Reconstruction et estimation d'incertitude des images satellites utilisant l'apprentissage profond

Alexander Barth, Julien Brajard, Aida Alvera-Azcárate, Bayoumy Mohamed, Charles Troupin, and Jean-Marie Beckers

GHER, Université de Liège, Belgique





#### Daily SST, North Atlantic (0-60°N, 0-80°W)

Dataset: NOAA OISST V2.1 | Image Credit: ClimateReanalyzer.org, Climate Change Institute, University of Maine



#### Neural network for satellite image reconstruction

- About 75 % of the ocean surface is on average covered by clouds that block sensors in the optical and infrared bands (Wylie et al., 2005)
- For many applications, full images are necessary or at least desirable (even to compute a mean)
- Accuracy of interpolated fields will naturally vary in space and time as the data coverages varies





Clouds can be beautiful but...

- Reconstruct missing data (e.g. due to clouds) in satellite images (in-painting)
- Training a neural network

**Objectives** 

- From hydrodynamical **model data** (complete; but affected by errors and biases)
- From observations (incomplete; still possibly affected by errors and biases; but to a lesser degree)
- Aim here: training a neural network using incomplete observations

## The Bayes' rule or how to combine information

#### For Gaussian-distributed errors:

- prior:  $\mathcal{N}(x^f, \sigma^f)$
- observations: *M*(y<sup>o</sup>,σ<sup>o</sup>)
- posterior:  $\mathcal{N}(X^a, \sigma^a)$

#### Bayes' rule:

$$p(x|y^o) = \frac{p(x)p(y^o|x)}{p(y^o)}$$

• Mean and variance of posterior, given by:

$$\sigma^{a-2}x^{a} = \sigma^{f^{-2}}x^{f} + \sigma^{o-2}y^{o}$$
  
$$\sigma^{a-2} = \sigma^{f^{-2}} + \sigma^{o-2}$$

• Inverse of the variance are simply added linearly



## **Typical UNet**



#### Input:

- data/o<sup>2</sup> (previous day, current 0 day, following day)
- $1/\sigma^2$  (previous day, current day, 0 following day)
- Longitude, latitude 0
- Time (cosine and sine of the 0 year-day/365.25)
- If missing, error variance ( $\sigma^2$ ) is considered to be infinity
- Compression of input image
- Decompression from a so-called "latent representation" (bottleneck)
- Skip connections allow to bypass the bottleneck



## **Training**

- Partitioned into so-called mini-batches
- The entire dataset is used multiple times (epochs)
- For every input image, **more data points were masked** (in addition to the cross-validation) by using a **randomly chosen cloud mask during training** (data set augmentation).
- The output of the neural network (for every single grid point i,j) is a **Gaussian probability distribution** function characterized by a mean  $\hat{y}_{ii}$  and a standard deviation  $\sigma_{ii}$ .

$$J(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\sigma}) = -\logig(p(\mathbf{y}|\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\sigma})ig) = rac{1}{2N}\sum_{ij}\left[\left(rac{y_{ij}-\hat{y}_{ij}}{\hat{\sigma}_{ij}}
ight)^2 + \logig(\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^2ig) + 2\logig(\sqrt{2\pi}ig)
ight]$$

- The first term: mean square error, but scaled by the estimated error standard deviation.
- The second term: penalizes any over-estimation of the error standard deviation.
- (Remember Gilles Loupe presentation about the overconfidence and underconfidence)

#### Date: 2003-09-17



Dataset used

- MODIS Sea Surface Temperature
- Wind speed (Cross-Calibrated Multi-Platform, CCMP; gridded surface vector winds)
- Chlorophyll a from Ocean Biology Processing Group



Auxiliary parameters	cat skip connections	sum skip connections	sum skip connections and refinement
none	0.66 (0.06-1.02)	0.60 (0.05-0.93)	0.55 (0.04–0.84)
chlor_a	0.64 (0.06-1.00)	0.59 (0.05-0.92)	0.54 (0.04–0.82)
chlor_a, wind_speed	0.65 (0.06-1.00)	0.58 (0.05-0.90)	0.54 (0.04–0.82)
chlor_a, wind_speed, uwnd, vwnd	0.66 (0.06-1.03)	0.57 (0.05-0.88)	0.54 (0.05–0.82)

## **Unstructured data**

Altimetry data from 1993-01-01 to 2019-05-13 from CMEMS

Multiple satellites missions

- 70% training data (determine weight of the networks)
- 20% developpement data (determine structure of the network,...)
- 10% test data (independent validation)

Structure of the network determined by Bayesian optimization

# Convolution operator extended to a point cloud



#### Date: 2017-06-07

## Validation

Reasonable good match with the validation data

**Reliable expected reconstruction errors** are notoriously hard to obtain from methods like optimal interpolation

DINCAE also provide the expected error of the reconstruction (per pixel)

The validation data has been **grouped into bins** using the expected error

For every bin the **standard deviation of the actual error** has been computed

The predicted error underestimates the actual error only by 4%





10

## Limitation

- So far, only error per grid cell
- Error of interpolated fields is also correlated in space and time
- Spatial and temporal correlation of error is important when computing e.g. averages

#### Rather than producing a single reconstruction, producing an **ensemble of possible reconstruction** coherent with the available data

## **Denoising diffusion model**

- Relatively new type of generative neural network
- Often used to generate images based on texts







Santa as a pokemon, pokemon card, attack, fire

penguin astronaut on Mars

cat as transformer

Good at generalization

#### **Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model**



$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \mathbf{z}_{t-1}$$

 $\beta_{t}$  variance of noise added,  $\mathbf{z}_{t}$  Gaussian noise, initial image:  $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ , *t* current step

Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, Pieter Abbeel, <u>Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model</u>, 34th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2020), Vancouver, Canada. 2020

## Data

- L3 satellite chlorophyll-a concentration of the Black Sea
- spatial resolution of 300 m from the CMEMS
- Ocean and Land Colour Instrument (OLCI) sensor onboard Sentinel-3A and Sentinel-3B.
- Training data from 2016-04-26 to 2021-08-31
- The training data is split horizontally in tiles with 64 x 64 grid cells. We keep only tiles with at least 20% of valid data (i.e. non-clouded pixels) for training.
- Validation data from 2021-09-01 2022-08-31
- Test data 2022-09-01 2023-08-31
- Units: log<sub>10</sub> mg/m<sup>3</sup>







How to train our denoising diffusion model if you have only images with clouds?



Different pixels can be in a **different** state of degradation.

#### here step 100 step 300 step 800 step 1 43.800 43.775 -43.750 43.725 43.700 -43.675 -43.650 28.60 28.65 28.70 28.75 28.80 0.0 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 -0.8 -0.6 0.4 -1.0

Starting

### Results







#### Date: 2023-08-28







# (f) sample 2

- 0.6

- 0.4

- 0.2

- 0.0

-0.2

-0.4

# Mean rec:

Mean reconstruction of an ensemble of 64 sample reconstructions

## Validation



Ideally: orange and green line overlap with blue  $\rightarrow$  variability at all scales is preserved



Ideally: blue line should be flat  $\rightarrow$ probabilities are reliable and indicative of the uncertainty 19

### **Reverse diffusion**

- Satellite sea-surface temperature (MODIS: Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer)
- Training on global dataset
- Strong seasonal cycle
- Larger images (124 x 124, more context for the reconstruction)

#### sea surface temperature 2019-01-01



## Conclusions

#### DINCAE:

- A convolutional Autoencoder approach to reconstruct missing data
- Missing data handled by including expected error variance in the input data
- Estimation of missing data + estimation of error of the reconstruction obtained
- Reliable error estimates

Diffusion models

- Better accuracy as DINCAE (RMS)
- Reliable ensemble of possible reconstructions

#### Code on GitHub with links to the papers: <u>https://github.com/gher-uliege/DINCAE.jl</u> https://github.com/gher-uliege/DINDiff.jl

