LIÈGE université

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Antoine Renard

Joint work with Michel Rigo & Markus A. Whiteland

Words and Subwords, Liège, 15th May 2025

Overview

1. Definition and examples

2. Combinatorial interpretation

3. p-group languages

4. q-Parikh matrices

Definition and examples

Definition and examples

q-deformations

A ***q-deformation*** or ***q-analog*** is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \to 1$ gives back the original object.

Definition and examples

q-deformations

A ***q-deformation*** or ***q-analog*** is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \to 1$ gives back the original object.

Ex:

- q-natural numbers: $[n]_q = \dfrac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$,
- q-factorial: $[n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [2]_q[1]_q$,
- q-binomial coefficients: $\binom{n}{k}_q = \dfrac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q![k]_q!}$.

Definition and examples

q-deformations

A ***q-deformation*** or ***q-analog*** is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \to 1$ gives back the original object.

Ex:

- q-natural numbers: $[n]_q = \dfrac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$,
- q-factorial: $[n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [2]_q[1]_q$,
- q-binomial coefficients: $\binom{n}{k}_q = \dfrac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q![k]_q!}$.

But also: q-rational numbers, q-derivative, Gaussian q-distribution, etc.

Definition and examples

Binomial coefficients

The ***binomial coefficient*** $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of v as a subword of u

Ex:

$$\binom{abbab}{ab} = 4$$

$abbab$ $abbab$
$abbab$ $abbab$

Definition and examples

Binomial coefficients

The ***binomial coefficient*** $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of v as a subword of u

Ex:

$$\binom{abbab}{ab} = 4$$

$abbab$ $abbab$
$abbab$ $abbab$

Gaussian binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$ of two positive integers:

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(1-q^n)\cdots(1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q^k)\cdots(1-q)}$$

$\rightarrow$ counts the number of subspaces of dimension k in a vector space of dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Definition and examples

Binomial coefficients

The ***binomial coefficient*** $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of v as a subword of u

Ex:

$$\binom{abbab}{ab} = 4$$

$abbab$ $abbab$
$abbab$ $abbab$

Gaussian binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$ of two positive integers:

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(1-q^n)\cdots(1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q^k)\cdots(1-q)}$$

$\rightarrow$ counts the number of subspaces of dimension k in a vector space of dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

$\rightarrow$ ***What if we merge these two objects?***

Definition and examples

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the “classical” coefficients:

Definition and examples

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the “classical” coefficients:

Coefficients on words:

$$\binom{ua}{vb} = \binom{u}{vb} + \delta_{a,b}\binom{u}{v}$$

$$u, v \in A^*, a, b \in A$$

Gaussian coefficients:

$$\binom{n+1}{k+1}_q = \binom{n}{k+1}_q \cdot q^{k+1} + \binom{n}{k}_q$$

$$n, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Definition and examples

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the “classical” coefficients:

Coefficients on words:

$$\binom{ua}{vb} = \binom{u}{vb} + \delta_{a,b}\binom{u}{v}$$

$u, v \in A^*, a, b \in A$

Gaussian coefficients:

$$\binom{n+1}{k+1}_q = \binom{n}{k+1}_q \cdot q^{k+1} + \binom{n}{k}_q$$

$n, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$\rightarrow$ ***We are going to mix these two!***

Definition and examples

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

The ***q-deformation*** $\binom{\cdot}{\cdot}_q$ of binomial coefficients of words is a polynomial in $\mathbb{N}[q]$ defined as follows: for all $u, v \in A^*$ and $a, b \in A$ (where A is a finite alphabet),

$$\binom{u}{\varepsilon}_q = 1, \quad \binom{\varepsilon}{v}_q = 0 \text{ if } v \neq \varepsilon,$$

$$\binom{ua}{vb}_q = \binom{u}{vb}_q \cdot q^{|vb|} + \delta_{a,b}\binom{u}{v}_q.$$

Definition and examples

Basic properties

Directly from definition, we can show that $\forall u, v \in A^*$,

- $\binom{u}{u}_q = 1$,
- $\binom{u}{v}_q = 0 \Leftrightarrow v$ does not occur as a subword of u.

We can also link our q-coefficients to the classical ones: $\forall u, v \in A^*, a \in A$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

- $\binom{u}{v}_q(1) = \binom{u}{v}$,
- $\binom{a^k}{a^\ell}_q = \binom{k}{\ell}_q$.

Definition and examples

Example: an easy way to compute q-binomials



Definition and examples

Example: an easy way to compute q-binomials



$$\binom{abbab}{ab}_q = q^6 + q^5 + q^3 + 1$$

Combinatorial interpretation

Combinatorial interpretation

Main result

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let u be a word over A, $k \geq 0$, and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$. Then

$$\binom{u}{a_1 \cdots a_k}_q = \sum_{\substack{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_k \in A^* \\ u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i|u_i|}.$$

Combinatorial interpretation

Main result

If we take back our favourite example

$$\binom{abbab}{ab}_q = q^6 + q^5 + q^3 + 1,$$

we have to consider all factorisations of $abbab$ of the form $u_0 a u_1 b u_2$:

	$abbab$	$abbab$	$abbab$	$abbab$
(u_1, u_2)	(ε, bab)	(b, ab)	(bba, ε)	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$
$\lvert u_1\rvert + 2\lvert u_2\rvert$	6	5	3	0

Combinatorial interpretation

Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of v in u, one has to count, for each letter of v, the number of letters of u that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of q.

Combinatorial interpretation

Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of v in u, one has to count, for each letter of v, the number of letters of u that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of q.

Oops, I'm doing it again (sorry not sorry for the Britney vibes):

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} ab\overline{\underline{bab}} & & a\underline{b}b\overline{\underline{ab}} & & a\underline{bba}b & & abbab & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ q^{3+3} & + & q^{3+2} & + & q^{3+0} & + & q^{0+0} & = \end{array} \binom{abbab}{ab}_q$$

Combinatorial interpretation

Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of v in u, one has to count, for each letter of v, the number of letters of u that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of q.

Oops, I'm doing it again (sorry not sorry for the Britney vibes):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} ab\overline{\underline{bab}} & & a\underline{b}b\overline{\underline{ab}} & & a\underline{bba}b & & abbab & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ q^{3+3} & + & q^{3+2} & + & q^{3+0} & + & q^{0+0} & = & \binom{abbab}{ab}_q \end{array}$$

$\longrightarrow$ **the powers of q encode the positions of the letters of v in its occurrences in u**

Another example

Of course, other coefficients may be different than 1. For instance,

$$\binom{aabaaa}{aa}_q = q^8 + q^6 + 2q^5 + 2q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1.$$

Here, the 2's mean that there exist two occurrences of *aa* in *aabaaa* such that the sum of positions equals 4 (resp. 5):

$$\begin{array}{lllll} aabaaa & \text{and} & aabaaa & \longrightarrow & 2q^4 \\ aabaaa & \text{and} & aabaaa & \longrightarrow & 2q^5 \end{array}$$

Combinatorial interpretation

Information within the coefficients

From the combinatorial interpretation, we get some information about coefficients.

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

For all words u, v, the polynomial $\binom{u}{v}_q$ is monic, and the non-zero coefficient of the monomial of least degree is 1. In particular,

- $\binom{u}{v}_q(0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \text{ is a suffix of } u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- $\binom{u}{v}_q \left[q^{|v|(|u|-|v|)}\right] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \text{ is a prefix of } u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Combinatorial interpretation

Reconstructing words

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let k be an integer, u, x words such that $|u| \geq k \geq |x|$. We have

$$\binom{|u|-|x|}{k-|x|}_q \binom{u}{x}_q = \sum_{t \in A^k} \binom{u}{t}_q \binom{t}{x}_q.$$

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let $u \in A^*$ and $1 \leq k \leq |u|$. The sequence $\left(\binom{u}{x}_q\right)_{x \in A^k}$ uniquely determines the word u.

p-group languages

p-group languages

Definitions

Recall that a language L is ***recognised*** by a monoid M if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- a monoid morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

p-group languages

Definitions

Recall that a language L is ***recognised*** by a monoid M if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- a monoid morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language L is said to be ***recognisable*** if it is recognised by a finite monoid.

p-group languages

Definitions

Recall that a language L is ***recognised*** by a monoid M if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- a monoid morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language L is said to be ***recognisable*** if it is recognised by a finite monoid.

Finally, a language recognised by a p-group (*i.e.* a group whose elements have order which is a power of p) is a ***p-group language***, where p is a prime.

p-group languages

Definitions

Recall that a language L is ***recognised*** by a monoid M if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- a monoid morphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language L is said to be ***recognisable*** if it is recognised by a finite monoid.

Finally, a language recognised by a p-group (*i.e.* a group whose elements have order which is a power of p) is a ***p-group language***, where p is a prime.

N.B.: Regular languages $=$ recognisable languages

p-group languages

Characterising p-group languages

Theorem (Eilenberg, 1976)

Let p be a prime. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,r,p} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v} \equiv r \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

p-group languages

Characterising p-group languages

Theorem (Eilenberg, 1976)

Let p be a prime. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,r,p} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v} \equiv r \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let p be a prime and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ with $d \geq 1$ an integer and a non-zero $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$

p-group languages

What's behind?

- Defining a $(u, \mathfrak{M})$-***binomial equivalence relation*** ($u \in A^+, \mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$):

$$w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in \mathrm{Fac}(u) \ : \ \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

p-group languages

What's behind?

- Defining a $(u, \mathfrak{M})$-***binomial equivalence relation*** ($u \in A^+, \mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$):

$$w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in \mathrm{Fac}(u) \ : \ \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

- Considering a congruence $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ (take the coarsest one), so that $A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a finite monoid.
  - Case 1: q is **not** invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle\mathfrak{M}\rangle \rightsquigarrow A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is not a group;
  - Case 2: q is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle\mathfrak{M}\rangle \rightsquigarrow A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a group.
    $\rightarrow$ considering $\mathfrak{M}$ s.t. $\mathrm{ord}(q) = p^t$, we get a p-group $\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$.

  $\rightsquigarrow$ We can show that languages of the form $L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ are p-group languages.

p-group languages

What's behind?

- Defining a $(u, \mathfrak{M})$-*binomial equivalence relation* ($u \in A^+, \mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$):

$$w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in \mathrm{Fac}(u) : \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

- Considering a congruence $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ (take the coarsest one), so that $A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a finite monoid.
  - Case 1: q is **not** invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle\mathfrak{M}\rangle \rightsquigarrow A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is not a group;
  - Case 2: q is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle\mathfrak{M}\rangle \rightsquigarrow A^*/\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a group.
    $\rightarrow$ considering $\mathfrak{M}$ s.t. $\mathrm{ord}(q) = p^t$, we get a p-group $\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$.

  $\rightsquigarrow$ We can show that languages of the form $L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ are p-group languages.
- Conclusion using Eilenberg's original theorem.

q-Parikh matrices

q-Parikh matrices

“Classical” Parikh matrices

Introduced by Şerbănuţă in 2004, the ***Parikh matrix of u induced by a word*** $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ is an upper triangular matrix of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$, containing elements of the form $\binom{u}{v}$ for words v of the form $z_i\, z_{i+1} \cdots z_j$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq |z|$.

q-Parikh matrices

“Classical” Parikh matrices

Introduced by Şerbănuţă in 2004, the ***Parikh matrix of u induced by a word*** $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ is an upper triangular matrix of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$, containing elements of the form $\binom{u}{v}$ for words v of the form $z_i\, z_{i+1} \cdots z_j$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq |z|$.

The Parikh matrix mapping is a monoid morphism $\Psi_{A,z} : A^* \to \mathbb{N}^{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$ defined by

$$[\Psi_{A,z}(a)]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ \delta_{a,z_i} & j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

q-Parikh matrices

An example

Fix $z = aba$ and $u = abbaba$. We have

$$\Psi_{A,z}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{A,z}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $\Psi_{A,z}(u) = \Psi_{A,z}(a)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(a)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(a)$, *i.e.*

$$\Psi_{A,z}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \binom{u}{a} & \binom{u}{ab} & \binom{u}{aba} \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{b} & \binom{u}{ba} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{a} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

q-deformed Parikh matrices

Let $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ be a word and A be the alphabet of z, *i.e.* the set of letters occurring in z. For $a \in A$ and $\ell \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}$ denote the upper triangular matrix defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ \delta_{a,z_i} q^\ell & j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We now define the map

$$\mathcal{P}_z : A^* \to (\mathbb{N}[q])^{(n+1)\times(n+1)} : u_k u_{k-1} \cdots u_1 u_0 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{u_k,k} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{u_0,0}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

q-deformed Parikh matrices

Let $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ be a word and A be the alphabet of z, *i.e.* the set of letters occurring in z. For $a \in A$ and $\ell \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}$ denote the upper triangular matrix defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ \delta_{a,z_i} q^\ell & j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We now define the map

$$\mathcal{P}_z : A^* \to (\mathbb{N}[q])^{(n+1)\times(n+1)} : u_k u_{k-1} \cdots u_1 u_0 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{u_k,k} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{u_0,0}.$$

Unfortunately, **this is not a monoid morphism anymore**

q-Parikh matrices

q-deformed Parikh matrices

Let $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ be a word and A be the alphabet of z, *i.e.* the set of letters occurring in z. For $a \in A$ and $\ell \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}$ denote the upper triangular matrix defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ \delta_{a,z_i} q^\ell & j = i+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We now define the map

$$\mathcal{P}_z : A^* \to (\mathbb{N}[q])^{(n+1)\times(n+1)} : u_k u_{k-1} \cdots u_1 u_0 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{u_k,k} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{u_0,0}.$$

Unfortunately, **this is not a monoid morphism anymore**

$\rightsquigarrow$ recently improved thanks to a joint work with J.-E. Pin.

q-Parikh matrices

q-deformed Parikh matrices

Here, we have the following:

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let z be a word of length $n \geq 1$ whose alphabet is A. Let $u \in A^*$. The corresponding $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ q-Parikh matrix is such that

- $[\mathcal{P}_z(u)]_{i,j} = 0$, *for all* $1 \leq j < i \leq n+1$,
- $[\mathcal{P}_z(u)]_{i,i} = 1$, *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.
- *Let* $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. *For all* $1 \leq i \leq n-r+1$, $[\mathcal{P}_z(u)]_{i,i+r} = q^{\mathrm{s}(r-1)} \binom{u}{z_i z_{i+1} \cdots z_{i+r-1}}_q$, *where* $\mathrm{s}(\ell) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} k$.

q-Parikh matrices

An example (again)

Back with our friends $z = aba$ and $u = abbaba$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^\ell & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & q^\ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{b,\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q^\ell & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

An example (again)

Thus,

$$\mathcal{P}_z(u) = \mathcal{M}_{a,5}\mathcal{M}_{b,4}\mathcal{M}_{b,3}\mathcal{M}_{a,2}\mathcal{M}_{b,1}\mathcal{M}_{a,0}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^5+q^2+1 & q^9+q^8+q^6+q^3 & q^{11}+q^{10}+q^9+q^8+q^6+q^3 \\ 0 & 1 & q^4+q^3+q & q^6+q^5+q^4+q^3+q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & q^5+q^2+1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \binom{u}{a}_q & q\binom{u}{ab}_q & q^3\binom{u}{aba}_q \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{b}_q & q\binom{u}{ba}_q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{a}_q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

Convergence to formal power series

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

The q-binomial $\binom{u^n}{z}_q$ *can be expressed as*

$$\frac{1}{q^{\binom{|z|}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} R_k(q) \, \frac{1 - q^{c_k n |u|}}{1 - q^{c_k |u|}},$$

where m and c_k *are positive integers, and* R_k *are rational functions whose denominators only have factors of the form* $(1 - q^{t|u|})$ *for some integer t. Moreover, these quantities* c_k *and* R_k *can be effectively computed. In particular, the sequence* $\left(\binom{u^n}{z}_q\right)_{n \geq 0}$ *converges in* $\mathbb{N}[[q]]$ *to the formal power series* $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{u},z}(q)$ *expressed by the rational function*

$$\frac{1}{q^{s(|z|-1)}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} R_k(q) \, \frac{1}{1 - q^{c_k |u|}}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

Convergence to formal power series

Ex:

The sequence $\left(\binom{(abba)^n}{ab}_q \right)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to the series

$$q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 + q^7 + 2q^8 + q^9 + 2q^{11} + 4q^{12} + 2q^{13} + 2q^{15} + 4q^{16} + 2q^{17} + 3q^{19} + 6q^{20} + \cdots,$$

which corresponds to the rational function

$$R(q) = \frac{q^3}{(q-1)^2 (q^2+1)^2 (q^4+1)}.$$

q-Parikh matrices

Convergence to formal power series

We generalise a result from Salomaa (2008), which we can recover by taking $q = 1$:

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

The sequence of q-binomials $\left(\binom{u^n}{z}_q\right)_{n\geq 0}$ *satisfies a linear recurrence relation with polynomial coefficients. In particular, the sequence of binomials* $\left(\binom{u^n}{z}\right)_{n\geq 0}$ *satisfies a linear recurrence relation with constant coefficients.*

q-Parikh matrices

Convergence to formal power series

We generalise a result from Salomaa (2008), which we can recover by taking $q = 1$:

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

The sequence of q-binomials $\left(\binom{u^n}{z}_q\right)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation with polynomial coefficients. In particular, the sequence of binomials $\left(\binom{u^n}{z}\right)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence relation with constant coefficients.

Ex: The sequence $\left(\binom{(abba)^n}{ab}_q\right)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the relation

$$p_{n+3} = (1 + q^4 + q^8)p_{n+2} - (q^4 + q^8 + q^{12})p_{n+1} + q^{12}p_n,$$

so that the integer sequence $\left(\binom{(abba)^n}{ab}\right)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies $p_{n+3} = 3p_{n+2} - 3p_{n+1} + p_n$.

q-Parikh matrices

Other consequences

- Alternative way to compute q-binomials;
- New identities, *e.g.*

$$\sum_{\substack{z=xy \\ x,y\in A^*}} (-1)^{|y|} q^{\mathrm{s}(|x|-1)+\mathrm{s}(|y|-1)} \binom{u}{x}_q \binom{u}{\widetilde{y}}_q = 0$$

  for words z with no equal consecutive letters;
- etc.

What’s next?

What's next?

Completing the picture!



What's next?

Completing the picture!



What's next?

Completing the picture!



Thank you for your attention!

p-groups

Let p be a prime, $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the field of integers modulo p and $\mathfrak{M}$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$. We denote $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$
Quite naturally, we will consider languages of the form

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$

Idea: To prove the theorem, we are going to define a congruence $\cong$, so that $A^*/\cong$ is a finite monoid, and thus have regular languages.

p-groups

Let $u \in A^*$ and $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Two finite words $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ are $(u, \mathfrak{M})$-***binomially equivalent*** and we write $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ whenever

$$\forall v \in \mathrm{Fac}(u) \ : \ \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

$\longrightarrow$ # classes $\leq \#\mathbb{K}^{(\#\,\mathrm{Fac}(u)-1)}$

$\longrightarrow A^* / \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is finite

p-groups

Problem: $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is not always a congruence...

Ex: For $p = 2, \mathfrak{M} = q^2 + 1, A = \{a, b\}$ and $u = a$, we have $a \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} abbbaa$ and $b \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} bb$:

$$\binom{a}{a}_q \equiv 1 \equiv \binom{abbbaa}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{b}{a}_q \equiv 0 \equiv \binom{bb}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$

but $ab \not\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} abbbaabb$:

$$\binom{ab}{a}_q \equiv q \not\equiv 1 \equiv \binom{abbbaabb}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$

We will consider a congruence $\cong$ which is a ***refinement*** of $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, *i.e.*

$$w_1 \cong w_2 \Rightarrow w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2.$$

We also say that $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is ***coarser*** than $\cong$.

p-groups

As $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$ is finite, there exist $i \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$ such that

$$q^i \equiv q^{i+k} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$



Taking the smallest i and k,

- i is the ***index*** of q,
- k is the ***period*** of q.

Notice that, if q is invertible in $\mathbb{K}$, then $i = 0$ and $k = \mathrm{ord}(q)$.

p-groups

If q is not a unit of $\mathbb{K}$, we have the following:

Proposition (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let $u \in A^*$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ and $\cong$ be a congruence that refines $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$. If q is not a unit of $\mathbb{K}$, then the monoid $A^*/\cong$ is a not group. In particular, no element except for the identity is invertible.

Why? We managed to show that for any congruence $\cong$ refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, we have

$$w_1 \cong w_2 \Rightarrow |w_1| = |w_2| \text{ or } (|w_1|, |w_2| \geq \operatorname{ind}(q) \wedge \cdots)$$

So when q is not a unit of $\mathbb{K}$, $\operatorname{ind}(q) \geq 1$, and $[\varepsilon]$ is a singleton, so that $[w] \cdot [x] = [wx] \neq [\varepsilon]$ for all $w, x \in A^+$.

p-groups

If q is a unit of $\mathbb{K}$, we can look for the ***coarsest*** congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$.

We showed that $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} \cap \sim_{\mathrm{ord}(p)}$, where

$$w_1 \sim_{\mathrm{ord}(q)} w_2 \Leftrightarrow |w_1| \equiv |w_2| \pmod{\mathrm{ord}(q)},$$

and denoted $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is the coarsest congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$.

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let $u \in A^*$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. If q is a unit in $\mathbb{K}$, $A^* / \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a group whose order divides $ord(q) \cdot p^{|u|}$.

p-groups

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let v be a word and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ for some integer $d \geq 1$. The language

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}$$

is a p-group language.

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2025)

Let p be a prime and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ with $d \geq 1$ an integer. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{v}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$

p-groups



$\{a, b\}^*/\sim_{ab,q^2+1}$



$L_{ab,\mathfrak{R},q^2+1}$