











DATA NOTE

The genome sequence of Atlantic Bluefin Tuna, *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758)

[version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 3 approved with reservations]

Rebekah A. Oomen ¹⁻⁴, Alessia Cariani⁵, Louise Chavarie⁶, Agostino Leone ^{5,7,8},
Adriana Vella ⁹, Noel Vella ⁹, Gustav Hellström¹⁰, Tomas Brodin¹⁰,
Andreas Sundelöf^{10,11}, Mark Blaxter ¹², Ann M. Mc Cartney^{13,14},
Giulio Formenti ¹⁵, Alice Mouton ¹⁶, Fausto Tinti ⁵, Fulvio Garibaldi¹⁷,
Petter Lundberg¹⁰

¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Brunswick Saint John, Saint John, New Brunswick, Canada²University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Västra Götaland County, Sweden³Centre for Coastal Research, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway⁴University of Oslo Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis, Oslo, Oslo, Norway⁵Department of Biological, Geological & Environmental Science, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy⁶Faculty of Environmental Science and Nature Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway⁷Department of Earth and Marine Sciences (DiSTeM), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy⁸National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo, Italy⁹Department of Biology, University of Malta, Msida, Malta¹⁰Department of Wildlife, Fish and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden¹¹Department of Aquatic Resources, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden¹²Tree of Life, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, England, UK¹³Genomics Institute, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, USA¹⁴School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Leinster, Ireland¹⁵The Vertebrate Genome Laboratory, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA¹⁶Département des sciences et gestion de l'environnement (Arlon Campus Environnement), SEED, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium¹⁷Department of Earth, Environment and Life Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

v1 First published: 27 Mar 2025, 10:163
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23971.1>





Latest published: 27 Mar 2025, 10:163
<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23971.1>


Abstract

We present a genome assembly from a specimen of *Thunnus thynnus* (Atlantic Bluefin Tuna; Chordata; Actinopteri; Scombriformes; Scombridae). The genome sequence has a total length of 799.05 megabases. Most of the assembly (99.17%) is scaffolded into 24 chromosomal pseudomolecules. The mitochondrial genome has also been assembled, with a length of 16.53 kilobases. Gene annotation of this assembly on Ensembl identified 23,266 protein-coding genes.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status 

	1	2	3	4
version 1				
27 Mar 2025	view	view	view	view

- Richard Coleman** , University of Miami
Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, USA
- Matilde Lanzini**, University of Miami
Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, USA


Keywords

Thunnus thynnus, Atlantic Bluefin Tuna, genome sequence, chromosomal, Scombriformes




This article is included in the [Tree of Life gateway](#).

Science, Miami, USA

2. **Steven M Mussmann** , Southwestern Native Aquatic Resources and Recovery Center, Dexter, USA

3. **Kathryn Elmer**, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK

4. **Fabiano B. Menegidio** , University of Mogi das Cruzes (UMC), Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Mark Blaxter (mb35@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: **Oomen RA:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Cariani A:** Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Chavarie L:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Leone A:** Investigation, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; **Vella A:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Vella N:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Hellström G:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Brodin T:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Sundelöf A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Blaxter M:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mc Cartney AM:** Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Formenti G:** Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mouton A:** Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Tinti F:** Funding Acquisition, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; **Garibaldi F:** Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; **Lundberg P:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant, Rebekah A. Oomen, Grant no. RGPIN-2024-06892 New Brunswick Innovation Foundation Talent Recruitment Fund, Rebekah A. Oomen, Grant no. TRF_2024_007 James S. McDonnell Foundation 21st Century Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, Rebekah A. Oomen, Grant no. 220020556 National Biodiversity Future Center Project funded by National Recovery and Resilience Plan (PNRR), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.4 and European Union – NextGenerationEU. Agostino Leone. Project code CN_00000033. This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (220540).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2025 Oomen RA *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Oomen RA, Cariani A, Chavarie L *et al.* **The genome sequence of Atlantic Bluefin Tuna, *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758) [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 3 approved with reservations]** Wellcome Open Research 2025, **10**:163 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23971.1>

First published: 27 Mar 2025, **10**:163 <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.23971.1>

Species taxonomy

Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Deuterostomia; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Gnathostomata; Teleostomi; Euteleostomi; Actinopterygii; Actinopteri; Neopterygii; Teleostei; Osteoglossocephalai; Clupeocephala; Euteleostomorpha; Neoteleostei; Eurypterygia; Ctenosquamata; Acanthomorpha; Euacanthomorpha; Percomorphaceae; Pelagiaria; Scombriformes; Scombridae; Scombrinae; Thunnini; *Thunnus*; *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (NCBI:txid8237)

Background

The Atlantic bluefin tuna, (*Thunnus thynnus* [Linnaeus, 1758]; hereafter '*T. thynnus*') is the largest species within the genus *Thunnus*. *T. thynnus* is distinguished by a reddish-brown second dorsal fin that is higher than the first and short pectoral fins that do not extend to the interspace between the dorsal fins. The lower sides and belly are silvery white, contrasted with faint transverse lines and spots. The anal fin and finlets are bright yellow edged with black and the medial caudal keel is black (Bariche, 2012; Collette *et al.*, 2021).

T. thynnus is a highly migratory, epipelagic, top predator that inhabits the northern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, including the Black Sea. Seasonally, *T. thynnus* approaches closer to shore for spawning, with a western Atlantic component that spawns predominantly in the Gulf of Mexico (Block *et al.*, 2005; Richardson *et al.*, 2016) and an eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean component that spawns predominantly in the Mediterranean Sea (Piccinetti *et al.*, 2013).

Stable isotope and genetic studies have revealed weak differentiation between western and eastern components, with the Mediterranean population displaying a panmictic structure (Antoniou *et al.*, 2017; Díaz-Arce *et al.*, 2024; Puncher *et al.*, 2018; Rodríguez-Ezpeleta *et al.*, 2019; Rooker *et al.*, 2019; Vella *et al.*, 2016), suggesting movement and genetic exchange between the two. The recently discovered spawning ground in the Slope Sea (Aalto *et al.*, 2023; Hernández *et al.*, 2022; Richardson *et al.*, 2016) exhibits a mix of eastern and western characteristics together with signs of introgression with closely related species (Díaz-Arce *et al.*, 2024).

Under the generally accepted assumption of natal homing, *T. thynnus* are managed by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) as independent eastern and western stocks assigned to discrete spawning areas: Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico, respectively (Medina, 2020). The eastern stock undertakes migrations from nutrient-rich summer-autumn feeding grounds in the North Atlantic, as far northward as northern Norway, to oligotrophic winter spawning grounds in the Mediterranean Sea that provide optimal habitat for larval survival (Malca *et al.*, 2023). The western stock undertakes similar seasonal migrations, feeding as far northward as Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, and mainly spawning either in the Gulf of Mexico or the Slope Sea. However, transatlantic migrations are not uncommon, particularly westward (Díaz-Arce *et al.*, 2024).

Beyond the ecological importance of *T. thynnus* as a top predator in Atlantic food webs, they have a long history as an extremely desirable food fish. *T. thynnus* formed the basis of the world's oldest commercial fishery (Di Natale, 2014) and is currently one of the world's most lucrative fisheries, valued at over one billion USD annually (Macfadyen *et al.*, 2021). Recreational 'sport' fishing of *T. thynnus* is increasingly common where permitted and generates tourism revenue for local communities and funds for supporting conservation research (Goldsmith *et al.*, 2018; Maar *et al.*, 2022).

Overexploitation of *T. thynnus* led to considerable declines in fishery landings (MacKenzie *et al.*, 2009; Porch, 2005; Siskey *et al.*, 2016; Vella, 2009), with a number of recent timely management interventions (ICCAT, 2024) helping populations to recover (Bjørndal, 2023; Collette *et al.*, 2021). The most recent International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List assessment of *T. thynnus* in 2021 changed the status from "endangered" to "least concern" (Collette *et al.*, 2021). This improvement is typically assigned to more sustainable quotas and successful work against illegal fishing. Despite this global positive trend, there are large regional differences, and the western Atlantic stock is still severely depleted (Aalto *et al.*, 2021). Recovery may also be affected by climate change, as warmer sea temperatures influence the distribution and abundance of populations (Erauskin-Extramiana *et al.*, 2019; Fiksen & Reglero, 2022; MacKenzie *et al.*, 2014; Reglero *et al.*, 2018).

This chromosome-level genome assembly will enable accurate alignment of the abundance of population genomic (e.g., RAD-seq and re-sequencing; Antoniou *et al.*, 2017; Díaz-Arce *et al.*, 2024; Puncher *et al.*, 2018; Rodríguez-Ezpeleta *et al.*, 2019) and transcriptomic (e.g. RNA-seq; Marisaldi *et al.*, 2021) data available and planned for this species (Formenti *et al.*, 2022; Theissinger *et al.*, 2023). These analyses will reveal fine-scale population structure in both single nucleotide polymorphisms and structural variants (Mérot *et al.*, 2020) across ancient, historical, and contemporary time scales that will improve current management regimes and inform both past and future responses to anthropogenic pressures (Andrews *et al.*, 2021; Eriksen *et al.*, 2025) as well as potential local adaptation (Díaz-Arce *et al.*, 2024). Finally, reference-aware RNA-seq analyses will reveal physiological processes potentially differentiating *T. thynnus* from different lineages or with different migratory behaviours or environmental responses (Oomen & Hutchings, 2017; Theissinger *et al.*, 2023).

Genome sequence report

Sequencing data

The genome of a specimen of *Thunnus thynnus* (Figure 1) was sequenced using Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads, generating 30.14 Gb (gigabases) from 5.19 million reads. GenomeScope analysis of the PacBio HiFi data estimated the haploid genome size at 782.63 Mb, with a heterozygosity of 0.75% and repeat content of 10.14%. These values provide an initial assessment of genome complexity and the challenges anticipated during assembly. Based on this



Figure 1. (a) Young-of-the-year (YOY) individual measuring approximately 25–30 cm, collected in September 2022 in the Western Mediterranean (Ligurian Sea, Italy). Photograph by Fulvio Garibaldi. (b) YOY individuals measuring approximately 30 cm, collected in September 2013 in the Central Mediterranean (western Ionian Sea, Italy). Photograph by Agostino Leone.

estimated genome size, the sequencing data provided approximately 37.0x coverage of the genome. Chromosome conformation Hi-C sequencing produced 145.99 Gb from 966.80 million reads. [Table 1](#) summarises the specimen and sequencing information.

Assembly statistics

The primary haplotype was assembled, and contigs corresponding to an alternate haplotype were also deposited in INSDC databases. The assembly was improved by manual curation, which corrected 49 misjoins or missing joins and removed 7 haplotypic duplications. These interventions decreased the scaffold count by 9.77%. The final assembly has a total length of 799.05 Mb in 119 scaffolds, with 468 gaps, and a scaffold N50 of 34.32 Mb ([Table 2](#)).

The snail plot in [Figure 2](#) provides a summary of the assembly statistics, indicating the distribution of scaffold lengths and other assembly metrics. [Figure 3](#) shows the distribution of scaffolds by GC proportion and coverage. [Figure 4](#) presents a cumulative assembly plot, with separate curves representing different scaffold subsets assigned to various phyla, illustrating the completeness of the assembly.

Most of the assembly sequence (99.16%) was assigned to 24 chromosomal-level scaffolds. These chromosome-level scaffolds, confirmed by Hi-C data, are named according to size ([Figure 5](#); [Table 3](#)).

The mitochondrial genome was also assembled. This sequence is included as a contig in the multifasta file of the genome submission and as a standalone record.

Assembly quality metrics

The estimated Quality Value (QV) and *k*-mer completeness metrics, along with BUSCO completeness scores, were calculated for each haplotype and the combined assembly. The QV reflects the base-level accuracy of the assembly, while *k*-mer completeness indicates the proportion of expected *k*-mers identified in the assembly. BUSCO scores provide a measure of completeness based on benchmarking universal single-copy orthologues.

The combined primary and alternate assemblies achieve an estimated QV of 60.3. The *k*-mer recovery for the primary haplotype is 84.08%, and for the alternate haplotype 83.23%; the combined primary and alternate assemblies have a *k*-mer recovery of 99.87%. BUSCO v5.5.0 analysis using the actinopterygii_odb10 reference set ($n = 3,640$) identified 99.2% of the expected gene set (single = 98.5%, duplicated = 0.7%).

[Table 2](#) provides assembly metric benchmarks adapted from [Rhie et al. \(2021\)](#) and the Earth BioGenome Project (EBP) Report on Assembly Standards [September 2024](#). The assembly achieves the EBP reference standard of **6.C.Q60**.

Genome annotation report

The *Thunnus thynnus* genome assembly (GCA_963924715.1) was annotated at the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) on Ensembl Rapid Release. The resulting annotation includes 41,743 transcribed mRNAs from 23,266 protein-coding and 3,826 non-coding genes ([Table 2](#); https://rapid.ensembl.org/Thunnus_thynnus_GCA_963924715.1/Info/Index). The average transcript length is 18,413.05. There are 1.54 coding transcripts per gene and 10.77 exons per transcript.

Methods

Sample acquisition

Thunnus thynnus young-of-the-year individual sampling was carried out in Italian waters during scientific activities (tagging and fishing surveys carried out in the Tyrrhenian and Ionian Sea during late summer 2013 and summer 2022) following accepted methodologies and under permit. Tissues were sampled and stored in 5 mL ethanol-filled tubes placed in dry ice while onboard. Samples were subsequently stored at -20°C after landing. After 24 hours, samples were shipped in dry ice to the University of Bologna facility where they were stored at -80°C before shipment to the Wellcome Sanger Institute. All specimens were collected and identified by experienced scientists as indicated in the sample manifest. One of the specimens (specimen ID ERGA AV MT 03, ToLID fThuThy2) was used for PacBio DNA sequencing. The specimen used for Illumina Hi-C sequencing was specimen ID ERGA AV MT 06 (ToLID fThuThy2).

Nucleic acid extraction

The workflow for high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) Tree of Life

Table 1. Specimen and sequencing data for *Thunnus thynnus*.

Project information			
Study title	Thunnus thynnus (Atlantic bluefin tuna)		
Umbrella BioProject	PRJEB71424		
Species	<i>Thunnus thynnus</i>		
BioSpecimen	SAMEA111406335		
NCBI taxonomy ID	8237		
Specimen information			
Technology	ToLID	BioSample accession	Organism part
PacBio long read sequencing	fThuThy2	SAMEA111406340	muscle
Hi-C sequencing	fThuThy3	SAMEA111406341	muscle
Sequencing information			
Platform	Run accession	Read count	Base count (Gb)
Hi-C Illumina NovaSeq 6000	ERR12512723	9.67e+08	145.99
PacBio Sequel IIe	ERR12408775	9.49e+05	5.95
PacBio Revio	ERR12408774	4.24e+06	24.19

Core Laboratory includes a sequence of procedures: sample preparation and homogenisation, DNA extraction, fragmentation and purification. Detailed protocols are available on protocols.io (Denton *et al.*, 2023b). The fThuThy2 sample was prepared for DNA extraction by weighing and dissecting it on dry ice (Jay *et al.*, 2023). Tissue from the muscle was homogenised using a PowerMasher II tissue disruptor (Denton *et al.*, 2023a).

HMW DNA was extracted at the WSI Scientific Operations core using the Automated MagAttract v2 protocol (Oatley *et al.*, 2023). The DNA was sheared into an average fragment size of 12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system (Bates *et al.*, 2023). Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation, using AMPure PB beads to eliminate shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA (Strickland *et al.*, 2023). The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

Hi-C sample preparation

Tissue from the fThuThy3 sample was processed for Hi-C sequencing at the WSI Scientific Operations core, using the Arima-HiC v2 kit. In brief, 20–50 mg of frozen tissue (stored at –80 °C) was fixed, and the DNA crosslinked using a TC buffer with 22% formaldehyde concentration. After

crosslinking, the tissue was homogenised using the Diagenode Power Masher-II and BioMasher-II tubes and pestles. Following the Arima-HiC v2 kit manufacturer's instructions, crosslinked DNA was digested using a restriction enzyme master mix. The 5'-overhangs were filled in and labelled with biotinylated nucleotides and proximally ligated. An overnight incubation was carried out for enzymes to digest remaining proteins and for crosslinks to reverse. A clean up was performed with SPRIselect beads prior to library preparation. Additionally, the biotinylation percentage was estimated using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Qubit HS Assay Kit and Arima-HiC v2 QC beads.

Library preparation and sequencing

Library preparation and sequencing were performed at the WSI Scientific Operations core.

PacBio HiFi

At a minimum, samples were required to have an average fragment size exceeding 8 kb and a total mass over 400 ng to proceed to the low input SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 protocol (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA), depending on genome size and sequencing depth required. Libraries were prepared using the SMRTbell Prep Kit 3.0 (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The kit includes the reagents required for end repair/A-tailing, adapter ligation, post-ligation SMRTbell bead cleanup, and nuclease treatment. Following the manufacturer's instructions, size selection and

Table 2. Genome assembly data for *Thunnus thynnus*.

Genome assembly		
Assembly name	fThuThy2.1	
Assembly accession	GCA_963924715.1	
<i>Alternate haplotype accession</i>	GCA_963924655.1	
Assembly level for primary assembly	chromosome	
Span (Mb)	799.05	
Number of contigs	587	
Number of scaffolds	119	
Longest scaffold (Mb)	42.01	
Assembly metric	Measure	Benchmark
Contig N50 length	2.96 Mb	≥ 1 Mb
Scaffold N50 length	34.32 Mb	= chromosome N50
Consensus quality (QV)	Primary: 60.4; alternate: 60.1; combined: 60.3	≥ 40
<i>k</i> -mer completeness	Primary: 84.08%; alternate: 83.23%; combined: 99.87%	≥ 95%
BUSCO*	C:99.2%[S:98.5%,D:0.7%], F:0.3%,M:0.6%,n:3,640	<i>S</i> > 90%; <i>D</i> < 5%
Percentage of assembly mapped to chromosomes	99.16%	≥ 90%
Sex chromosomes	Not identified	<i>localised homologous pairs</i>
Organelles	Mitochondrial genome: 16.53 kb	<i>complete single alleles</i>
Genome annotation of assembly GCA_963924715.1 at Ensembl		
Number of protein-coding genes	23,266	
Number of non-coding genes	3,826	
Number of gene transcripts	41,743	

* BUSCO scores based on the actinopterygii_odb10 BUSCO set using version 5.5.0. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison.

clean up was carried out using diluted AMPure PB beads (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). DNA concentration was quantified using the Qubit Fluorometer v4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Qubit 1X dsDNA HS assay kit and the final library fragment size analysis was carried out using the Agilent Femto Pulse Automated Pulsed Field CE Instrument (Agilent Technologies) and gDNA 55kb BAC analysis kit.

Samples were sequenced using both the Sequel II system and the Revio instrument (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). The concentration of the library loaded onto the Sequel

IIe was in the range 40–135 pM. Samples were sequenced on a Revio instrument (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). Prepared libraries were normalised to 2 nM, and 15 µL was used for making complexes. Primers were annealed and polymerases were hybridised to create circularised complexes according to manufacturer's instructions. The complexes were purified with the 1.2X clean up with SMRTbell beads. The purified complexes were then diluted to the Revio loading concentration (in the range 200–300 pM), and spiked with a Revio sequencing internal control. Samples were sequenced on Revio 25M SMRT cells (Pacific Biosciences, California, USA). The SMRT link software, a PacBio web-based end-to-end




Figure 2. Genome assembly of *Thunnus thynnus*, fThuThy2.1: metrics. The BlobToolKit snail plot provides an overview of assembly metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The circumference represents the length of the whole genome sequence, and the main plot is divided into 1,000 bins around the circumference. The outermost blue tracks display the distribution of GC, AT, and N percentages across the bins. Scaffolds are arranged clockwise from longest to shortest and are depicted in dark grey. The longest scaffold is indicated by the red arc, and the deeper orange and pale orange arcs represent the N50 and N90 lengths. A light grey spiral at the centre shows the cumulative scaffold count on a logarithmic scale. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated, and missing BUSCO genes in the actinopterygii_odb10 set is presented at the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_963924715.1/dataset/GCA_963924715.1/snail.

workflow manager, was used to set-up and monitor the sequencing runs, as well as perform primary and secondary analysis of the data upon completion.

Hi-C

For Hi-C library preparation, biotinylated DNA constructs were fragmented using the Covaris E220 sonicator and size selected using SPRISelect beads to 400 to 600 bp using the INTEGRA MINI 96 (INTEGRA). The DNA was then enriched

using the Arima-HiC v2 kit Enrichment beads on the INTEGRA MINI 96 (INTEGRA). The NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs) was used for end repair, A-tailing, and adapter ligation. This uses a custom protocol which resembles the standard NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep protocol but where library preparation occurs while DNA is bound to the Enrichment beads. Library PCR amplification was carried out using KAPA HiFi Hot start mix and a custom IDT UDI (Unique Dual Index) 96 barcode plate (Integrated DNA




Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Thunnus thynnus*, fThuThy2.1: BlobToolkit GC-coverage plot. Blob plot showing sequence coverage (vertical axis) and GC content (horizontal axis). The circles represent scaffolds, with the size proportional to scaffold length and the colour representing phylum membership. The histograms along the axes display the total length of sequences distributed across different levels of coverage and GC content. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_963924715.1/dataset/GCA_963924715.1/blob.

Technologies). Depending on sample concentration and biotinylation percentage determined at the crosslinking stage, samples were run for 10-16 PCR cycles. Post-PCR, samples were cleaned-up using SPRISelect beads and the INTEGRA MINI 96 (INTEGRA). The Hi-C sequencing was performed using paired-end sequencing with a read length of 150 bp on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

Genome assembly, curation and evaluation

Assembly

Prior to assembly of the PacBio HiFi reads, a database of k -mer counts ($k = 31$) was generated from the filtered reads

using FastK. GenomeScope2 (Ranallo-Benavidez *et al.*, 2020) was used to analyse the k -mer frequency distributions, providing estimates of genome size, heterozygosity, and repeat content.

The HiFi reads were first assembled using Hifiasm (Cheng *et al.*, 2021) with the --primary option. Haplotypic duplications were identified and removed using purge_dups (Guan *et al.*, 2020). The Hi-C reads were mapped to the primary contigs using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019). The contigs were further scaffolded using the provided Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) in YaHS (Zhou *et al.*, 2023) using the --break option for handling potential misassemblies. The scaffolded




Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Thunnus thynnus*, fThuThy2.1: BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/GCA_963924715.1/dataset/GCA_963924715.1/cumulative.




Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Thunnus thynnus*: Hi-C contact map of the fThuThy2.1 assembly, visualised using HiGlass. Chromosomes are shown in order of size from left to right and top to bottom. An interactive version of this figure may be viewed at <https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/?d=CnETE950TKi3HPqRsxNUKg>.

Table 3. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Thunnus thynnus*, fThuThy2.

INSDC accession	Name	Length (Mb)	GC%
OZ004732.1	1	42.01	39.5
OZ004733.1	2	40.88	40
OZ004734.1	3	39.3	39.5
OZ004735.1	4	37.85	39.5
OZ004736.1	5	35.84	40
OZ004737.1	6	35.75	40
OZ004738.1	7	35.69	40
OZ004739.1	8	35.55	39.5
OZ004740.1	9	35.45	39.5
OZ004741.1	10	35.06	40
OZ004742.1	11	34.32	39.5
OZ004743.1	12	34.21	39.5
OZ004744.1	13	32.23	39.5
OZ004745.1	14	31.95	39.5
OZ004746.1	15	31.4	39.5
OZ004747.1	16	31.06	40
OZ004748.1	17	30.76	40
OZ004749.1	18	30.67	39.5
OZ004750.1	19	30.62	39.5
OZ004751.1	20	29.11	40
OZ004752.1	21	27.78	40
OZ004753.1	22	27.13	39.5
OZ004754.1	23	26.78	40
OZ004755.1	24	20.98	40
OZ004756.1	MT	0.02	46

assemblies were evaluated using Gfastats (Formenti *et al.*, 2022), BUSCO (Manni *et al.*, 2021) and MERQURY.FK (Rhie *et al.*, 2020).

The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2023), which runs MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020) and uses these annotations to select the final mitochondrial contig and to ensure the general quality of the sequence.

Assembly curation

The assembly was decontaminated using the Assembly Screen for Cobionts and Contaminants (ASCC) pipeline. Flat files and maps used in curation were generated via the TreeVal pipeline (Pointon *et al.*, 2023). Manual curation was

conducted primarily in PretextView (Harry, 2022) and HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018), with additional insights provided by JBrowse2 (Diesh *et al.*, 2023). Scaffolds were visually inspected and corrected as described by Howe *et al.* (2021). Any identified contamination, missed joins, and mis-joins were amended, and duplicate sequences were tagged and removed. The curation process is documented at <https://gitlab.com/wtsi-grit/rapid-curation>.

Assembly quality assessment

The Merqury.FK tool (Rhie *et al.*, 2020), run in a Singularity container (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017), was used to evaluate *k*-mer completeness and assembly quality for the primary and alternate haplotypes using the *k*-mer databases ($k = 31$) that were computed prior to genome assembly. The analysis outputs included assembly QV scores and completeness statistics.

A Hi-C contact map was produced for the final version of the assembly. The Hi-C reads were aligned using bwa-mem2 (Vasimuddin *et al.*, 2019) and the alignment files were combined using SAMtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2021). The Hi-C alignments were converted into a contact map using BEDTools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) and the Cooler tool suite (Abdennur & Mirny, 2020). The contact map was visualised in HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018).

The blobtoolkit pipeline is a Nextflow port of the previous Snakemake Blobtoolkit pipeline (Challis *et al.*, 2020). It aligns the PacBio reads in SAMtools and minimap2 (Li, 2018) and generates coverage tracks for regions of fixed size. In parallel, it queries the GoAT database (Challis *et al.*, 2023) to identify all matching BUSCO lineages to run BUSCO (Manni *et al.*, 2021). For the three domain-level BUSCO lineages, the pipeline aligns the BUSCO genes to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database (Bateman *et al.*, 2023) with DIAMOND blastp (Buchfink *et al.*, 2021). The genome is also divided into chunks according to the density of the BUSCO genes from the closest taxonomic lineage, and each chunk is aligned to the UniProt Reference Proteomes database using DIAMOND blastx. Genome sequences without a hit are chunked using seqtk and aligned to the NT database with blastn (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). The blobtools suite combines all these outputs into a blobdir for visualisation.

The blobtoolkit pipeline was developed using nf-core tooling (Ewels *et al.*, 2020) and MultiQC (Ewels *et al.*, 2016), relying on the Conda package manager, the Bioconda initiative (Grüning *et al.*, 2018), the Biocontainers infrastructure (da Veiga Leprevost *et al.*, 2017), as well as the Docker (Merkel, 2014) and Singularity (Kurtzer *et al.*, 2017) containerisation solutions.

Table 4 contains a list of relevant software tool versions and sources.

Genome annotation

The Ensembl Genebuild annotation system (Aken *et al.*, 2016) was used to generate annotation for the *Thunnus thynnus* assembly (GCA_963924715.1) in Ensembl Rapid Release at the EBI. Annotation was created primarily through alignment of transcriptomic data to the genome, with gap

Table 4. Software tools: versions and sources.

Software tool	Version	Source
BEDTools	2.30.0	https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools2
BLAST	2.14.0	ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/
BlobToolKit	4.3.3	https://github.com/blobtoolkit/blobtoolkit
BUSCO	5.5.0	https://gitlab.com/ezlab/busco
bwa-mem2	2.2.1	https://github.com/bwa-mem2/bwa-mem2
Cooler	0.8.11	https://github.com/open2c/cooler
DIAMOND	2.1.8	https://github.com/bbuchfink/diamond
fasta_windows	0.2.4	https://github.com/tokit/fasta_windows
FastK	666652151335353eef2fcd58880bcef5bc2928e1	https://github.com/thegenemyers/FASTK
Gfastats	1.3.6	https://github.com/vgl-hub/gfastats
GoaT CLI	0.2.5	https://github.com/genomehubs/goat-cli
Hifiasm	0.19.5-r587	https://github.com/chhylp123/hifiasm
HiGlass	44086069ee7d4d3f6f3f0012569789ec138f42b84aa44357826c0b6753eb28de	https://github.com/higlass/higlass
MerquryFK	d00d98157618f4e8d1a9190026b19b471055b22e	https://github.com/thegenemyers/MERQURY.FK
Minimap2	2.24-r1122	https://github.com/lh3/minimap2
MitoHiFi	3	https://github.com/marcelauliano/MitoHiFi
MultiQC	1.14, 1.17, and 1.18	https://github.com/MultiQC/MultiQC
Nextflow	23.04.1	https://github.com/nextflow-io/nextflow
PretextView	0.2.5	https://github.com/sanger-tol/PretextView
purge_dups	1.2.5	https://github.com/dfguan/purge_dups
samtools	1.18	https://github.com/samtools/samtools
sanger-tol/ascc	-	https://github.com/sanger-tol/ascc
sanger-tol/blobtoolkit	0.3.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/blobtoolkit
Seqtk	1.3	https://github.com/lh3/seqtk
Singularity	3.9.0	https://github.com/sylabs/singularity
TreeVal	1.2.0	https://github.com/sanger-tol/treeval
YaHS	1.2a.2	https://github.com/c-zhou/yahs

filling via protein-to-genome alignments of a select set of proteins from UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2019).

Wellcome Sanger Institute – Legal and Governance

The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Tree of Life collaborator. The Wellcome

Sanger Institute employs a process whereby due diligence is carried out proportionate to the nature of the materials themselves, and the circumstances under which they have been/ are to be collected and provided for use. The purpose of this is to address and mitigate any potential legal and/or ethical implications of receipt and use of the materials as part of the

research project, and to ensure that in doing so we align with best practice wherever possible.

The overarching areas of consideration are:

- Ethical review of provenance and sourcing of the material
- Legality of collection, transfer and use (national and international)

Each transfer of samples is undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Tree of Life collaborator, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute) and in some circumstances other Tree of Life collaborators.

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: *Thunnus thynnus* (Atlantic bluefin tuna). Accession number PRJEB71424; <https://identifiers.org/ena.embl/PRJEB71424>. The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *Thunnus thynnus* genome sequencing initiative is part of the [European Reference Genome Atlas Pilot Project](#) (PRJEB71424) and the Vertebrate Genomes Project (PRJNA489243). All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in [Table 1](#) and [Table 2](#).

References

- Aalto EA, Dedman S, Stokesbury MJW, *et al.*: **Evidence of bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) spawning in the Slope Sea region of the Northwest Atlantic from electronic tags.** *ICES J Mar Sci.* 2023; **80**(4): 861–877.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Aalto EA, Ferretti F, Lauretta MV, *et al.*: **Stock-of-origin catch estimation of Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) based on observed spatial distributions.** *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 2021; **78**(8): 1193–1204.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Abdennur N, Mirny LA: **Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays.** *Bioinformatics.* 2020; **36**(1): 311–316.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, *et al.*: **The Ensembl gene annotation system.** *Database (Oxford).* 2016; **2016**: baw093.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, *et al.*: **MitoFinder: efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target enrichment phylogenomics.** *Mol Ecol Resour.* 2020; **20**(4): 892–905.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, *et al.*: **Basic Local Alignment Search Tool.** *J Mol Biol.* 1990; **215**(3): 403–410.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Andrews AJ, Puncher GN, Bernal-Casasola D, *et al.*: **Ancient DNA SNP-panel data suggests stability in bluefin tuna genetic diversity despite centuries of fluctuating catches in the Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean.** *Sci Rep.* 2021; **11**(1): 20744.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Antoniou A, Kasapidis P, Kotoulas G, *et al.*: **Genetic diversity of Atlantic bluefin tuna in the Mediterranean Sea: insights from genome-wide SNPs and microsatellites.** *J Biol Res (Thessalon).* 2017; **24**(1): 3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bariche M: **FAO species identification guide for fishery purposes: field identification guide to the living marine resources of the Eastern and Southern Mediterranean.** Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2012.
[Reference Source](#)
- Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, *et al.*: **UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2023.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023; **51**(D1): D523–D531.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bates A, Clayton-Lucey I, Howard C: **Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA fragmentation: diagenode Megaruptor³ for LI PacBio.** *protocols.io.* 2023.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bjørndal T: **The Northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna fishery: back from the brink.** *Mar Policy.* 2023; **157**: 105848.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Block BA, Teo SLH, Walli A, *et al.*: **Electronic tagging and population structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna.** *Nature.* 2005; **434**(7037): 1121–1127.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost HG: **Sensitive protein alignments at Tree-of-Life scale using DIAMOND.** *Nat Methods.* 2021; **18**(4): 366–368.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Challis R, Kumar S, Sotero-Caio C, *et al.*: **Genomes on a Tree (GoAT): a versatile, scalable search engine for genomic and sequencing project metadata across the eukaryotic Tree of Life [version 1; peer review: 2 approved].** *Wellcome Open Res.* 2023; **8**: 24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, *et al.*: **BlobToolKit – interactive quality assessment of genome assemblies.** *G3 (Bethesda).* 2020; **10**(4): 1361–1374.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, *et al.*: **Haplotype-resolved *de novo* assembly**

- using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nat Methods*. 2021; **18**(2): 170–175.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Collette B, Boustany A, Fox W, et al.: *Thunnus thynnus*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2021; 2021: e.T21860A46913402.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- da Veiga Leprevost F, Grüning BA, Alves Aflitos S, et al.: **BioContainers: an open-source and community-driven framework for software standardization**. *Bioinformatics*. 2017; **33**(16): 2580–2582.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, et al.: **Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools**. *GigaScience*. 2021; **10**(2): giab008.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Denton A, Oatley G, Cornwell C, et al.: **Sanger Tree of Life sample homogenisation: PowerMash**. *protocols.io*. 2023a.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Denton A, Yatsenko H, Jay J, et al.: **Sanger Tree of Life wet laboratory protocol collection V.1**. *protocols.io*. 2023b.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Di Natale A: **The ancient distribution of bluefin tuna fishery: how coins can improve our knowledge**. *Collect Vol Sci Pap ICCAT*. 2014; **70**(6): 2828–2844.
[Reference Source](#)
- Diaz-Arce N, Gagnaire PA, Richardson DE, et al.: **Unidirectional trans-Atlantic gene flow and a mixed spawning area shape the genetic connectivity of Atlantic bluefin tuna**. *Mol Ecol*. 2024; **33**(1): e17188.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Diesh C, Stevens GJ, Xie P, et al.: **JBrowse 2: a modular genome browser with views of synteny and structural variation**. *Genome Biol*. 2023; **24**(1): 74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Erauskin-Extramiana M, Arrizabalaga H, Hobday AJ, et al.: **Large-scale distribution of tuna species in a warming Ocean**. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2019; **25**(6): 2043–2060.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Eriksen EF, Andrews AJ, Nielsen SV, et al.: **Five millennia of mitonuclear discordance in Atlantic bluefin tuna identified using ancient DNA**. *Heredity (Edinb)*. 2025.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, et al.: **MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report**. *Bioinformatics*. 2016; **32**(19): 3047–3048.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ewels PA, Peltzer A, Fillinger S, et al.: **The nf-core framework for community-curated bioinformatics pipelines**. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2020; **38**(3): 276–278.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fiksen O, Reglero P: **Atlantic bluefin tuna spawn early to avoid metabolic meltdown in larvae**. *Ecology*. 2022; **103**(1): e03568.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Formenti G, Abueg L, Brajuka A, et al.: **Gfstats: conversion, evaluation and manipulation of genome sequences using assembly graphs**. *Bioinformatics*. 2022; **38**(17): 4214–4216.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Goldsmith WM, Scheld AM, Graves JE: **Characterizing the preferences and values of U.S. recreational Atlantic bluefin tuna anglers**. *N Am J Fish Manag*. 2018; **38**(3): 680–697.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Grüning B, Dale R, Sjödin A, et al.: **Bioconda: sustainable and comprehensive software distribution for the life sciences**. *Nat Methods*. 2018; **15**(7): 475–476.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: **Identifying and removing haplotypic duplication in primary genome assemblies**. *Bioinformatics*. 2020; **36**(9): 2896–2898.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Harry E: **PretextView (Paired REad TEXTure Viewer): a desktop application for viewing pretext contact maps**. 2022.
[Reference Source](#)
- Hernández CM, Richardson DE, Rypina II, et al.: **Support for the Slope Sea as a major spawning ground for Atlantic bluefin tuna: evidence from larval abundance, growth rates, and particle-tracking simulations**. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci*. 2022; **79**(5): 814–824.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: **Significantly improving the quality of genome assemblies through curation**. *GigaScience*. 2021; **10**(1): giaa153.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- ICCAT: **Cendum management recommendations and resolutions adopted by ICCAT for the conservation of Atlantic tunas and tuna-like species**. 2024.
[Reference Source](#)
- Jay J, Yatsenko H, Narváez-Gómez JP, et al.: **Sanger Tree of Life sample preparation: triage and dissection**. *protocols.io*. 2023.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: **HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps**. *Genome Biol*. 2018; **19**(1): 125.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kurtzer GM, Sochat V, Bauer MW: **Singularity: scientific containers for mobility of compute**. *PLoS One*. 2017; **12**(5): e0177459.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Li H: **Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences**. *Bioinformatics*. 2018; **34**(18): 3094–3100.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Maar K, Riisager-Simonsen C, MacKenzie BR, et al.: **Economic expenditures by recreational anglers in a recovering Atlantic bluefin tuna fishery**. *PLoS One*. 2022; **17**(8): e0271823.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Macfadyen G, Huntington T, Defaux V, et al.: **Netting Billions: a global valuation of tuna (An Update)**. 2021.
[Reference Source](#)
- MacKenzie BR, Mosegaard H, Rosenberg AA: **Impending collapse of bluefin tuna in the northeast Atlantic and Mediterranean**. *Conserv Lett*. 2009; **2**(1): 26–35.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- MacKenzie BR, Payne MR, Boje J, et al.: **A cascade of warming impacts brings bluefin tuna to Greenland waters**. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2014; **20**(8): 2484–2491.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Malca E, Quintanilla JM, Gerard T, et al.: **Differential larval growth strategies and trophodynamics of larval Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) from two discrete spawning grounds**. *Front Mar Sci*. 2023; **10**.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Manni M, Berkeley MR, Seppay M, et al.: **BUSCO update: novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes**. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2021; **38**(10): 4647–4654.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Marisaldi L, Basili D, Gioacchini G, et al.: **De novo transcriptome assembly, functional annotation and characterization of the Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) larval stage**. *Mar Genomics*. 2021; **58**: 100834.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Medina A: **Reproduction of Atlantic bluefin tuna**. *Fish Fish*. 2020; **21**(6): 1109–1119.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Merkel D: **Docker: lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment**. *Linux J*. 2014; **2014**(239): 2. [Accessed 2 April 2024].
[Reference Source](#)
- Mérot C, Oomen RA, Tigano A, et al.: **A roadmap for understanding the evolutionary significance of structural genomic variation**. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 2020; **35**(7): 561–572.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Oatley G, Denton A, Howard C: **Sanger Tree of Life HMW DNA extraction: automated MagAttract v.2**. *protocols.io*. 2023.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Oomen RA, Hutchings JA: **Transcriptomic responses to environmental change in fishes: insights from RNA sequencing**. *Facets*. 2017; **2**(2): 610–641.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Piccinetti C, Di Natale A, Arena P: **Eastern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*, L.) reproduction and reproductive areas and season**. *Collect Vol Sci Pap ICCAT*. 2013; **69**(2): 891–912.
[Reference Source](#)
- Pointon DL, Eagles W, Sims Y, et al.: **sanger-tol/treeval v1.0.0 – Ancient Atlantis**. 2023.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Porch CE: **The sustainability of western Atlantic bluefin tuna: a warm-blooded fish in a hot-blooded fishery**. *Bull Mar Sci*. 2005; **76**(2): 363–384.
[Reference Source](#)
- Puncher GN, Cariani A, Maes GE, et al.: **Spatial dynamics and mixing of bluefin tuna in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea revealed using next-generation sequencing**. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2018; **18**(3): 620–638.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM: **BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features**. *Bioinformatics*. 2010; **26**(6): 841–842.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ranallo-Benavidez TR, Jaron KS, Schatz MC: **GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes**. *Nat Commun*. 2020; **11**(1): 1432.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, et al.: **A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping**. *Cell*. 2014; **159**(7): 1665–1680.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Reglero P, Ortega A, Balbín R, et al.: **Atlantic bluefin tuna spawn at**

suboptimal temperatures for their offspring. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2018; **285**(1870): 20171405.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, *et al.*: **Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species.** *Nature.* 2021; **592**(7856): 737–746.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Rhie A, Walenz BP, Koren S, *et al.*: **Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies.** *Genome Biol.* 2020; **21**(1): 245.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Richardson DE, Marancik KE, Guyon JR, *et al.*: **Discovery of a spawning ground reveals diverse migration strategies in Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*).** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016; **113**(12): 3299–3304.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Rodríguez-Ezpeleta N, Díaz-Arce N, Walter JF III, *et al.*: **Determining natal origin for improved management of Atlantic bluefin tuna.** *Front Ecol Environ.* 2019; **17**(8): 439–444.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Rooker JR, Fraile I, Liu H, *et al.*: **Wide-ranging temporal variation in transoceanic movement and population mixing of bluefin tuna in the North Atlantic Ocean.** *Front Mar Sci.* 2019; **6**: 1–14.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Siskey MR, Wilberg MJ, Allman RJ, *et al.*: **Forty years of fishing: changes in age structure and stock mixing in northwestern Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) associated with size-selective and long-term exploitation.** *ICES J Mar Sci.* 2016; **73**(10): 2518–2528.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Strickland M, Cornwell C, Howard C: **Sanger Tree of Life fragmented DNA clean up: manual SPRI.** *protocols.io.* 2023.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Theissinger K, Fernandes C, Formenti G, *et al.*: **How genomics can help biodiversity conservation.** *Trends Genet.* 2023; **39**(7): 545–559.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Uliano-Silva M, Ferreira JGRN, Krashenninnikova K, *et al.*: **MitoHiFi: a python pipeline for mitochondrial genome assembly from PacBio high fidelity reads.** *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2023; **24**(1): 288.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

UniProt Consortium: **UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019; **47**(D1): D506–D515.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Vasimuddin M, Misra S, Li H, *et al.*: **Efficient architecture-aware acceleration of BWA-MEM for multicore systems.** In: *2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS)*. IEEE, 2019; 314–324.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Vella A: **Sustainable Fisheries in the Mediterranean.** Barcelona, Spain: The Regional Activity Centre for Cleaner Production (CP/RAC), 2009.

[Reference Source](#)

Vella A, Vella N, Karakulak FS, *et al.*: **Population genetics of Atlantic bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758), in the Mediterranean: implications for its conservation management.** *J Appl Ichthyol.* 2016; **32**(3): 523–531.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

Zhou C, McCarthy SA, Durbin R: **YaHS: yet another Hi-C scaffolding tool.** *Bioinformatics.* 2023; **39**(1): btac808.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? ? ? ✓

Version 1

Reviewer Report 23 June 2025

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26447.r121525>

© 2025 B. Menegidio F. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fabiano B. Menegidio 

University of Mogi das Cruzes (UMC), Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil

The article presents a high-quality genome assembly of the Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*), comprising 799.05 Mb organized into 119 scaffolds, with 99.16% of the sequence allocated to 24 pseudomolecules, in addition to the complete assembly of the mitochondrial genome (16.53 kb). Sequencing was performed using PacBio HiFi technology, complemented by Illumina Hi-C data for chromosome-level scaffolding. The assembly meets the EBP 6.C.Q60 standard of excellence, with a consensus quality value (QV) ≥ 60 , k-mer completeness of 99.87%, and 99.2% BUSCO completeness using the actinopterygii_odb10 dataset. Annotation, carried out through Ensembl Rapid Release, identified 23,266 protein-coding genes and 3,826 non-coding genes, distributed across more than 41,000 transcripts.

The protocols employed are technically appropriate and reflect the current state of the art in vertebrate genomics, with up-to-date tools (Hifiasm, YaHS, BUSCO, MERQURY, MitoHiFi, among others), rigorous assembly validation, and manual curation using HiGlass and PretextView. The methods are sufficiently described to enable replication, particularly with the support of publicly available detailed protocols through protocols.io. The datasets are accessible, well-documented, and provided in widely interoperable formats, with clear metadata and persistent identifiers in ENA (PRJEB71424) and Ensembl (GCA_963924715.1).

The main limitation of the manuscript lies in the absence of a clearly structured justification for the generation of the dataset. While the ecological, economic, and conservation importance of the species is mentioned, the authors do not explicitly present the scientific motivations for producing a new reference genome—such as gaps in previous assemblies, the need for chromosome-level data for population management, or alignment with initiatives like ERGA or the VGP. It is strongly recommended that the authors include a specific paragraph clearly stating the scientific relevance and objectives of this resource, as expected in data-oriented publications.

Additionally, the authors are encouraged to provide a more complete description of the mitochondrial genome, which was successfully assembled and referenced in the text but not presented in detail. The mitogenome is an essential resource for phylogenetic, population, and

biodiversity studies, and it is standard practice to include its gene structure, a circular genome map, an annotation table, and ideally, basic comparisons with mitogenomes from other populations or *Thunnus* species. Including this section would reinforce the completeness of the study and enhance its value to the scientific community.

Other suggestions to strengthen the manuscript include: (i) presenting a visual flowchart of the assembly and annotation pipeline; (ii) detailing key parameters used in bioinformatics tools (such as Hifiasm, YaHS, and purge_dups); (iii) explicitly stating the license under which the data are made available (e.g., CC BY 4.0 in public repositories); and (iv) clarifying whether the sequenced specimen represents a specific geographic lineage, considering the known population structure of the species.

In conclusion, the work is technically robust, with high-quality, well-documented, and reproducible data. The inclusion of a clear scientific justification and the formal presentation of the mitochondrial genome are the two main points that should be addressed for the article to fully meet the standards of a data-driven publication.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Partly

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Omics Sciences and Bioinformatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 12 June 2025

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26447.r123700>

© 2025 Elmer K. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Kathryn Elmer

University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK

The authors have prepared a brief note on the reference genome development. This includes a short background of the relevance of the species for fisheries and commercial interests as well as the scientific aspects.

The analyses are state of the art and the resulting genome is outstanding BUSCO completeness.

The genome will provide an important contribution to the scientific community

The methods are generally well described. I agree with the other reviewers about some points that are a bit unclear.

Can the geographic origins not be more precise, especially since two different samples at such different time periods were used?

Which tissues were involved is not clear.

Small thing, but there is no need to say "hereafter 'T. thynnus.'" since such abbreviation of genus name is standard

Information on RNA and RNAseq methods seems to be missing though it seems to have been generated. MS notes "Annotation was created primarily through alignment of transcriptomic data to the genome"

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: evolutionary biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 03 June 2025

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26447.r123703>

© 2025 **Mussmann S**. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Steven M Mussmann 

Southwestern Native Aquatic Resources and Recovery Center, Dexter, USA

Blaxter et al. report on the assembly of a reference genome for the Atlantic Bluefin Tuna *Thunnus thynnus*. They provide a brief background on the life history, distribution, population structure, and management of this commercially important species. They also describe the methods for assembling the genome into 24 chromosomal pseudo-molecules from PacBio HiFi data and short-read Hi-C data. The authors provide good justification for undertaking this work. They state that this reference genome was assembled for the purpose of aligning population genomic data and transcriptome data to address many research questions including fine-scale population structure, physiological processes, fisheries management, and others.

The authors provide a great amount of detail on most lab and bioinformatic methods. In general I think someone could replicate the work done here from their descriptions. However, the description of annotation methods was much little less detailed than other sections (this is why I answered 'partly' to "Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?"). They also do not mention sequencing RNA that I can find, so I suspect this was *ab initio* gene prediction? or mRNA reads were obtained from another source? I also think it would be advantageous if the authors could post their scripts written to conduct the genome assembly procedures on a public site such as Github. This would be a great supplement to the raw data and the assembly which are already available in public databases. Overall I think this data note is exceptionally well put together, and I have just a few additional minor suggestions which are provided below.

Minor comments:

- This is a really minor thing, but historically fish images have been displayed in left lateral view in scientific publications. The photo in figure 1b would better conform to this standard if it was rotated 180 degrees so that the two fish currently on top have their heads pointing to the left.
- The authors mention a number of manual edits to the initial scaffolds assembled from the Hi-C data. They provide a contact map of the final product, but it could be beneficial to provide a before/after version for readers to see.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Genomics, population genetics, bioinformatics, applied conservation genetics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 10 May 2025

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.26447.r122012>

© 2025 Coleman R et al. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Richard Coleman

Marine Biology and Ecology, University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, Florida, USA

Matilde Lanzini

Marine Biology and Ecology, University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, Florida, USA

This manuscript presents genome assembly for the Atlantic Bluefin Tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*). The authors have done a great job detailing the process with thorough descriptions of each step. I have identified several areas that can be addressed to improve clarity prior to indexing.

Methods

- Tyrrenian should be Tyrrhenian
- Throughout the methods section, terms such as tissues and specimens are used prior to indicating what the total sample size is, which is never described.
- The sample names (described below) also add to this confusion. Are there only two specimens used, one for PacBio and the other for Illumina Hi-C? Also, what type of tissue is used for sequencing?
- What is the concentration of the ethanol that is used for tissue preservation?
- "The fThuThy2 sample was prepared for DNA extraction...". Both samples have fThuThy2 in their name so it is unclear which sample is being referred to here.

Hi-C sample preparation

- "Tissue from the fThuThy3 sample was processed..." The previous section indicated that ID ERGA AV MT 06 (ToLID fThuThy2) was the sample used for Hi-C sequencing. Additionally, Table 1 lists the Hi-C samples as fThuThys3. Please confirm the sample names between sections.
- "DNA was digested using a restriction enzyme master mix." Please provide details of the specific enzymes used as part of the digestion process.
- Figure 5 – what are the axes for this figure? Where is chromosome 1?

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Molecular ecology, population genetics

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.
