

# VU Research Portal

## Sensor networks to measure environmental noise at gravitational wave detector sites

Koley, S.

2020

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Koley, S. (2020). *Sensor networks to measure environmental noise at gravitational wave detector sites*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

**SENSOR NETWORKS TO MEASURE ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE  
AT GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTOR SITES**

Soumen Koley

Promotiecommissie:

promotor: prof.dr. J.F.J. van den Brand  
copromotoren: dr. H.J. Bulten  
dr. X. Campman

Overlige leden: prof.dr. H.G. Raven  
prof.dr. F.L. Linde  
prof.dr. G. Saccorotti  
prof.dr. J. Harms  
prof.dr. A. Freise  
dr. S. Caudill  
dr. A. Bertolini

Printed by: Ipkamp Printing  
Cover by: Soumen Koley  
ISBN: 978-94-028-2054-6



This work is part of the research programme *Computational Sciences for Energy Research 12CSER075*, which is funded by the Dutch Research Council and Shell Global Solutions International BV. The research work was carried out at Nikhef.

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT

**SENSOR NETWORKS TO MEASURE ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE  
AT GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTOR SITES**

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad Doctor aan  
de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
op gezag van de rector magnificus  
prof.dr. V. Subramaniam,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen  
ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie  
van de Faculteit der Bètawetenschappen  
op woensdag 17 juni 2020 om 11.45 uur  
in een online bijeenkomst van de universiteit,  
De Boelelaan 1105

door

Soumen Koley

geboren te Durgapur, West Bengal, India

promotor: prof.dr. J.F.J. van den Brand  
copromotoren: dr. H.J. Bulten  
dr. X. Campman

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>1 Background and theory</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Gravitational waves and their detection . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1.2 General relativity and gravitational waves . . . . .	2
1.1.3 Sources of gravitational waves . . . . .	5
1.1.4 Gravitational wave detection . . . . .	7
1.2 Theory of seismic surface waves . . . . .	13
1.2.1 Historical development of theory of elasticity . . . . .	13
1.2.2 Types of Elastic Waves . . . . .	14
1.2.3 Mathematical theory of Elasticity . . . . .	16
1.2.4 Rayleigh waves in homogeneous half-space . . . . .	23
1.2.5 Rayleigh Waves in a Layered Medium . . . . .	28
1.2.6 A physical insight into surface waves . . . . .	34
1.2.7 Summary . . . . .	35
<b>2 Passive Seismic Data Analysis Methods</b>	<b>37</b>
2.1 Passive seismic studies - A historical context . . . . .	37
2.2 Wireless seismic sensors . . . . .	39
2.2.1 Sensor overview . . . . .	39
2.2.2 Vault noise test . . . . .	41
2.3 Data Analysis Methods . . . . .	42
2.3.1 Beamforming . . . . .	43
2.3.2 Extended Spectral Autocorrelation . . . . .	53
2.4 Rayleigh wave phase velocity estimation . . . . .	57
2.5 Rayleigh wave phase velocity inversion strategies . . . . .	59
2.5.1 Theoretical dispersion curve computation . . . . .	60
2.5.2 Model parametrization . . . . .	60
2.5.3 Neighborhood algorithm . . . . .	62
2.6 Summary . . . . .	64

<b>3 Passive seismic studies at AdV</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1 Objectives . . . . .	67
3.2 Introduction . . . . .	69
3.3 Seismic Array Design . . . . .	69
3.4 Array Deployment . . . . .	74
3.5 Passive Seismic Sources . . . . .	75
3.5.1 Oceanic microseism . . . . .	75
3.5.2 Road bridge noise . . . . .	76
3.5.3 Local noise sources . . . . .	79
3.6 Beamforming Results . . . . .	79
3.7 ESAC Results . . . . .	83
3.8 Estimation of a 1D S -wave velocity model at AdV . . . . .	84
3.9 Near surface quality factor estimation . . . . .	86
3.9.1 Rayleigh wave attenuation model . . . . .	86
3.9.2 Estimating the attenuation coefficient . . . . .	87
3.9.3 Quality factor forward problem . . . . .	89
3.9.4 Quality factor inversion . . . . .	90
3.10 Newtonian Noise Estimate . . . . .	93
3.11 Summary . . . . .	95
<b>4 Noise hunting at AdV</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1 GW detection and Virgo . . . . .	98
4.2 Noise Sources . . . . .	100
4.2.1 Fundamental noises . . . . .	100
4.2.2 Technical noise . . . . .	104
4.2.3 Environmental noise . . . . .	105
4.3 Environmental monitoring network . . . . .	109
4.3.1 Slow Channels . . . . .	109
4.3.2 Slow channel network layout . . . . .	111
4.3.3 Fast channels . . . . .	112
4.3.4 Fast channel network layout . . . . .	115
4.4 Magnetic noise injections . . . . .	116
4.4.1 Magnetic noise injections in the CEB . . . . .	117
4.4.2 Magnetic noise injections in the NEB . . . . .	118
4.4.3 Magnetic noise injections in the WEB . . . . .	118
4.4.4 Projected magnetic noise . . . . .	122
4.5 Acoustic noise injections . . . . .	123
4.5.1 CEB acoustic injections . . . . .	124
4.5.2 NEB acoustic injections . . . . .	128
4.5.3 WEB acoustic injections . . . . .	130
4.5.4 MCB acoustic injections . . . . .	130
4.6 Scattered light noise investigation . . . . .	131
4.6.1 PR seismic injections . . . . .	133
4.6.2 DT west flange injections . . . . .	137
4.6.3 DT east flange injections . . . . .	140

4.6.4	Beamsplitter tower injections . . . . .	142
4.6.5	NI injections . . . . .	143
4.6.6	Stationary noise mitigation . . . . .	144
4.7	Non-stationary noise . . . . .	149
4.7.1	Noise amplitude variation . . . . .	149
4.7.2	Noise frequency variation . . . . .	151
4.7.3	Correlation analysis . . . . .	152
4.7.4	Drifting lines analysis . . . . .	153
4.8	AdV during O2 . . . . .	164
4.9	Summary . . . . .	169
<b>5</b>	<b>Passive Seismic Tomography at Limburg</b>	<b>171</b>
5.1	Motivation . . . . .	171
5.2	Challenges of building ET . . . . .	174
5.3	Types of Seismic Studies . . . . .	177
5.4	Seismic noise interferometry . . . . .	177
5.4.1	Representation theorem . . . . .	178
5.4.2	Green's function . . . . .	178
5.4.3	Elastodynamic Reciprocity theorem . . . . .	179
5.4.4	Green's function extraction from distributed noise fields . . . . .	181
5.4.5	Practical implementation . . . . .	183
5.5	Reconnaissance passive survey . . . . .	185
5.5.1	Initial Subsurface model . . . . .	186
5.5.2	Rayleigh wave sensitivity . . . . .	187
5.5.3	Array Design . . . . .	187
5.5.4	Array deployment . . . . .	192
5.5.5	Seismic noise characteristics . . . . .	193
5.5.6	Beamforming Results . . . . .	195
5.5.7	Rayleigh wave phase velocity estimation . . . . .	199
5.5.8	Estimation of an 1D S-wave velocity model . . . . .	200
5.6	Dense Array Study . . . . .	203
5.6.1	Array geometry . . . . .	203
5.6.2	Data preprocessing . . . . .	204
5.6.3	Green's function extraction . . . . .	207
5.6.4	Cross-correlation beamforming . . . . .	214
5.6.5	Group velocity estimation . . . . .	217
5.6.6	Surface wave tomography . . . . .	220
5.6.7	Geological interpretation . . . . .	228
5.7	Summary & Outlook . . . . .	230
<b>6</b>	<b>Active seismic studies at Limburg</b>	<b>231</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	231
6.2	Seismic source . . . . .	232
6.2.1	Signal to noise ratio . . . . .	232
6.2.2	Frequency band . . . . .	234

6.2.3	Duration parameters . . . . .	235
6.3	Source Receiver Geometry . . . . .	236
6.4	Seismic data quality . . . . .	239
6.5	Seismic refraction processing . . . . .	243
6.5.1	Fundamentals . . . . .	243
6.5.2	DeltatV method . . . . .	244
6.5.3	Implementation and results . . . . .	247
6.5.4	DeltatV pitfalls . . . . .	249
6.6	Wavepath Eikonal Tomography . . . . .	250
6.6.1	WET algorithm . . . . .	251
6.6.2	WET results . . . . .	252
6.7	Conclusion and Recommendations . . . . .	253
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and recommendations</b>	<b>255</b>
7.1	Conclusion . . . . .	256
7.1.1	Development of autonomous seismometers . . . . .	256
7.1.2	AdV seismic noise characterization . . . . .	257
7.1.3	Pre-O2 commissioning at AdV . . . . .	258
7.1.4	Einstein Telescope site studies . . . . .	259
7.2	Recommendations . . . . .	260
<b>Summary</b>		<b>279</b>
<b>Samenvatting</b>		<b>285</b>
<b>List of symbols and abbreviations</b>		<b>293</b>
<b>Appendix</b>		<b>295</b>

# Acknowledgements

Joining Nikhef in the summer of 2014 for pursuing a Phd in gravitational wave physics was a leap of faith that I had taken. Having completed my masters in geophysical sciences the earlier year, I was skeptical about how my knowledge and skill set would fit into the needs of the gravitational wave community. Although it took me a year to figure out the objective of my research and my role in this scientific endeavor, it would be the least to say that these have been the best years of my life and I couldn't have asked for more. For that, I want to thank my family, friends and colleagues at Nikhef who helped me feel at home and have been there by my side through the crest and troughs of my Phd life.

First and foremost I would like to thank Jo van den Brand and Henk Jan Bulten who gave me this opportunity to do research at Nikhef and had faith in me throughout my tenure at Nikhef. The first year and a half at Nikhef was tough but for Jo who always supported me and showed me new avenues of how I could make my knowledge of geophysics relevant to the gravitational wave community. So a big thank you to you for showing me the path forward.

I would like to thank Henk Jan Bulten who was my daily supervisor for always being encouraging and supportive. I cherish all the long meetings that we had, and the time spent during the field measurements at Virgo and Limburg. I enjoyed the car rides to Limburg and would not have been the same without you. Also thank you for going through every line of this thesis!

Meeting Xander Campman in 2015 in one of the meetings that we had at Nikhef was indeed a game changer in my research interests. All that I learnt about passive seismic, seismic array analysis would not have been possible without your help. It was pleasure working with you at Shell, Rijswijk and also special thanks to Jack Levell, Zijian Tang who sat with me for hours going through every line of my programs. It meant a lot!

Special thanks to Peter Hoogerbrugge who was my Shell-coach. Although we didn't meet enough during my tenure, but thanks for all the meetings that we had, it motivated me a lot. I would also like to thank Frank, my current boss at work who supported me and motivated me to have finally completed my thesis. I know it must have been difficult for you, but really my heartfelt thanks to you for having stayed by my side. Especially during the seismic campaign at Limburg during that cold winter of 2018 you supported me a lot and I will be always grateful to you for having faith in me. Also special gratitude to people from Innoseis who helped me a lot with getting the wireless sensors to work. Special mention to Mark Beker, Jules van Oven for all the help in the early days of my Phd. I would also like to thank Guillaume Lager from Innoseis for helping me numerous times in data-retrieval and helping me address small bugs with the working of the sensors.

I met Irene Fiori in the summer of 2017 at Virgo and she was instrumental in the noise hunting activities that I performed at Virgo. Thank you so much for being patient and helping me get acquainted with the already existing softwares for AdV. I am also very grateful that you showed me the inside of the Central Building and the input towers. It was so exciting for me. During my stay at Virgo I met Bas Swinkels, and special thanks to him for giving me ideas of how to hunt down mysterious sources of noise. Without your help we would have never figured out the drifting-lines noise source. Thanks to also Maddalena Mantovani, Paolo Ruggi and Ettore Majorana who helped with the noise injections at Virgo and taught me a lot about scattered light noise. I must not forget the discussions that I had with Antonino Chiummio. You were always very cordial and I learnt a lot from the discussions that I had with you. Special thanks to Alessio Cirone who shared his magnetic noise injection results with me. The list of people who helped me at Virgo gets endless and sorry if I forgot someone! During my visit to INGV, Pisa I met Gilberto Soccoroti and Carlo Giunchi who helped me a lot with their existing geophysical knowledge about the Virgo seismic environment. I really enjoyed reading your article on the impact of 'windmill noise' at Virgo. That was one of the first things I read when I started planning for the passive seismic study at AdV. I met Bjorn Vink, the geologist in 2017 and I am grateful for all the geological knowledge about Limburg that you shared with me. Thank you for being there on the field with me and others during that cold winter of 2018.

Now moving onto my colleagues at work, who supported me through both the good and bad days at work. To name a few: Archisman, Anuradha, Tim, Boris, Mangal, Pawan, Khunsang, Rakesh, Mandar, Adriaan, Rob, Daniela, Matteo and Chris. I cherish all the interesting conversations that we had during the coffee breaks or on Fridays after-work.

A special expression of gratitude to my Nikhef colleagues who accompanied me to the field trips at Limburg. So a big thank you to Eric, Niels, Martin, and Paul.

Reetam and Debajeet: the two people in my life without whom my Phd would have been so much more difficult. You are still two of my best friends and thanks for patiently listening to all the geophysics problems that I posed you with. Thank you for downloading numerous research articles for me. Credits to you two for keeping me motivated, telling me new research ideas and sharing the burden of my work at times. All this means so much to me till today!

Ramiz, Sourav, Anirban and Kushal: my best friends from my undergraduate studies: A big thank you to all three of you for being by my side and supporting me through the tough times. Special thanks to Sourav for sharing with me his ideas on how to design my thesis cover.

I did not make a lot of good friends in Amsterdam, but the few I made are all very special. Thank you Harshal for all the after-work table tennis sessions we had. All the intense forehand and backhand battles we had, the time spent at Maslow, all of these helped me keep my brain away from work and was so refreshing. John, whom I have known for only the last few months, but thanks to you for being my table tennis partner and also my jamming partner. Thanks for the encouragement, love and the friendship!

Sirshendu and Srinivasan: thank you for being the best housemates in the world and being supportive when I got back home after a long day at work. You always stood by my side and it means a lot.

Laura: although you are now my ex-colleague from Nikhef, you are also a very good friend! Needless to mention the summer of 2017 we spent at Virgo was memorable. The bike rides, the trip to Florence, all are so well etched in my memory. I know, I asked you a lot of ques-

tions on Virgo optics during the bike rides, but you always answered them! I learnt a lot from you during the stay. Also thanks for giving me company at Nikhef whenever I asked for it. Maria: I never thought I would find my best friend at work, but there you were! Thank you so much for all the support with work, life and everything really. All the interesting discussions during coffee breaks, the after-work chats, the concerts, the movies, the music, the field work and the list gets endless. Your questions always made me think deeper into the physics of the problem and your contribution has been instrumental in my Phd work and I cannot thank you enough.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank the three most important people in my life without whom I wouldn't have made it here. They are my parents and my girlfriend. Thank you *Ma* and *Baba* for having the faith in me. I know you sacrificed a lot so that I could be here, and I think it was worth it. Thanks for teaching me everything in life and it has surely steered to being a better person everyday. Mou, without you my Phd life would have been so much more stressful. Thanks for being on the phone for hours, listening to my troubles and being always there to keep me motivated through all the good, the bad and the ugly days. You keep me driven till to this day and hopefully will be by my side for many more years to come.

Soumen

