ONE PHASE RURAL DISTRIBUTION INSTALLATION WITH DIRECT CONNECTION TO HV TRUNK LINE
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INTRODUCTION

One original application of the distribution network development, based on existing HV network, is presented for the rural electrification of developing countries. Supply of a few kVA to remote site needs specific installations. We have developed, installed and experimented one practical application from April 17th, 2002 in Makola (Congo-Brazzaville, 30 km far from Pointe Noire).

We have investigated the case where a HV OHL exists in the vicinity of the village. Our application is a one-phase connection to a 220 kV line with a local demand estimated at 50 kVA (about 500 people) in a first step. We have adapted the existing technology of SF6 inductive voltage transformer in order to supply 50 kVA instead of a few VA. The paper will present the problems met during the design of this specific installation compared to classical substation, and the results of first months of use.

THE PROBLEM AND ITS POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

The Problem

In many countries throughout the world there are some regions where the distribution network is not developed mainly because of very dispersed and low load level compared to the investment needed to supply them. In some of these locations, it is remarkable to notice that HV trunk line are going through these region but cannot feed any of the small villages or farms leaving close to such important lines (220, 400 and 735 kV). Such HV lines are bringing power from large power station (hydraulic f.e.) to large town some hundreds of km far away. If such a situation is not common in Europe, it is a very common one in Africa, Canada, Russia, China, ... The lack of power may have dramatic effect on social life, pushing young people out of their community to go where development is possible and more comfort available. No power means limited economic activity, limited access to water and no possible development. Such a situation is particularly sad for African communities, like in Congo-Brazzaville where only 2% of the rural population has access to electricity, despite some existing long 220 kV OHL going through the country, bringing power from Inga (RDC) and from some few local hydraulic plants to Brazzaville and Pointe Noire. Hundreds of km of HV lines are existing with very limited loads and hanging over a very large number of small villages splitted all around the country, many of them being not so far from such trunk lines. Access to petrol in remote location is also a problem so that even local power production is not possible most of the time. So they have to live without lighting, without fridge (to maintain food in good conditions) and have to walk several km every day to bring bad water from dirty river. That was the situation of MAKOLA, 30 km far from Pointe Noire in Congo-Brazzaville. About 500 people are leaving there in a complete poorness, a few meters away from a 220 kV trunk line. The amount of power needed has been evaluated by UNESCO to about 50 kVA as a first short term target.

Potential Solutions.

There are several ways to catch energy from a line. Some are obvious and expensive, as usual substation switchgear bay, including expensive circuit breaker and protection scheme, with a power transformer which does not exist: 50 kVA, 220 kV on the primary winding and distribution voltage on secondary winding.

As alternatives, we may imagine capacitive or inductive dividers, or an original way described first by BG CHECO[1] pumping energy from isolated earth wire by natural coupling with phase wire. These are existing complex solutions which need significant maintenance, appropriate compensation and protection scheme.

Fig.1 The SF6 small power transformer used in Makola (50 kVA)
Our Choice

Our new original, first worldwide, solution is a technology adaptation of existing SF6 inductive voltage transformer, installing in the same envelope (thus for very limited cost) the appropriate windings to extract 50 kVA directly from any HV lines (technology of measuring transformer exists for any voltage) transforming voltage from the HV level to distribution one very easily, as used in all switch bay in the world. Such adaptation has been done and tested for our application. It is worth noticing that such energy extraction is easily done either in one phase, two phase or three phase arrangement, when power demand is increasing at the location.

INSULATION COORDINATION

A small load, like ours, cannot disturb the HV trunk line, so that the installation must be designed in that way. The region of installation has a very high keraunic level (>100) and it is known that equatorial regions may be subject to very strong lightning strokes, as well as heavy rain and sand pollution. The transformer has been designed using IEC recommendation to sustain very high level of such overvoltage (1050 kV in full wave, 1205 kV in chopped wave). Moreover 50 Hz overvoltages may occur frequently in such network (Ferranti effect and others) so that 1.5 Un during 8 hours have been chosen for our apparatus. Last but not least the level of partial discharge has been voluntarily chosen at a very low rate. Obviously a surge arrester is protecting the installation, this last being chosen “appropriate”. Secondary windings have been designed to sustain 3 kV insulation level at 50 Hz and this level is also protected by appropriate surge arrester. So called “permanent” 50 Hz overvoltages is typical 1.05 pu and has been taken into account in the choice of the arrester.

GROUNDING, STEP AND TOUCH VOLTAGES.

Grounding problems are critical in these regions, because soil resistivity is very high during dry season. We have measured, up to 10 m deep (4 point method) about 3500 $\Omega$.m, which is more than 30 times the classical values used in the standard.

Following the IEEE 80 guide for safety in AC substation grounding,. admissible step and touch potential may be defined as follows ($\rho_s$ is the superficial soil resistivity in $\Omega$.m and $t$ the fault duration (s)):

Tolerable step voltage (V): $U_s = \frac{116 + 0.7 \rho_s}{\sqrt{t}}$

Tolerable touch voltage (V): $U_t = \frac{116 + 0.17 \rho_s}{\sqrt{t}}$

The factor 116 is a body factor introduced by Dalziel and considered here as the lowest one (valuable for body weight of 50 kg).

Which means, for our data (short-circuit duration about 0.5 s and resistivity about 3500 $\Omega$.m):

- Maximum tolerable step voltage: 2800 Volts
- Maximum tolerable touch voltage: 800 Volts

The Problems to Consider

i) short-circuit phase-to-ground on the 220 kV line (estimated at max 360 A)
ii) short-circuit phase-to-ground on our installation
iii) permanent ground voltage under max load
iv) lightning on 220 kV earthwire
v) lightning on 220 kV phase wire

Questions

What would be the step and touch voltage in the direct vicinity of our installation?
What would be the problem for the distribution network and for the customers connected to it?
And the open design questions are:
How to manage the earthings (tower, HV side, LV side, customer side)?

Solution

After deep analysis of all risks, the final solution is detailed on fig.2 :

- tower earthing is connected to our HV earthing system (a large mesh covering more than the basic concrete area, connected to 6 rods, 6 meter deep with virtual increase of the diameter up to 60 cm by charcoal)
- LV ground (for customers) is made of 200 m raw copper conductor installed 0.6 m deep, just along our aerial distribution network.
- LV and HV earthing are connected through an arrester able to sustain up to 3 kV at 50 Hz.
- Secondary windings of the PLX are protected by parallel arrester to limit overvoltages to 2 kV, similar arrangement installed at the customer side
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In any case of 50 Hz short-circuit (OHL phase or in our installation), such a system will help to limit step and touch potential in the PLX vicinity and to avoid such over voltages to be transmitted to LV side. In case of lightning, we cannot avoid that overvoltages may be transmitted, but only the high frequency components will be transmitted, those which are rapidly damped.

Tests

We obviously performed some tests before commissioning. This has been done in several ways:

- without tower connection (our system cannot work with such a connection, because all towers of the line are in parallel and such sensibility cannot be reached with our system), we investigated the measurement of ground resistance by the three point method, obtaining, about 35 ohms for the HV earthing grid. The LV earthing conductor was measured at 25 ohms about.
- We also used, (with tower connection) an independent power supply (5 kVA generating unit) at 230 V and we measured injected current as well as step and touch voltages in many places. This would enable to extrapolate to actual step and touch potential by using proportional law between injected current and actual short-circuit (one-phase to ground) in the worst network configuration. Such a method confirms that our former values for earthing resistance may be decreased to 20 ohms.

The worst step voltage has been observed just next to the concrete pad and would be about 2600 V for 360 A short-circuit value, as estimated based on the HV network in Congo-Brazzaville (we are very far from power units).

The worst touch voltage is about 300 V on the fence around the PLX in the same situation.

The vicinity of the PLX is strictly forbidden in case of thunderstorm.

On the customer side, the network is designed with two twisted isolated 70 mm² Al conductor distributed on wooden poles, with a earth connection (to our buried raw copper conductor) every three poles.

The “voltage (HV)” measurement is the same voltage, measured at transformer terminals. It gives access to actual voltage on the HV line (the transformer ratio is 220/√3 kV/230 V).

So that is easy to split load shedding due to trunk line trip out or due to 50 Hz overvoltages.

It is remarkable to notice:

- main trunk line has about 11 trip out during one month, obviously independently of our installation
- our installation has some trip-out due to temporary overvoltages (over 242 V), with automatic reclosing
- the 50 Hz overvoltage level of the HV trunk line is typically maximum 5%, which fit perfectly with our choice of HV arrester
- there is no observed HV arrester breakdown (we have installed a counter) from April 2002 to end of September 2002.

The detail on one day is shown on the fig. 4.

The actual load is about 30 kVA (60% of installed power) There are a 50% load difference between day (minimum) and night (all the night is strangely at about the same load from 6 pm to 8 am). It seems that our system has brought a strong night activity in Makola. We know in fact that the development has started, moreover to classical lighting, some fridges, to help installation of night bars, video bars and beer bars.

In the close future, a water pump (to pump water in a 50 meters deep well) will bring drinking water to the population. A tremendous step forward has been obtained for the development in such a remote area.
The next two figures are illustrating the complete system installed in Makola, and the layout drawing.

fig. 4 : 48 hours measurement at Makola

The next two figures are illustrating the complete system installed in Makola, and the layout drawing.

fig. 5 : The MAKOLA one-phase 50 kVA system. On the back, the 220 kV overhead line. On the front the LV house for the 230 V distribution to the population.

fig. 6 : The MAKOLA 50 kVA system just switched on on April 17th, 2002. Congo-Brazzaville.

TECHNICO-ECONOMIC ASPECTS

The one-phase system installed at MAKOLA is actually limited to 50 kVA. This is due to economical aspects. In fact the PLX245 is adapted from a 400 kV VT, with optimized windings in order to supply the 50 kVA rated power. We need such approach to use standard material to obtain a reasonable cost (about 1000 euros/kVA). It is possible to adapt the technology for any voltages over 70 kV up to 400 kV. But increase of power supply level would need specific investment and increase a lot the cost of such units. The easiest way would be to increase progressively the rated power by installing similar devices on the other phases (or the same phase). If a three phases arrangement can be installed, this would completely change our mind on the distribution which would be organized also in a three phase arrangement, more easy to manage.

PROBLEMS RELATED TO LONG DISTANCES

If the distribution network is located several km far from the trunk line, we may easily adapt the system to get a several kV output from the PLX to avoid voltage drop troubles. Then a classical distribution power transformer would be needed near the load.

Some specific solution may be imagined. We had such a case in MAKOLA. One of the loads is the water adduction pump, located 3 km far from our installation. The power needed has been evaluated at 3 kVA for the 50 m deep source. The problem is the asynchronous motor start (with a 6 times nominal current need) for which the voltage must remain in the range +/- 10% around rated voltage. To avoid using large
and expensive cables, we finally decided to install two small power transformers 1000/230 V to transfer the power on a specific line up to the addition site. The transformers reactance’s are easily compensated by a 200 microfarad serie capacitor.

Another original solution (finally not tested) would be to split the load in two equivalent parts and to feed it by a two-phase arrangement (180° shift) easily available at the PLX output, which would have 230 V between phase and neutral and 460 V between the two phases.

CONCLUSIONS

We have studied a new way to feed small loads from HV trunk lines. The proposed system is a new kind of power transformer with SF6 gas insulation, based on existing technology used for instrument transformers. This enable to produce low cost LV powe supply, based on well tested technology with very few maintenance needed.

This is particularly suited for 50 kVA loads in remote area (where HV lines must exist).

Such a system has been designed and installed in Congo-Brazzaville from April 17th, 2002 in Makola. Until the day of this paper redaction, there were no disturbance in the new load distribution which allow the local inhabitants (500 people) to have access to new development, including drinking water, food conservation (fridge) and lighting for night activities…
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fig. 7: The MAKOLA lay-out, including safety distances