

An Analysis of Environmental Provisions in Preferential Trade Agreements: Interdependence, Diffusion, and Trade Effects

Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Ph.D. in Economics and Management
by

Kelly CIOPPA

Thesis Jury Members:

- Prof. Dr. Joe THARAKAN, Advisor
(HEC Liège, Management School of the University of Liège)
- Prof. Dr. Clara BRANDI
(University of Bonn)
- Prof. Dr. Mathieu PARENTI
(Paris School of Economics)
- Prof. Dr. Sergio PERELMAN
(HEC Liège, Management School of the University of Liège)
- Prof. Dr. Jérôme SCHOENMAECKERS
(HEC Liège, Management School of the University of Liège)

Acknowledgements

I would not have been able to complete this dissertation without the support and generosity of many people, to whom I am deeply grateful. First, I would like to thank my supervisor, Joe Tharakan, for his guidance and the many insightful comments he shared throughout this work. His expertise has been invaluable in shaping this dissertation.

I am also very grateful to the members of my thesis committee for their time and constructive feedback. Thanks to Sergio Perelman for his many thoughtful and constructive comments, as well as his kind and encouraging words, which helped me stay motivated throughout this journey. Thanks to Jérôme Schoenmaeckers, whose support and advice made me feel that I could always count on him. His excellence as a researcher and his constructive guidance have been invaluable. I am also deeply grateful for the supportive and stimulating working atmosphere he helped create, and for encouraging me to pursue a Master's degree in didactics, an experience that has been highly enriching both personally and professionally. I am also grateful to Bernard Lejeune, for his insightful feedback and for sparking my interest in econometrics during my studies.

My thanks also go to the members of my jury, Clara Brandi and Mathieu Parenti, for their valuable comments during the private defense. Their challenging questions encouraged me to reflect more critically on my work and to see it from different perspectives. I would like to express my special thanks to

Mathieu Parenti for giving me the opportunity to undertake a research stay at the Paris School of Economics. This experience was incredibly enriching and has greatly broadened my horizons.

I would like to acknowledge the National Bank of Belgium for providing valuable data that made this research possible. I also want to acknowledge the valuable support of Guadalupe Amésquita Palacios at every step of my doctoral journey.

This dissertation was also made possible thanks to my colleagues at the UER Économie, who created a friendly, supportive, and enjoyable working environment. Special thanks to Giulia, my office mate and dear friend. As she once said, we embarked on this journey together in 2019, and now we have reached its end together. I will always be grateful for her unwavering support, her encouragement, and the countless moments we shared throughout these years. I will especially cherish the memories we made during our research stay in Paris. A heartfelt thank you to Manon, who became not only a wonderful colleague but also a dear friend. I will always treasure our long conversations about everything and nothing, which made even the busiest days more enjoyable. Many thanks to Xavier for always being supportive and by my side, for being such a thoughtful listener, for helping me find solutions whenever I could not see them myself, and for sharing every challenge and every joyful moment along the way. Finally, I would like to thank Sousso, Zakaria, Nadia, Voahary, Laure, and Margarida for their kindness, encouragement, and support since the very beginning. I truly appreciated having such wonderful colleagues throughout this journey.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my family and friends for their unwavering support, encouragement, and love throughout this journey. To my parents, I am deeply grateful for their unconditional love and endless support. They have always encouraged me and helped me pursue my goals, no matter the sacrifices. They taught me that hard work and perseverance always

pay off and that any challenge can be overcome with determination. There are no words to fully express how grateful I am for everything they have done for me. To my brother, Anthony, I am deeply grateful for the incredible bond we share. Six years ago, when he defended his own PhD, he wished me good luck for mine. At the time, it seemed so far away, but here we are. I did it! I would also like to thank my nonnas for their constant love and support. I am incredibly fortunate to have such wonderful friends. I would like to thank my best friends, Céline and Lydie, for always believing in me, for bringing so much joy and laughter into my life, and for providing the perfect distractions whenever I needed to step away from work. Their friendship has meant more than they know.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my husband, Raphaël, for his unwavering support, encouragement, and love throughout this journey. I am immensely grateful for the way he inspires me every day, reminds me of the value of this work whenever I doubted myself, and encourages me to keep moving forward. I am especially grateful for his invaluable contribution to this thesis, particularly the time we spent working together on one of its chapters. His ideas, thoughtful discussions, and constant willingness to help have enriched this work in countless ways. Having him by my side has given me the strength to persevere through every challenge, and I could not have completed this journey without him. This accomplishment would not have been possible without all of you.

Kelly

Liège, September 9, 2026

Contents

List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xv
1 General Introduction	1
1.1 Background and motivation	2
1.2 Thesis outline	18
2 The role of interdependence in the adoption of environmental provisions in Preferential Trade Agreements: a spatial econometric approach	23
2.1 Introduction	24
2.2 Literature review	27
2.3 Analytical framework and data	30
2.4 Empirical strategy	40
2.5 Estimated results	42
2.6 Conclusion	57

3 Influence network model: Transmission of environmental provisions included in Preferential Trade Agreements around the world	61
3.1 Introduction	62
3.2 Literature review	64
3.3 Analytical framework and influence network creation	66
3.4 Data description and network visualizations	71
3.5 Empirical strategy and estimated results	81
3.6 Conclusion	103
 4 Diffusion of environmental protection in Preferential Trade Agreements	 107
4.1 Introduction	108
4.2 Literature review	113
4.3 Empirical model	116
4.4 Data description	120
4.5 Estimated results	125
4.6 Diffusion beyond PTAs: relaxing the within-agreement assumption	140
4.7 Conclusion	145
4.8 Summary of contributions of each chapter	147
4.9 Convergent findings	150
4.10 Divergent findings	151
4.11 Complementarity of Chapters 3 and 4	153
 5 The impact of environmental provisions on the type of goods traded by firms: the case of Belgian firms	 155
5.1 Introduction	156
5.2 Literature review	158

5.3 Data description	162
5.4 Empirical analysis and findings	168
5.5 Conclusion	195
6 General Conclusion	199
6.1 Research objective	200
6.2 Key findings and policy implications	201
6.3 Limitations and directions for further research	203
A Additional Material of Chapter 1	207
A.1 List of the 80 countries included in Figure 1.5	208
A.2 A closer look at the inclusion pattern in emerging economies . . .	209
A.3 List of the 204 countries included in the PCA	210
A.4 Justification of the choice of 8 clusters-Elbow method	211
B Additional Material of Chapter 2	213
B.1 List of the 80 countries included in our sample	214
B.2 Estimated coefficients obtained from equation (2.1) for the 291 environment provisions all together adding dummy variable for the EU-countries	214
B.3 Estimated coefficients obtained from equation (2.1) for the 291 environment provisions all together adding the population	216
B.4 Estimated coefficients obtained from equation (2.1) for the 291 environmental provisions separately	217
C Additional Material of Chapter 3	223

C.1 This table shows, in descending order, the environmental provision that has been the most transmitted to the one that has been the least transmitted among the 295 provisions available in the TREND database.	224
C.2 Robustness check: accounting for a catching-up process	230

D Additional Material of Chapter 4 233

D.1 Number of environmental provisions for which each country was the first adopter	234
D.2 List of the 195 countries included in our sample	240
D.3 Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation when diffusion is possible outside PTAs with interaction terms between commitment and PTA membership	242
D.4 Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation when diffusion is possible outside PTAs with EU dummies	243
D.5 Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation when diffusion is possible outside PTAs with exports variable	244

E Additional Material of Chapter 5 245

E.1 List of dirty goods identified by Low's and Yeats' (1992)	246
E.2 List of the 164 countries included in our sample for the analysis on the share	248
E.3 List of the 96 countries included in our sample for the analysis at the product-firm-level in section 5.4.1	252
E.4 Results of a panel OLS regression for the share of neither green nor dirty goods on export from years 1996 to 2018 with country and time fixed effects	255
E.5 Results of a panel OLS regression for the value of export in log from years 2009 to 2018 with firm and time fixed effects	257

E.6 Results of a panel OLS regression for the share of green goods on export from years 1996 to 2018 with firm and time fixed effects . . .	258
E.7 Results of a panel OLS regression for the share of dirty goods on export from years 1996 to 2018 with firm and time fixed effects . . .	259
E.8 Extended classification of dirty goods based on EXIOBASE 3 . . .	259

Bibliography	265
---------------------	------------

List of Figures

1.1	Cumulative number of Preferential Trade Agreements signed by countries from 1947 to 2018	3
1.2	Over time, the evolution of the number of countries that have adopted at least one environmental provision when signing PTAs	6
1.3	Over time, the average number of environmental provisions included in trade agreements	8
1.4	Top 20 provisions that have been the most included in PTAs from 1947 to 2018	9
1.5	Evolution of the number of environmental provisions signed by countries from 1947 to 2018 for a set of 80 countries	11
1.6	Principal Component Analysis on the total number of provisions signed up to 2018	13
1.7	Principal Component Analysis on the total number of provisions signed for each different period from 1960 to 2010.	16
2.1	Evolution of the number of environmental provisions signed by countries from 1947 to 2018 for a set of 80 countries	25
2.2	Total number of environmental provisions signed each year	35
2.3	The number of all environmental provisions signed by countries over all period of time (1980-2018)	37
2.4	Cumulative number of some environmental provisions signed over time from 1947 to 2018	45

2.5	Results obtained for the spatial interdependence effect (ρ) for each of the 291 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	47
2.6	Results obtained for the log of the GDP per capita for each of the 291 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	48
2.7	Results obtained for the commitment to environmental protection for each of the 291 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	50
2.8	Results obtained for level of democracy for each of the 291 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	51
2.9	Results obtained for the lag of dependent variable for each of the 291 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	53
2.10	Decision tree analysis in order to classify the different results of the estimated coefficients obtained for the different environmental provisions	55
2.11	Decision tree analysis in order to classify the different results of the estimated coefficients obtained for the different environmental provisions	56
3.1	An undirected network (on the left) and directed networks (on the right)	67
3.2	An example of the application of our definition of influence/transmission	70
3.3	Analysis of the transmission of the provision X10.01.03 Seas and oceans	75
3.4	Analysis of the transmission of the provision X10.01.03 Seas and oceans for Belgium	76
3.5	Results obtained for the difference in commitment to environmental protection for each of the 223 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	86

3.6	Results obtained for the GDP per capita of the country of origin for each of the 223 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	88
3.7	Results obtained for the GDP per capita of the country of destination for each of the 223 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	90
3.8	Results obtained for the difference in the level of democracy for each of the 223 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	92
3.9	Results obtained for geographical distance for each of the 223 environmental provisions available in the TREND database	93
3.10	Principal Component Analysis based on estimated coefficients derived from equation (3.1)	98
3.11	Principal Component Analysis based on estimated coefficients derived from equation (3.1) without outliers for categories from 1 to 7 in (a) and for categories from 8 to 14 in (b)	101
4.1	Average number of environmental provisions per Preferential Trade Agreement over time	109
4.2	Represents the number of all environmental provisions signed by countries over all period of time (1947 to 2018)	110
4.3	Evolution over time of the adoption of environmental provisions by some countries	111
5.1	Average share of dirty goods exported by Belgian firms from 1996 to 2018	165
5.2	Average share of green goods exported by Belgian firms from 1996 to 2018	166
5.3	Evolution of total exports of Belgian firms from 1996 to 2018 . . .	166
5.4	Evolution of total exports of green goods from 1996 to 2018 . . .	167
5.5	Evolution of total exports of dirty goods from 1996 to 2018	167

List of Tables

1.1	Results obtained for the clusters based on the k-means from the PCA analysis	14
2.1	Descriptive statistics for some selected years in our sample	39
2.2	Estimated results obtained from the conditional maximum likelihood estimation of equation (2.1) aggregating all the 291 environmental provisions	44
3.1	Name of the fourteen categories created in the TREND database by Morin et al. (2018)	73
3.2	This table shows how many times countries have the highest degree centrality among the 295 provisions available in the TREND database	78
3.3	Descriptive statistics of the main variables used in the model computed per provision network and taking the average	84
3.4	Represents the explained variance ratio of the PCA based on the estimated coefficients derived from equation (3.1)	96
3.5	Represents the matrix of the principal component loadings	96
3.6	Represents the explained variance ratio of the PCA based on the estimated coefficients derived from equation (3.1) without the 3 outliers	99
3.7	Represents the matrix of the principal component loadings without the 3 outliers	99
3.8	Example of provisions and their estimated coefficients	102

4.1	Descriptive statistics of the main variables used in the model . . .	125
4.2	Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation	129
4.3	Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation with EU dummies	133
4.4	Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation with trade variable (exports)	135
4.5	Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation taking sub sample based on EU dummies	139
4.6	Descriptive statistics of the main variables used in the model . . .	141
4.7	Results obtained from the maximum likelihood estimation when diffusion is possible outside PTAs	144
5.1	Descriptive statistics of the variables used in the model with the export value as a dependent variable	172
5.2	Results of a panel OLS regression for the value of export in log from years 2009 to 2018 with country and time fixed effects . . .	174
5.3	Descriptive statistics of the variables used in the model with the share of export as a dependent variable	178
5.4	Results of a panel OLS regression for the share of green goods on export from years 1996 to 2018 with country and time fixed effects	180
5.5	Results of a panel OLS regression for the share of dirty goods on export from years 1996 to 2018 with country and time fixed effects	182
5.6	Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive margin of dirty goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	187
5.7	Results of a panel OLS regression for the intensive export margin of dirty goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	187
5.8	Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive export margin of green goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	189

5.9 Results of a panel OLS regression for the intensive export margin of green goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	190
5.10 Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive export margin (entry) of green goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	192
5.11 Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive export margin (entry) of dirty goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	193
5.12 Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive export margin (exit) of green goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	194
5.13 Results of a panel OLS regression for the extensive export margin (exit) of dirty goods from years 1996 to 2016 with country and time fixed effects	195