technical terms (legal, and not just architectural). So far, since the work began in 1995, 88 reels out of 129 have been analyzed.

2. Museum Collections
We have seen that European and American museums hold in their collections some Mamluk documents and we would expect the same for Muslim countries.

---

The museum seems to hold several documents from the Mamluk period, although it is not possible to obtain a complete census of the holdings at this time. I have had to rely on the published material to determine approximately what one can find in this museum. I found an article published in 1964 by Āmāl al-‘Umarī where she wrote about a collection of 27 documents dealing with the purchase and sale of horses and fabrics. She only published those contracts related to the horses, announcing her intention to study the remaining items (I may have missed some Arabic publications, but I have not found anything for the latter documents). There are 15 published contracts which date back to the very end of the Circassian period.

Besides these, the museum also has preserved an important group of marriage contracts written on cotton. Most of them are available to researchers through the study carried out by Su‘ād Māhir, a book hitherto rather neglected. It was generally thought that all the contracts had been published by her, but this was a false impression. Ahmad ‘Abd al-Rażīq studied one of these regarding the marriage of two slaves in 1343. These documents are important as far as social and economic history is concerned because they shed light on the nature of the dowry, and in this particular case on the conditions applied to slaves. Other contracts are still unpublished.

The above documents reached the museum under obscure circumstances, as is often the case. But material pertaining to the Islamic period unearthed during excavations is presented to the museum. This is the case with the material found on the site of Qushayr al-Qadim. Located on the Red Sea coast, approximately 100 km. from Qūs, this ancient seaport, in which activity is attested since the Ptolemaic and Roman periods, was still active in the Ayyubid period and began to lose its importance under the Mamluk sultans with the emerging port of ‘Aydhab as the main departure point for travel to Jedda. Digs were carried out by a team from the University of Chicago under the direction of Donald Whitcomb and Janet Johnson in 1978, 1980, and 1982. Besides the archeological discoveries, some 500 scraps...
of paper were found, most of them undated. Those which were dated go back to
the beginning of the thirteenth century, thus clearly Ayyubid, while numismatic
evidence has shown that the remaining fragments must be from the same period.
These documents consist of business and private letters, all coming from a merchant’s
house, and are important in this respect and will no doubt throw light on trade in
this remote region. Studied by Thayer in the context of her thesis, they were not
classified or catalogued prior to the works of Li Guo, who has devoted his attention
to them. Since these documents have now been clearly identified as Ayyubid
they are not relevant to our subject. But since 1999, new expeditions by the
University of Southampton under the direction of David Peacock and Lucy Blue
have taken place. The site excavated is somewhat different. Among medieval
rubbish and in the Muslim necropolis, they brought to light 300 paper fragments
stretching from the thirteenth to the fifteenth century. They also consist of business
and private letters, but the period is clearly Mamluk. On the other hand, the
funerary context has revealed a hitherto unknown practice: Arabic inscriptions on
ostrich eggs. The whole material discovered during these campaigns will now be
studied in the framework of a project called “Reconstructing the Quseiri Arabic
Documents.” Edition, translation, analysis, and interpretation of all the documents
within their context will be done by a recently constituted team made up of
Arabists and computer specialists. There is no doubt that this project will elicit
important new data on the commercial and religious activities of this peripheral
community of merchants.

b) Egyptian Museum (Cairo)
This renowned institution for Egyptology has received excavated material, mainly
going back to antiquity. Nonetheless, useful discoveries for our field can be made

University, 1993; idem, “In Testimony to a Market Economy in Mamlûk Egypt: The Qusayr
140 Li Guo, “Arabic Documents from the Red Sea Port of Quseir in the Seventh/Thirteenth Century:
Documents from the Red Sea Port of Quseir in the Seventh/Thirteenth Century: Part 2: Shipping
Notes and Account Records,” JNES 60 (2001): 81–116. Li Guo has just published a detailed study
of all the fragments unearthed by the Chicago team: Commerce, Culture, and Community in a Red
Sea Port in the Thirteenth Century: The Arabic Documents from Quseir, Islamic History and
Civilization, 52 (Leiden, 2004).
141 See http://www.rqad.leeds.ac.uk. For a preliminary presentation of these documents, announcing
a thorough study to come, see Anne Regourd, “Trade on the Red Sea during the Ayyubid and
among its holdings. Two private letters on paper, discovered by specialists in Greek papyri, were brought to the attention of Diem, who studied them in an article published in 1993. One of these letters, consisting of an invitation to a meal, has been dated by this scholar as being from the fourteenth century, and improves the knowledge we have of private letters in the period under consideration.

In an old study, Charles Bachatly brought to the attention of scholars the existence of a particular document held by the then Société Royale de Géographie (Cairo). This specimen concerns an Egyptian pilgrim who made an agreement with a camel dealer.

B. Palestine
1. Islamic Museum (al-Ḥaram al-Sharīf, Jerusalem)
This is the latest significant and sizeable discovery that has been made in the last decades, and shows that we must be optimistic regarding future finds. The story is well known. Discovered, as often happens, more or less by accident, by the curator Amal Abul-Hajj, in 1974 and 1976, these 883 documents from the Mamluk period could have returned to their dusty cupboards if she had not enlisted the help of one of Donald Little’s students, Linda Northrup. This demonstrates once more the need for international cooperation in regard to archival research. Announced in 1979 in an international journal, the discovery received a cool, or at least indifferent, reception in the scientific world, as Donald Little noticed in 1980. But things were to change with the publication of his catalogue in 1984. The documents had been measured and photographed during a mission and it is on this basis that he could prepare his work. The classification revealed that the majority of the documents were of a private nature and consisted of the papers of a judge, Ibn Ghānim, who died at the end of the fourteenth century, which makes

---

the discovery even more crucial. It had previously been maintained by Ottomanists that the Ottoman judges were the first to institute the principle of the *dīwān al-qādī* (sijill as they call it), on the basis that Ottoman sijills alone had been preserved. Wael Hallaq has recently tackled this question and demonstrated that the *dīwān al-qādī* truly existed in earlier Islamic times and that the qadi had to keep his records up to date. The Haram documents provide another proof for this, showing that it is nonsensical to believe that an administration was not full of red tape only because the documents have not survived.

This material has given scholars the opportunity to study various issues. This has been the case for some official documents, as some of them are clearly unique items: the square decrees (*murabba‘āt*, documents issued by the army bureau), have been dealt with by Richards and the petitions and their associated decrees have been addressed by Little.

Yet the private documents obviously present the greatest challenges. They are of an incomparable richness for the history of Jerusalem and its environs during the given period, although it must be kept in mind that it is a short period of time. This richness is particularly noted for social and economic life. This is due to the fact that they include a great variety of deeds, the main category being represented by estate inventories (almost half of the collection) and court records. Thus, unsurprisingly, this kind of document has received first attention. An initial attempt to publish several of them in their context and to draw more general lines was provided by Kāmil Jamīl al-‘Asalī in a three-volume work. Later on, Huda Lutfi based her study on them, trying to draw conclusions on a statistical basis for the social history of the city. Later, Little published a study devoted to three of these

---

148 The Haram documents have recently been appraised at their true value for the understanding of the qādī`s role in Jerusalem during the Mamluk period. See Muhammad Ḥusayn ‘Alī Abū Ḥāmid, “Qudūt al-Quds fī al-‘Āṣr al-Mamlūk,” M.A. thesis, Jāmi‘at al-Qiddīs Yūsuf, 1998.
149 Richards, “A Mamlūk Emir`s ‘Square’ Decree.”
inventories, and more recently, Müller has published one of these to illustrate how the record of an estate was drawn up by the qadi’s court. His study fits into the framework of a larger project dealing with the functioning of the judicial system in Jerusalem in the fourteenth century.

As far as the court records are concerned, Little gave an overview of their contents and has lately published two of them. In this study as well as in previous ones, he has followed the way paved by Stern for official documents, which consists of comparing the private documents to models as they are preserved in shurūṭ manuals, showing that there is a correspondence between the principles prescribed there and the documents.

Attention has been paid to other categories of documents, although in a less systematic manner. Contracts were the subject of one of Little’s articles in 1981 devoted to the question of slaves. Richards, in 1990, has been able to define more precisely a particular kind of document called qasāmah (sworn declaration) and to study the evolution of the term from Fatimid times until the early Ottoman period, proving its persistence through the successive chanceries. More recently, he studied two pieces (a statement of account and an order) related to a maktab in charge of the education of children. This unique document offers the possibility


158See now Hallaq, “Model shurūṭ Works.”


161Donald S. Richards, “Primary Education under the Mamluks: Two Documents from the Haram in Jerusalem,” in Proceedings of the 20th Congress of the Union Européenne des Arabisants et
of examining how a modest provincial foundation such as this one could exist. Legal depositions (iqrâr) have also been the subject of detailed study.\textsuperscript{162}

Account records may also reveal unexpected results as in the study of Richards\textsuperscript{163} which shed new light on the Mamluk postal service, particularly in Jerusalem, some years before the collapse of the whole system after Tamerlane’s invasion.

A less-expected aspect of these documents is the philological one. As is generally done for papyrological studies, Diem has recently done a thorough study of philological notes based on the various editions provided by Little, Richards, and others.\textsuperscript{164}

Significant as they are in themselves, all these documents provide further revealing data on Mamluk society, specifically lower levels neglected by historical sources, in Jerusalem and its surroundings. These aspects have been emphasized in the various studies on individual documents as well as in broader perspectives.\textsuperscript{165}

To conclude with this part, the Haram documents have clearly received greater attention since the publication of the catalogue. Various issues have been approached and answered. Various types of legal documents have been systematically examined together with the functioning of the judicial system connected to them. Nevertheless, many documents still await editing, translation, and analysis.\textsuperscript{166}

\textsuperscript{164}Werner Diem, “Philologisches zu den mamlûkischen Erlassen, Eingaben und Dienstschreiben des Jerusalemer al-Hârâm aš-šarîf,” \textit{Zeitschrift für arabische Linguistik} 33 (1997): 7–67. In this article, Diem also edited, translated, and analyzed documents on the basis of the reproductions provided by D. Little in his catalogue.
\textsuperscript{166}In an oral communication, Donald Little informed me that other Mamluk documents, probably originating from the same collection, are being offered for sale by a private owner. This evidence proves, if necessary, that other such documents have survived.
C. Syria
1. Umayyad Mosque of Damascus (Istanbul)

Mosques commonly owned libraries containing manuscripts, and not exclusively of the Quran. Some of them are even renowned for the antiquity of their collections (Qarawîyîn/Fez, Qayrawân/Tunisia). It would have been surprising if the Great Mosque of Damascus, one of the oldest in the Muslim world, had not been in the same position. In fact, this was the case, but unfortunately it suffered from several fires which destroyed most of its original structure. The last one happened in 1893 and at that time the Ottoman authorities decided to transfer to Istanbul all the manuscripts that had survived, even incompletely. They were installed in the collections of the Museum of Turkish and Islamic Arts where a rough handlist was drawn up (Şamdan gelen evrak: Damascene papers). Nobody studied them until 1963 when the Sourdels heard of their existence. They were presented with thousands of fragments of manuscripts and documents written on parchment or paper, most of which had been damaged by the fire or water. Going through them, they soon realized that they mainly consisted of religious works, but surprisingly some archival material of a private nature was noticed. They soon published the results of their discovery in two articles describing the contents of the collection.  

If the Quranic fragments, some of which go back to the first centuries of Islam, were examined quite quickly, the other documents have not so far been fully considered. The Sourdels published some of them, mainly dealing with the Fatimid and Ayyubid periods. Two of their articles dealt with three documents going back to the beginning of the thirteenth century. One example concerns a particular kind attested from the Fatimid period: the certificates of pilgrimage by proxy. These have been the subject of several articles by the Sourdels covering different periods, and recently the documents pertaining to the Mamluk period were...
This article focuses on 21 of the certificates that have survived. Some of them are dated, others are not but can be dated quite precisely thanks to the study of the stylistic evolution noticed by the Sourdels. They all go back to the Qipchak period (the oldest dated 1282, the most recent 1304–5). Written on the same kind of scroll used by the chancery (the longer measures 1.60 m., but was originally bigger [2 m.]), these certificates were displayed by their owners. These documents might seem anecdotal as they give little historical information (rather religious formulas, few names, except that of the beneficiary). However, once more, it is the study of the evolution of this kind of document over the centuries that is more meaningful for history. Indeed, the Sourdels demonstrated that a comparison with other periods clearly indicates that in the Mamluk period this kind of document was no longer produced for prominent figures of Damascene society (princes, etc.), but rather only for other classes. This could indicate that during the Mamluk period, the military aristocracy of governors and officers who succeeded one another at a frenetic pace had little concern for their local reputations, since the role of capital city had been transferred from Damascus to Cairo. The ruling amirs in Damascus had no incentive to make a show for the local population of the importance that the pilgrimage to Mecca held for them.

2. Maktabat al-Asad (Damascus)
The recent publication of a book gives me the opportunity to speak about a kind of document rarely mentioned by the sources: reading certificates. This is perhaps due to the fact that this kind of document is only found in manuscripts, yet these certificates are authentic documents, important in many respects. It was Georges Vajda who first studied the collection of manuscripts in the Bibliothèque Nationale, the result of which was published in book form. Others of his articles were devoted to manuscripts held in Damascus (the then Zahirâyah) and Tunis. The Zahirîyah library (now at the al-Asad Library) was known to have rich holdings of this sort of document, given that an important part of its manuscripts came from the library of an influential Hanbali family, the Maqdisîs, who were originally

---

from Jerusalem and settled in Damascus in the twelfth century.174 Most of these manuscripts were read during recitation sessions and the names of all the participants were written at the end of the work read on that occasion. The structure of the reading certificate is invariably the same: it provides the names of the participants, the shaykh who listens (musmi‘), the reader (qārī‘), the writer of the certificate (kātib), the date, and finally the place. These certificates are thus significant documents for social history: they provide us with precious information on the way texts were transmitted, the education system, the biographies of scholars, the culture of Damascene inhabitants, the families, their occupations, the toponyms, and last but not least the role played by women in this particular case. This important collection has finally been studied at length by Stefan Leder with the help of other researchers. 175 They went through 86 manuscripts collecting 1,350 certificates that appear on 524 folios and date from 1155 to 1349. The results are impressive: more than 10,000 names and 250 toponyms listed. The work is to be commended given the difficulties presented by the discouraging scripts, but also because a volume of facsimiles for all the certificates was published subsequently. 176 There is no doubt that such a book will foster further research on the ulama in Damascus, and in this sense it is to be hoped that other studies will be published for the remaining certificates held in the Maktabat al-Asad, as well as elsewhere in Cairo, Istanbul, India, and in European and North American libraries.

3. Private collections
Private collections which hold family archives must exist in the Middle East. Most of them date from the Ottoman period, though even in this case they can still be useful for Mamlukists as some of them consist of copies made during the Ottoman period of earlier specimens. An interesting example of this was recently studied by Marco Salati, 177 who edited and studied a document dated 1066/1656, but dealing with matters of the Mamluk period, preserved in the private archives

of the Kawākibī family in Aleppo. Such discoveries will probably be made in the future, depending on the good relations established by a scholar with a local family.

**UNEXPECTED PLACES**

I will finish this census with an account of the most recent and challenging discovery in terms of Mamluk documents. These have been found in what might be called an unexpected place. As early as the nineteenth century, it was known that Islamic documents could have been recycled as new writing material in Europe, at a time when paper was still rare in this part of the world. A unique example of this reuse was published at that time by Michele Amari. In this case, the fragments were found in the notarial records of Giovanni Scriba of Genoa, where contracts dating from 1154 to 1166 constitute a *terminus ante quem* for the reuse of these fragments of an Arabic document. In Amari’s eyes, it could be nothing other than an official document from the Fatimid period, though the surviving parts of it did not allow a reconstruction of a coherent text or precise date.

This example makes us wonder if such reuse of old documents was not also prevalent in Islam for the same reason (scarcity of paper). I have answered this question with the discovery of an unpublished autograph manuscript of al-Maqrīzī. One of the main features of this notebook is that it was partly written on Mamluk chancery documents (scrolls) that were cut into pieces at a given period due to the high cost of paper. Put together to form quires, they were used by this historian as scratch paper for his drafts and notebooks. The greatest challenge was to develop a technique that would allow a coherent reconstruction of the original documents, hoping that they could be dated quite accurately. Fortunately, this was the case, and among the five documents reconstructed, I was able to precisely date three of them from 1344, demonstrating, thanks to the sources, that these were grants of fiefs (*sing. manshūr iqtā‘*). This sort of document was previously attested only by a unique example from the reign of Qānsūh.

While the document discovered by Amari was of no great interest, the fragments preserved in al-Maqrīzī’s autograph manuscripts are undoubtedly valuable and

---

178 Amari, “Nuovi ricordi arabi,” 633–34 and plates II–IV.
179 See above, pp. 17–18.
current research should be directed to the other autograph manuscripts of al-Maqrīzī, where more than 400 leaves have been identified as recycled documents.

CONCLUSION AND PROSPECTS
We may conclude that the situation of documents for the Mamluk period is not as disastrous as it has generally been presented. An approximate figure would be meaningless given that, as we have seen, several collections still remain to be studied. Compared to other periods and areas in Islam, Mamluk documents offer the researcher more than expected at first sight. Rather than lamenting our situation, research should proceed in various ways: edition with translation and diplomatic commentary, thematic studies, and searching for new documents.

The first of these issues should receive more attention: the temptation to study large sets of documents rather than editing, translating, and commenting on individual documents prevails in some cases. One should keep in mind that published documents, besides the fact that they enlarge the corpus and offer new elements for the comparison of formularies, provide not only data for further research on a subject, but also for related topics like diplomatics, paleography, the history of paper, and other matters unsuspected by the editor.

In this sense, the following vade mecum should be observed step by step by any person wishing to publish a document:

(1) physical description: description of the support material and of the physical appearance. Paper is preeminent for documents from the Mamluk period. Our knowledge of the paper of this period, and of Arabic paper in general, limits itself to a few certitudes. For sure, codicology and all related topics are still in their infancy and will not grow without detailed analysis of individual items. Careful description of the paper found in Mamluk documents will make it possible to distinguish it from paper used in manuscripts of this period.\(^{183}\) If possible,\(^{184}\) paper should be described in detail, indicating its color, the presence of chain lines (number, assembled or not, distance between groups) and laid lines (thickness, space occupied by 20 of them). Furthermore, the physical appearance provides a mass of information on the nature of the document itself, especially in the case of chancery documents where strict rules prevailed. The document should be accurately

\(^{183}\)For a first attempt to study this kind of paper, see Geneviève Humbert, "Le manuscrit arabe et ses papiers," *Revue des mondes musulmans et de la Méditerranée* 99–100 (2002): 55–77, particularly 68–74 for papers used by the chancery.

\(^{184}\)It is true that most scholars studying Arabic documents usually work with a reproduction (photograph, microfilm) which does not allow this kind of analysis.
measured\textsuperscript{185} and different measurements taken into consideration (width of the space between two lines of text and between introducing and concluding formulas, width of the right and upper margins).

(2) paleographical description: Arabic paleography has also been quite neglected although Greek, Latin, and Western scripts have been categorized for a long time. The editor should not forget to mention all the peculiarities of the script as well as orthographical features.

(3) grammatical commentary: all the inconsistencies noted in comparison with the standard rules of Arabic grammar. Philological notes will help future editors to understand some seemingly incoherent readings, as well as linguists working on the various levels of Arabic in the Middle Ages.

(4) diplomatic commentary: due to the lack of a manual of diplomatics for the Mamluk period, this kind of commentary is a must and should not be neglected. Comparison with the sources (manuals and chancery anthologies) and evidence preserved from all periods, due to the relative continuity of formularies through the various dynasties, allow an improved knowledge of the features of diplomatics in Islam.

(5) historical commentary: the editor must consider all the data provided or not by the document itself (identification of persons, places, explanation of technical terms, study of the context, of what is implied by the document, etc.).

(6) reproduction: Reproduction is essential for many reasons. A reproduced document will be available to all and for centuries. Furthermore, all the descriptions made and the readings proposed by the editor can be checked by anyone else wishing to study the document for another purpose. Several systems have been developed, some being preferable to others. The best solution, I believe, is to be found in Risciani’s book,\textsuperscript{186} where the document is reproduced in the left margin with the proposed reading in the other part of the page, respecting the spacing between lines, the disposition of words (horizontally and vertically), and even the size of characters noticeable in some parts of the document.

Besides this, there are urgent requirements which must be met. It remains true that few students are interested in the study of Arabic documents. Perhaps the difficult handwriting commonly used by careless clerks\textsuperscript{187} discourages them. Courses devoted to paleography are not to be found in the curricula of most universities. In

\textsuperscript{185}In the case of scrolls, the approximate measurements of a single sheet should be indicated as well as the width of the glued part.

\textsuperscript{186}Risciani, Documenti e firmani.

\textsuperscript{187}As quoted by Richards, "The qasäma in Mamlük Society," 251, "al-Asyūṭī strongly recommended that clerks, especially the clerk of the court, should write well and not curtail letter shapes nor run
this respect, the project of the Arabic Papyrological School\textsuperscript{188} could help as a first step to attract students, but a more profound reflection on the necessity of reintroducing this discipline in the programs of universities is required.\textsuperscript{189}

There is a pressing need for a microfilming project for various reasons. Firstly, it allays the danger of destruction of documents. Secondly, we can see that once a collection is put at the disposal of the scientific community (via printed catalogues, microfilms, or photographs), the documents are studied by a wide range of scholars and research moves forward quickly. The foundation of an institute for Arabic archives, similar to the Institute of Arabic Manuscripts in Cairo, would be most welcome. Before this ever happens, an easy way to foster research would be the use of the Internet. Collections that have already been microfilmed could easily be made available to the scientific community through this medium (at least those already published).

An intermediate measure would be the publication of an analytic bibliography classified according to the different kinds of documents published with mention of the place of conservation, date of the document, content, and type. What we need is something similar to what Roemer did in 1966 for the Mamluk official documents,\textsuperscript{190} a work which has to be reexamined in view of the new discoveries made since that date.

Finally, there is an urgent need for a manual of diplomatics for the Mamluk period. In 1966, Hans Roemer already stressed this lacuna in these words: “. . . die Diplomatik der Kanzleien des islamischen Orients den Kinderschuhen noch nicht entwachsen ist.”\textsuperscript{191} It is not at all scientifically acceptable that this matter has been dealt with for other periods and areas for which fewer or an equal number of documents have been preserved.\textsuperscript{192} Since that statement, substantial contributions to the field of diplomatics have been made, but always on specific types of

\textsuperscript{188}http://www.ori.unizh.ch/aps/

\textsuperscript{189}Good introductions to the study of documents were proposed by Muhammad Ahmad Husayn, \textit{Al-Wathā‘iq al-Tārikhiyāh} (Cairo, 1954); ‘Abbās Mahmūd Hammūdah, \textit{Al-Madkhal ilá Dirāsat al-Wathā‘iq al-‘Arabiyah} (Cairo, 1984).


\textsuperscript{191}Ibid., column 343.

documents and in scattered publications. Thus, a major contribution which would embrace the various issues implied by this field would be most welcome by both scholars and students. Let us hope that this call will be heard.

---