

# EFFECTIVE COMPUTATION OF GENERALIZED ABELIAN COMPLEXITY FOR PISOT TYPE SUBSTITUTIVE SEQUENCES

JEAN-MICHEL COUVREUR, MARTIN DELACOURT, NICOLAS OLLINGER,  
PIERRE POPOLI, JEFFREY SHALLIT, AND MANON STIPULANTI

ABSTRACT. Generalized abelian equivalence compares words by their factors up to a certain bounded length. The associated complexity function counts the equivalence classes for factors of a given size of an infinite sequence. How practical is this notion? When can these equivalence relations and complexity functions be computed efficiently? We study the fixed points of substitution of Pisot type. Each of their  $k$ -abelian complexities is bounded and the Parikh vectors of their length- $n$  prefixes form synchronized sequences in the associated Dumont–Thomas numeration system. Therefore, the  $k$ -abelian complexity of Pisot substitution fixed points is automatic in the same numeration system. Two effective generic construction approaches are investigated using the Walnut theorem prover and are applied to several examples. We obtain new properties of the Tribonacci sequence, such as a uniform bound for its factor balancedness together with a two-dimensional linear representation of its generalized abelian complexity functions.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11B85, 68R15, 68Q45

---

*Keywords*: Generalized abelian complexity, morphisms, substitutions, fixed points, Pisot type substitutions, primitive substitutions, abstract numeration systems, Dumont–Thomas numeration systems, automatic sequences, synchronized sequences, regular sequences, Walnut theorem prover

---

A companion repository containing source code and files produced to prepare this document can be found as follows: <https://github.com/nopid/abcomp/>.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider sequences  $\mathbf{x}$  over a finite alphabet. One metric that has recently received some serious attention [17] since its introduction by Richomme et al. [34] in 2011 is their *abelian complexity*. It counts the number of distinct Parikh vectors of factors (i.e., contiguous blocks) that occur in  $\mathbf{x}$ . The *Parikh vector* of a finite word records the number of occurrences of the distinct letters of the alphabet in that word. (See Section 2 for definitions and notation.) We deal with some generalizations of the abelian complexity, the so-called  $k$ -*abelian complexity* (for some positive integer  $k$ ) defined by Karhumäki et al. [21]. For a positive integer  $k$  and an integer  $n$ , the map  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n)$  gives the number of length- $n$  factors of  $\mathbf{x}$  that are  $k$ -*abelian equivalent*, i.e., they share the same number of occurrences of factors of length at most  $k$ .

It turns out that the literature on generalized abelian complexity is limited to some famous examples. For instance, there is a characterization of Sturmian sequences [21]. However, computing the exact values of generalized abelian complexities is quite challenging. Nonetheless, several papers [14, 20, 26, 29] suggest a

conjecture about the inner structure of  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k$  when  $\mathbf{x}$  is produced by a finite automaton, namely, the  $k$ -abelian complexity of an  $\ell$ -automatic sequence is itself  $\ell$ -regular. In this paper, we reinvestigate this conjecture and we provide two effective methods to construct a deterministic finite automaton with output (DFAO) that computes the  $k$ -abelian complexity of sequences satisfying some mild assumptions. Both methods use the theorem prover **Walnut** [27, 40] that relies on translating first-order logic predicates into automata and vice versa.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the setting of classical and abelian combinatorics on words, as well as the families of automatic, synchronized, and regular sequences. In Section 3, we develop the first approach, which assumes that the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is uniformly factor-balanced, i.e., the quantity  $||u|_w - |v|_w|$  is uniformly bounded for factors  $u, v$ , and  $w$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  ( $u, v$  have equal length). In this case, we show that the generalized abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{x}$  is regular. An innovative feature of the method, compared to previous literature, is to consider  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{k \geq 1, n \geq 0}$  as a two-dimensional sequence. We illustrate the effectiveness of the construction on several examples in Section 3.1 to Section 3.4. In particular, we provide new results about the well-studied Tribonacci sequence. Then Section 4 is devoted to our second method, where we consider fixed points of Pisot substitutions. (For a general discussion about Pisot type substitutions; see [31].) First, in Section 4.1, we obtain a DFAO computing the abelian complexity of these sequences, and as an application, we consider Parikh-collinear substitutive sequences. Then, under a slightly different assumption, we show a similar result in Section 4.2 for the generalized abelian complexity. The second method is different from the first, as we study the  $k$ -abelian complexity for a fixed  $k$  and we translate the computation into that of the abelian complexity of the length- $k$  sliding-block code. We note that this second method applies to a larger class of sequences. We also illustrate it on one specific word, known as the Narayana word, in Section 4.3. We finish the paper with some open questions and conjectures in Section 5.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

**2.1. General and abelian combinatorics on words.** Let  $A^*$  denote the set of *finite words* over the alphabet  $A$  equipped with concatenation, and let  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  denote the set of (*infinite*) *sequences* over the same alphabet. We write infinite sequences in bold. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $A^n$  denote the set of length- $n$  words over  $A$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  denote the *empty word*. For  $\mathbf{x} \in A^* \cup A^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we let  $|\mathbf{x}|$  denote its *length*. Let  $\mathbf{x}[i]$  denote the letter appearing in position  $0 \leq i < |\mathbf{x}|$  inside  $\mathbf{x}$ . A *factor*  $u \in A^*$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  is a sequence of consecutive letters appearing in  $\mathbf{x}$ , i.e.,  $u = \mathbf{x}[i] \cdots \mathbf{x}[i+n]$  for some  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$  denote the *set of factors* of  $\mathbf{x}$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) \cap A^n$  denote its the set of length- $n$  factors. We let  $p_{\mathbf{x}}$  denote the *factor complexity* of  $\mathbf{x}$ , i.e., the map  $p_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, n \mapsto \#\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x})$ .

For a word  $u \in A^*$ , its *Parikh vector*  $\psi(u) \in \mathbb{N}^A$  is defined as  $\psi(u)[a] = |u|_a$  for  $a \in A$ , where  $|u|_a$  denotes the number of occurrences of the letter  $a$  in  $u$ . The *abelian complexity* of a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined as  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{ab}}(n) = \#\{\psi(u) \mid u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x})\}$ , i.e., the number of different Parikh vectors obtained on factors of  $\mathbf{x}$  for a given factor length [34]. A generalization of the abelian complexity is the so-called *k-abelian complexity* for some positive integer  $k \geq 1$  [21]. Two words  $u$  and  $v$  are *k-abelian equivalent* if  $|u|_x = |v|_x$  for every word  $x$  of length at most  $k$ , where  $|w|_x$  denotes the number of occurrences of the factor  $x$  in the word  $w$ . We write  $u \sim_k v$ . When  $k = 1$ , we simply talk about *abelian equivalence*. For two same-length words  $u, v$ , we also define  $u \sim_{=k} v$  if  $|u|_x = |v|_x$  for every word  $x$  of length exactly  $k$ .

It turns out that there is an equivalent definition for  $k$ -abelian equivalent words [21, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u, v \in A^*$  be two finite words and  $k \geq 1$ . The following statements are equivalent characterizations of  $u \sim_k v$ :*

- (1) *The following two conditions are satisfied:*
  - (a) *If  $|u| < k$  or  $|v| < k$ , then  $u = v$ ;*
  - (b) *Otherwise  $u \sim_{=k} v$  and the length- $(k-1)$  prefixes and the length- $(k-1)$  suffixes of  $u$  and  $v$  are equal.*
- (2) *We have  $|u| = |v|$  and the following two conditions are satisfied:*
  - (a) *If  $|u| < k$ , then  $u = v$ ;*
  - (b) *Otherwise  $u \sim_{=k} v$  and the length- $(k-1)$  prefixes of  $u$  and  $v$  are equal.*

The  $k$ -abelian complexity of a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined as  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x}) / \sim_k$ , i.e., we count length- $n$  factors of  $\mathbf{x}$  up to  $k$ -abelian equivalence. Similarly, we define the exact  $k$ -abelian complexity  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{k}}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  as  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{k}}(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x}) / \sim_{=k}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a sequence. We have  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{ab} = \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^1 = \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{1}}$ . For each integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

- (1) *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) \leq \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}(n)$ ;*
- (2) *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{k}}(n) \leq \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{i}}(n)$ ;*
- (3) *For all  $n < k$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) = p_{\mathbf{x}}(n)$ .*

*Proof.* The first item follows because if  $u \sim_{k+1} v$  then  $u \sim_k v$ . The second item is true as the set of words of length at most  $k$  is given by  $\cup_{0 \leq i \leq k} A^i$ , thus we have  $u \sim_k v$  if and only if  $u \sim_{=i} v$  for all  $i \leq k$ . The third item follows by the first item of Lemma 1.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.** In contrast with abelian equivalences, we do not have the implication “ $u \sim_{=(k+1)} v \Rightarrow u \sim_{=k} v$ ” for all words  $u, v$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For example, we have  $0100 \sim_{=2} 1001$  but  $0100 \not\sim_{=1} 1001$ . Therefore we cannot guarantee, as the first item of Lemma 2, that exact  $k$ -abelian complexities are increasingly nested with the same argument, i.e., we do not necessarily have  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{k}}(n) \leq \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\bar{k}+1}(n)$  for all  $k, n$ .

There is a characterization of bounded  $k$ -abelian complexities, as follows. Let  $C$  be a positive integer. A sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $C$ -balanced if, for all factors  $u, v$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  of equal length and for every letter  $a \in A$ , we have  $||u|_a - |v|_a| \leq C$ . When  $C = 1$ , we usually omit the dependence on  $C$ , and the word is simply called *balanced*. We have the following folklore result.

**Lemma 4.** *A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  has bounded abelian complexity if and only if  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $C$ -balanced for some positive integer  $C$ .*

A generalization of  $C$ -balancedness is the following one. Let  $k$  and  $C_k$  be positive integers. A sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced if, for all factors  $u, v$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  of equal length and for each  $w \in A^k$ , we have  $||u|_w - |v|_w| \leq C_k$ . The boundedness of the generalized abelian complexity is related to the generalized balancedness as follows.

**Lemma 5** ([21, Lemma 5.2.]). *Let  $k$  be a positive integer. A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  has bounded  $k$ -abelian complexity if and only if  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced for some positive integer  $C_k$ .*

In particular, if  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k$  is bounded by  $C_k$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(k, C_k - 1)$ -balanced; conversely, if  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced, then  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k \leq (C_k + 1)^k$  [21, Lemma 5.2.]. However, these bounds are far from being optimal in general (e.g., see Theorem 11).

A *morphism* is a map  $\tau: A^* \rightarrow B^*$  compatible with concatenation, i.e., such that  $\tau(uv) = \tau(u)\tau(v)$  for all  $u, v \in A^*$ . It is completely defined by its restriction  $\tau|_A: A \rightarrow B^*$  to single letters. A *substitution*  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  is the restriction of a morphism  $\tau: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ . A *fixed point* of a substitution  $\tau$  is a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\tau(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ . A substitution  $\tau$  is *prolongable* on a letter  $a \in A$  if

$\tau(a) = au$  for some  $u \in A^*$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau^n(a)| = +\infty$ . The associated *fixed point*  $\tau^\omega(a)$  is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n(a) = a \prod_{n \geq 0} \tau^n(u)$ . The *incidence matrix* of a substitution  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  is the matrix  $M_\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{A \times A}$ , the  $(i, j)$  entry of which is  $|\tau(a_i)|_{a_j}$  where  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . A substitution  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  is *primitive* if the corresponding matrix  $M_\tau$  is primitive.

**2.2. Automatic, synchronized, and regular sequences.** An *abstract numeration system with zeros (ANSZ)*  $\mathcal{N}$  [22] is a tuple  $(L, A, <, 0)$  where  $A$  is a finite alphabet ordered by  $<$  of minimal element  $0 \in A$  and  $L \subseteq A^*$  is an infinite language of valid integer representations containing  $\varepsilon$  and such that  $w \in L \Leftrightarrow 0w \in L$  for all word  $w \in A^*$ . The encoding  $\text{rep}_{\mathcal{N}}(n)$  of an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the  $n$ th element of  $L \setminus 0^+L$  in *radix order*: for all  $u, v \in A^*$ , let  $u < v$  if  $|u| < |v|$  or if  $|u| = |v|$ ,  $u \neq v$  and  $u_i < v_i$  for the smallest  $i$  such that  $u_i \neq v_i$ . The valuation  $\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(u)$  of a word  $u \in L$  is  $\text{rep}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(v)$  for the only  $v \in L \setminus 0^+L$  such that  $u \in 0^*v$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the *canonical isomorphism* between  $\cup_{n \geq 0} (A^n \times B^n)$  and  $(A \times B)^*$  for all alphabets  $A, B$ . A numeration system is *regular* if both  $L$  and the addition relation  $\{\langle x, y, z \rangle \mid \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(y) = \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(z)\}$  form regular languages.

A sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *automatic in an abstract numeration system*  $\mathcal{N}$  (or simply  *$\mathcal{N}$ -automatic*) if  $\mathbf{x}$  can be computed by a DFAO in  $\mathcal{N}$ : the output of the DFAO on input  $u \in A^*$  is defined only if  $\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(u)$  is defined and in this case it is equal to  $\mathbf{x}[\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(u)]$ . A sequence  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^m$  form a *synchronized sequence in an abstract numeration system*  $\mathcal{N}$  (or simply  *$\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized*) if

$$\{\langle x, y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle \mid s(\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)) = (\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(y_1), \dots, \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}}(y_m))\}$$

is a regular language. Finally, a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *regular in an abstract numeration system*  $\mathcal{N}$  (or simply  *$\mathcal{N}$ -regular*) if there exist a row vector  $\lambda$ , a column vector  $\gamma$ , and a matrix-valued morphism  $\mu: A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}[n] = \lambda \mu(\text{rep}_{\mathcal{N}}(n)) \gamma$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The triple  $(\lambda, \mu, \gamma)$  is called a *linear representation* of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Among all linear representations computing the same function, representations of minimal dimension are called *reduced representations* (sometimes called minimized in the literature). These families of sequences are stable under several operations (e.g., sum, external product, and Hadamard product). For more on these families of sequences, for instance see [3, 4, 5, 10, 35, 38, 40].

### 3. THE CASE OF UNIFORMLY FACTOR-BALANCED SEQUENCES

Our first approach to the computation of generalized abelian complexities deals with automatic sequences that are uniformly factor-balanced, namely, sequences for which the quantity  $\|u\|_w - \|v\|_w$  is uniformly bounded when factors  $u, v$  and  $w$  of the sequence vary with  $|u| = |v|$ . Under this hypothesis, the generalized abelian equivalence predicate is synchronized and the two-dimensional generalized abelian complexity is regular. Since by Lemma 5 the  $k$ -abelian complexity is bounded for fixed values of  $k$ , every  $k$ -abelian complexity function is also automatic. We say that a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *uniformly factor-balanced* if there exists a uniform bound  $C$  such that  $\|u\|_w - \|v\|_w \leq C$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The factors of an automatic sequence are well captured by their appearance inside the sequence given by an index and a length. Let  $\mathbf{x}[i..i+n]$  denote the length- $n$  factor of  $\mathbf{x}$  starting at position  $i$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}[i] \cdots \mathbf{x}[i+n-1]$ . This leads to the

definition of the following relations and functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{feq}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(i, j, n) \mid \mathbf{x}[i..i+n] = \mathbf{x}[j..j+n]\}; \\ \text{abexeq}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(i, j, k, n) \mid \mathbf{x}[i..i+n+k] \sim_k \mathbf{x}[j..j+n+k]\}; \\ \text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(i, j, k, n) \mid \mathbf{x}[i..i+n] \sim_k \mathbf{x}[j..j+n]\}; \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n) &= |\mathbf{x}[j_1..j_1+n+k]|_{\mathbf{x}[i..i+k]} - |\mathbf{x}[j_2..j_2+n+k]|_{\mathbf{x}[i..i+k]}; \\ \text{bal}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(i, j_1, j_2, k, n) \mid \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6.** *The balance function  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  of a uniformly factor-balanced  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic. The relation  $\text{feq}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized. Thus, the predicate  $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n, u)$  that tests if  $j \leq u \leq j+n$  and  $\text{feq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k)$  is also  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized. Given a deterministic finite automaton (DFA) that recognizes  $\text{occ}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n, u)$ , one can count the number of accepting paths for a given tuple  $(i, j, k, n)$  to obtain a  $\mathcal{N}$ -regular linear representation for  $|\mathbf{x}[j..j+n+k]|_{\mathbf{x}[i..i+k]}$ . Combining the linear representation with itself, one obtains a linear representation for  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$ . As  $\mathbf{x}$  is uniformly factor-balanced, this linear representation has a finite image. Using the semigroup trick [40, Section 4.11], we obtain that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic. ■

As the relation  $\text{bal}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  simply tests if  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n) = 0$ , we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 7.** *If the balance function  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  of a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic then its balancedness relation  $\text{bal}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized.*

**Lemma 8.** *If the balancedness relation  $\text{bal}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  of a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized, then the associated abelian equivalence relations  $\text{abexeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$  and  $\text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$  are  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized and the two-dimensional generalized abelian complexity function  $(k, n) \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -regular.*

*Proof.* The relation  $\text{abexeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$  can be expressed as  $\forall p \text{ bal}_{\mathbf{x}}(p, i, j, k, n)$ . Following Lemma 1, the relation  $\text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$  can be expressed as a disjunction between  $\text{feq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, n)$  when  $n < k$  and  $\text{feq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k-1) \wedge \text{abexeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n-k)$  when  $n \geq k$ . Once this relation is  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized, one can define the first occurrence of equivalent factors and from there derive a linear representation for  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n)$  using the path-counting technique [40, Section 9.8], making the function  $(k, n) \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n)$   $\mathcal{N}$ -regular. ■

Combining the previous lemmas we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a uniformly factor-balanced  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic sequence. Its abelian equivalence relation  $\text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized and its two-dimensional generalized abelian complexity function  $(k, n) \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -regular.*

**3.1. Effective computation.** Our first approach to compute the generalized abelian complexity is quite naive and direct. It turned out to be quite computer-intensive. We were able to apply this approach only to a limited number of automatic sequences, proving a tight bound on their uniformly factor-balancedness in the process:

- Some Sturmian sequences, the generalized abelian complexity of which is well known (see Theorem 10):
  - The Fibonacci sequence  $\mathbf{f} = \varphi^\omega(0)$  where  $\varphi : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 0$ ;
  - The Pell sequence  $\mathbf{p} = \tau^\omega(0)$  where  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 0$ ;
- The Tribonacci sequence  $\mathbf{t} = \tau^\omega(0)$  where  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ ;

- Some  $k$ -uniform fixed point  $\mathbf{b} = \beta^\omega(0)$  where  $\beta : 0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 010$ .

The implementation combines several tools. The licofage toolkit [28] was used to generate Dumont–Thomas numeration systems for fixed points of substitutions. The Walnut theorem prover [27, 40] was used to manipulate first-order formulas and synchronized predicates. Some specific C++ programs were developed on top of the Awali [24] library to manipulate regular sequences. In particular, the authors ported the exact rational representation of GMP [19] to Awali and wrote an efficient OpenMP parallel reduction to reduce regular sequences in parallel. Experiments were conducted using servers with, respectively, two 24-core Intel Xeon Gold 5220R @2.2GHz processors and 64 GB of RAM, and two 24-core Intel Xeon Gold 6248R @3GHz processors and 256 GB of RAM. In both cases, with hyperthreading, 96 OpenMP threads were available to parallelize the computations. The implementation follows the previous lemmas and is illustrated below for the Tribonacci sequence  $\mathbf{t}$ .

Implementing Lemma 6. Walnut is first used, as follows, to produce a DFA recognizing  $\text{occ\_tri}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n, u)$  ensuring that all 6 arguments are valid in the numeration system and that  $\mathbf{t}[u..u+k[ = \mathbf{t}[i..i+k[$  with  $j_1 \leq u \leq j_1 + n$ .

```
1 def occ_tri "?msd_tri j1<=u & u<=j1+n & $freq_tri(i,u,k) & j2=j2":
```

The first C++ program loads the DFA and applies the path counting argument to obtain a linear representation for  $\mathbf{t}[j_1..j_1+n+k[ \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{t}[i..i+k[}$ . Using the optimized Awali parallel code, it produces a reduced linear representation for  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  by computing the difference of the previous linear representation with a copy of itself where the arguments  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are permuted. Then it applies the semigroup trick. If the sequence is uniformly factor-balanced, the program terminates with an automatic representation of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  providing both a proof of the tightest balancedness bound and a useful DFAO computing  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}$ . This step is computer-intensive and might produce massive outputs. For the Tribonacci sequence  $\mathbf{t}$ , the computation took about 16 hours with 96 threads and produced a DFAO with 920931 states, proving that  $\mathbf{t}$  has a tight uniform balancedness bound of 2.

Implementing Lemma 7. Walnut is then used to define a predicate to capture the zeros of the DFAO computing  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$ . For the Tribonacci sequence, it took Walnut 75 seconds to compute the corresponding 487964-state DFA.

```
1 def sametri "?msd_tri Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n] = @0":
```

Implementing Lemma 8. Walnut is then used to derive the two-dimensional abelian equivalence relations and from there the first occurrence of each equivalence class.

```
1 def abeqextri "?msd_tri Ai $sametri(i,j1,j2,k,n)":
2 def abeqtri "?msd_tri (n<k & $freq_tri(i,j,n))
3 | (n>=k & $freq_tri(i,j,k-1) & $abeqextri(i,j,k,n-k))":
4 def abfirsttri "?msd_tri k>0 & ~Ej j<i & $abeqtri(i,j,k,n)":
```

The second C++ program loads the DFA and applies the path counting argument before applying the reduction algorithm to obtain a reduced linear representation for the two-dimensional generalized abelian complexity function  $\rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n)$ . For the Tribonacci sequence, we obtain a linear representation of dimension 264 with integer coefficients.

**3.2. Checking the validity of the result.** A key element of the construction is the DFAO computing  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}$ . The validity of the DFAO can be checked inductively with first-order predicates. The inductive proof proceeds as follows:

- (1) Assert that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, 0)$  takes only values  $-1, 0$  and  $1$ ;

- (2) Assert that the value of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, 0)$  is correct with respect to the equality of factors between  $\mathbf{t}[j_1 .. j_1 + k]$ , respectively  $\mathbf{t}[j_2 .. j_2 + k]$ , and  $\mathbf{t}[i .. i + k]$ ;
- (3) Assert that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n+1) - \Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  takes only values  $-1, 0$  and  $1$ ;
- (4) Assert that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n+1) - \Delta_{\mathbf{t}}(i, j_1, j_2, k, n)$  is correct with respect to the equality between  $\mathbf{t}[j_1 + n + 1 .. j_1 + n + 1 + k]$ , respectively  $\mathbf{t}[j_2 + n + 1 .. j_2 + n + 1 + k]$ , and  $\mathbf{t}[i .. i + k]$ .

A detailed **Walnut** script is provided as an ancillary file along with the arXiv version of the paper. It took us only 45 minutes to check the 920931-state Tribonacci-DFAO.

The validity of the generalized abelian complexity has been experimentally checked against a direct approximation of the function for small values of  $k$  and  $n$  and against the functions computed using the second approach of Section 4.

**3.3. Application to Sturmian sequences.** Sturmian sequences are among the most famous sequences in combinatorics on words. They have many equivalent definitions, one of which is that they are binary aperiodic sequences with minimal factor complexity, i.e.,  $p(n) = n + 1$  (for instance, see [25, Chapter 2] for more on these sequences). In particular, with each Sturmian sequence  $\mathbf{x}$ , we associate its *slope* defined by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}[0..n][1]|}{n}$ . The  $k$ -abelian complexity of Sturmian sequences is well-known and was studied in the paper [21] that introduced  $k$ -abelian complexities.

**Theorem 10** ([21, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a binary aperiodic sequence. The sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is Sturmian if and only if its  $k$ -abelian complexity satisfies  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) = n + 1$  if  $0 \leq n \leq 2k - 1$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) = 2k$  if  $n \geq 2k$ .*

In particular, the  $k$ -abelian complexity of Sturmian sequences is bounded (it is even ultimately constant). Regarding the generalized balancedness of Sturmian sequences, we have the following result, which is more precise than Lemma 5.

**Theorem 11** ([16, Theorem 12]). *For any  $k \geq 1$ , any Sturmian sequence is  $(k, k)$ -balanced.*

For some classes of Sturmian sequences, we have the following result, which turns out to be finer than Theorem 11 in some cases and which is proved by putting together [43, Theorem 17] and the proof of [16, Corollary 13].

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be a real number and  $\mathbf{x}$  be a Sturmian sequence with slope  $\alpha$ . Let  $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$  whose continued fraction  $[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots]$  has bounded partial quotients. Then, for any  $k \geq 1$ , the smallest integer  $C_k \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced is less than or equal to  $2 + \max_i b_i$ .*

**Remark 13.** Recall that our assumptions require the sequence of interest to be substitutive. It is known which Sturmian sequences are fixed points of substitutions; see [15] and [25, Section 2.3.6].

**3.3.1. The Fibonacci sequence.** Applying Theorem 9 to the *Fibonacci sequence*  $\mathbf{f}$ , the fixed point of the *Fibonacci substitution*  $\varphi: 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 0$ , confirms Theorem 10 and provides a tight bound for its balancedness, improving on Theorem 12 bound from 3 to 2, since the sequence  $\mathbf{f}$  is a Sturmian sequence with slope  $\alpha = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , giving  $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = [0, 1]$ . The computation is fast and the minimal DFA for  $\Delta_{\mathbf{f}}$  has only 19134 states. A careful examination of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{f}}$  with **Walnut** gives the following new results (also see Appendix B).

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\mathbf{f}$  be the Fibonacci sequence, fixed point of the substitution  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 2$ , the smallest integer  $C_k \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced is  $C_k = 2$ .*

The general balancedness of a sequence may vary for different factors. For instance, in the Fibonacci sequence  $\mathbf{f}$ , we have  $||01010|_{00} - |00100|_{00}| = 2$ , while  $||u|_w - |v|_w| \leq 1$  for  $w \in \{01, 10\}$  and any two factors  $u, v$  of the same length of  $\mathbf{f}$ . We thus introduce the following new notion.

**Definition 15.** Let  $k, C$  be positive integers. A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is *totally  $(k, C)$ -unbalanced* if for every length- $k$  word  $w$ , there exist two factors  $u, v$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  with equal length such that  $||u|_w - |v|_w| > C$ .

From the previous discussion, we have already established that the Fibonacci sequence  $\mathbf{f}$  is neither  $(2, 1)$ -balanced nor totally  $(2, 1)$ -unbalanced. Indeed, with **Walnut**, we determine that  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $(2, 1)$ -balanced on  $01, 10$  but not on  $00$ . Moreover, we also prove that  $\mathbf{f}$  is not  $(3, 1)$ -balanced nor totally  $(3, 1)$ -unbalanced. More generally, we may prove the following (see Appendix B).

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $\mathbf{f}$  be the Fibonacci sequence, fixed point of the substitution  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 4$ , and only for these,  $\mathbf{f}$  is totally  $(k, 1)$ -unbalanced.*

**3.3.2. The Pell sequence.** Applying Theorem 9 to the *Pell sequence*  $\mathbf{p}$ , indexed [42, A171588], the fixed point of the *Pell substitution*  $\tau: 0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 0$ , confirms Theorem 10 and provides a tight bound for its balancedness, improving on Theorem 12 bound from 4 to 3, since the sequence  $\mathbf{p}$  is a Sturmian sequence with slope  $\alpha = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2}$ , giving  $\beta = \sqrt{2} - 1 = [0, 2]$ . The computation is fast and the minimal DFA for  $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$  has only 28713 states. A careful examination of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$  with **Walnut** gives the following new results (also see Appendix B/supplementary material of the paper).

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be the Pell sequence, fixed point of the substitution  $\tau: 0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 10$ , the smallest integer  $C_k \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{p}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced is  $C_k = 3$ .*

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be the Pell sequence, fixed point of the substitution  $0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 6$ , and only for these,  $\mathbf{p}$  is totally  $(k, 1)$ -unbalanced. It is not totally  $(k, 2)$ -unbalanced for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**3.4. Application to the Tribonacci sequence.** We consider the *Tribonacci sequence*  $\mathbf{t} = 010201001 \dots$ , the fixed point starting with 0 of the *Tribonacci substitution*  $\tau: 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . This sequence satisfies  $p_{\mathbf{t}}(n) = 2n + 1$  and is called an *episturmian sequence* [?], a well-known generalization of Sturmian words. Applying Theorem 9 to this sequence provides several new results for this well-studied sequence.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . The two-dimensional generalized abelian complexity function  $(k, n) \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n)$  is regular in the Tribonacci numeration system. It admits a reduced linear representation of dimension 264.*

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . Both first difference functions  $(k, n) \mapsto \Delta_k \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n) = \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^{k+1}(n) - \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n)$  and  $(k, n) \mapsto \Delta_n \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n) = \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n+1) - \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n)$  are automatic in the Tribonacci numeration system and thus bounded. Fig. 1 depicts the first values of these functions.*

**Corollary 21.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , the  $k$ -abelian complexity function  $n \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n)$  is automatic in the*






FIGURE 1. The Tribonacci sequence has bounded discrete derivatives (first 4096 values,  $n$  on the horizontal axis,  $k$  on the vertical axis, one color per value, a different palette for each picture).

*Tribonacci numeration system and can be constructed efficiently and recursively on  $k$ .*

It is well known that the Tribonacci sequence  $\mathbf{t}$  is  $(1, 2)$ -balanced (see [33]), and the proof of this result is nontrivial. It is also known that the sequence is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced for all  $k \geq 2$  (see [7]), but to our knowledge, no precise bound was hitherto known. A careful analysis of the 920931-state DFAO of  $\Delta_{\mathbf{t}}$  provides a uniform bound (also see Appendix B).

**Theorem 22.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , the smallest integer  $C_k \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{t}$  is  $(k, C_k)$ -balanced is  $C_k = 2$ .*

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , the sequence  $\mathbf{t}$  is totally  $(k, 1)$ -unbalanced.*

#### 4. THE CASE OF PISOT SUBSTITUTIONS

In this section, we handle the computation of the  $k$ -abelian complexity of fixed points of substitutions satisfying an assumption different from the uniform balancedness of the previous section. This second approach relies on ultimately Pisot substitutions and makes use of the concept of so-called sequence automata introduced by Carton et al. [11].

A *Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number*  $\theta$  is an algebraic integer, which is the dominant root of its minimal monic polynomial  $P(X)$  with integer coefficients, where  $P(X)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and admits  $n$  complex roots  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ , all distinct, satisfying  $\theta = \theta_1 > 1 > |\theta_2| \geq \dots \geq |\theta_n| > 0$ . A substitution is of *Pisot type*, or simply *Pisot*, if the characteristic polynomial of its incidence matrix is the minimal polynomial of a Pisot number. A substitution is of *ultimately Pisot type*, or simply *ultimately Pisot*, if the characteristic polynomial of its incidence matrix is the minimal polynomial of a Pisot number  $\theta$  multiplied by a power of  $X$ , i.e.,  $X^m \cdot P_{\theta}(X)$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . Such a polynomial is called *ultimately Pisot*. (Other terms exist to designate this property, e.g., *Pisot up to a shift* in [11].) Combining [34, Lemma 2.2] and [1, Theorem 13] gives the next result.

**Theorem 24.** *The abelian complexity of the fixed point of a prolongable primitive substitution of ultimately Pisot type is bounded by a constant.*

The addressing automaton  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$  associated with the fixed point  $\varphi^\omega(a)$  of a prolongable substitution  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^*$  is the DFA with state set  $A$ , alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  where  $n = \max_{b \in A} |\varphi(b)|$ , initial state  $a$ , final states  $A$  and whose transitions are defined by  $\varphi$  as  $\delta(b, i) = \varphi(b)_i$  for all  $b \in A$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, |\varphi(b)| - 1\}$ . Let  $L_\varphi = L(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) \setminus 0^+ A^*$  where  $L(\mathcal{A}_\varphi)$  is the language recognized by  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$ . The Dumont–Thomas numeration system  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  associated with the fixed point  $\varphi^\omega(a)$  is the ANSZ  $(L_\varphi, \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, <, 0)$  where  $<$  is the usual order on  $\mathbb{N}$ . The fixed point  $\varphi^\omega(a)$  is automatic for  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ : the addressing automaton provides a valid DFAO when equipped with the output function  $\pi: q \mapsto q$ . See [11] for more details.

**Theorem 25** (Carton et al. [11]). *The Dumont–Thomas numeration system associated with a fixed point of a prolongable substitution of ultimately Pisot type is regular.*

Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N}$  be an integer sequence and let  $(0)$  denote the constant sequence everywhere equal to 0. The shift operator  $\sigma: \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N}$  removes the first element of a sequence, i.e.,  $(\sigma \mathbf{u})[n] = \mathbf{u}[n+1]$  for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A sequence automaton is a partial DFA  $(Q, A, \delta, q_0, F)$  equipped with a partial vector map  $\pi: Q \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{N}$ . Formally,  $Q$  is the finite set of states,  $A$  is the finite alphabet of symbols,  $\delta: Q \times A \rightarrow Q$  is the partial transition map,  $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state,  $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of accepting states. The transition and the vector map share the same domain. The transition map and vector map are inductively extended from symbols to words as follows, for all  $q \in Q$ ,  $u \in A^*$  and  $a \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(q, \varepsilon) &= q, & \pi(q, \varepsilon) &= (0), \\ \delta(q, ua) &= \delta(\delta(q, u), a), & \pi(q, ua) &= \sigma\pi(q, u) + \pi(\delta(q, u), a). \end{aligned}$$

The class of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational series is a well-studied class of functions from finite words to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For a general introduction, see [6]. It admits finite linear representations. Similarly to regular sequences,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational series are closed under several operations. In particular, they are also closed under *synchronized addition*  $f \oplus g: \langle u, v \rangle \mapsto f(u) + g(v)$  for all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational series  $f: A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $g: B^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . The support  $\text{supp}(f)$  of a rational series  $f$  is the language  $A^* \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ .

Let the series  $s_{\mathcal{A}}$  of a sequence automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  map every word  $u \in A^*$  to the first element of its vector  $\pi(q_0, u)[0]$  when defined, or to 0 otherwise. Let a sequence automaton be *linear recurrence* when all sequences in the vector map of a sequence automaton are *linear recurrence sequences*. In this case, the series  $s_{\mathcal{A}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational. The *recurrence polynomial*  $P_{\mathcal{A}}$  of a linear recurrence sequence automaton (LRSA)  $\mathcal{A}$  is the minimal polynomial for all the sequences in the image of the vector map.

Using the product of DFA and linear combinations of vector maps, sequence automata can be combined to produce linear combinations of sequence automata. For every sequence automata  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  denote the *sum sequence automaton* with series  $s_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus s_{\mathcal{B}}$  and let  $\alpha\mathcal{A}$  denote the *external product sequence automaton* with series  $\alpha s_{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are LRSA then  $P_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}}$  divides the least common multiple of  $P_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $P_{\alpha\mathcal{A}}$  divides  $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$  be the addressing automaton of a Dumont–Thomas numeration system  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  associated with the fixed point  $\varphi^\omega(a)$  of a prolongable substitution  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^*$ . The *addressing sequence automaton*  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  is the LRSA derived from  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$  with the vector map  $\pi(a, i) = (|\varphi^n(\varphi(a)[0] \cdots \varphi(a)[i-1])|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, |\varphi(a)| - 1\}$ . By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, its recurrence polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi}$  divides the characteristic polynomial of the incidence matrix of  $\varphi$ . The series of  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$  is the *valuation series*  $\nu_\varphi$  of the Dumont–Thomas numeration system  $\nu_\varphi(u) =$

$\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}_\varphi}(u)$  if defined, 0 otherwise. The numeration system  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  is regular if and only if  $\text{supp}(\nu_\varphi \oplus \nu_\varphi \oplus -\nu_\varphi)$  is regular, i.e., if the support of the series of the LRSA  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi + \mathcal{S}_\varphi - \mathcal{S}_\varphi$  is regular. Theorem 25 is the corollary of the following more technical proposition.

**Proposition 26** (Carton et al. [11]). *The support of the series of a LRSA with ultimately Pisot recurrence polynomial is regular.*

When two Dumont–Thomas numeration systems  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$  are associated with the same Pisot number,  $\text{supp}(\nu_\varphi \oplus -\nu_{\varphi'})$  is regular and thus by Proposition 26 the converter  $\{\langle u, v \rangle \mid \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}_\varphi}(u) = \text{val}_{\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}}(v)\}$  between  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$  is regular.

**Proposition 27** (Carton et al. [11]). *The converter between two Dumont–Thomas numeration systems associated with a common Pisot number is regular.*

Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of the fixed point of a prolongable substitution can be obtained by a slight modification of the addressing sequence automaton. The *Parikh sequence automaton*  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi^b$  for  $b \in A$  is the LRSA derived from  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$  with the vector map  $\pi_b(a, i) = (|\varphi^n(\varphi(a)[0] \cdots \varphi(a)[i-1])|_b)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, |\varphi(a)| - 1\}$ . By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, its recurrence polynomial  $P_{\mathcal{S}_\varphi^b}$  divides the characteristic polynomial of the incidence matrix of  $\varphi$ . The series of  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi^b$  is the *Parikh prefix series*

$$\nu_\varphi^b(u) = |\varphi^\omega(a)[0] \cdots \varphi^\omega(a)[\text{val}_{\mathcal{N}_\varphi}(u) - 1]|_b \text{ if defined, 0 otherwise.}$$

**Proposition 28** (Carton et al. [11]). *Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a fixed point of a prolongable substitution  $\varphi$ . The Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of  $\mathbf{x}$  form a synchronized sequence when the support of  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi^b - \mathcal{S}_\varphi$  is regular for all  $b \in A$ .*

**4.1. Abelian complexity.** Let us first recall the recent result of Shallit [39] about the abelian complexity of an automatic sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  under the assumption that the Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of  $\mathbf{x}$  are synchronized.

**Theorem 29** (Shallit [39]). *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in A^\mathbb{N}$  be automatic in some regular numeration system  $\mathcal{N}$ . Suppose that*

- (1) *The abelian complexity  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{ab}(n)$  is bounded above by a constant, and*
- (2) *The Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of  $\mathbf{x}$  form an  $\mathcal{N}$ -synchronized sequence.*

*Then  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{ab}(n)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -automatic sequence and the DFAO computing it is effectively computable.*

We can now tackle the abelian complexity of fixed point of primitive substitution of ultimately Pisot type.

**Theorem 30.** *The abelian complexity of the fixed point of a prolongable primitive substitution of ultimately Pisot type is an automatic sequence in the associated Dumont–Thomas numeration system and the DFAO computing it is effectively computable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be the fixed point  $\tau^\omega(a)$  of a prolongable primitive substitution  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  of ultimately Pisot type. The characteristic polynomial  $P(X)$  of the incidence matrix of  $\tau$  is of the form  $P(X) = X^k Q(X)$  for some  $k \geq 0$  and some minimal polynomial  $Q$  of a Pisot number. Therefore, the LRSA  $\mathcal{S}_\tau$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\tau^b$  all have the same ultimately Pisot recurrence polynomial  $P(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$  be the associated Dumont–Thomas numeration system. To conclude, we want to ensure we can apply Theorem 29:

- (1) The sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is indeed automatic in  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ .

- (2) The numeration system  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$  is regular by Theorem 25.
- (3) The abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{x}$  is bounded by Theorem 24.
- (4) By Proposition 28, the Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of  $\mathbf{x}$  form a synchronized sequence as the support of  $\mathcal{S}_\tau^b - \mathcal{S}_\tau$  is regular for all  $b \in A$  by Proposition 26.

The construction of every step is effective, thus the DFAO can be effectively computed. ■

A more conventional numeration system associated with a Pisot root is its canonical Bertrand numeration system [8, 18, 13]. It can be described as a particular Dumont–Thomas numeration system that shares the same Pisot recurrence polynomial. As a consequence, the conversion between both numeration systems can be realized using LRSA with regular support by Proposition 27.

**Corollary 31.** *The abelian complexity of the fixed point of a prolongable primitive substitution of ultimately Pisot type is an automatic sequence in the associated canonical Bertrand numeration system and the DFAO computing it is effectively computable.*

As an application of the previous two results, we turn to so-called Parikh-collinear morphisms. A morphism  $\tau: A^* \rightarrow B^*$  is *Parikh-collinear* if the Parikh vectors  $\Psi(\tau(a))$ ,  $a \in A$ , are collinear. In other words, Parikh-collinear morphisms have an incidence matrix of rank 1 (unless they are completely erasing). This family of morphisms has gained some scientific interest over the years, for instance, see [2, 12, 30, 36, 37, 44]. With the next result, we note that we recover a particular case of [37, Theorem 3].

**Corollary 32.** *Let  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  be a Parikh-collinear prolongable primitive substitution with fixed point  $\mathbf{x}$ . Define  $\alpha = \sum_{a \in A} |\tau(a)|_a$ . The abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{x}$  is automatic in both the associated Dumont–Thomas numeration system and in base  $\alpha$ ; moreover, the DFAOs generating it are effectively computable.*

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  is  $X^\ell(X - \alpha)$  where  $\ell = |A| - 1$ . In particular,  $\tau$  is ultimately Pisot. Now the result follows from either Theorem 30 or Corollary 31 since the canonical Bertrand numeration system for  $\tau$  is the classical integer base  $\alpha$ . ■

**Example 33.** Consider the fixed point  $\mathbf{z} = 0100111001 \dots$  of the Parikh-collinear primitive substitution  $0 \mapsto 010011, 1 \mapsto 1001$ . The abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{z}$  is aperiodic [36, Proposition 13] and there is a base-5 DFAO with 9 states that computes  $\rho_{\mathbf{z}}^1$ , see [36, Section 5.2]. It is interesting to note that Theorem 30 gives a 15-state DFAO in the corresponding Dumont–Thomas numeration system. Our procedure also allows to convert from base-5 to this numeration system and vice versa.

**4.2. Generalized abelian complexity.** In this section, we turn to the more generalized notion of  $k$ -abelian complexity of sequences. We note that the assumptions of the main result of this section slightly differ from those of the previous section. In short, the method is to translate the problem by studying the abelian complexity of the length- $k$  sliding-block code. For an illustration of the concepts of this section, see Appendix C.

**Definition 34** (Sliding-block code). For a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  and each integer  $k \geq 1$ , we let  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  denote the *length- $k$  sliding-block code* of  $\mathbf{x}$ , i.e., if  $\mathbf{x} = x_0x_1x_2 \dots$ , then we slide a length- $k$  window in  $\mathbf{x}$  to group length- $k$  factors

$$(x_0x_1 \dots x_{k-1})(x_1x_2 \dots x_k) \dots (x_ix_{i+1} \dots x_{i+k-1}) \dots,$$

and we map distinct length- $k$  factors to distinct letters in a new alphabet of size  $\#\mathcal{L}_k(\mathbf{x})$  to code  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$ .

It is worth noticing that the letter  $i$  in the length- $k$  sliding-block code corresponds to the  $i$ th factor of length  $k$  appearing in the original sequence.

**Lemma 35.** *For a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  and each integer  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\overline{k}}(n+k-1) = \rho_{B_k(\mathbf{x})}^1(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* To compute  $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^{\overline{k}}(n+k-1)$  (resp.,  $\rho_{B_k(\mathbf{x})}^1(n)$ ), we need to count  $\mathcal{L}_{n+k-1}(\mathbf{x})/\sim_k$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{L}_n(B_k(\mathbf{x}))/\sim_1$ ). To conclude, observe that  $\mathcal{L}_n(B_k(\mathbf{x}))$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{n+k-1}(\mathbf{x})$  are in bijection. ■

Let  $\tau: A \rightarrow A^*$  be a substitution prolongable on  $a \in A$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \tau^\omega(a)$  be the fixed point of  $\tau$  with starting letter  $a$ . Let  $M_\tau$  be the incidence matrix of  $\tau$  and let  $P_\tau$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $M_\tau$ . We note that  $P_\tau$  is also monic. Let  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$  be the associated Dumont–Thomas numeration system. Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -automatic. If  $\tau$  is ultimately Pisot type, then Theorem 30 assures that the abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -automatic.

Let  $\tau_k$  denote the substitution derived from  $\tau$  such that  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  is its fixed point. More precisely, we define  $A_k = \{1, \dots, p_{\mathbf{x}}(k)\}$  and  $\Theta_k: \mathcal{L}_k(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow A_k$  to encode the order in which the length- $k$  factors of  $\mathbf{x}$  appear in  $\mathbf{x}$ . To define  $\tau_k: A_k \rightarrow A_k^*$ , for each  $\ell \in A_k$ , the word  $\tau_k(\ell)$  consists of the ordered list of the first  $|\tau(u[0])|$  length- $k$  factors of  $\tau(u)$ , where  $u = \Theta_k^{-1}(\ell)$  (i.e.,  $u$  is the  $\ell$ th length- $k$  factor encountered in  $\mathbf{x}$ ). See [32, Section 5.4] for more details.

Using [32, Section 5.4.3] (also see [1, Proposition 21]), if  $\tau$  is primitive, then  $\tau_k$  is also primitive and the dominant Perron eigenvalue of  $M_{\tau_k}$  is that of  $M_\tau$ . Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $M_{\tau_k}$  (with  $k \geq 2$ ) are those of  $M_{\tau_2}$  with additional zeroes [32, Corollary 5.5], i.e.,  $P_{\tau_k}(X) = X^m P_{\tau_2}(X)$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . This identity implies the next result.

**Lemma 36.** *If  $\tau_2$  is Pisot, then  $\tau_k$  is ultimately Pisot with the same Pisot root for  $k \geq 2$ .*

**Lemma 37.** *For each  $k \geq 2$ , each eigenvalue of  $M_\tau$  is also an eigenvalue of  $M_{\tau_k}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix some integer  $k \geq 2$  and recall that  $A = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Define  $\pi_k: A_k \rightarrow A, i \mapsto (\Theta_k^{-1}(i))[0]$ , i.e.,  $\pi_k(i)$  encodes the first letter of the  $i$ th length- $k$  factor encountered in  $\mathbf{x}$ . Now let  $V$  be an eigenvector of  $M_\tau$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $M_\tau V = \alpha V$ . Define the vector  $V_k$  such that its  $i$ th component is given by  $V_k[i] = V[\pi_k(i)]$ . Then we show that  $M_{\tau_k} V_k = \alpha V_k$ . Fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, p_{\mathbf{x}}(k)\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\tau_k} V_k)[i] &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{p_{\mathbf{x}}(k)} M_{\tau_k}[i, \ell] V_k[\ell] = \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \sum_{j \in \pi_k^{-1}(m)} M_{\tau_k}[i, j] \right) V[m], \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n M_\tau[\pi_k(i), m] V[m] = (M_\tau V)[\pi_k(i)] = \alpha V[\pi_k(i)] = \alpha V_k[i], \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality holds since

$$\sum_{j \in \pi_k^{-1}(m)} M_{\tau_k}[i, j] = \sum_{j \in \pi_k^{-1}(m)} |\tau_k(i)|_j = |\tau(\pi_k(i))|_m = M_\tau[\pi_k(i), m]. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 38.** *Assume that  $\tau_2$  is ultimately Pisot such that its characteristic polynomial is  $X^m \cdot P_\theta(X)$  for some Pisot number  $\theta$  and some integer  $m \geq 0$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  is of the form  $X^\ell \cdot P_\theta(X)$  with  $\ell \leq m$ . In particular,  $\tau$  is ultimately Pisot with the same Pisot root.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 37, each eigenvalue of  $\tau$  is one of  $\tau_2$ , so the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  can be written as  $X^\ell R(X)$  for some integer  $\ell \leq m$  and some polynomial  $R(X)$  for which 0 is not one of its zeroes and that divides  $P_\theta(X)$ . Since  $\theta$  is Pisot,  $P_\theta(X)$  is irreducible and so  $R(X) = P_\theta(X)$ . ■

**Theorem 39.** *Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a fixed point of a primitive substitution  $\tau$ . If  $\tau_2$  is ultimately Pisot, then the  $k$ -abelian complexity  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is bounded for each  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* From [1, Theorem 22] (also see the beginning of [1, Section 6]), the quantity  $C_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n) := \max_{w \in \mathcal{L}_k(\mathbf{x})} \max_{u, v \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{x})} \{|u|_w - |v|_w|\}$  is bounded for all  $k, n \geq 0$ . In particular,  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is bounded. Due to Item 2 of Lemma 2,  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is also bounded. ■

If we show that the  $k$ -abelian complexity is furthermore  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -regular, it is then  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -automatic. Recall  $\text{freq}_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}$  from Section 3. We now introduce the following relations and functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(n) &= \Psi(B_k(\mathbf{x})[0..n])[a], \\ \text{facb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i, n) &= \text{prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i+n) - \text{prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(n), \\ \text{minb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(n) &= \min_{i \geq 0} \{\text{facb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i, n)\}, \\ \text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i, n) &= \text{facb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i, n) - \text{minb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(n), \\ \text{border}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(i, j, k, n) \mid (k \leq n \Rightarrow \text{freq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k-1)) \wedge (n < k \Rightarrow \text{freq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, n))\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 40.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $\mathbf{x} \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence such that  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  is automatic in some regular numeration system  $\mathcal{N}_k$ . If the Parikh vectors of length- $n$  prefixes of  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  form an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -synchronized sequence, then  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Fix  $k \geq 1$  and let  $A_k = \{1, \dots, m := p_{\mathbf{x}}(k)\}$  be the alphabet over which  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  is defined. By hypothesis, the functions  $\text{prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}$  are all synchronized. Therefore, the functions  $\text{facb}_{\mathbf{x},a}$  are all synchronized with the following formula in first-order logic:  $\text{facb}_a(i, n, z) = \exists x, y \text{ prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i, x) \wedge \text{prefb}_{\mathbf{x},a}(i+n, y) \wedge z+x=y$ . Similarly, the functions  $\text{minb}_{\mathbf{x},a}$  and  $\text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},a}$  are also all synchronized. We now provide a formula for  $\text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n)$ . This formula is split in two cases: if  $n \leq k$ , then the  $k$ -abelian equivalence is simply the factor equivalence, and otherwise we use the border condition; see Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n) &= [n < k \wedge \text{freq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, n)] \wedge [\text{border}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n+k) \\ &\quad \wedge \exists z(\text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},1}(i, n, z) \wedge \text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},1}(j, n, z)) \wedge \dots \\ &\quad \wedge \exists z(\text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},m}(i, n, z) \wedge \text{diffb}_{\mathbf{x},m}(j, n, z))]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this relation is also synchronized. Finally, we define the following relation that identifies the first occurrences of  $k$ -abelian equivalent factors:

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{x}} = \{(i, k, n) \mid \forall j \text{ abeq}_{\mathbf{x}}(i, j, k, n) \implies i \leq j\}.$$

By using the path-counting technique [40, Section 9.8],  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is a regular sequence. ■

**Corollary 41.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a fixed point of a primitive substitution  $\tau$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_k$  be the numeration system associated with  $\tau_k$ . If  $\tau_2$  is ultimately Pisot, then the  $k$ -abelian complexity  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -automatic.*

*Proof.* Note that  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  is the fixed point of  $\tau_k$ , so  $B_k(\mathbf{x})$  is  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -automatic. Since a regular sequence that is bounded is automatic, we deduce that  $(\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^k(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -automatic, by combining both Theorems 39 and 40. Finally, we apply Lemma 37 and Proposition 27 to deduce that this sequence is also  $\mathcal{N}_\tau$ -automatic. ■

**Remark 42.** Let us notice that the sliding-block code of Parikh-collinear substitutions are not necessarily ultimately Pisot. For instance, resuming Example 33, the substitution for the length-2 sliding-block code is defined by  $1 \mapsto 123144, 2 \mapsto 2312, 3 \mapsto 123142, 4 \mapsto 2314$  with polynomial  $X^2(X-1)(X-5)$ , which is therefore not ultimately Pisot (nor Pisot).

**4.3. Application to the Narayana sequence.** We consider the sequence  $\mathbf{n} = 01200101201200120010 \dots$ , fixed point starting with 0 of the substitution  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 0$ . Up to a renaming of the letters, it is the sequence [42, A105083]. This sequence  $\mathbf{n}$  is called the *Narayana sequence*; see [41]. We note that the characteristic polynomial of the substitution  $\tau$  is given by the minimal polynomial  $P_\theta(X) = X^3 - X^2 - 1$  of the Pisot number  $\theta \approx 1.46557$ .

Many combinatorial properties of  $\mathbf{n}$  have recently been studied by Shallit [41] using `Walnut` and by Letouzey [23]. For example, its factor complexity satisfies  $p_{\mathbf{s}}(n) = 2n + 1$  (see [41, Theorem 13]). As in the previous section, we let  $\tau_k$  denote the substitution that generates the length- $k$  sliding-block code of  $\mathbf{n}$ . Since  $\tau_2 : 1 \mapsto 12, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 4, 4 \mapsto 15, 5 \mapsto 3$  is ultimately Pisot, with polynomial  $X^2 P_\theta(X)$ , we can apply Corollary 41. Therefore, we have computed the  $k$ -abelian complexity of the sequence  $\mathbf{n}$ , up to  $k = 10$ . The details of the `Walnut` implementation are provided in Appendix D.

**Theorem 43.** *Let  $\mathbf{n}$  be the Narayana sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 0$ . For  $k \in [1, 10]$ , the  $k$ -abelian complexity of  $\mathbf{n}$  takes on the values in the set given in Table 1.*

| $k$ | $\{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^k(n) \mid n \geq 0\}$  | Size of the automaton |
|-----|---|-----------------------|
| 1   | $\{1\} \cup [3, 8]$   | 97                    |
| 2   | $\{1, 3, 5, 7\} \cup [9, 22]$   | 277                   |
| 3   | $\{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13\} \cup [15, 37]$   | 467                   |
| 4   | $\{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19\} \cup [21, 52]$                                   | 634                   |
| 5   | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 11\} \cup \{25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32\} \cup [34, 66]$    | 871                   |
| 6   | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 16\} \cup [34, 81]$  | 969                   |
| 7   | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 18\} \cup \{38\} \cup [40, 47] \cup [49, 96]$              | 1218                  |
| 8   | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 21\} \cup [47, 111]$                                       | 1309                  |
| 9   | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 23\} \cup [49, 52] \cup [54, 63] \cup [65, 125]$           | 1646                  |
| 10  | $\{2n + 1 \mid 0 \leq n \leq 28\} \cup \{54\} \cup [59, 68] \cup \{70\} \cup [72, 140]$ | 1745                  |

TABLE 1. For  $k \in [1, 10]$ , the values taken by the  $k$ -abelian complexity of the Narayana sequence  $\mathbf{n}$ .

**4.4. Application to other sequences.** The second approach can also be applied to the four sequences studied with the first approach presented in Section 3. In general, a good rule would be to first try the first approach and turn to the second approach if the computation does not converge in reasonable time (either because the sequence is not uniformly-factor-balanced or because it is too heavy). The supplementary material of the paper provides the reader with the results for all the sequences listed above plus the following:

- The fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 011, 1 \mapsto 01$ , with Pisot root  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ ;
- The fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 0001011, 1 \mapsto 001011$ , with Pisot root  $\frac{7+\sqrt{37}}{2}$ ;
- The fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 001, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 002$ , with Pisot root of  $X^3 - 3X^2 + X - 1$ ;

- The fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 010, 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 02$ , with Pisot root of  $X^3 - 3X^2 + 2X - 1$ ;
- The *twisted Tribonacci sequence* [42, A277735], fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 20, 2 \mapsto 0$ , with Pisot root of  $X^3 - X^2 - X - 1$ .

## 5. OPEN PROBLEMS AND QUESTIONS

**Conjecture 44.** Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ . The 2-dimensional sequence  $(\rho_{\mathbf{t}}^k(n))_{k \geq 1, n \geq 0}$  is not synchronized but computed by a sequence automaton of polynomial  $(X - 1)(X^3 - X^2 - X - 1)$ .

**Question 45.** We checked the computed complexities for the Fibonacci sequence and the 3-abelian complexity of the Tribonacci sequence. In general, can we obtain some inductive procedure to check/certify/validate our result?

Given in Theorems 14 and 22 on the Fibonacci and Tribonacci sequences, we raise the following question.

**Question 46.** For an integer  $m \geq 2$ , let  $\mathbf{x}_m$  be the  $m$ -bonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, \dots, m - 2 \mapsto 0(m - 1), m - 1 \mapsto 0$ . What is the value of the smallest integer  $C_k^{(m)} \geq 1$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_m$  is  $(k, C_k^{(m)})$ -balanced? Bounds on  $C_1^{(m)}$  are given in [9].

**Question 47.** Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a fixed point of a substitution  $\tau$ . Consider a substitution  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A^*$  that might be erasing and let  $\mathbf{y} = \sigma(\mathbf{x})$ . If  $\tau$  is Pisot, then both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  have automatic abelian complexities. Can we generalize this result to all  $k$ -abelian complexities? How do we compute the length- $k$  sliding-block code of the composition  $\sigma \circ \tau$ ?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Pierre Popoli is supported by ULiège's Special Funds for Research, IPD-STEMA Program. Jeffrey Shallit is supported by NSERC grant 2024-03725.. Manon Stipulanti is an FNRS Research Associate supported by the Research grant 1.C.104.24F

The authors want to thank Bastián Espinoza and Julien Leroy for useful discussions and the LIFO research laboratory for providing computation time on its *mirev* cluster.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Boris Adamczewski. Balances for fixed points of primitive substitutions. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 307(1):47–75, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(03)00092-6.
- [2] Jean-Paul Allouche, Michel Dekking, and Martine Queffélec. Hidden automatic sequences. *Comb. Theory*, 1:Paper No. 20, 15, 2021. doi:10.5070/C61055386.
- [3] Jean-Paul Allouche, Klaus Scheicher, and Robert F. Tichy. Regular maps in generalized number systems. *Math. Slovaca*, 50(1):41–58, 2000. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/32426>.
- [4] Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit. The ring of  $k$ -regular sequences. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 98(2):163–197, 1992. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(92)90001-V.
- [5] Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit. *Automatic sequences. Theory, applications, generalizations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003. doi:10.1017/CB09780511546563.
- [6] Jean Berstel and Christophe Reutenauer. *Noncommutative rational series with applications*, volume 137 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [7] Valérie Berthé and Paulina Cecchi Bernales. Balancedness and coboundaries in symbolic systems. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 777:93–110, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2018.09.012.
- [8] Véronique Bruyère and Georges Hansel. Bertrand numeration systems and recognizability. *Theoretical computer science*, 181(1):17–43, 1997.
- [9] Karel Brinda, Edita Pelantová, and Ondřej Turek. Balances of  $m$ -bonacci words. *Fund. Inform.*, 132(1):33–61, 2014. doi:10.3233/fi-2014-1031.



- [10] Arturo Carpi and Cristiano Maggi. On synchronized sequences and their separators. *Theor. Inform. Appl.*, 35(6):513–524, 2001. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/221953>, doi:10.1051/ita:2001129.
- [11] Olivier Carton, Jean-Michel Couvreur, Martin Delacourt, and Nicolas Ollinger. Linear recurrence sequence automata and the addition of abstract numeration systems, 2024. [arXiv:2406.09868](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.09868).
- [12] Julien Cassaigne, Gwenaël Richomme, Kalle Saari, and Luca Q. Zamboni. Avoiding abelian powers in binary words with bounded abelian complexity. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 22(04):905–920, 2011. doi:10.1142/S0129054111008489.
- [13] Émilie Charlier, Célia Cisternino, and Manon Stipulanti. A full characterization of Bertrand numeration systems. In *Developments in language theory*, volume 13257 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 102–114. Springer, Cham, 2022. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-05578-2\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-05578-2_8), doi:10.1007/978-3-031-05578-2\_8.
- [14] Jin Chen, Xiaotao Lü, and Wen Wu. On the  $k$ -abelian complexity of the Cantor sequence. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 155:287–303, 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2017.11.010.
- [15] David James Crisp, William Moran, Andrew Douglas Pollington, and Peter Jau-Shyong Shiue. Substitution invariant cutting sequences. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 5(1):123–137, 1993. URL: [http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\\_1993\\_\\_5\\_1\\_123\\_0](http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_1993__5_1_123_0).
- [16] Isabelle Fagnot and Laurent Vuillon. Generalized balances in Sturmian words. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 121(1-3):83–101, 2002. doi:10.1016/S0166-218X(01)00247-5.
- [17] Gabriele Fici and Svetlana Puzynina. Abelian combinatorics on words: a survey. *Computer Science Review*, 47:100532, 2023.
- [18] Christiane Frougny and Boris Solomyak. On representation of integers in linear numeration systems. In M. Pollicott and K. Schmidt, editors, *Ergodic Theory of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  Actions*, volume 228 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 345–368. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [19] GNU Project. *GNU MP: The GNU Multiple Precision Arithmetic Library*. Free Software Foundation, 2024. <https://gmplib.org/>.
- [20] Florian Greinecker. On the 2-abelian complexity of the Thue-Morse word. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 593:88–105, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2015.05.047.
- [21] Juhani Karhumäki, Aleksi Saarela, and Luca Q. Zamboni. On a generalization of abelian equivalence and complexity of infinite words. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(8):2189–2206, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2013.08.008.
- [22] Pierre Lecomte and Michel Rigo. Numeration systems on a regular language. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 34(1):27–44, 2000.
- [23] Pierre Letouzey. Generalized Hofstadter functions  $g, h$  and beyond: numeration systems and discrepancy, 2025. [arXiv:2502.12615](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.12615).
- [24] Sylvain Lombardy, Victor Marsault, and Jacques Sakarovitch. *Awali, a library for weighted automata and transducers (version 2.3)*, 2022. Software available at <http://vaucanson-project.org/Awali/2.3/>.
- [25] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, volume 90 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. doi:10.1017/CB09781107326019.
- [26] Xiao-Tao Lü, Jin Chen, and Zhi-Xiong Wen. On the 2-abelian complexity of generalized Cantor sequences. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 936:172–183, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2022.09.025.
- [27] Hamoon Mousavi. Automatic theorem proving in Walnut, 2016. [arXiv:1603.06017](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.06017).
- [28] Nicolas Ollinger. Licofage software tool. Available online at <https://pypi.org/project/licofage/>, 2024.
- [29] Aline Parreau, Michel Rigo, Eric Rowland, and Élise Vandomme. A new approach to the 2-regularity of the  $\ell$ -abelian complexity of 2-automatic sequences. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 22(1):Paper 1.27, 44, 2015. doi:10.37236/4478.
- [30] Pierre Popoli, Jeffrey Shallit, and Manon Stipulanti. Additive word complexity and Walnut. In *44th IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, volume 323 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 32, 18. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2024. doi:10.4230/lipics.fsttcs.2024.32.
- [31] N. Pytheas Fogg. *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, volume 1794 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [32] Martine Queffélec. *Substitution dynamical systems. Spectral analysis*, volume 1294 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2010. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-11212-6.

- [33] Gwénaél Richomme, Kalle Saari, and Luca Q. Zamboni. Balance and abelian complexity of the Tribonacci word. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 45(2):212–231, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.aam.2010.01.006.
- [34] Gwénaél Richomme, Kalle Saari, and Luca Q. Zamboni. Abelian complexity of minimal subshifts. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 83(1):79–95, 2011.
- [35] Michel Rigo and Arnaud Maes. More on generalized automatic sequences. *J. Autom. Lang. Comb.*, 7(3):351–376, 2002.
- [36] Michel Rigo, Manon Stipulanti, and Markus A. Whiteland. Automaticity and Parikh-collinear morphisms. In *International Conference on Combinatorics on Words (DLT-WORDS 2023)*, pages 247–260. Springer, 2023.
- [37] Michel Rigo, Manon Stipulanti, and Markus A. Whiteland. Automatic abelian complexities of Parikh-collinear fixed points. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, 68(6):1622–1639, 2024. doi:10.1007/s00224-024-10197-5.
- [38] Jeffrey Shallit. A generalization of automatic sequences. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 61(1):1–16, 1988. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(88)90103-X.
- [39] Jeffrey Shallit. Abelian complexity and synchronization. *Integers: Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, 21:Paper A36, 14, 2021. doi:10.5281/zenodo.10816751.
- [40] Jeffrey Shallit. *The logical approach to automatic sequences. Exploring combinatorics on words with Walnut*, volume 482 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2023. doi:10.1017/9781108775267.
- [41] Jeffrey Shallit. The Narayana morphism and related words, 2025. arXiv:2503.01026.
- [42] N. J. A. Sloane and et al. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Available online at <https://oeis.org>.
- [43] Drew Vandeth. Sturmian words and words with a critical exponent. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 242(1-2):283–300, 2000. doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00227-8.
- [44] Markus A. Whiteland. Equations over the  $k$ -binomial monoids. In *Combinatorics on words. 13th international conference, WORDS 2021, Rouen, France, September 13–17, 2021. Proceedings*, pages 185–197. Cham: Springer, 2021. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-85088-3\_16.

## APPENDIX A. VALIDATION SCRIPT FOR SECTION 3.2

Here is the details of the Walnut script used to check the DFAO and ensure it computes  $\Delta_t$ , where  $t$  is the Tribonacci sequence, fixed point of  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ .

```

1 eval init "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@-1
2 | Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@0
3 | Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@1":

1 eval initXX "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k
2 ($feq_tri(i,j1,k) <=> $feq_tri(i,j2,k))
3 <=> Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@0":
4 eval initTF "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k
5 ($feq_tri(i,j1,k) & ~$feq_tri(i,j2,k))
6 <=> Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@1":
7 eval initFT "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k
8 (~$feq_tri(i,j1,k) & $feq_tri(i,j2,k))
9 <=> Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][0]=@-1":

1 def increase "?msd_tri
2 (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@-2 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@-1)
3 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@-1 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@0)
4 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@0 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@1)
5 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@1 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@2)":
6 def decrease "?msd_tri
7 (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@-1 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@-2)
8 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@0 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@-1)
9 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@1 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@0)
10 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@2 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@1)":
11 def constant "?msd_tri
12 (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=@-2 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=@-2)

```

```

13 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=0-1 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=0-1)
14 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=00 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=00)
15 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=01 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=01)
16 | (Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n]=02 & Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n+1]=02)":

1 eval nxt "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k,n
2   $constant(i,j1,j2,k,n)
3   | $increase(i,j1,j2,k,n)
4   | $decrease(i,j1,j2,k,n)":

1 eval nxtXX "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k,n
2   ($freq_tri(i,j1+n+1,k) <=> $freq_tri(i,j2+n+1,k))
3   <=> $constant(i,j1,j2,k,n)":
4 eval nxtTF "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k,n
5   ($freq_tri(i,j1+n+1,k) & ~$freq_tri(i,j2+n+1,k))
6   <=> $increase(i,j1,j2,k,n)":
7 eval nxtFT "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k,n
8   (~$freq_tri(i,j1+n+1,k) & $freq_tri(i,j2+n+1,k))
9   <=> $decrease(i,j1,j2,k,n)":

```

## APPENDIX B. WALNUT CODE FOR SECTIONS 3.3.1, 3.3.2 AND 3.4

Theorem 14 can be proven by running the following Walnut code, returning TRUE:

```

1 eval b1fib "?msd_fib Ai,j1,j2,k,n Dequifib[i][j1][j2][k][n] <= 02":

```

Theorem 16 can be proven by running the following Walnut code, where the last command returns TRUE:

```

1 def unb1fib "?msd_fib Ai Ej1,j2,n Dequifib[i][j1][j2][k][n] > 01":
2 eval allfrom4 "?msd_fib Ak $unb1fib(k) <=> k>=4":

```

Similarly, we run the following command to obtain a proof of Theorem 17, returning TRUE:

```

1 eval b1pell "?msd_pell Ai,j1,j2,k,n Dequipell[i][j1][j2][k][n] <= 03":

```

The following commands give a proof of the first part of Theorem 18, returning TRUE:

```

1 def unb1pell "?msd_pell Ai Ej1,j2,n Dequipell[i][j1][j2][k][n] > 01":
2 eval allfrom6 "?msd_pell Ak $unb1pell(k) <=> k>=6":

```

And the following commands proof of the second part of Theorem 18, returning an automaton recognizing the empty set:

```

1 def unb2pell "?msd_pell Ai Ej2,j2,n Dequipell[i][j2][j2][k][n] > 02":
2 eval unb2 "?msd_pell $unb2pell(k)":

```

Theorem 22 can be proven by running the following Walnut code, returning TRUE:

```

1 eval b1tri "?msd_tri Ai,j1,j2,k,n Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n] <= 02":

```

Theorem 23 can be proven by running the following Walnut code, where the last command returns TRUE:

```

1 def to2tri "?msd_tri Dequitri[i][j1][j2][k][n] > 01":
2 def tri2tri "?msd_tri Ej1,j2 $to2tri(i,j1,j2,k,n)":
3 def unb1tri "?msd_tri Ai En $tri2tri(i,k,n)":
4 eval allfrom "?msd_tri Ak $unb1tri(k) <=> k>=1":

```

### APPENDIX C. EXEMPLIFICATION OF SECTION 4.2 WITH THE THUE–MORSE SEQUENCE

We illustrate the concepts of the beginning of Section 4.2. For this, we fix the Thue–Morse sequence  $\mathbf{x} = 0110100110010110\dots$ , fixed point of the substitution  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 10$ . We start with its sliding-block code of length 2 from Definition 34. Since  $\#\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{f}) = 4$ ,  $B_2(\mathbf{x})$  is encoded over an alphabet of four letters and we have  $B_2(\mathbf{x}) = 123134123413\dots$ . Let us obtain the substitution generating this latter sequence. We get  $A_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and the encoding  $\Theta_2 : 01 \mapsto 1, 11 \mapsto 2, 10 \mapsto 3, 00 \mapsto 4$ . Now  $\tau_2$  is defined by  $1 \mapsto 12, 2 \mapsto 31, 3 \mapsto 34$ , and  $4 \mapsto 13$ . For instance, since  $\ell = 1$  encodes the factor  $u = 01$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\tau(u[0]) = \tau(0) = 01$  has length 2, we look at the first 2 length-2 factors of  $\tau(u) = 0110$ , i.e.,  $\tau_2(1) = \underbrace{1}_{=01} \underbrace{2}_{=11}$ .

We now illustrate the proof of Lemma 37. The eigenvalues of  $M_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  are 0 and 2 and respective eigenvectors are given by

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now seeing  $\Theta_2$ , we obtain that  $\pi_2 : 1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 1, 3 \mapsto 1, 4 \mapsto 0$ . For instance, since  $\Theta_2^{-1}(1) = 12$ , we look at the first letter of the factor 0110 coded by 12 of  $\mathbf{x}$  to obtain  $\pi_2(1)$ , which is 0. So the vectors

$$V'_0 = \begin{pmatrix} V_0[\pi_2(1)] \\ V_0[\pi_2(2)] \\ V_0[\pi_2(3)] \\ V_0[\pi_2(4)] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} V_2[\pi_2(1)] \\ V_2[\pi_2(2)] \\ V_2[\pi_2(3)] \\ V_2[\pi_2(4)] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are eigenvectors of  $M_{\tau_2}$  with respective eigenvalues 0 and 2.

We now observe that the converse of Proposition 38 does not hold. The Thue–Morse substitution  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 10$  is ultimately Pisot with characteristic polynomial  $P_\tau(X) = X(X-2)$ . However,  $\tau_2$  is not, since it has characteristic polynomial  $P_{\tau_2}(X) = X(X-1)(X+1)(X-2)$ .

### APPENDIX D. WALNUT DETAILS FOR THE NARAYANA SEQUENCE

In this section, we illustrate the method of Section 4.2 on the Narayana sequence, fixed point of  $\tau : 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 0$ . In **Walnut**,  $\mathbf{n}$  is encoded by **Nara** and we also define the corresponding Dumont–Thomas numeration system; see ?? 1.

```

1 %%python
2 from licofage.kit import *
3 import os
4 setparams(True, True, os.environ["WALNUT_HOME"])
5
6 s = subst('01/2/0')
7 ns = address(s, "nara")
8 ns.gen_ns()
9 ns.gen_word_automaton()

```

LISTING 1. Generate the Dumont–Thomas numeration system for the Narayana substitution.

Then we set a factor comparison predicate in **Walnut** and a first factor occurrence predicate; see ?? 2.

As explained in [17, Section 8.1], we use these predicates to define the border condition of Lemma 1:

```

1 def cut "?msd_nara i<=u & j<=v & u+j=v+i & u<n+i & v<n+j":
2 def feq_nara "?msd_nara ~(Eu,v $cut(i,j,n,u,v) & Nara[u]!=Nara[v])":
3 eval comp_nara n "?msd_nara Aj $feq_nara(i,j,n) => i<=j":

```

LISTING 2. The predicates for factor comparison and first factor occurrence.

```

1 def bordercond "?msd_nara (k<=n => $feq_nara(i,j,k-1))
2 & (n<k => $feq_nara(i,j,n))":

```

Let us agree that we want to compute the 3-abelian complexity  $(\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^3(n))_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\mathbf{n}$ . Then we need the length-3 sliding-block code  $B_3(\mathbf{n})$  of  $\mathbf{n}$  and the corresponding substitution  $\tau_3$ . By [41, Theorem 13], the sequence  $\mathbf{n}$  have  $2 \cdot 3 + 1 = 7$  length-3 factors. The substitution  $\tau_3$  is thus over 7 letters, and one can check that

$$\tau_3: 0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 45, 4 \mapsto 12, 5 \mapsto 6, 6 \mapsto 3.$$

We then obtain the Dumont–Thomas numeration systems associated with both  $\tau, \tau_3$  and convert one to another. We observe that the conversion is the identity (as can also be seen in Fig. 2), but this is not always the case. We use the following code to obtain our results:

```

1 %%python
2 s3 = block(s, 3)
3 ns3 = address(s3, "narab3")
4 ns3.gen_ns()
5 (ns-ns3).gen_dfa("conv_nara_narab3")

```




FIGURE 2. The converter between the Dumont–Thomas numeration systems associated with the Narayana substitution  $\tau$  and the substitution behind the length-3 sliding-block code of its fixed point (here it computes the identity).

We translate the border condition into the new numeration system:

```

1 def bordercond3 "?msd_narab3 (?msd_nara Eii,jj,kk,nn (
2 $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara ii, ?msd_narab3 i) &
3 $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara jj, ?msd_narab3 j) &
4 $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara kk, ?msd_narab3 k) &
5 $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara nn, ?msd_narab3 n) &
6 $bordercond(ii,jj,kk,nn)))":

```

We are now able to compute  $(\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^3(n))_{n \geq 0}$ . We first compute the Parikh vectors for the prefixes of  $B_3(\mathbf{n})$ :

```

1 %%python
2 for (m,a) in enumerate(ns3.alpha):
3 w = {'_': 0}
4 w[a] = 1
5 parikh = address(s3, ns3.ns, **w)
6 (parikh - ns3).gen_dfa(f"narab3p{m}")

```

Second, for each  $i \in [0, 6]$  (since  $B_3(\mathbf{n})$  is over 7 letters), we write the following predicates:

```

1 def fac{m} "?msd_narab3 Ex,y $narab3p{m}(i,x) & $narab3p{m}(i+n,y)
2           & z+x=y":
3 def min{m} "?msd_narab3 Ei $fac{m}(i,n,x) & Aj,y $fac{m}(j,n,y)
4           => y>=x":
5 def diff{m} "?msd_narab3 Ex,y $min{m}(n,x) & $fac{m}(i,n,y) & z+x=y":

```

For instance,  $\text{fac0}(i, n, z)$  insures that  $z$  gives the number of letters 0 in the length- $n$  factor  $B_3(\mathbf{x})[i..i+n[$ . Similarly,  $\text{min0}(n, x)$  insures that  $x$  is the smallest number of 0's in all length- $n$  factors of  $B_3(\mathbf{x})$ ; and  $\text{diff0}(i, n, z)$  insures that  $z$  is the quantity needed to obtain the number of 0's in  $B_3(\mathbf{x})[i..i+n[$  from the minimum number of 0's in all length- $n$  factors of  $B_3(\mathbf{x})$ . Then we combine all 7 predicates to obtain the 3-abelian complexity as follows:

```

1 def abeq_narab3 "?msd_narab3 $bordercond3(i,j,3,n+2)
2   & (Ez $diff0(i,n,z) & $diff0(j,n,z))
3   & (Ez $diff1(i,n,z) & $diff1(j,n,z))
4   & (Ez $diff2(i,n,z) & $diff2(j,n,z))
5   & (Ez $diff3(i,n,z) & $diff3(j,n,z))
6   & (Ez $diff4(i,n,z) & $diff4(j,n,z))
7   & (Ez $diff5(i,n,z) & $diff5(j,n,z))
8   & (Ez $diff6(i,n,z) & $diff6(j,n,z))":

```

Finally, to get back to the original numeration system and to compute the first values, we use the following predicate:

```

1 def abeq_nara3 "?msd_nara (n<2 & $feq_nara(i,j,n))
2   | (n>=2 & (?msd_narab3 Ei,j,n
3     ($conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara ii, ?msd_narab3 i)
4     & $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara jj, ?msd_narab3 j)
5     & $conv_nara_narab3(?msd_nara nn, ?msd_narab3 n)
6     & $abeq_narab3(ii,jj,nn-2))))":

```

The following command gives a linear representation of the 3-abelian complexity:

```

1 eval comp_nara3 n "?msd_nara Aj $abeq_nara3(i,j,n) => i<=j":

```

Finally, by applying the semigroup trick, we obtain the desired DFAO for the 3-abelian complexity:

```

1 %SGT comp_nara3 msd_nara Comp_nara3

```

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, INSA CVL, LIFO, UR 4022, ORLÉANS, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* jean-michel.couvreur@univ-orleans.fr

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, INSA CVL, LIFO, UR 4022, ORLÉANS, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* martin.delacourt@univ-orleans.fr

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, INSA CVL, LIFO, UR 4022, ORLÉANS, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* nicolas.ollinger@univ-orleans.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LIÈGE, BELGIUM  
*E-mail address:* pierre.popoli@uliege.be

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* shallit@waterloo.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LIÈGE, BELGIUM  
*E-mail address:* m.stipulanti@uliege.be