

Psychopathology

Psychopathology , DOI: 10.1159/000545169

Received: February 20, 2025 Accepted: March 5, 2025

Published online: March 28, 2025

What do we risk to SQuEASE by making psychiatric phenomenology too efficient?

Delcourt F, Englebert J

ISSN: 0254-4962 (Print), eISSN: 1423-033X (Online)

https://www.karger.com/PSP

Psychopathology

Disclaimer:

Accepted, unedited article not yet assigned to an issue. The statements, opinions and data contained in this publication are solely those of the individual authors and contributors and not of the publisher and the editor(s). The publisher and the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to persons or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to the content.

Copyright:

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes requires written permission.

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Letter

What do we risk to SQuEASE by making psychiatric phenomenology too efficient?

Florestan Delcourt^a, Jérôme Englebert^{b,c}

^a CRPMS, ED450, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

^b Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain, Belgium

^c Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

Short Title: What do we squeeze with the SQuEASE-11?

Corresponding Author: Florestan Delcourt E-mail address:

florestan.delcourt@etu.u-paris.fr

Keywords: Phenomenology; Neoliberalism; Scaling; First-Person Perspective; Time

Peut-être aussi schématisait-elle l'intrépidité apparente de quelqu'un qui veut montrer qu'il n'a pas peur et ne veut pas se donner le temps de penser. Marcel Proust, Le temps retrouvé.

Schizophrenia Bulletin has published a scale [1] to which we would like to respond, but not so much targeting the article itself as its trend, its Economical-pragmatic way to examine anomalous selfexperience; the neoliberal flow of standardization of psychopathological phenomenology. As a reminder, this article is also the result of the great return of phenomenology in international psychiatry, the return to the things themselves experienced by subjects with a disorder, by understanding what each of them experiences in their daily life, what each disorder makes them live from a first-person perspective, before explaining from a third-person perspective as the natural sciences usually do [2-4]. From this viewpoint, the schizophrenia spectrum has been the topic of numerous studies, even if, or perhaps because its experience has traditionally been reputed to be incomprehensible [4-7]. Another trend also permeates this return to phenomenology, and responds to what Jean Piaget [8] called the hope and the need for a renewal of the « links between philosophy and science », which, as Wolfgang Blankenburg [9] hoped, would help to « to make fruitful, step by step, the whole arsenal of essence determinations of phenomenology, for empirical science [translated] », namely the development of so-called phenomenological empirical scales and tools. Among these tools, EASE [10] (Examination of Anomalous Self-Experience) is distinguished by the broad scope of its use and results, especially in the study of the schizophrenia spectrum [11-13]. However, before its diagnostic, predictive and psychiatric value, EASE is specifically concerned with experiential alterations, the pre-reflective anomalies of experience that can sign the first-person perspective of each person, or particular disorder, using a 57-item scale. These anomalies, like flats and sharps [14], do not objectively alter the experience of this or that thing, its real note, so to speak, as hallucinations can, but the overall, pre-reflexive impression that conditions the a priori of its perception; in other words, the tacit and daily, transcendental experience of these person's Self, their *lived Self*.

The study discussed here [1] aims to reduce in an economical-pragmatic way the minimum 2h EASE administration time to 20min, by developing the SQuEASE-11 scale (Screen Questionnaire for EASE); hence our reaction, and the question: what do we squease here? For the authors, the answer is simple: time, squeased by effectiveness. Møller et al [1] insist on the time-consuming aspect of EASE, and this reduction from 57 items to 11 would, in fact, aim to « spread the use of this essential « from-within » perspective of psychosis risk », with « a shorter, first-step, « screening-like » instrument (preferably interview based, as in this study) ». According to them, « the time is ripe to have a systematic look at this issue empirically », and it is therefore appropriate to see whether it is possible to study these phenomena linked « to ipseity disturbance as such, but (which) are found to be particularly frequent and prototypical » clinically, and statistically, in a short, targeted and systematic way. This, we think, is the problem behind this work, which has its own undeniable qualities, but responds above all to the « real world » requirements of care settings for subjects at risk of psychosis, as addressed by the parent study of SQuEASE-11, STEP [15-17] (Staged Treatment in Early Psychosis), which espouses, among other things, this flow of efficient standardization in psychiatry. The emphasis placed on statistics is revealing, since, coming from the German Statistik, the Italian statista, and designating what a statesman must know, statistics serve to satisfy this need for rapid, efficient, managerial handling of things and situations. But should psychiatry, enlightened by phenomenology, blindly follow this trend? And isn't it too simple to say that such a tool simply meets a demand, follows an inescapable evolution in psychiatric care, without acknowledging, or even discussing, its possible participation in this very evolution. The standardization of psychiatry, driven by the globalization and cost-efficiency of care [18], is tending towards this squeased time, a neoliberal transmutation of time opening the way to a culture of urgency [19]. However, we feel that this lost time remains necessary for the exploration of the phenomena that EASE is seeking to address, because of their « fleeting, perhaps even verging on something ineffable » aspect, « often so strange to the patient that he has never communicated them to anyone else » [10], and because of the very use of phenomenology, if we want to appropriate it as it is within any clinical apprehension [20].

This is undoubtedly one of the main interests of *EASE*, but also of psychiatric phenomenology, which deserves more research: the clinical effect of the time it takes, the time that must be taken (and *lost*) when using these scales, or others, renowned for their pragmatic efficiency with regard to symptoms or other psychiatric aspects, that are abundant in studies and are beginning to flourish in research programs, but which, if their time only follows this standardization, may miss the interest of the margins, of the *aside*.

Certainly, supporting these persons, those suffering from a psychotic disorder, and more generally from a psychiatric disorder, requires a certain degree of efficient standardization, and the persons directly concerned, suffering from the disorder, belonging to their entourage, or their carers can testify subjectively to this need, but also to the necessity of a *humane* approach of it, inclined to compassion, understanding and confidence in their own recovery [21-24]. Indeed, the idea of preventive *universal interventions* for psychotic disorders seems, at least for the time being, out of grasp [25], and this state of affairs urges us to continue to target each possible risk within each concrete clinical situation with this population, on a case-by-case basis. However, if this does not invalidate the research motivated by this need for the standardization of care, urges us to revalue, scientifically and clinically, the *long lost time* of the human encounter. In our view, the current resurgence of interest in phenomenology can assist this reappraisal of time, and nuance the flow of standardization urgently requiring speed and efficiency.

To make our concern more explicit, we'll extend this trend by allowing the use of *self-rated scales*. While the authors of *SQuEASE-11* state that these scales are « inadequate and insufficient for capturing psychosis risk » [1], others have suggested « their potential as screening measures for SD [Self-Disorders] » in a meta-analysis [13]. Now, even if it is against the will of its authors, this is a trend to which *SQuEASE-11* conforms and in fact contributes despite its caution. And so, why not even consider the 12 items of the *Self-Experience Lifetime Frequency* [26] ? This tool follows the same goals and the same trend, and the *time saved* for « screening of self-disturbance phenomena », in a «

feasible and possibly clinically relevant » way [12] would respond more effectively, through its number of items, to this *economical-pragmatic* trend. And why not consider, given that « the path of least resistance for the imagination is not the same as for mathematics » as Bachelard [27] warns, *single-item measures of self-rated mental health* [28] ? These tools can be seen as statistically effective, and therefore likely to meet this *realistic* need in current psychiatric practice, but in trying to get to the core, the heart of efficiency, it seems obvious that this trend, taken here to the extreme, misses its aim by squeezing out the time needed to encounter these phenomena and these persons. Thus, perhaps it would be judicious for one of the possible evolutions of phenomenological psychopathology to contribute to the study of this other temporality of care, to which clinicians and patients seem to aspire. Do clinicians want better, faster tools, or more time to meet their patients ? And what kind of clinician does the patient, particularly with a psychotic disorder, but also more broadly psychiatric, want to meet ? It could be fruitful to undertake a new *in search of lost time*, no longer focused on the rational rationalization of time (and contributing, by legitimizing it, to this squeeze), but based on the permanent concern to preserve what always goes beyond therapeutic tools, which have their usefulness, and open us up to the encounter with each *concrete* person [29].

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This study was not supported by any sponsor or funder.

Author Contributions

Florestan Delcourt and Jérôme Englebert contributed to the writing of this editorial.

References [Numerical]

- 1 Møller P, Nelson B, McGorry PD, et al. Psychosis Risk: Time to Look Empirically at a First-step Economical-pragmatic Way to Examine Anomalous Self-experience. Exploring the SQuEASE-11. Schizophr Bull. DOI:10.1093/schbul/sbae149
- 2 Stanghellini G, Broome M, Raballo A, Fernandez AV, Fusar-Poli P, Rosfort R, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Phenomenological Psychopathology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.
- 3 Stanghellini G, Aragona M, editors. An Experiential Approach to Psychopathology. New York: Springer International Publishing; 2016.
- 4 Henriksen MG, Raballo A, Nordgaard J. Self-disorders and psychopathology: a systematic review. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(11):1001-1012. DOI:10.1016/s2215-0366(21)00097-3
- 5 Henriksen MG. On incomprehensibility in schizophrenia. Phenom Cogn Sci. 2011;12(1):105-129. DOI:10.1007/s11097-010-9194-7
- 6 Fusar-Fusar-Poli P, Estradé A, Stanghellini G, et al. The lived experience of psychosis: a bottom-up review cowritten by experts by experience and academics. World Psychiatry. 2022;21(2):168-188. DOI:10.1002/wps.20959
- 7 Raballo A, Poletti M, Preti A, Parnas J. The Self in the Spectrum: A Meta-analysis of the Evidence Linking Basic Self-Disorders and Schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 2021;47(4):1007-1017. DOI:10.1093/schbul/sbaa201
- 8 Piaget J. Insights and Illusions of Philosophy. New York: The World Publishing Company; 1971.
- 9 Blankenburg W. La Perte de l'évidence naturelle. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France; 1971.
- 10 Parnas J, Møller P, Kircher T, et al. EASE: Examination of Anomalous Self-Experience. Psychopathology. 2005;38(5):236-258. DOI:10.1159/000088441
- 11 Parnas J, Henriksen MG. Selfhood and Its Disorders. In: Stanghellini G, Broome M, Raballo A, Fernandez AV, Fusar-Poli P, Rosfort R, editors. The Oxford Handbook of Phenomenological Psychopathology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018:465-474.
- 12 Nordgaard J, Henriksen MG, Jansson L, et al. Disordered Selfhood in Schizophrenia and the Examination of Anomalous Self-Experience: Accumulated Evidence and Experience. Psychopathology. 2021;54(6):275-281. DOI:10.1159/000517672
- 13 Burgin S, Reniers R, Humpston C. Prevalence and assessment of self-disorders in the schizophrenia spectrum: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1). DOI:10.1038/s41598-022-05232-9
- 14 Delcourt F. Où vont les échelles phénoménologiques ? Introduction théorique, réflexions épistémologiques et ouverture fictionaliste. Inf Psychiatr. 2024;100(8):625-630. DOI:10.1684/ipe.2024.2780
- 15 Nelson B, Amminger GP, Yuen HP, et al. Staged Treatment in Early Psychosis: A sequential multiple assignment randomised trial of interventions for ultra high risk of psychosis patients. Early Intervention Psych.
- 2017;12(3):292-306. DOI:10.1111/eip.12459
- 16 McGorry PD, Mei C, Amminger GP, et al. A Sequential Adaptive Intervention Strategy Targeting Remission and Functional Recovery in Young People at Ultrahigh Risk of Psychosis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2023;80(9):875. DOI:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2023.1947
- 17 Hartmann JA, Nelson B, Amminger GP, et al. Baseline data of a sequential multiple assignment randomized trial (STEP study). Early Intervention Psych. 2022;16(10):1130-1142. DOI:10.1111/eip.13263
- 18 Roberts MT. Globalization and Neoliberalism: Structural Determinants of Global Mental Health? Humanity & Society. 2020;45(4):471-508. DOI:10.1177/0160597620951949
- 19 Sugarman J, Thrift E. Neoliberalism and the Psychology of Time. J Humanist Psychol. 2017;60(6):807-828. DOI:10.1177/0022167817716686
- 20 Ritunnano R, Papola D, Broome MR, Nelson B. Phenomenology as a resource for translational research in mental health: methodological trends, challenges and new directions. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2023;32. DOI:10.1017/s2045796022000762
- 21 Hansen H, Stige SH, Davidson L, Moltu C, Veseth M. How Do People Experience Early Intervention Services for Psychosis? A Meta-Synthesis. Qual Health Res. 2017;28(2):259-272. DOI:10.1177/1049732317735080
- 22 Estradé A, Onwumere J, Venables J, et al. The Lived Experiences of Family Members and Carers of People with Psychosis: A Bottom-Up Review Co-Written by Experts by Experience and Academics. Psychopathology. 2023;56(5):371-382. DOI:10.1159/000528513
- 23 Noiriel A, Verneuil L, Osmond I, Manolios E, Revah-Levy A, Sibeoni J. The Lived Experience of First-Episode Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Metasynthesis of Qualitative Studies. Psychopathology. 2020;53(5-6):223-238. DOI:10.1159/000510865

- 24 Thomsen DK, Holm T, Jensen R, Lind M, Pedersen AM. Storying Mental Illness and Personal Recovery. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2023.
- 25 Brodeur S, Oliver D, Ahmed MS, et al. Why we need to pursue both universal and targeted prevention to reduce the incidence of affective and psychotic disorders: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2024;161:105669. DOI:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2024.105669
- 26 Heering HD, Goedhart S, Bruggeman R, et al. Disturbed Experience of Self: Psychometric Analysis of the Self-Experience Lifetime Frequency Scale (SELF). Psychopathology. 2016;49(2):69-76. DOI:10.1159/000441952 27 Bachelard G. The New Scientific Spirit. Boston: Beacon Press; 1984.
- 28 Ahmad F, Jhajj AK, Stewart DE, Burghardt M, Bierman AS. Single item measures of self-rated mental health: a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14(1). DOI:10.1186/1472-6963-14-398
- 29 Politzer G. Critique of the foundations of psychology: the psychology of psychoanalysis. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1994.