



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Physiology - Paris

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jphysparis

Review Paper

Linking neuroscience and psychoanalysis from a developmental perspective: Why and how?

Lisa Ouss-Ryngaert^{a,*}, Bernard Golse^b

^aNecker Hospital, Child Neurology Unit, Child Psychiatry Unit, Paris, France

^bNecker Hospital, Child Psychiatry Unit, Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Neuroscience
Psychoanalysis
Development
Attachment
Embodiment
Neuropsychanalysis

ABSTRACT

This paper aims to develop the rationale to support why and how we should link neuroscience and psychoanalysis. Many of these points are derived from child development and child psychiatry. Neuroscience investigates developmental questions in a different way than psychoanalysis, while psychoanalysis itself has shifted towards new developmental paradigms. The rapprochement between neuroscience and psychoanalysis allows a new understanding of some concepts, including embodiment of mind, consciousness and attachment. The “double reading” paradigm allows a better understanding of symptomatic configurations. Linking neuroscience and psychoanalysis may improve treatments and result in new experimental neuroscientific paradigms involving changing the research object, changing the state of the research object, and investigating the structural changes in the brain following psychotherapy. The last aim is to create an epistemology of the articulation between the theoretical frameworks through phenomenology, “complementarism” and neuropsychanalysis. We argue that it is necessary for clinicians to be aware of the advancements in each field. This is not only an epistemological question; we assume that new findings in neuroscience will change the way psychoanalysts think and approach treatment of their patients. We hope the present research will contribute to change the way that neuroscientists think and will provide new options to their set of experimental paradigms.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	00
2. Interests to connect neuroscience and psychoanalysis	00
2.1. Neuroscience investigates the field of development in a different way	00
2.2. A new reading of the concepts of consciousness, embodiment of mind and attachment	00
2.2.1. Embodiment of mind, consciousness and enactive mind.	00
2.2.2. Attachment as a regulatory system.	00
2.3. A better understanding of symptomatic configurations: The double reading paradigm	00
2.4. Towards improved treatments	00
2.4.1. An Integrative clinical view.	00
2.4.2. Analogies between parent–infant and therapist–patient relationships.	00
2.5. Changing experimental neuroscientific paradigms	00
2.5.1. Changing the research object.	00
2.5.2. Changing the state of the research object.	00
2.5.3. Investigating structural changes following psychotherapy	00
2.6. Creating an epistemology of the articulation between the two theoretical frameworks	00
3. Conclusion	00
References	00

* Corresponding author. Address: Hôpital Necker, Service de Neuropédiatrie, 149 rue de Sèvres, 75 015 Paris, France. Tel.: +33 1 44 49 48 54; fax: +33 1 42 19 26 92.

E-mail address: lisa.ouss@wanadoo.fr (L. Ouss-Ryngaert).

1. Introduction

Over the past 15 years, a number of papers have attempted to link neuroscience and psychoanalysis.¹ Numerous books on the topic have also been published; in fact, it is difficult to refer to all of them in this review as their number is constantly growing (Couvreur et al., 1996; Hochmann and Jeannerod, 1996; Virole, 1995, 2006; Shevrin et al., 1996; Lechevalier and Lechevalier, 1998; Harris, 1998; Siegel, 1999, 2007; Folensbee, 1999; Pally, 2000; Kaplan-Solms and Solms, 2002; Solms and Turnbull, 2002; Cozolino, 2002; Shore, 2003a; Levin, 2003, 2009; Green, 2003; Corrigan and Wilkinson, 2003; Ansermet and Magistretti, 2004; Pommier, 2004; Ramachandran, 2004; Mancia, 2006; Stora, 2006; Blackmore, 2006; Naccache, 2006; Mayes et al., 2007; Pragier and Faure-Pragier, 2007; Sasso, 2007; Folensbee, 2007; Bazan, 2007; Falissard, 2008; Laurent, 2008; Jurist et al., 2008; Talvitie, 2009; Ouss et al., 2009; etc.).

Their common concerns are the following:

- the importance of interactional epigenesis in the shaping of the brain, based on data about brain plasticity above all in the infant, but also throughout life;
- the importance of early trauma and attachment models as key conceptual paradigms that illustrate this early epigenesis;
- the importance of memory processes in the shaping of later psychopathological disorders;
- the balance between a predictive approach (early influences of the environment on later psychopathology) and the investigation of therapeutic effects based on an epigenetic model;
- a positive view of the mutual influences between the two disciplines and the claim that their theoretical links are of therapeutic interest;
- therapeutic propositions based on new neuroscientific knowledge. Therapeutic interventions are sometimes described from a multidisciplinary and eclectic perspective using techniques from psychoanalysis, cognitive science, and rehabilitation, within an integrative framework;
- an analogy between the mother–child and therapist–patient communication modes as “biopsychologically attuned dyads”, emphasizing the importance of infraverbal communication (emotional, embodied communication);
- questioning of the theoretical framework of psychoanalysis built largely on language-based phenomena.

This new epistemological field thus represents quite a challenge! In this paper we propose, from a child development perspective, six reasons and means to connect neuroscience and psychoanalysis.

2. Interests to connect neuroscience and psychoanalysis

2.1. Neuroscience investigates the field of development in a different way

Two opposite tendencies explain why neuroscience and psychoanalysis are combining in the field of development. Development is being conceptualized more and more as open to early environmental influences, and psychoanalytic models are becoming more and more rooted in early development, giving up what we call “adultomorphism”.

In the past, the developmental models were predictive, moving from a gene to a phenotype. The two major processes that characterize the new models are epigenesis (Meaney and Szyf, 2005) and plasticity (Ansermet and Magistretti, 2004), phenomena that arise

during early fetal development. Development is now understood as a complex transactional system in which genotype, phenotype and the environment have bidirectional effects (Sameroff and McKenzie, 2003). Gottlieb’s “probabilistic epigenesis” (2007) proposes a fourth level-model including gene activity, neural activity, behavior and environment. These dynamic models are extensions of the theory of complexity (Morin, 2008). Variability is an inherent quality of these systems, a stabilization factor that allows an infinite diversity. Variability is thus a developmental factor in itself: one cannot predict what will happen at time t because what will happen at $t + 1$ depends on what happened between t and $t + 1$, which may have bidirectional probabilistic effects on t and $t + 1$. Such systems cannot be reduced to their elements. A closed system is not viable and tends to disappear. In addition, it is extremely difficult to measure control parameters of such systems as they belong to both the subject and the environment (Pêcheux, 2004).

On the other hand, psychoanalysis has shifted from an abstract and theoretical reconstruction of early life to an account that incorporates the reality of very early experience between mother and child (Klein et al., 1952; Bion, 1962; Boston Change Process Study Group²), shifting from drive and infantile sexuality (Holmes, 1998; Green, 1995) as key motivations and concepts, to emotion, object relation (Meltzer, 1975; Tustin, 1981; Sander, 1987; Hobson, 2002; see Fonagy and Target, 2007 for a review) and self construction (Stern, 1985).

These complex models have a great influence on the way we conceive of and study development.

2.2. A new reading of the concepts of consciousness, embodiment of mind and attachment

2.2.1. Embodiment of mind, consciousness and enactive mind

The concept of embodied mind has been developed by several authors (Fonagy and Target, 2007; Klin and Jones, 2007) and is similar to the concept of enactive mind (Varela et al., 1991).

The data now available on early skills and, above all, on the effects of early interactive and affective experiences of newborns and infants have completely changed our understanding of the emergence of mind, consciousness, and cognitive processes. Recent developmental data have narrowed the classical gap between mind and body and have provided arguments for the rootedness of mental structures in early sensory and affective experiences.

In his “psychobiologically attuned dyad”, Shore (2003b) considers the caregiver as an “experience-dependent” external biopsychological regulator of the infant’s brain system. Trevarthen and Aitken (2001) proposes a dialogue between the internal vagal-limbic emotional system of self and the diencephalic neocortical cognitive system, which regulates the relationship with the environment. The emerging-self treats the caregiver’s signals and records the changes in the proto-self, responding to nonverbal signals (Damasio, 1999).

This biopsychological attunement is certainly related to the mirror systems (Rizzolatti et al., 2001), which are active during motor acts as well as motor imagery, sensory cues and complex emotions; Goldman and Sripada (2005) speak about “resonance without mediation” or “direct experience-dependent understanding” (Gallese, 2005).

2.2.2. Attachment as a regulatory system

Schore and Schore (2008) propose to transform attachment theory into a theory of affect regulation. Schore has developed most of his work on the influence of early interactive impairments on the right brain (namely the limbic and paralimbic regions) and on

¹ (153 papers, 90 published in the last 10 years and catalogued in PUBMED)

² <http://www.changeprocess.org/>

attachment constitution. Early experiences of trauma and abuse should have effects, via ontogenesis, on the development of the brain structures that underpin attachment.

Fonagy and Target (2007) offer a very interesting bridge between attachment and psychoanalysis through the advancement of cognitive science. They first propose that “Speculations about the nature of language . . . emphasize the origin of internal working models (and of representations in general) in early sensorimotor and emotional experiences with a caregiver. It is argued that language and symbolic thought may be phylogenetically and ontogenetically embodied, built on a foundation of gestures and actions, and are thus profoundly influenced by the experience of early physical interaction with the primary object.”

The following neuroscientific experiments support their theory of mentalization. Attachment is considered as a type of “addictive disorder”: the mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic reward circuit is activated in attachment situations (Insel, 1997, 2003). There is a similitude between the areas and neuromediators involved in maternal (Nitschke et al., 2004) and romantic love (Bartels and Zeki, 2000). Bartels and Zeki (2004) show that activation of the brain areas involved in attachment in turn deactivates areas involved in negative emotions, social judgment and mentalization. Being in an activated attachment state, such as the one elicited by early trauma, should therefore simultaneously inhibit mentalization (Fonagy and Target, 2008).

Attachment may serve as a paradigmatic concept (Holmes, 1998) on which a rapprochement between psychoanalysis and neuroscience could be built.

2.3. A better understanding of symptomatic configurations: The double reading paradigm

“Is it neurological (or cognitive) or is it psychopathological?” is a frequently asked question in child clinical psychopathology. Is it mind or brain? Is it defect or defense? This leads to tough competition between the cognitive behavioral and psychoanalytic schools of thought.

The paradigm of “double reading” provides an interesting and different way to ask this question. It assumes that for many developmental disorders, we can say both that neuropsychological disorders have a psychopathological expression and affect the constitution of the inner world and that psychopathological problems have a brain substratum. In this framework, a symptom can be considered both as an instrumental impairment and as a psychic defense. The double reading is a systematic way to consider both aspects as necessary, but not simultaneously. We will further explain how to employ this systematic method. This principle is used in our clinical evaluations of developmental disorders. Our research program (PILE, Necker hospital) proposes to study at-risk babies in the context of seven axes: medical, developmental, neuropsychological, psychopathological, attachment, psychodynamic and interactive.

From a developmental perspective, this framework allows one to conceive the links between environmental influences and their early effects on brain development, which in turn have effects on behavior and on the environment. For example, Field and her team (Jones et al., 1997; Field et al., 2006) demonstrate, in a bottom-up model, how the infants of depressed mothers show frontal EEG asymmetry at 3–4 months during interactions with their mothers. At 3 years old, they show the same pattern and are more inhibited during an exploratory play task and less empathetic during simulated maternal distress than children of non-depressed mothers. The effects of maternal depression are more severe for prenatal than for postnatal depression. Infant’s inhibited behavior may influence the way the mother responds to the child, creating a negative transactional spiral.

2.4. Towards improved treatments

2.4.1. An Integrative clinical view

We propose an integrative clinical view. Some authors (Cozolino, 2002; Siegel, 1999, 2007; Schore, 2003a,b) set out pragmatic propositions of an integrative view. Schore puts forth 20 “Principles of the psychotherapeutic treatment of early-forming right hemispheric self-pathology based upon the developmental models of Schore’s regulation theory”. Cozolino discusses six reasons why neuroscience can influence psychotherapeutic processes.

The double reading approach allows targeted, but not concurrent, interventions. How can we conceptualize such a therapeutic intervention while taking these two frameworks into account? One way involves integration within the therapist, in «one head». The questions that remain are: Is it possible and useful to introduce neuroscientific knowledge into therapy? Will it modify the therapeutic setting and technique? Or does it imply another type of therapy or setting? We propose a specific method in which the choice between two targets will depend on the nature of the disorder, its appearance, and its place in the patient’s psychic economy. Countertransference offers a useful tool by which to differentiate cognitive impairments and defense mechanisms. The Holmes “binocular vision” (1998) enables the therapist both to engage with the patient and to be aware of the nature of this engagement and belongs to this “one head, two eyes” vision. The other way is to imagine two clinicians (a cognitivist and a psychoanalyst) who collaborate to treat the patient: a “two heads” position. This would involve using a more classical teamwork technique and would require establishing a language compatible with both cognitive neuroscience and psychoanalysis.

2.4.2. Analogies between parent–infant and therapist–patient relationships

The therapist–patient relationship can be considered as the same kind of psychobiologically attuned dyad as the mother–infant dyad, in which intersubjective experiences are important. Schore uses neurobiological metaphors to describe this attunement: “I suggest that just as the left brain communicates its states to other left brains via conscious linguistic behaviors, so the right nonverbally communicates its unconscious states to other right brains that are tuned to receive these communications” (Schore, 2003b, p. 76).

The concepts of embodiment and enactive mind are good primary tools for establishing a psychobiological attunement between patient and therapist. We can call this “somatic countertransference” (Lebovici, 1998). Projective identification has already been described as an infraverbal mean of communication (Bion, 1962; Schore, 2003b). The state in which the therapist receives projective identification is analogous to maternal receptivity, an attuned biopsychological state that is coherent with attachment theory and neurobiological advances. These considerations are of importance in the pragmatic setting of therapy. The aims and means of the therapy should shift (Holmes, 1998) to place more focus on the “vertical” splitting of the self than on repression, shifting from “past unconscious” to “present unconscious” (Sandler and Sandler, 1984) and from transference repetition to new experience. Attachment offers a relevant framework in which to formulate these modifications.

2.5. Changing experimental neuroscientific paradigms

2.5.1. Changing the research object

The cognitivists’ objects of research have shifted in recent years. Cognitivists have shifted from a monadic point of view to a social cognition-based view, from a computer metaphor to an ecological approach, from a third-person to a first-person perspective, from

the measurement of external objectivity to internal subjectivity, and from a mind-brain separation to an “embodied” cognition (Varela et al., 1991; Fonagy and Target, 2007). We propose that cognitivists should study the relation process itself, in the same way as those who have attempted to describe a “neuroscience of psychotherapy” (Schore, 2003a; Siegel, 1999, 2007; Cozolino, 2002). Gerber and Peterson (2006) propose an experimental “transference paradigm” to be studied using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) that could provide evidence for what happens in the brain during therapy. These experiences are interesting first steps, although the experimental setting is quite far from ecological conditions. We have proposed to study what happens during the “co-construction” (Widlöcher, 1996) process of psychotherapy in separating the processes of co-associativity (HOW do I think with my patient?), co-thinking (WHAT do I think with my patient?), and enactment (What do I bodily FEEL with my patient?); this is close to what Fonagy and Target (2007) call the “metaphoric structure of language”. Our hypothesis is that the patient transmits his thinking processes to the therapist in a similar, analogical form. It could be useful to construct a “co-thinking” paradigm or countertransference evaluation, which could provide us with much information about what happens in the patient’s and therapist’s minds during therapy. The therapist’s mind is an excellent informer of this process: “The ‘cognitive’ component is largely the responsibility of the analyst whose job is to translate his/her countertransference perceptions into interpretations” (Holmes, 1998). Viewed in this manner, cognitive processes among therapists are important topics of research.

2.5.2. Changing the state of the research object

Almost all paradigms in fMRI are constrained to investigate a specific cognitive, perceptive or emotional task, usually in a context far from its ecological state. Raichle et al. (2001) proposes considering resting state networks as specific patterns of activation that provide information about the brain’s activity at rest, i.e., what happens most of the time. Margulies (2008) proposes an interesting experiment in which resting states are correlated with personality traits assessed with personality scales. This is one of the most interesting approaches for future experiments.

2.5.3. Investigating structural changes following psychotherapy

Neural plasticity, the phenomenon of reconsolidation (Alberini et al., 2006), and the concept of “trace” (Ansermet and Magistretti, 2004) all support arguments that suggest that environmental actions such as psychotherapy change the brain’s neural circuitry. For this reason, psychotherapy and its theoretical framework, psychoanalysis, are of importance to inflect neuroscientific paradigms. Some research has already shown the effect of psychotherapies on brain activity (Furmark et al., 2002; Paquette et al., 2003; Goldapple et al., 2004; Straube et al., 2006; for a review, see Roffman et al., 2005; Linden, 2006, 2008, and Brody et al., 2001). Different treatments (psychotherapy and medication) have similar effects, though they work through different mechanisms: top-down for drugs, bottom-up for psychotherapy. This research is of interest for two reasons: first, it illustrates that epigenesis includes the effect of relational actions; second, it aims to show that therapy (also) changes the brain’s state, or perhaps its structure.

2.6. Creating an epistemology of the articulation between the two theoretical frameworks

Three ways to articulate the frameworks of psychotherapy and neuroscience can be proposed.

The first is phenomenological. Since the Merleau-Ponty work (1945), phenomenology has lost its influence, probably due to developments in experimental brain research. However, research

in genetics shows that the precise description of phenotypes is of primary importance in defining genetic patterns. These phenotypes should include the value of one’s understanding and feeling of what happens in one’s mind and in body. Phenomenology offers a valuable framework for this thinking. One of the most important tasks is construction of a “baby phenomenology”. Scientific understanding of the early infant’s internal world has still remained a theoretical reconstruction based on that of the adult, rather than being built based on experimental data. The Rochat studies on the construction of the self in the infant (2009) seem adequately close to a phenomenologic approach.

The second framework is “complementarism” (Devereux, 1972). This neologism was first used in an ethnopsychiatric framework. Devereux describes a position that necessarily takes into account two theoretical frames, but not simultaneously. Successive shifts allow one to take a position, and then another. This is not a choice; it is a necessary position, an inevitable methodology that allows one to study the same object from two different points of view without equating one to the other. This framework seems among the most relevant.

The third is neuropsychanalysis. This is not a new discipline, but most likely a descendant of “complementarism”, a way to study a single object from two perspectives, the neuroscientific and the psychoanalytic. This neologism was created about 10 years ago by Solms. Neuropsychanalysis (NPSA) was first based on the study of brain-injured patients. Kaplan-Solms and Solms (2002) referred to the Luria and Freud work as they found that their understanding of their patients was enriched by these theories. Luria’s proposal of a “dynamic neuropsychology” and Freud’s analysis of the unconscious were found compatible by Solms and he used these two tools for treatment of his patients. NPSA was then extended to a more general method of considering the exploration of the brain substrata of conscious and unconscious mental processes and their relationships. Northoff et al. (2007) proposed a dialectic between a first-person perspective and a third-person perspective that includes two levels of investigation: the mind, with its unconscious part, and the material part that underpins it, the brain.

3. Conclusion

We have tried to develop many reasons to support why and how we should link neuroscience and psychoanalysis. Many of these reasons are derived from child development and child psychiatry. We think it is necessary to be aware of the advancements in each field. Férida (1990) proposes that we should be malleable and let ourselves be distorted by other theories without forgetting their fundamental heterogeneity. This is not simply an epistemological question; we assume that new findings in neuroscience will change the way psychoanalysts think and treat their patients. We hope this approach will also change the way neuroscientists think and will affect their choice of experimental paradigms.

References

- Alberini, C.M., Milekic, M.H., Tronel, S., 2006. Mechanisms of memory stabilization and de-stabilization. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 63 (9), 999–1008.
- Ansermet, F., Magistretti, P., 2004. *A Chacun Son Cerveau Plasticité Neuronale et Inconscient*. Odile Jacob, Paris.
- Bartels, A., Zeki, S., 2000. The neural basis of romantic love. *Neuroreport* 11 (17), 3829–3834.
- Bartels, A., Zeki, S., 2004. The neural correlates of maternal and romantic love. *Neuroimage* 21 (3), 1155–1166.
- Bazan, A., 2007. Des fantômes dans la voix. In: *Une Hypothèse Neuropsychanalytique sur la Structure de l’Inconscient*. Voix Psychanalytiques Liber, Montreal.
- Bion, W.R., 1962. *Learning from Experience*. Heinemann, London.

- Blackmore, S., 2006. Conversations on consciousness. In: What the Best Minds Think About the Brain, Free Will, and What it Means to be Human. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Brody, A.L., Saxena, S., Stoessel, P., Gillies, L.A., Fairbanks, L.A., Alborzian, S., Phelps, M.E., Huang, S.C., Wu, H.M., Ho, M.L., Ho, M.K., Au, S.C., Maidment, K., Baxter Jr., L.R., 2001. Regional brain metabolic changes in patients with major depression treated with either paroxetine or interpersonal therapy: preliminary findings. *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* 58 (7), 631-640.
- Corrigall, J., Wilkinson, H., 2003. Revolutionary connections. In: Psychotherapy and Neuroscience. Karnac, London.
- Couvreur, C., Oppenheimer, A., Perron, R., Schaeffer, J., 1996. Psychoanalyse, neurosciences, cognitivisme. In: *Débats de Psychanalyse*. PUF, RFP, Paris.
- Cozolino, L., 2002. The neuroscience of psychotherapy. In: *Building and Rebuilding the Human Brain*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York, London.
- Damasio, A., 1999. The Feeling of What Happens: Body, Emotion and the Making of Consciousness. Heinemann, London.
- Devereux, G., 1972. *Ethnopsychanalyse Complémentariste*. Flammarion, Paris.
- Falissard, B., 2008. *Cerveau et Psychoanalyse: Tentative de Réconciliation*. L'Harmattan, Paris.
- Fédida, P., 1990. A propos de l'article de D. Widlöcher. *Revue Int. Psychopathol.* 2, 357-364.
- Field, T., Diego, M., Hernandez-Reif, M., 2006. Prenatal depression effects on the fetus and newborn: a review. *Infant Behav. Dev.* 29 (3), 445-455.
- Folensbee, R.W., 1999. *The Neuroscience of Psychological Therapies*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Folensbee, R.W., 2007. *The Neuroscience of Psychological Therapies*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Fonagy, P., Target, M., 2007. The rooting of the mind in the body: new links between attachment theory and psychoanalytic thought. *J. Am. Psychoanal. Assoc.* 55 (2), 411-456.
- Fonagy, P., Target, M., 2008. Attachment, trauma and psychoanalysis: where attachment meets neuroscience. In: Jurist, E., Slade, A., Bergner, S. (Eds.), *In Mind to Mind: Infant Research Neuroscience and Psychoanalysis*. Other Press, New York, pp. 15-49.
- Furmark, T., Tillfors, M., Marteinsdottir, I., Fischer, H., Pissioti, A., Långström, B., Fredrikson, M., 2002. Common changes in cerebral blood flow in patients with social phobia treated with citalopram or cognitive-behavioral therapy. *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* 59 (5), 425-433.
- Gallese, V., 2005. Embodied simulation: from neurons to phenomenal experience. *Phenomenol Cogn. Sci.* 4, 23-48.
- Gerber, A.J., Peterson, B.S., 2006. Measuring transference phenomena with fMRI. *J. Am. Psychoanal. Assoc.* 54 (4), 1319-1325.
- Goldapple, K., Segal, Z., Garson, C., La, M., Bieling, P., Kennedy, S., Mayberg, H., 2004. Modulation of cortical-limbic pathways in major depression: treatment-specific effects of cognitive behavior therapy. *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* 61 (1), 34-41.
- Goldman, A.I., Sripada, C.S., 2005. Simulationist models of face-based emotion recognition. *Cognition* 94, 193-213.
- Gottlieb, G., 2007. Probabilistic epigenesis. *Dev. Sci.* 10 (1), 1-11.
- Green, A., 1995. Has sexuality anything to do with psychoanalysis? *Int. J. Psychoanal.* 76, 871-883.
- Green, V., 2003. Emotional development in psychoanalysis, attachment theory and neuroscience. In: *Creating Connections*. Brunner-Routledge, Hove and New York.
- Harris, J.E., 1998. How the brain talks to itself. In: *A Clinical Primer of Psychotherapeutic Neuroscience*. The Haworth Press, New York, London.
- Hobson, P., 2002. *The Cradle of Thought: Explorations of the Origins of Thinking*. Macmillan, Oxford.
- Hochmann, J., Jeannerod, M., 1996. *Esprit, où es-tu?* In: *Psychanalyse et Neurosciences*. Odile Jacob, Paris.
- Holmes, J., 1998. The changing aims of psychoanalytic psychotherapy: an integrative perspective. *Int. J. Psychoanal.* 79, 227-240.
- Insel, T.R., 1997. A neurobiological basis of social attachment. *Am. J. Psychiat.* 154 (6), 726-735.
- Insel, T.R., 2003. Is social attachment an addictive disorder? *Physiol. Behav.* 79 (3), 351-357.
- Jones, N.A., Field, T., Davalos, M., Pickens, J., 1997. EEG stability in infants/children of depressed mothers. *Child Psychiat. Hum. Dev.* 28 (2), 59-70.
- Jurist, E.L., Slade, A., Bergner, S., 2008. *Mind to mind*. In: *Infant research Neuroscience and Psychoanalysis*. Other Press, New York.
- Kaplan-Solms, K., Solms, M., 2002. *Clinical studies in neuro-psychoanalysis*. Introduction to a Depth Neuropsychology. Karnak Books, London.
- Klein, M., Heimann, P., Issacs, S., Rivière, J., 1952. *Developments in Psycho-Analysis*. Hogarth Press, London.
- Klin, A., Jones, W., 2007. Embodied psychoanalysis? On the confluence of psychodynamic theory and developmental science. In: Mayes, L., Fonagy, P., Target, M. (Eds.), *Developmental Science and Psychoanalysis: Integration and Innovation*. Karnac Books, London, pp. 5-38.
- Laurent, E., 2008. *Lost in Cognition: Psychoanalyse et Sciences Cognitives*. Cécile Default, Paris.
- Lebovici, S., 1998. La psychosomatique et la recherche. *Revue Française Psychanal.* LXII (5), 1465-1476.
- Lechevalier, B., Lechevalier, B., 1998. *Le corps et le sens*. Dialogue entre une Psychanalyste et un Neurologue. Delachaux et Niestlé, Lausanne, Paris.
- Levin, F.M., 2003. Mapping the mind. The Intersection of Psychoanalysis and Neuroscience. Karnac Books, London.
- Levin, F., 2009. Emotion and the Psychodynamics of the Cerebellum: A Neuro-Psychoanalytic Analysis and Synthesis. Karnac Books, London.
- Linden, D.E., 2006. How psychotherapy changes the brain-the contribution of functional neuroimaging. *Mol. Psychiat.* 11 (6), 528-538.
- Linden, D.E., 2008. Brain imaging and psychotherapy: methodological considerations and practical implications. *Eur. Arch. Psychiat. Clin. Neurosci.* 258 (5), 71-75.
- Mancia, M., 2006. *Psychoanalysis and Neuroscience*. Springer-Verlag, Milan.
- Margulies, D.S., 2008. Functional connectivity of anterior cingulate varies with defense styles: a resting-state fMRI approach. Communication NPSC Congress, June 2008, Montreal.
- Mayes, L., Fonagy, P., Target, M., 2007. *Developmental science and psychoanalysis*. Integration and Innovation. Karnac, London.
- Meaney, M.J., Szyf, M., 2005. Environmental programming of stress responses through DNA methylation: life at the interface between a dynamic environment and a fixed genome. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 7, 103-123.
- Meltzer, D., 1975. *Explorations in Autism: A Psycho-Analytical Study*. Clunie Press, StrathTay.
- Merleau-Ponty, M., 1945. *Phénoménologie de la Perception*. Gallimard, Paris.
- Morin, E., 2008. *On Complexity*. Hampton Press, New York.
- Naccache, L., 2006. *Le nouvel inconscient*. Freud Christophe Colomb des Neurosciences. Odile Jacob, Paris.
- Nitschke, J.B., Nelson, E.E., Rusch, B.D., Fox, A.S., Oakes, T.R., Davidson, R.J., 2004. Orbitofrontal cortex tracks positive mood in mothers viewing pictures of their newborn infants. *Neuroimage* 21 (2), 583-592.
- Northoff, G., Bermpohl, F., Schoeneich, F., Boeker, H., 2007. How does our brain constitute defense mechanisms? First-person neuroscience and psychoanalysis. *Psychother. Psychosom.* 76, 141-153.
- Ouss, L., Golse, B., Georgieff, N., Widlöcher, D., 2009. *Vers une Neuropsychanalyse*. Odile Jacob, Paris.
- Pally, R., 2000. *The Mind-Brain Relationship*. Karnac, London.
- Paquette, V., Lévesque, J., Mensour, B., Leroux, J.M., Beaudoin, G., Bourgouin, P., Beaugard, M., 2003. Change the mind and you change the brain: effects of cognitive-behavioral therapy on the neural correlates of spider phobia. *Neuroimage* 18 (2), 401-409.
- Pêcheux, M., 2004. Une approche socio-constructiviste du développement cognitif du jeune enfant. In: Lécuyer, R. (Ed.), *Le Développement Cognitif du Nourrisson*. Dunod, Paris, pp. 425-453.
- Pommier, G., 2004. *Comment les Neurosciences Démontrent la Psychanalyse*. Flammarion, Paris.
- Pragier, G., Faure-Pragier, S., 2007. *Repenser la Psychanalyse avec les Sciences*. PUF, Le fil rouge, Paris.
- Raichle, M.E., MacLeod, A.M., Snyder, A.Z., Powers, W.J., Gusnard, D.A., Shulman, G.L., 2001. A default mode of brain function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98 (2), 676-682.
- Ramachandran, V.S., 2004. *A Brief Tour of Human Consciousness*. Pi Press, New York.
- Rizzolatti, G., Fogassi, L., Gallese, V., 2001. Neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the understanding ad imitation of action. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 661-670.
- Rochat, P., 2009. *Others in Mind: Social Origins of Self-Consciousness*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Roffman, J.L., Marci, C.D., Glick, D.M., Dougherty, D.D., Rauch, S.L., 2005. Neuroimaging and the functional neuroanatomy of psychotherapy. *Psychol. Med.* 35 (10), 1385-1398.
- Sameroff, A.J., Mackenzie, M.J., 2003. Research strategies for capturing transactional models of development: the limits of the possible. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 15 (3), 613-640.
- Sander, L.W., 1987. Awareness of inner experience: a systems perspective on self-regulatory process in early development. *Child Abuse Neglect.* 2, 339-346.
- Sandler, J., Sandler, A.M., 1984. The past unconscious, the present unconscious, and interpretations of the transference. *Psychoanal. Inq.* 4, 367-399.
- Sasso, G., 2007. The development of consciousness. An integrative model of child Development. Neuroscience and Psychoanalysis. Karnac, London.
- Schore, A.N., 2003a. *Affect Dysregulation and Disorders of the Self*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York, London.
- Schore, A.N., 2003b. *Affect Dysregulation and Repair of the Self*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York, London.
- Schore, J.R., Schore, A.N., 2008. Modern attachment theory: the central role of affect regulation in development and treatment. *Clin. Soc. Work J.* 36, 9-20.
- Shevrin, H., Bond, J.A., Brakel, L.A., 1996. *Conscious and Unconscious Processes: Psychodynamic, Cognitive and Neurophysiological Convergences*. Guilford Press, New York.
- Siegel, D.J., 1999. *The Developing Mind: How Relationships and the Brain Interact to Shape Who We Are*. Guilford Press, New York.
- Siegel, D.J., 2007. *The Mindful Brain: Reflection and Attunement in the Cultivation of We006Cl-being*. Norton & Company, New York, London.
- Solms, M., Turnbull, O., 2002. *The Brain as the Inner World: An Introduction to the Neuroscience of Subjective Experience*. Other Press, New York.
- Stern, D.N., 1985. *The Interpersonal World of the Infant: A View from Psychoanalysis and Developmental Psychology*. Basic Books, New York.
- Stora, J.B., 2006. *La Neuro-Psychanalyse*. PUF, Que sais-je, Paris.
- Straube, T., Glauer, M., Dilger, S., Mentzel, H.J., Miltner, W.H., 2006. Effects of cognitive-behavioral therapy on brain activation in specific phobia. *Neuroimage* 29 (1), 125-135.

- Talvitie, V., 2009. The Freudian Unconscious and Cognitive Neuroscience. From Unconscious Fantasies to Neural Algorithms. Karnac Books, London.
- Trevarthen, C., Aitken, A.J., 2001. Infant intersubjectivity: research, theory, and clinical applications. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiat.* 42 (1), 3–48.
- Tustin, F., 1981. *Autistic States in Children*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.
- Varela, F., Thompson, E., Rosch, E., 1991. *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience*. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Virole, B., 1995. *Sciences Cognitives et Psychanalyse*. Presses Universitaires de Nancy, Nancy.
- Virole, B. 2006. *Psychopathologie et Complexité: Pour un modèle unitaire de la schizophrénie*. Editions scientifiques GB, Paris.
- Widlöcher, D., 1996. *Les Nouvelles Cartes de la Psychanalyse*. Odile Jacob, Paris.