

Study of biomechanical and muscle activity patterns during a 30min downhill running test and subsequent muscle damage in male trailers.

> Hody S., Schwartz C., Abran G. & Bury, T. **University of Liege, Belgium, <u>shody@uliege.be</u>**



INTRODUCTION

- Downhill running (DR) sections, a common component in off-road races, can lead to exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) due to the greater eccentric work of some lower limb muscles.
- Running biomechanics and muscle activation may change during prolonged DR but these adjustments have not been clearly identified in trailers yet. A better understanding of the running pattern modifications appears essential for performance optimization and injuries prevention.

Therefore, the present study aimed

RESULTS

DOWNHILL RUNNING PROTOCOL

Subjects were able to complete a 30min DR (-15%) at 90% of their MAS.

- Speed (90% of MAS) 14.11 ± 1,58 km/h Running distance 7095.8 ± 705.97 m
- RPE (muscular aspect) 13.17 ± 2.62
- RPE (cardiovascular aspect) 11.92 ± 1.44 Lactatemia « pre DR » 2.56 ± 0.43 mmol/l
 - Lactatemia « post DR » 3.99 ± 0.98 mmol/l

INDIRECT MARKERS OF MUSCLE DAMAGE

(1) to characterize the running pattern over a 30min downhill running test;

(2) to investigate the DR-induced muscle damage.

PROTOCOLS

- Recreational male trailers (n=12, VMA ≥ 15) were recruited in this study.
 - Age 27.9 ± 8.9 years
- Height 178.3 ± 8.1 cm
- Fat mass $14.6 \pm 4.5 \%$ • Weight 71.8 ± 10 kg
- At **visit 1**, participants completed a standard VO₂max test on a treadmill to determine physiological parameters, notably their maximal aerobic speed (MAS).
- At visit 2, they performed a warm-up of 5min level running at 60% MAS followed by 3min level running at 90% MAS. Then, the DR protocol consisted of 30min downhill running (-15% grade) at 90% MAS.





Plasma CK activity, maximal isometric strength and muscle soreness showed significant changes at 24 and 48h after 30min-DR.

Jump performance appeared less affected. SJ height, but not CMJ, was decreased at 24h post-exercise compared to « Pre ».

Fig 1. Changes in muscle damage indirect markers. repeated One-way measured ANOVA; Means ± SD; Significant differences were denoted by *p<0.05; ***p<0.001 (compared to « Pre »); \$p<0.01 (compared to « Post 24h »);</pre> #p<0.05 (compared to « Post »).</pre>

SPATIOTEMPORAL PARAMETERS

DR 1	DR 2	DR 3	n malue
			ponne

The EIMD indirect markers were measured before ("pre", visit 2), 24h and 48h post DR session (visits 3 and 4, respectively).





Stance time (ms)	216.07 ± 23.98	223.36 ± 21.33	223.95 ± 19.70	0.107
Swing time (ms)	501.90 ± 25.70	495.27 ± 23.75	496.79 ± 29.47	0.610
Stance percent (%)	30.06 ± 2.73	31.04 ± 2.07	31.06 ± 2.06	0.046*
Stride frequency (n/min)	83.77 ± 4.03	83.72 ± 4.44	83.47 ± 4.76	0.947
Stride length (m)	2.88 ± 0.17	2.88 ± 0.13	2.89 ± 0.12	0.960

Table 1. Stride spatiotemporal parameters recorded at 5min, 15min and 30min of downhill running (DR1, DR2, DR3; respectively). Repeated measures ANOVA (means ± SD).

3D BIOMECHANICAL ANALYSIS



using the 3D Codamotion® system. Muscle activity of the lower limb muscles (rectus femoris, medial and vastus lateralis, femoral biceps and gastrocnemius muscles) was assessed at the start, middle and end of the DR (at 5min, 15min and 30min; respectively).

CONCLUSIONS

- The DR induced **muscle damage** as reflected by significant changes in EIMD indirect markers 24 and 48h post-exercise.
- The kinematic data did not change except the **stance phase** (in % of the running gait) which increased significantly over the 30min DR.
- While some changes in **muscle activity** of lower limb muscles (*vastus* lateralis and biceps femoris) were observed over 30min-DR, further investigations are warranted to identify the intermuscular compensations that can occur during prolonged DR and its relationship with the subsequent EIMD.

SURFACE EMG ACTIVITY OF LOWER LIMB MUSCLES



Fig. 3. Surface EMG activity (normalized to maximal activation) during the stride cycle (analysis using MATLAB® software and using SPM method). Gray shaded area indicates regions with statistically significant differences between the time points.

¹Pataki TC, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA (2005). Journal of Biomechanics, 48 (7): 1277–1285.