

# How to estimate GFR in 2024?

Pierre Delanaye, MD, PhD University of Liège CHU Sart Tilman BELGIUM





1

| Category                            | Disclosure Information  |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Employer                            | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Ownership Interest                  | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Consultancy                         | IDS; Nephrolyx; Alentis Therapeutics; ARK Bioscience; Astellas  |
| Research Funding                    | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Honoraria                           | IDS; Fresenius Kabi; Fresenius Medical Care; Nephrolyx; Alentis Therapeutics; ARK Bioscience; AstraZeneca;<br>Bayer |
| Patents or Royalties                | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Advisory or Leadership Role         | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Speakers Bureau                     | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Other Interests or<br>Relationships | Nothing to disclose.  |
| Disclosure Updated Date             | 07/18/2023  |

- GFR is estimated with biomarkers
- Serum creatinine is one the most prescribed analysis
- The most important is probably to know the limitations...



#### With the kind permission of Marc Froissart

### **Other Limitations**

#### Analytical

- Jaffe methods
- Enzymatic methods
- Jaffe and enzymatic methods gives slightly different results
- Pseudochromogen: glucose, fructose, ascorbate, proteins, urate, acetoacetate, acetone, pyruvate => false positive
- Bilirubins: false negative

#### Physiological: Tubular secretion

- 10 to 40%
- Increase with decreased GFR
- Unpredictable at the individual level !

#### Physiological: Muscular mass

- Production (relatively) constant but muscular production => serum creatinine is dependent of muscualr mass, not only GFR (age? sex/gender? race/population?)
- Extra-renal production

Perrone RD, Clin Chem, 1992, 38, p1933 Delanaye P, Nephron, 2017, 136, p302

### **Creatinine: to the trash?**

- Very cheap (0.04€ /Jaffe)
- Good specificty
- Good analytical CV, IDMS traceability

### **Creatinine clearance**

- Not recommended (first line)
- Creatinine tubular secretion
- Lack of precision:

### errors in urine collection

22 to 27% for « trained » patients 50 to 70 % for others

large intra-individual variability for creatinine excretion

### **Statistics**

- Good correlation: a "sine qua non" condition but insufficient
- Bias: mean difference between two values = the systematic error
- Precision: SD around the bias = the random error
- Accuracy 30% = % of eGFR between ± 30% of measured GFR



### Which one?

- Cockcroft
- CKD-EPI
- EKFC

### The Revised Lund Malmo equation



RE



Anders Grubb

Jonas Björk

Ulf Nyman

#### Ulf Nyman\*, Anders Grubb, Anders Larsson, Lars-Olof Hansson, Mats Flodin, Gunnar Nordin, Veronica Lindström and Jonas Björk

#### The revised Lund-Malmö GFR estimating equation outperforms MDRD and CKD-EPI across GFR, age and BMI intervals in a large Swedish population

Clin Chem Lab Med 2014, 52(6), 815-824

*Revised Lund-Malmö Study equation (LM Revised)* [34] <sub>e</sub>X-0.0158×Age+0.438×ln(Age)

 Female
 pCr<150 µmol/L:</th>
 X=2.50+0.0121×(150-pCr)

 Female
 pCr≥150 µmol/L:
 X=2.50-0.926×ln(pCr/150)

 Male
 pCr<180 µmol/L:</td>
 X=2.56+0.00968×(180-pCr)

 Male
 pCr≥180 µmol/L:
 X=2.56-0.926×ln(pCr/180)

#### Generation of a New Cystatin C–Based Estimating Equation for Glomerular Filtration Rate by Use of 7 Assays Standardized to the International Calibrator

Anders Grubb,<sup>1\*†</sup> Masaru Horio,<sup>2</sup> Lars-Olof Hansson,<sup>3</sup> Jonas Björk,<sup>4</sup> Ulf Nyman,<sup>5</sup> Mats Flodin,<sup>3</sup> Anders Larssson,<sup>3</sup> Arend Bokenkamp,<sup>6</sup> Yoshinari Yasuda,<sup>2</sup> Hester Blufpand,<sup>6</sup> Veronica Lindström,<sup>1†</sup> Ingrid Zegers,<sup>7</sup> Harald Althaus,<sup>8†</sup> Søren Blirup-Jensen,<sup>1†</sup> Yoshi Itoh,<sup>9†</sup> Per Sjöström,<sup>10</sup> Gunnar Nordin,<sup>11</sup> Anders Christensson,<sup>12</sup> Horst Klima,<sup>13</sup> Kathrin Sunde,<sup>14</sup> Per Hjort-Christensen,<sup>15</sup> David Armbruster,<sup>16</sup> and Carlo Ferrero<sup>17</sup>

> Clinical Chemistry 60:7 974–986 (2014)

 $eGFR = 130 \times cystatin C^{-1.069} \times age^{-0.117} - 7$ 

### Which one?



- CKD-EPI
- EKFC

### **The CKD-EPI equation**

#### Article

#### **Annals of Internal Medicine**

#### A New Equation to Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate

Andrew S. Levey, MD; Lesley A. Stevens, MD, MS; Christopher H. Schmid, PhD; Yaping (Lucy) Zhang, MS; Alejandro F. Castro III, MPH; Harold I. Feldman, MD, MSCE; John W. Kusek, PhD; Paul Eggers, PhD; Frederick Van Lente, PhD; Tom Greene, PhD; and Josef Coresh, MD, PhD, MHS, for the CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration)\* Ann Intern Med. 2009;150:604-612.

| <i>Table 2.</i> The CKD-EPI Equation for Estimating GFR on the Natural Scale* |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Race and Sex  | Serum<br>Creatinine<br>Level,<br>µmol/L<br>(mg/dL) | Equation  |  |
| Black   |  |   |  |
| Female  | ≤62 (≤0.7)<br>>62 (>0.7)                           | $GFR = 166 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.329} \times (0.993)^{Age}$<br>$GFR = 166 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-1.209} \times (0.993)^{Age}$  |  |
| Male  | ≤80 (≤0.9)<br>>80 (>0.9)                           | $\begin{array}{l} {\sf GFR} = 163 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.9)^{-0.411} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \\ {\sf GFR} = 163 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.9)^{-1.209} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \end{array}$ |  |
| White or other  |  |   |  |
| Female  | ≤62 (≤0.7)<br>>62 (>0.7)                           | $\begin{array}{l} {\sf GFR} = 144 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.7)^{-0.329} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \\ {\sf GFR} = 144 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.7)^{-1.209} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \end{array}$ |  |
| Male  | ≤80 (≤0.9)<br>>80 (>0.9)                           | $\begin{array}{l} {\sf GFR} = 141 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.9)^{-0.411} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \\ {\sf GFR} = 141 \times ({\sf Scr}/0.9)^{-1.209} \times (0.993)^{\sf Age} \end{array}$ |  |

#### CKD-EPI

- Development dataset: n=5504
- Internal validation: n=2750
- External validation: n=3896
- Creatinine calibrated
- Median GFR in the development = 68 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>

Figure. Performance of the CKD-EPI and MDRD Study equations in estimating measured GFR in the external validation data set.



#### KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease

#### VOLUME 3 | ISSUE 1 | JANUARY 2013

http://www.kidney-international.org



Revised in 2024

### **CKD-EPI: What else?**

#### **Annals of Internal Medicine**

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

#### Development and Validation of a Modified Full Age Spectrum Creatinine-Based Equation to Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate A Cross-sectional Analysis of Pooled Data

Hans Pottel, PhD\*; Jonas Björk, PhD\*; Marie Courbebaisse, MD, PhD; Lionel Couzi, MD, PhD; Natalie Ebert, MD, MPH; Björn O. Eriksen, MD, PhD; R. Neil Dalton, PhD; Laurence Dubourg, MD, PhD; François Gaillard, MD, PhD; Cyril Garrouste, MD; Anders Grubb, MD, PhD; Lola Jacquemont, MD, PhD; Magnus Hansson, MD, PhD; Nassim Kamar, MD, PhD; Edmund J. Lamb, PhD; Christophe Legendre, MD; Karin Littmann, MD; Christophe Mariat, MD, PhD; Toralf Melsom, MD, PhD; Lionel Rostaing, MD, PhD; Andrew D. Rule, MD; Elke Schaeffner, MD, PhD, MSc; Per-Ola Sundin, MD, PhD; Stephen Turner, MD, PhD; Arend Bökenkamp, MD; Ulla Berg, MD, PhD; Kajsa Åsling-Monemi, MD, PhD; Luciano Selistre, MD, PhD; Anna Åkesson, BSc; Anders Larsson, MD, PhD; Ulf Nyman, MD, PhD†; and Pierre Delanaye, MD, PhD†

Measured GFR and IDMS traceable creatinine N=11,251 in the development and internal validation dataset N=8,378 in the external validation dataset N=1,254 between 2 and 18 years 7+6 cohorts White people

| Age    | SCr/Q | Equation  |  |
|--------|-------|---|--|
| 2–40 y | <1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-0.322                               |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-1.132                               |  |
| >40 y  | <1    | 107.3 x (SCr/Q)-0.322 x 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup> |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-1.132 × 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup> |  |

Q Values

```
For ages 2–25 y:

Males:

In(Q) = 3.200 + 0.259 × Age - 0.543 × In(Age) - 0.00763 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000790 × Age<sup>3</sup>

Females:

In(Q) = 3.080 + 0.177 × Age - 0.223 × In(Age) - 0.00596 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000686 × Age<sup>3</sup>

For ages >25 y:

Males:

Q = 80 µmol/L (0.90 mg/dL)

Females:

Q = 62 µmol/L (0.70 mg/dL)
```

SCr and Q in µmol/L (to convert to mg/dL, divide by 88.4)

Q values (in µmol/L or mg/dL) correspond to the median SCr values for the age- and sex-specific populations. EKFC = European Kidney Function Consortium; SCr = serum creatinine.



#### Q Values

```
For ages 2–25 y:

Males:

In(Q) = 3.200 + 0.259 × Age - 0.543 × In(Age) - 0.00763 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000790 × Age<sup>3</sup>

Females:

In(Q) = 3.080 + 0.177 × Age - 0.223 × In(Age) - 0.00596 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000686 × Age<sup>3</sup>

For ages >25 y:

Males:

Q = 80 µmol/L (0.90 mg/dL)

Females:

Q = 62 µmol/L (0.70 mg/dL)
```

SCr and Q in µmol/L (to convert to mg/dL, divide by 88.4)

Q values (in µmol/L or mg/dL) correspond to the median SCr values for the age- and sex-specific populations. EKFC = European Kidney Function Consortium; SCr = serum creatinine.

| Age    | SCr/Q | Equation  |  |  |
|--------|-------|---|--|--|
| 2–40 y | <1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q) <sup>-0.322</sup>                             |  |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q) <sup>-1.132</sup>                             |  |  |
| >40 y  | <1    | 107.3 (SCr/Q) <sup>-0.322</sup> × 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup> |  |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 > (SCr/Q)-1.132 × 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup>           |  |  |

Q Values

```
For ages 2–25 y:

Males:

In(Q) = 3.200 + 0.259 × Age - 0.543 × In(Age) - 0.00763 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000790 × Age<sup>3</sup>

Females:

In(Q) = 3.080 + 0.177 × Age - 0.223 × In(Age) - 0.00596 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000686 × Age<sup>3</sup>

For ages >25 y:

Males:

Q = 80 µmol/L (0.90 mg/dL)

Females:

Q = 62 µmol/L (0.70 mg/dL)
```

SCr and Q in µmol/L (to convert to mg/dL, divide by 88.4)

Q values (in µmol/L or mg/dL) correspond to the median SCr values for the age- and sex-specific populations. EKFC = European Kidney Function Consortium; SCr = serum creatinine.

Pierre Delanaye\*, François Gaillard, Jessica van der Weijden, Geir Mjøen, Ingela Ferhman-Ekholm, Laurence Dubourg, Natalie Ebert, Elke Schaeffner, Torbjörn Åkerfeldt, Karolien Goffin, Lionel Couzi, Cyril Garrouste, Lionel Rostaing, Marie Courbebaisse, Christophe Legendre, Maryvonne Hourmant, Nassim Kamar, Etienne Cavalier, Laurent Weekers, Antoine Bouquegneau, Martin H. de Borst, Christophe Mariat, Hans Pottel and Marco van Londen

# Age-adapted percentiles of measured glomerular filtration in healthy individuals: extrapolation to living kidney donors over 65 years

Before 40 y: mGFR = 107 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> ...and it seems universal...



Figure 3: mGFR according to age in the development (dark dots) and external validation cohort (n=329) (gray dots). Red lines are percentiles 5, 10, 50, 90 and 95, calculated from kidney donors younger than 65 years and extrapolated for ages >65 years. Pierre Delanaye\*, François Gaillard, Jessica van der Weijden, Geir Mjøen, Ingela Ferhman-Ekholm, Laurence Dubourg, Natalie Ebert, Elke Schaeffner, Torbjörn Åkerfeldt, Karolien Goffin, Lionel Couzi, Cyril Garrouste, Lionel Rostaing, Marie Courbebaisse, Christophe Legendre, Maryvonne Hourmant, Nassim Kamar, Etienne Cavalier, Laurent Weekers, Antoine Bouquegneau, Martin H. de Borst, Christophe Mariat, Hans Pottel and Marco van Londen

# Age-adapted percentiles of measured glomerular filtration in healthy individuals: extrapolation to living kidney donors over 65 years

200 (150 (150 (150 (150 (100 100 25 50 75 100 Age (years)

Before 40 y: mGFR = 107 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> ...and it seems universal...

Figure 3: mGFR according to age in the development (dark dots) and external validation cohort (n=329) (gray dots). Red lines are percentiles 5, 10, 50, 90 and 95, calculated from kidney donors younger than 65 years and extrapolated for ages >65 years.



N=83,257 from three labs (Sweden, Belgium)

#### 62 μmol/L= 0,70 mg/dL

80 μmol/L= 0,90 mg/dL

| Age    | SCr/Q | Equation              |                             |  |
|--------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 2–40 y | <1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-0.322 |                             |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-1.132 |                             |  |
| >40 y  | <1    | 107.3 x (SCr/Q)-0.322 | 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup> |  |
|        | ≥1    | 107.3 × (SCr/Q)-1.132 | 0.990 <sup>(Age - 40)</sup> |  |

Q Values

```
For ages 2–25 y:

Males:

In(Q) = 3.200 + 0.259 × Age - 0.543 × In(Age) - 0.00763 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000790 × Age<sup>3</sup>

Females:

In(Q) = 3.080 + 0.177 × Age - 0.223 × In(Age) - 0.00596 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000686 × Age<sup>3</sup>

For ages >25 y:

Males:

Q = 80 µmol/L (0.90 mg/dL)

Females:

Q = 62 µmol/L (0.70 mg/dL)
```

SCr and Q in µmol/L (to convert to mg/dL, divide by 88.4)

Q values (in µmol/L or mg/dL) correspond to the median SCr values for the age- and sex-specific populations. EKFC = European Kidney Function Consortium; SCr = serum creatinine.



Q Values

```
For ages 2–25 y:

Males:

In(Q) = 3.200 + 0.259 × Age - 0.543 × In(Age) - 0.00763 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000790 × Age<sup>3</sup>

Females:

In(Q) = 3.080 + 0.177 × Age - 0.223 × In(Age) - 0.00596 × Age<sup>2</sup> +

0.0000686 × Age<sup>3</sup>

For ages >25 y:

Males:

Q = 80 µmol/L (0.90 mg/dL)

Females:

Q = 62 µmol/L (0.70 mg/dL)
```

```
SCr and Q in µmol/L (to convert to mg/dL, divide by 88.4)
```

Q values (in µmol/L or mg/dL) correspond to the median SCr values for the age- and sex-specific populations. EKFC = European Kidney Function Consortium; SCr = serum creatinine.





Figure S8. P30-accuracy against age for the EKFC, FAS, CKiD and CKD-EPI equation in the external validation dataset. P30 (%) was graphically presented across the age spectrum using cubic splines with two free knots and using 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomials.

### **EKFC: added value**

- Better performance (not more expensive)
- More « physiological»: correction at the serum creatinine level (sex, race), age better conceptualized, « Q » specific to specific populations
- Valid from 2y to old ages
- Children: no need for height
- No implausible jump at transition adolescence/young adults

### Debate on the race factor in USA

Semantic remark

Serum creatinine is different between Black and non-Black people in USA (and we don't know why!)

(normal) mGFR is not different

The race coefficient in the CKD-EPI<sub>2009</sub> was considered as a source of discrimination



Eneanya N, Nat Rev Nephrol, 2022, 18, p84 Hsu CY, N Engl J med, 2021, p1750 The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### New Creatinine- and Cystatin C–Based Equations to Estimate GFR without Race

L.A. Inker, N.D. Eneanya, J. Coresh, H. Tighiouart, D. Wang, Y. Sang, D.C. Crews, A. Doria, M.M. Estrella, M. Froissart, M.E. Grams, T. Greene, A. Grubb, V. Gudnason, O.M. Gutiérrez, R. Kalil, A.B. Karger, M. Mauer, G. Navis,
R.G. Nelson, E.D. Poggio, R. Rodby, P. Rossing, A.D. Rule, E. Selvin, J.C. Seegmiller, M.G. Shlipak, V.E. Torres, W. Yang, S.H. Ballew, S.J. Couture, N.R. Powe, and A.S. Levey, for the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration\*

> N Engl J Med. 2021 Nov 4;385(19):1737-1749.

| Table 3. Accuracy of Current and New Approaches for GFR Estimation as Compared with Measured GFR in the Validation Data Set. |   |                                       |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Filtration Marker and Equation*  | Black Participants  | Non-Black Participants                | Difference between Black<br>Participants and Non-Black<br>Participants (95% CI)† |  |
|  | Bias: Median Difference between Measured GFR and eGFR (95% CI)‡ |                                       |  |  |
|  | mill  | iliters per minute per 1.73 square me | ters   |  |
| Creatinine   |   |                                       |  |  |
| eGFRcr(ASR), current   | -3.7 (-5.4 to -1.8)   | -0.5 (-0.9 to 0.0)                    | -3.2 (-5.0 to -1.3)  |  |
| eGFRcr(ASR-NB), new  | 7.1 (5.9 to 8.8)  | -0.5 (-0.9 to 0.0)                    | 7.6 (6.1 to 9.0)   |  |
| eGFRcr(AS), new  | 3.6 (1.8 to 5.5)  | -3.9 (-4.4 to -3.4)                   | 7.6 (5.6 to 9.5)   |  |
| Creatinine   |   |                                       |  |  |
| eGFRcr(ASR), current   | 85.1 (82.2 to 87.9)   | 89.5 (88.5 to 90.4)                   | -4.4 (-7.6 to -1.2)  |  |
| eGFRcr(ASR-NB), new  | 86.4 (83.4 to 89.1)   | 89.5 (88.5 to 90.4)                   | -3.1 (-6.2 to 0)   |  |
| eGFRcr(AS), new  | 87.2 (84.5 to 90.0)   | 86.5 (85.4 to 87.6)                   | 0.7 (-2.4 to 3.8)  |  |

### NKF and ASN Release New Way to Diagnose Kidney Diseases

Both Organizations Recommend Race-Free Approach to Estimate GFR

Sept. 23, 2021, New York, NY - Today, the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) and the American Society of Nephrology (ASN) <u>Task Force</u> on Reassessing the Inclusion of Race in Diagnosing Kidney Diseases has released its final report, which outlines a new race-free approach to diagnose kidney disease. In the report, the NKF-ASN Task Force recommends the adoption of the new eGFR 2021 CKD EPI creatinine equation that estimates kidney function without a race variable. The task force also recommended increased use of cystatin C combined with serum (blood) creatinine, as a confirmatory assessment of GFR or kidney function.

### Ethnic/race factor in Europe/Africa?

#### **RESEARCH LETTER**

#### Performance of GFR Estimating Equations in African Europeans: Basis for a Lower Race-Ethnicity Factor Than in African Americans

Flamant M et al Am J Kidney Dis, 2013, 62, p179

#### PLOS ONE

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Performance of glomerular filtration rate estimation equations in Congolese healthy adults: The inopportunity of the ethnic correction

Justine B. Bukabau<sup>1</sup>\*, Ernest K. Sumaili<sup>1</sup>, Etienne Cavalier<sup>2</sup>, Hans Pottel<sup>3</sup>, Bejos Kifakiou<sup>1</sup>, Aliocha Nkodila<sup>1</sup>, Jean Robert R. Makulo<sup>1</sup>, Vieux M. Mokoli<sup>1</sup>, Chantal V. Zinga<sup>1</sup>, Augustin L. Longo<sup>1</sup>, Yannick M. Engole<sup>1</sup>, Yannick M. Nlandu<sup>1</sup>, François B. Lepira<sup>1</sup>, Nazaire M. Nseka<sup>1</sup>, Jean Marie Krzesinski<sup>4</sup>, Pierre Delanaye<sup>4</sup>

1 Renal Unit, Department of Internal medicine, Kinshasa University Hospital, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2 Division of Clinical Chemistry, CHU Sart Timan (ULg CHU), University of Liège, Liège, Belgium, 3 Division of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortlik, Kortlik, Belgium, 4 Division of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, CHU Sart Timan (ULg CHU), University of Liège, Liège, Belgium)

\* justinebuk@yahoo.fr



Hindawi International Journal of Nephrology Volume 2020, Article ID 2141038, 9 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2141038 Hindawi

#### **Research** Article

No Race-Ethnicity Adjustment in CKD-EPI Equations Is Required for Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate in the Brazilian Population

ARTICLE IN PRESS

www.kidney-international.org

clinical investigation

#### Performance of creatinine- or cystatin C-based equations to estimate glomerular filtration rate in sub-Saharan African populations

Justine B. Bukabau<sup>1,7</sup>, Eric Yayo<sup>2,7</sup>, Appolinaire Gnionsahé<sup>3</sup>, Dagui Monnet<sup>2</sup>, Hans Pottel<sup>4</sup>, Etienne Cavalier<sup>5</sup>, Aliocha Nkodila<sup>1</sup>, Jean Robert R. Makulo<sup>1</sup>, Vieux M. Mokoli<sup>1</sup>, François B. Lepira<sup>1</sup>, Nazaire M. Nseka<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Marie Krzesinski<sup>6</sup>, Ernest K. Sumaili<sup>1,7</sup> and Pierre Delanaye<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Renal Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Kinshasa University Hospital, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo; <sup>3</sup>Département de Biochimie, UFR Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, Université Felix Houphouet Boigny, Abidjan, Ivory Coast; <sup>3</sup>Département de Néphrologie, UFR Sciences Médicales, Université Felix Houphouet Boigny, Abidjan, Ivory Coast; <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium; <sup>5</sup>Division of Clinical Chemistry, CHU Sart Tilman (ULg CHU), University of Liège, Liège, Belgium; and <sup>6</sup>Division of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, CHU Sart Tilman (ULg CHU), University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

Yayo ES, Nephrol Ther, 2016, 12, p454 Flamant M, Am J Kdiney Dis, 2013, 62, p179 Bukabau JB, Plos One, 2018, 13, e0193384 Bukabau JB, Kidney Int, 2019, 95, p1181



Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation (2023) 38: 106–118 https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfac241 Advance Access publication date 24 August 2022



#### Performance of creatinine-based equations to estimate glomerular filtration rate in White and Black populations in Europe, Brazil and Africa

Pierre Delanaye  $1^{,2,*}$ , Emmanuelle Vidal-Petiot  $3^{,*}$ , Jonas Björk  $4^{,5}$ , Natalie Ebert  $6^{,}$ Björn O. Eriksen<sup>7</sup>, Laurence Dubourg<sup>8</sup>, Anders Grubb<sup>9</sup>, Magnus Hansson<sup>10</sup>, Karin Littmann<sup>11</sup>, Christophe Mariat<sup>12</sup>, Toralf Melsom<sup>7</sup>, Elke Schaeffner<sup>6</sup>, Per-Ola Sundin  $1^{,1}$ , Arend Bökenkamp<sup>14</sup>, Ulla B. Berg<sup>15</sup>, Kajsa Åsling-Monemi<sup>15</sup>, Anna Åkesson<sup>4,5</sup>, Anders Larsson<sup>16</sup>, Etienne Cavalier  $1^{,1}$ , R. Neil Dalton<sup>18</sup>, Marie Courbebaisse<sup>19</sup>, Lionel Couzi  $2^{,0}$ , Francois Gaillard  $2^{,1}$ , Cyril Garrouste<sup>22</sup>, Lola Jacquemont<sup>23</sup>, Nassim Kamar<sup>24</sup>, Christophe Legendre<sup>25</sup>, Lionel Rostaing  $2^{,26}$ , Thomas Stehlé  $2^{,28}$ , Jean-Philippe Haymann<sup>29</sup>, Luciano da Silva Selistre<sup>30</sup>, Jorge P. Strogoff-de-Matos  $3^{,1}$ , Justine B. Bukabau<sup>32</sup>, Ernest K. Sumaili<sup>32</sup>, Eric Yayo<sup>33</sup>, Dagui Monnet<sup>33</sup>, Ulf Nyman<sup>34</sup>, Hans Pottel<sup>35,†</sup> and Martin Flamant<sup>36,†</sup>

### Methods

- Adults, measured GFR, IDMS creatinine
- EKFC consortium: 11 cohorts from Europe (n=17,321)
- Data from Paris (n=4,429, among them 964 Black Europeans)
- Data from Africa (RDC and Côte d'Ivoire, n=508)




# Americentrism in estimation of glomerular filtration rate equations



Kidney International (2022) 101, 856-858; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2022.02.022

KEYWORDS: glomerular filtration rate; race; serum creatinine

Copyright © 2022, International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Hans Pottel<sup>3</sup> and Richard J. Glassock<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Carémeau, Nîmes, France; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belaium; and <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

Pierre Delanaye<sup>1,2</sup>,

Correspondence: Pierre Delanaye, Service de Dialyse, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sart Tilman, 4000 Liège, Belgium. E-mail: pierre delanaye@yahoo.fr

### THE WORLD ACCORDING TO AMERICANS



### **EFLM** Paper

Pierre Delanaye, Elke Schaeffner, Mario Cozzolino, Michel Langlois, Mario Plebani, Tomris Ozben and Etienne Cavalier\*, on behalf of the Board members of the EFLM Task Group Chronic Kidney Diseases

The new, race-free, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Consortium (CKD-EPI) equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate: is it applicable in Europe? A position statement by the European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (EFLM)

Nephrol Dial Transplant (2023) 38: 1–6 https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfac254 Advance Access publication date 7 September 2022



## What should European nephrology do with the new CKD-EPI equation?

## Ron T. Gansevoort <sup>1</sup><sup>0</sup><sup>1</sup>, Hans-Joachim Anders<sup>2</sup>, Mario Cozzolino<sup>3</sup>, Danilo Fliser<sup>4</sup>, Denis Fouque<sup>5</sup>, Alberto Ortiz<sup>6,7</sup>, Maria José Soler<sup>8</sup> and Christoph Wanner<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Renal Division, Hospital of the Ludwig Maximilans University, Munich, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Renal Division, ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo, Milan, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine IV, Renal and Hypertensive Disease, University Medical Center, Homburg, Saar, Germany, <sup>5</sup>Department of Nephrology, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Centre Hospitalier Lyon-Sud, Pierre-Benite, University of Lyon, France, <sup>6</sup>Department of Nephrology, IIS-Fundacion Jimenez Diaz- UAM, Madrid, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, <sup>8</sup>Department of Nephrology, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain and <sup>9</sup>Department of Internal Medicine I and Comprehensive Heart Failure Center, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Correspondence to: Ron T. Gansevoort; E-mail: r.t.gansevoort@umcg.nl

## Performance of the European Kidney Function (Check for updates) Consortium (EKFC) creatinine-based equation see commentary on page 445 in United States cohorts

Pierre Delanaye<sup>1,2,16</sup>, Andrew D. Rule<sup>3,16</sup>, Elke Schaeffner<sup>4,16</sup>, Etienne Cavalier<sup>5,16</sup>, Junyan Shi<sup>6,7</sup>, Andrew N. Hoofnagle<sup>7,8,9,10</sup>, Ulf Nyman<sup>11,16</sup>, Jonas Björk<sup>12,13,15,16</sup> and Hans Pottel<sup>14,15,16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Carémeau, Nîmes, France; <sup>3</sup>Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Public Health, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, University of Liège, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>7</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA; <sup>8</sup>Kidney Research Institute, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA; <sup>9</sup>Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology, and Nutrition, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA; <sup>10</sup>Department of Medicine, University, Malmö, Sweden; <sup>12</sup>Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; <sup>13</sup>Clinical Studies Sweden, Forum South, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden; and <sup>14</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium

### Kidney International (2024) 105, 629-637;

## Validation of EKFC in US populations

| Cohorts     | Sample<br>Size | Age<br>(years) | Measured<br>GFR<br>(mL/min/1.73m²) | % of<br>women | % of<br>Black<br>subjects | Proportion<br>of<br>individuals<br>with<br>urinary<br>clearance<br>data<br>available |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| All         | 12,854         | 56.0<br>[22.1] | 57 [46]                            | 44.3          | 21.7                      | 93.2   |
| AASK        | 1,844          | 54.5<br>[16.0] | 57 [35]                            | 35.9          | 100                       | 100  |
| ALTOLD      | 381            | 43.3<br>[19.0] | 97 [18]                            | 65.1          | 1.8                       | 0  |
| CRIC        | 1,194          | 59.0<br>[17.7] | 48 [28]                            | 44.4          | 44.7                      | 100  |
| CRISP       | 217            | 34.0<br>[13.0] | 93 [34]                            | 59.0          | 11.1                      | 100  |
| DCCT/EDIC   | 809            | 31.0 [9.0]     | 119 [25]                           | 47.8          | 1.4                       | 100  |
| GENOA/ECAC  | 1,093          | 66.1<br>[12.1] | 80 [27]                            | 56.6          | 0                         | 100  |
| Mayo Clinic | 5,069          | 59.0<br>[21.0] | 50 [40]                            | 44.6          | 2.0                       | 100  |
| MDRD        | 1,756          | 51.0<br>[21.0] | 36 [29]                            | 39.5          | 12.4                      | 100  |
| PERL        | 491            | 52.0<br>[15.0] | 70 [25]                            | 33.6          | 10.8                      | 0  |

Results are expressed in % or Median [interquartile range].

GFR: glomerular filtration rate

## Q-values could be population specific

|                  | Q value in women | Q value in men | Origine   |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|---|
| White European   | 0.70             | 0.90           | Large data from laboratories in<br>Sweden and Belgium         |
| Black European   | 0.74             | 1.02           | Living kidney donors in Paris                                 |
| Black Africans   | 0.72             | 0.96           | Healthy people in Congo                                       |
| (Central Africa) |                  |                |   |
| White US         | 0.73             | 0.93           | Large data from laboratories<br>from University of Washington |
| population-      |                  |                | Medicine System   |
| specific         |                  |                |   |
| Black US         | 0.73             | 1.00           | Large data from laboratories<br>from University of Washington |
| population-      |                  |                | Medicine System   |
| specific         |                  |                |   |
| White US         | 0.70             | 0.94           | National Health and Nutrition<br>Examination Survey           |
| population-      |                  |                | Examination Survey  |
| specific         |                  |                |   |
| Black US         | 0.72             | 1.03           | National Health and Nutrition<br>Examination Survey           |
| population-      |                  |                | Enalimitation our rey   |
| specific         |                  |                |   |
| US race-free     | 0.73             | 0.97           | Large data from laboratories<br>from University of Washington |
|                  |                  |                | Medicine System   |
| China            | 0.62             | 0.88           | 27,830 neariny people   |

### **Q-values determined in different populations**

All results are expressed in mg/dL

Shi J, Clin Chim Acta, 2021, 520, p16



Bias (A) and accuracy within 30% (P30) (B) for the CKD-EPI<sub>2021</sub> and the EKFC in Black women (n=1,087) according to age.



Legend: CKD-EPI2021: race-free Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology, EKFCRF: European Kidney Function Consortium with race-free Q-values. EKFCPS: European Kidney Function with population specific Q-values

Bias (A) and accuracy within 30% (P30) (B) for the CKD-EPI<sub>2021</sub>, the EKFC<sub>RF</sub> and EKFC<sub>PS</sub> in Black men (n=1,703) according to age.



Legend: CKD-EPI2021: race-free Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology, EKFCRF: European Kidney Function Consortium with race-free Q-values. EKFCPS: European Kidney Function with population specific Q-values

## Q-values could be population specific

|                  | Q value in women | Q value in men | Origine  |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| White European   | 0.70             | 0.90           | Large data from laboratories in<br>Sweden and Belgium                            |
| Black European   | 0.74             | 1.02           | Living kidney donors in Paris  |
| Black Africans   | 0.72             | 0.96           | Healthy people in Congo  |
| (Central Africa) |                  |                |  |
| White US         | 0.73             | 0.93           | Large data from laboratories   |
| population-      |                  |                | Medicine System  |
| specific         |                  |                |  |
| Black US         | 0.73             | 1.00           | Large data from laboratories<br>from University of Washington                    |
| population-      |                  |                | Medicine System  |
| specific         |                  |                |  |
| White US         | 0.70             | 0.94           | National Health and Nutrition  |
| population-      |                  |                | Examination Survey   |
| specific         |                  |                |  |
| Black US         | 0.72             | 1.03           | National Health and Nutrition<br>Examination Survey                              |
| population-      |                  |                | Examination Survey   |
| specific         |                  |                |  |
| US race-free     | 0.73             | 0.97           | Large data from laboratories<br>from University of Washington<br>Medicine System |
| China            | 0.62             | 0.88           | 27,830 healthy people  |

### **Q-values determined in different populations**

All results are expressed in mg/dL

### **Clinical Practice: Mini-Review**

Nephron

Nephron DOI: 10.1159/000536243 Received: October 13, 2023 Accepted: December 23, 2023 Published online: January 12, 2024

## Glomerular Filtration Rate Estimation in Adults: Myths and Promises

Pierre Delanaye<sup>a, b</sup> Etienne Cavalier<sup>c</sup> Thomas Stehlé<sup>d</sup> Hans Pottel<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>b</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Carémeau, Nîmes, France; <sup>c</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, University of Liège, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>d</sup>Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Service de Néphrologie et Transplantation, Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire "Innovative Therapy for Immune Disorders", Créteil, France; <sup>e</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium

- The main advantage of EKFC is its flexibility
- Q can be adapted to every population
- Including a mixed "racial" population or a "race-free"
- Q can be obtained from large or very specific database
- Q can be obtained in every hospital (true "local" Q)

• eGFR is a population-based concept

- eGFR is a population-based concept
- What if a different Q-value is applied at the individual level?
- each change in Q value of 0.01 (for a male person with Q of 0.90 mg/dL) is corresponding change in eGFR of 0.75 mL/min/1.73 m2 (around the threshold of 60 mL/min/1.73 m2)

Q from 0,90 to 0,97 (race-free): EKFC moves from 60 to 55 mL/min/173m<sup>2</sup>

# Cystatin C...a Swedish biomarker



The blood serum concentration of **cystatin** C (gamma-trace) as a measure of the glomerular filtration rate.

Simonsen O, Grubb A, Thysell H.

Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 1985 Apr;45(2):97-101. doi: 10.3109/00365518509160980.

### PMID: 3923607

The calculated values of the glomerular elimination rate for creatinine and **cystatin** C were normally distributed in contrast to those for beta 2-microglobulin. The calculated glomerular elimination rate of **cystatin** C was not correlated to age, sex, type of disorder ...

## Serum concentration of **cystatin** C, factor D and beta 2-microglobulin as a measure of glomerular filtration rate.

Grubb A, Simonsen O, Sturfelt G, Truedsson L, Thysell H.

Acta Med Scand. 1985;218(5):499-503. doi: 10.1111/j.0954-6820.1985.tb08880.x.

### PMID: 3911736

The calculated glomerular elimination rates of creatinine, **cystatin** C and factor D were normally distributed, in contrast to those of beta 2-microglobulin. According to data presented so far, **cystatin** C seems to be the LMW protein of first choice when GFR is to be e ...

## CAPA equation, standardization of cystatin C measurement, Shrunken pore syndrome etc etc etc

## Cystatin C

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Estimating Glomerular Filtration Rate from Serum Creatinine and Cystatin C

Lesley A. Inker, M.D., Christopher H. Schmid, Ph.D., Hocine Tighiouart, M.S.,
 John H. Eckfeldt, M.D., Ph.D., Harold I. Feldman, M.D., Tom Greene, Ph.D.,
 John W. Kusek, Ph.D., Jane Manzi, Ph.D., Frederick Van Lente, Ph.D.,
 Yaping Lucy Zhang, M.S., Josef Coresh, M.D., Ph.D., and Andrew S. Levey, M.D.,
 for the CKD-EPI Investigators\*

| Table 1. Characteristics of Study Participants, According to Data Set.* |  |                                   |         |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Characteristic  | Development and Internal<br>Validation<br>(N = 5352) | External Validation<br>(N = 1119) | P Value |  |  |  |
| Age — yr  | 47±15  | 50±17                             | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Age group — no. (%)   |  |                                   |         |  |  |  |
| <40 yr  | 2008 (38)  | 357 (32)                          | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| 40–65 yr  | 2625 (49)  | 530 (47)                          |         |  |  |  |
| >65 yr  | 719 (13)   | 232 (21)                          |         |  |  |  |
| Male sex — no. (%)  | 3107 (58)  | 663 (59)                          | 0.46    |  |  |  |
| Black race — no. (%)†   | 2123 (40)  | 30 (3)                            | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| Diabetes — no. (%)  | 1726 (32)  | 594 (53)                          | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| Body-mass index‡  |  |                                   |         |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 28±6   | 25±4                              | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| <20— no. (%)  | 214 (4)  | 81 (7)                            | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| 20–24 — no. (%)   | 1585 (30)  | 503 (45)                          |         |  |  |  |
| 25–30 — no. (%)   | 1881 (35)  | 386 (35)                          |         |  |  |  |
| >30— no. (%)  | 1671 (31)  | 149 (13)                          |         |  |  |  |
| Mean weight — kg  | 83±20  | 74±15                             | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Mean height — cm  | 171±10   | 170±9                             | 0.017   |  |  |  |
| Mean body-surface area — m²   | 1.94±0.24  | 1.85±0.21                         | < 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Mean serum cystatin C — ml/liter  | 1.4±0.7  | 1.5±0.8                           | 0.01    |  |  |  |
| Mean serum creatinine — mg/dl§  | 1.6±0.9  | 1.6±1.1                           | 0.15    |  |  |  |
| Mean measured GFR — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> of body-surface area     | 68±39  | 70±41                             | 0.13    |  |  |  |
| Measured GFR — no. (%)  |  |                                   |         |  |  |  |
| <15 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>  | 160 (3)  | 51 (5)                            | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| 15–29 ml/min/1.73 m²  | 785 (15)   | 166 (15)                          |         |  |  |  |
| 30–59 ml/min/1.73 m²  | 1765 (33)  | 316 (28)                          |         |  |  |  |
| 60–89 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>  | 1105 (21)  | 215 (19)                          |         |  |  |  |
| 90–119 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>                                       | 862 (16)   | 199 (18)                          |         |  |  |  |
| >120 ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>   | 675 (13)   | 172 (15)                          |         |  |  |  |

Table 2. Creatinine Equation (CKD-EPI 2009), Cystatin C Equation (CKD-EPI 2012), and Creatinine–Cystatin C Equation (CKD-EPI 2012) for Estimating GFR, Expressed for Specified Sex, Serum Creatinine Level, and Serum Cystatin C Level.\*

| Basis of Equation<br>and Sex               | Serum<br>Creatinine† | Serum<br>Cystatin C | Equation for Estimating GFR  |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|--|
|  | mg/dl                | mg/liter            |  |
| CKD-EPI creatinine equation:               |                      |                     |  |
| Female                                     | ≤0.7                 |                     | $144 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.329} \times 0.993^{A_{ge}} \times 1.159 \text{ if black}$  |
| Female                                     | >0.7                 |                     | $144 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-1.209} \times 0.993^{A_{ge}} \times 1.159 \text{ if black}$  |
| Male                                       | ≤0.9                 |                     | $141 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-0.411} \times 0.993^{A_{ge}} \times 1.159 \text{ if black}$  |
| Male                                       | >0.9                 |                     | $141 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-1.209} \times 0.993^{A_{ge}} \times 1.159$ if black]   |
| CKD-EPI cystatin C equation§               |                      |                     |  |
| Female or male                             |                      | ≤0.8                | 133×(Scys/0.8) <sup>-0.499</sup> ×0.996 <sup>Age</sup> [×0.932 if female]  |
| Female or male                             |                      | >0.8                | 133×(Scys/0.8) <sup>-1.328</sup> ×0.996 <sup>Age</sup> [×0.932 if female]  |
| CKD-EPI creatinine-cystatin C<br>equation¶ |                      |                     |  |
| Female                                     | ≤0.7                 | ≤0.8                | $130 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.248} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.375} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} \times 1.08 \text{ if black}$  |
|  |                      | >0.8                | $130 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.248} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.711} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$  |
| Female                                     | >0.7                 | ≤0.8                | $130 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.601} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.375} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$  |
|  |                      | >0.8                | $130 \times (Scr/0.7)^{-0.601} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.711} \times 0.995^{Age} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$   |
| Male                                       | ≤0.9                 | ≤0.8                | $135 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-0.207} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.375} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$  |
|  |                      | >0.8                | $135 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-0.207} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.711} \times 0.995^{Age} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$   |
| Male                                       | >0.9                 | ≤0.8<br>>0.8        | $135 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-0.601} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.375} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$<br>$135 \times (Scr/0.9)^{-0.601} \times (Scys/0.8)^{-0.711} \times 0.995^{A_{ge}} [\times 1.08 \text{ if black}]$ |

| Table 3. Use of the CKD-EPI Creatinine Equation (2009), CKD-EPI Cystatin C Equation (2012), and CKD-EPI Creatinine–Cystatin C Equations (2012) in the External-Validation Data Set Comprising 1119 Participants.* |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Variable  | Estimated GFR       |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
|   | Overall             | <60                 | 60-89               | ≥90                 |  |  |
|   |                     | ml/min/1.73 m² o    | f body-surface area |                     |  |  |
| Bias — median difference (95% CI)   |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
| Creatinine equation   | 3.7 (2.8 to 4.6)    | 1.8 (1.1 to 2.5)    | 6.6 (3.5 to 9.2)    | 11.1 (8.0 to 12.5)  |  |  |
| Cystatin C equation   | 3.4 (2.3 to 4.4)    | 0.4 (-0.5 to 1.4)   | 6.0 (4.6 to 8.5)    | 8.5 (6.5 to 11.2)   |  |  |
| Creatinine-cystatin C equation  | 3.9 (3.2 to 4.5)    | 1.3 (0.5 to 1.8)    | 6.9 (5.0 to 8.9)    | 10.6 (9.5 to 12.7)  |  |  |
| Average of creatinine and cystatin C†   | 3.5 (2.8 to 4.1)    | 0.4 (-0.3 to 0.8)   | 6.5 (4.6 to 8.4)    | 11.9 (9.9 to 13.9)  |  |  |
| Precision — IQR of the difference (95% CI)  |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
| Creatinine equation   | 15.4 (14.3 to 16.5) | 10.0 (8.9 to 11.0)  | 19.6 (17.3 to 23.2) | 25.0 (21.6 to 28.1) |  |  |
| Cystatin C equation   | 16.4 (14.8 to 17.8) | 11.0 (10.0 to 12.4) | 19.6 (16.1 to 23.1) | 22.6 (18.8 to 26.3) |  |  |
| Creatinine-cystatin C equation  | 13.4 (12.3 to 14.5) | 8.1 (7.3 to 9.1)    | 15.9 (13.9 to 18.1) | 18.8 (16.8 to 22.5) |  |  |
| Average of creatinine and cystatin C equations†   | 13.9 (12.9 to 14.7) | 7.9 (7.1 to 9.0)    | 15.8 (13.9 to 17.7) | 18.6 (16.1 to 22.2) |  |  |
| Accuracy — % (95% CI)‡  |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
| 1-P <sub>30</sub>   |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
| Creatinine equation   | 12.8 (10.9 to 14.7) | 16.6 (13.6 to 19.7) | 10.2 (6.4 to 14.2)  | 7.8 (5.1 to 11.0)   |  |  |
| Cystatin C equation   | 14.1 (12.2 to 16.2) | 21.4 (18.2 to 24.9) | 12.7 (8.5 to 17.4)  | 2.2 (0.6 to 3.9)    |  |  |
| Creatinine-cystatin C equation  | 8.5 (7.0 to 10.2)   | 13.3 (10.7 to 16.1) | 5.3 (2.7 to 8.2)    | 2.3 (0.9 to 4.2)    |  |  |
| Average of creatinine and cystatin C equations†   | 8.2 (6.7 to 9.9)    | 12.1 (9.5 to 14.8)  | 6.4 (3.6 to 9.7)    | 2.9 (1.3 to 4.9)    |  |  |
| 1-P <sub>20</sub>   |                     |                     |                     |                     |  |  |
| Creatinine equation   | 32.9 (30.1 to 35.7) | 37.2 (33.1 to 41.2) | 31.1 (25.1 to 37.4) | 26.5 (21.7 to 31.4) |  |  |
| Cystatin C equation   | 33.0 (30.3 to 35.7) | 42.1 (38.2 to 46.1) | 29.3 (23.6 to 35.4) | 19.4 (15.4 to 23.7) |  |  |
| Creatinine-cystatin C equation  | 22.8 (20.4 to 25.2) | 28.6 (25.1 to 32.4) | 17.8 (13.3 to 22.9) | 16.2 (12.4 to 20.5) |  |  |
| Average of creatinine and cystatin C equations †  | 23.7 (21.3 to 26.1) | 29.1 (25.7 to 32.8) | 17.6 (13.2 to 22.4) | 18.8 (14.6 to 23.2) |  |  |

#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Cystatin C–Based Equation to Estimate GFR without the Inclusion of Race and Sex

H. Pottel, J. Björk, A.D. Rule, N. Ebert, B.O. Eriksen, L. Dubourg, E. Vidal-Petiot, A. Grubb, M. Hansson, E.J. Lamb, K. Littmann, C. Mariat, T. Melsom,
E. Schaeffner, P.-O. Sundin, A. Åkesson, A. Larsson, E. Cavalier, J.B. Bukabau,
E.K. Sumaili, E. Yayo, D. Monnet, M. Flamant, U. Nyman, and P. Delanaye

### ABSTRACT

### N Engl J Med 2023;388:333-43.

## First step: cystatin C and age

Figure S3. Cystatin C versus age and the median quantile line for the 227,643 included subjects.

Fit a Quantile Level 0.5 for CysC With 95% Confidence Limits

♀ Q' = 0.79 mg/L until 50 y, Q' = 0.79 + 0.005 x (Age - 50) o' Q' = 0.86 mg/L until 50 y Q' = 0.86 + 0.005 x (Age - 50)

Laboratory data from Sweden N=227,643 ♀ 95,469 ♂ 132,174

## First step: cystatin C and sex

Figure S3. Cystatin C versus age and the median quantile line for the 227,643 included subjects.

♀ Q' = 0.79 mg/L until 50 y, Q' = 0.79 + 0.005 x (Age - 50) ♂ Q' = 0.86 mg/L until 50 y Q' = 0.86 + 0.005 x (Age - 50)

Laboratory data from Sweden N=227,643 ♀ 95,469 ♂ 132,174

## Second step: cystatin C and sex

**Figure S4**. Median plasma cystatin C in one-year intervals against age for men and women. A mathematical model to define Q'-values is proposed (red solid line): for adults Q' = 0.79 mg/L (women, dashed line) and 0.86 mg/L (men, solid line) until 50 years and a linear increasing model thereafter.



Q' = 0.83 mg/L until 50 years Q' = 0.83 + 0.005 x (Age - 50)

# Third step: Cystatin C and race

- Data from the same center in France
- Same method for GFR (Cr-EDTA), creatinine and cystatin C measurements
- Black and White people

| Ethnicity/Sex | N          | Age         | BMI        | mGFR            | SCr             | CysC            |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|               |            | (years)     | (kg/m²)    | (mL/min/1.73m²) | (mg/dL)         | (mg/L)          |
| White Men     | 1296 (57%) | 53.0 ± 14.6 | 26.2 ± 4.9 | 61.8 ± 26.0     | 1.52 ± 0.73     | 1.52 ± 0.68     |
| Black Men     | 436 (63%)  | 50.7 ± 13.1 | 26.3 ± 4.5 | 62.0 ± 22.1     | 1.73 ± 0.81     | $1.41 \pm 0.61$ |
|               |            |             |            |                 |                 |                 |
| White Women   | 966 (43%)  | 52.5 ± 15.2 | 25.8 ± 6.2 | 62.8 ± 26.8     | $1.16 \pm 0.61$ | $1.38 \pm 0.73$ |
| Black Women   | 261 (37%)  | 51.9 ± 15.2 | 27.4 ± 5.8 | 59.1 ± 25.6     | $1.40 \pm 0.79$ | $1.46 \pm 0.76$ |

Table S3. Patient characteristics of the entire cohorts used for the matching analysis (mean ± SD)

# Third step: Cystatin C and race

Matched analysis 1:1 for

- sex
- BMI (±2,5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)
- Measured GFR (±3 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>)
- age (± 3 y)

| Sex         | N   | Age         | BMI        | mGFR                         | SCr             | CysC            |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|             |     | (years)     | (kg/m²)    | (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ) | (mg/dL)         | (mg/L)          |
| White Men   | 377 | 51.1 ± 12.2 | 25.7 ± 3.4 | 63.8 ± 21.0                  | $1.43 \pm 0.62$ | $1.41 \pm 0.56$ |
| Black Men   | 377 | 50.8 ± 12.3 | 25.8 ± 3.5 | 63.6 ± 21.0                  | $1.65 \pm 0.64$ | $1.37 \pm 0.59$ |
|             |     |             |            |                              |                 |                 |
| White Women | 200 | 53.4 ± 11.9 | 26.1 ± 4.6 | 59.7 ± 23.2                  | $1.16 \pm 0.53$ | $1.40 \pm 0.69$ |
| Black Women | 200 | 53.3 ± 11.9 | 26.2 ± 4.6 | 59.8 ± 23.1                  | 1.33 ± 0.61     | $1.41 \pm 0.64$ |

| Table S4. Dem | graphic and renal chara | acteristics of the matched | White and Black sub | ojects (mean ± SD) |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|               |                         |                            |                     |                    |

## Fourth Step: Validation of the new equation

 $EKFC - eGFR = \frac{107.3}{[Biomarker/Q]^{\alpha}} \times [0.990^{(Age-40)} \text{ if age >40 years]},$ 

with  $\alpha = 0.322$  when biomarker/Q is less than 1 and  $\alpha = 1.132$  when biomarker/Q is 1 or more.

Adults Measured GFR, IDMS traceable creatinine, calibrated cystatin C N=12,832

11 cohorts White Europeans: n=7,727 White Europeans from Paris: n=2,646 White US: n=1,093 Black Europeans from Paris: n=858 Black Africans: n=508

| Variable   | Serum                  | Creatinine-Based Equa  | itions              |    |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----|
|  | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr(ASR) | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr (AS) | E KFC<br>eGFRcr     |    |
| EKFC cohort, 7727 White patients                                 |                        |                        |                     |    |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/173 m²†                            | 3.96 (3.67 to 4.32)    | 7.40 (7.02 to 7.76)    | 0.58 (0.32 to 0.86) |    |
| IQR of estimated GFR- measured GFR- ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡ | 15.5 (-3.0 to 12.5)    | 16.3 (0.0 to 16.3)     | 14.5 (-6.5 to 8.0)  |    |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m³J                | 14.8 (14.4 to 15.2)    | 16.3 (15.9 to 16.6)    | 13.1 (12.8 to 13.4) |    |
| P <sub>10</sub> — % (95% CI)¶                                    | 40.3 (39.2 to 41.4)    | 34.7 (33.6 to 35.8)    | 43.3 (42.2 to 44.4) |    |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% ⊂I)∥                                    | 81.6 (80.8 to 82.5)    | 75.7 (74.8 to 76.7)    | 85.8 (85.0 to 86.5) |    |
|  | 7 40 (7 (              | 2 to 7 76)             | 0.58 /0.32 to 0.86  | 51 |
|  |                        |                        | 0.50 (0.52 10 0.00  | 1  |
|  | 16.3 (0.0              | ) to 16.3)             | 14.5 (-6.5 to 8.0)  |    |
|  | 16.3 (15               | 9 to 16.6)             | 13.1 (12.8 to 13.4  | () |
|  | 34.7 (33               | 6 to 35.8)             | 43.3 (42.2 to 44.4  | 6) |
|  | 75.7 (74               | 8 to 76.7)             | 85.8 (85.0 to 86.5  | 5) |
|  |                        |                        |                     |    |

| Table 1. Performance of Single Biomarker (Serum Creatinine or C  | ystatin C)-Based Equations to Estimate the Glon | neru lar Filtration Rate.* |                      |                             |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Variable   |   |                            | Cystatin C-B         | ased Equations              |
|  |   |                            | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcys   | EKFC<br>eGFRcys without Sex |
| EKFC cohort, 7727 White patients                                 |   | /                          |                      |                             |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/173 m²†                            |   |                            | 0.28 (-0.02 to 0.64) | 0.00 (-0.37 to 0.27)        |
| IQR of estimated GFR- measured GFR- ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡ |   |                            | 19.1 (-7.9 to 11.2)  | 14.4 (-7.9 to 6.5)          |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m³J                |   |                            | 15.8 (15.5 to 16.1)  | 13.5 (12.9 to 14.1)         |
| P <sub>10</sub> —% (95%CI)¶                                      |   |                            | 32.0 (31.0 to 33.0)  | 41.7 (40.6 to 42.8)         |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% CI)                                     |   |                            | 80.8 (79.9 to 81.7)  | 86.2 (85.4 to 87.0)         |
|  | Cystatin C–Ba<br>CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcys             | eGFRcys with               | out Sex              |                             |
|  | 0.28 (-0.02 to 0.64)                            | 0.00 (-0.37 to             | 0.27)                |                             |
|  | 19.1 (-7.9 to 11.2)                             | 14.4 (-7.9 to 6            | 6.5)                 |                             |
|  | 15.8 (15.5 to 16.1)                             | 135 (12.9 to )             | 14.1)                |                             |
|  | 32.0 (31.0 to 33.0)                             | 41.7 (40.6 to 4            | 42.8)                |                             |
|  | 80.8 (79.9 to 81.7)                             | 86.2 (85.4 to 8            | 87.0)                |                             |

| Table 1. Performance of Single Biomarker (Serum Creatinine or Cystatin C)-Based Equations to Estimate the Glomerular Filtration Rate.* |   |                        |                     |                      |                             |  |
|--|---|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Variable   | Serum Creatinine-Based Equations Cystatin C-Based Equations |                        |                     |                      |                             |  |
|  | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr(ASR)                                      | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr (AS) | E KFC<br>eGFRcr     | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcys   | EKFC<br>eGFRcys without Sex |  |
| EKFC cohort, 7727 White patients   |   |                        |                     |                      |                             |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†   | 3.96 (3.67 to 4.32)   | 7.40 (7.02 to 7.76)    | 0.58 (0.32 to 0.86) | 0.28 (-0.02 to 0.64) | 0.00 (-0.37 to 0.27)        |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR- measured GFR- ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡   | 15.5 (-3.0 to 12.5)   | 16.3 (0.0 to 16.3)     | 14.5 (-6.5 to 8.0)  | 19.1 (-7.9 to 11.2)  | 14.4 (-7.9 to 6.5)          |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m³J  | 14.8 (14.4 to 15.2)   | 16.3 (15.9 to 16.6)    | 13.1 (12.8 to 13.4) | 15.8 (15.5 to 16.1)  | 13.5 (12.9 to 14.1)         |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> —% (95%CI)¶  | 40.3 (39.2 to 41.4)   | 34.7 (33.6 to 35.8)    | 43.3 (42.2 to 44.4) | 32.0 (31.0 to 33.0)  | 41.7 (40.6 to 42.8)         |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% CI)   | 81.6 (80.8 to 82.5)   | 75.7 (74.8 to 76.7)    | 85.8 (85.0 to 86.5) | 80.8 (79.9 to 81.7)  | 86.2 (85.4 to 87.0)         |  |

| Table 2. Performance of Combined Serum Creatinine- and Cystatin C-Based Equations to Estimate GFR.* |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Variable  | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr-cys(ASR) | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr-cys(AS) | EKFC<br>eGFRcr-cys without Sex |  |  |  |
| EKFC cohort, 7727 White patients  |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†  | 2.50 (2.17 to 2.76)        | 5.04 (4.69 to 5.36)       | 0.37 (0.14 to 0.66)            |  |  |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR – measured GFR — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡                                  | 14.8 (-3.6 to 11.2)        | 16.7 (-1.8 to 14.9)       | 12.0 (-5.9 to 6.1)             |  |  |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²j   | 13.1 (12.8 to 13.4)        | 14.7 (14.4 to 15.0)       | 11.3 (11.0 to 11.6)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> —% (95% CI)¶  | 41.5 (40.4 to 42.6)        | 37.2 (36.2 to 38.3)       | 48.9 (47.8 to 50.0)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> —% (95% CI)   | 88.3 (87.6 to 89.0)        | 84.2 (83.4 to 85.0)       | 90.4 (89.8 to 91.1)            |  |  |  |
| Paris cohort, 2646 White patients   |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> †   | -1.35 (-1.82 to -0.97)     | 0.64 (0.16 to 1.15)       | -0.65 (-1.06 to -0.23)         |  |  |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR – measured GFR — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡                                  | 13.4 (-7.5 to 5.8)         | 14.1 (-5.8 to 8.3)        | 12.4 (-6.8 to 5.6)             |  |  |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m³j   | 12.1 (11.6 to 12.7)        | 12.6 (12.0 to 13.1)       | 11.8 (11.2 to 12.4)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> — % (95% CI)¶   | 43.9 (42.0 to 45.8)        | 42.3 (40.4 to 44.1)       | 45.8 (43.9 to 47.7)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% CI)  | 89.7 (88.5 to 90.8)        | 89.2 (88.0 to 90.4)       | 92.1 (91.1 to 93.1)            |  |  |  |
| U.S. cohort, 1093 White patients  |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†  | 9.23 (8.45 to 10.10)       | 13.9 (13.1 to 14.9)       | 0.97 (0.01 to 2.12)            |  |  |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR - measured GFR ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡                                    | 18.4 (0.5 to 18.8)         | 18.1 (5.1 to 23.3)        | 17.4 (-8.2 to 9.2)             |  |  |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> §                                      | 18.1 (17.1 to 19.1)        | 21.0 (20.1 to 22.0)       | 15.5 (14.3 to 16.7)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> —% (95% CI)¶  | 37.1 (34.3 to 40.0)        | 28.1 (25.4 to 30.8)       | 45.7 (42.7 to 48.6)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% CI)  | 79.5 (77.1 to 81.9)        | 72.1 (69.4 to 74.8)       | 88.7 (86.9 to 90.6)            |  |  |  |
| Paris cohort, 858 Black patients  |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†  | -0.37 (-1.06 to 0.57)      | -2.08 (-2.71 to -1.32)    | -0.65 (-1.23 to 0.11)          |  |  |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR – measured GFR — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡                                  | 15.2 (-6.4 to 8.8)         | 14.0 (-7.9 to 6.1)        | 12.4 (-6.2 to 6.2)             |  |  |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 13.3 (11.9 to 14.6)        | 12.6 (11.2 to 13.9)       | 11.6 (10.0 to 13.0)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> — % (95% CI) ¶  | 38.7 (35.4 to 42.0)        | 38.9 (35.7 to 42.2)       | 48.3 (44.9 to 51.6)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> —% (95% CI)   | 87.9 (85.7 to 90.1)        | 89.0 (87.0 to 91.1)       | 92.0 (90.1 to 93.8)            |  |  |  |
| African cohort, 508 Black patients  |                            |                           |                                |  |  |  |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†  | 8.55 (6.87 to 10.30)       | 4.08 (2.37 to 5.78)       | 0.42 (-1.03 to 1.51)           |  |  |  |
| IQR of estimated GFR - measured GFR ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ‡                                    | 24.7 (-4.5 to 20.1)        | 22.0 (-7.4 to 14.7)       | 17.1 (-7.2 to 10.0)            |  |  |  |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²§   | 19.7 (18.2 to 21.1)        | 17.2 (15.8 to 18.5)       | 14.7 (13.3 to 16.0)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>10</sub> —% (95% CI)¶  | 28.7 (24.8 to 32.7)        | 34.3 (30.1 to 38.4)       | 43.5 (39.2 to 47.8)            |  |  |  |
| P <sub>30</sub> —% (95% CI)   | 75.0 (71.2 to 78.8)        | 77.6 (73.9 to 81.2)       | 84.3 (81.1 to 87.4)            |  |  |  |

Pediatric Nephrology (2024) 39:1177–1183 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-023-06192-6

### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Extending the cystatin C based EKFC-equation to children – validation results from Europe

Hans Pottel<sup>1</sup> · Ulf Nyman<sup>2</sup> · Jonas Björk<sup>3,4</sup> · Ulla Berg<sup>5</sup> · Arend Bökenkamp<sup>6</sup> · Laurence Derain Dubourg<sup>7</sup> · Sandrine Lemoine<sup>7</sup> · Karolien Goffin<sup>8</sup> · Anders Grubb<sup>9</sup> · Magnus Hansson<sup>10</sup> · Anders Larsson<sup>11</sup> · Karin Littmann<sup>12</sup> · Kajsa Åsling-Monemi<sup>5</sup> · Khosrow Adeli<sup>13</sup> · Etienne Cavalier<sup>14</sup> · Pierre Delanaye<sup>15,16</sup>

Received: 6 June 2023 / Revised: 27 September 2023 / Accepted: 29 September 2023 / Published online: 24 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to International Pediatric Nephrology Association 2023

# Cystatin C/EKFC

- Cystatin C allows an eGFR without race nor sex
- EKFC is mathematically the same as EKFC creatinine, only Q is changing
- Continuum between children and adults for EKFC<sub>crea</sub>
- Equations based on cystatin C are not better than equations based on creatinine
- EKFC equations are slightly better than corresponding CKD-EPI equations => good alternative to CKD-Epi in Europe and Africa
- Combined equations are better (P30 +5-10%)
- Standardisation
- More costly
- How to manage discrepant results?
- Place of EKFC and/or cystatin C in the next KDIGO?



## KDIGO 2024 CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

Recommendation 1.1.2.1: In adults at risk for CKD, we recommend using creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFRcr). If cystatin C is available, the GFR category should be estimated from the combination of creatinine and cystatin C (creatinine and cystatin C-based estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFRcr-cys]) (1B).

#### 1.2.4 Selection of GFR estimating equations

## Recommendation 1.2.4.1: We recommend using a validated GFR estimating equation to derive GFR from serum filtration markers (eGFR) rather than relying on the serum filtration markers alone (1D).

Practice Point 1.2.4.1: Use the same equation within geographical regions (as defined locally [e.g., continent, country, and region] and as large as possible). Within such regions, equations may differ for adults and children.

Practice Point 1.2.4.2: Use of race in the computation of eGFR should be avoided.

#### Special considerations

Pediatric considerations

Practice Point 1.2.4.3: Estimate GFR in children using validated equations that have been developed or validated in comparable populations.

| Marker                     | Equation name and  | Ace  | Variables   | Development populations   |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| marker                     | year   | nge  | Tanadia   | bereitigen eine populations   |
| Creatinine                 | CKD-BPI 2009 <sup>2-38</sup>                                   | ≥18; modification<br>CKD-EPI 40 for<br>pediatric available | Developed using A, S, R but<br>reported not using the Black<br>race coefficient, A, S, R (NB) | 8254 Black and NB individuals from 10 studies<br>in the United States and Europe <sup>4</sup>   |
|                            | CKID U25 2021239   | 1-25   | A, S, height  | 928 children with CKD in the United States and<br>Canada  |
|                            | CKD-EPI 2021147  | ≥18  | A, S  | 8254 Black and NB individuals from 10 studies<br>in the United States and Europe <sup>4</sup>   |
|                            | EKFC 2021 <sup>240</sup>                                       | 2-100  | A, S, European Black and NB<br>specific Q-value; separate<br>Q-values for Africa vs. Europe   | mGFR vs. SCr (11,251 participants in 7 studies<br>in Europe and 1 study from the United States)<br>Normal GFR from 5482 participants in 12 studies<br>of kidney donor candidates (100% Caucasian)<br>European NB Q from 83,157 laboratory samples<br>(age 2–40 years) in 3 European hospital clinical<br>laboratories; European Black Q-value (N = 90<br>living kidney donors from Paris); African Black<br>Q-value (N = 470 healthy individuals from<br>République Démocratique de Congo); All<br>Q-values developed in cohorts independent<br>for EKFC development and validation |
|                            | Lund Malmö Revised<br>2014 <sup>241</sup>                      |  | A, S  | 3495 GFR examinations from 2847 adults from<br>Sweden referred for measurement of GFR   |
|                            | CKD-EPI 2009<br>Modified for<br>China 2014 <sup>b, 242</sup>   | ≥18  | A, S  | 589 people with diabetes from the Third Affiliated<br>Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China   |
|                            | CKD-EPI 2009<br>Modified for<br>Japan 2016 <sup>b,83</sup>     | ≥18  | A, S  | 413 hospitalized Japanese people in 80 medical<br>centers   |
|                            | CKD-EPI 2009<br>Modified for<br>Pakistan 2013 <sup>b,235</sup> | ≥18  | A, S  | 542 randomly selected low- to middle-income<br>communities in Karachi and 39 people from<br>the kidney clinic   |
| Cystatin C                 | CKD-EPI 2012 <sup>148</sup>                                    | ≥18  | A, S  | 5352 Black and NB individuals from 13 studies<br>in the United States and Europe  |
|                            | EKFC 2023 <sup>01</sup>  | 18-100   | A   | mGFR vs. SCys (assumed to be the same as<br>mGFR vs. SCr)<br>Normal GFR (same as for the SCr equation)<br>Q from laboratory samples from 227,643 (42%<br>female) laboratory samples from Uppsala<br>University Hospital, Sweden   |
|                            | CAPA 2014243   |  | A, S  | 4690 individuals within large subpopulations of<br>children and Asian and Caucasian adults  |
| Creatini ne-<br>cystatin C | CKD-EPI 2012 <sup>148</sup>                                    | ≥18  | Developed using A, S, R but<br>reported not using the Black<br>race coefficient, A, S, R (NB) | 5352 Black and NB individuals from 13 studies<br>in the United States and Europe  |
|                            | CKD-EPI 2021147  | ≥18  | A, S  | 5352 Black and NB individuals from 13 studies in<br>the United States and Europe  |
|                            | Average of EKFC cr<br>and cys <sup>240</sup>                   | ≥2   | A, S, European race specific<br>Q-value; separate Q-values                                    | See above for BKFC creatinine and cystatin C  |

for Africa vs. Europe

#### Table 14 Validated GFR estimating equations

## **Limitations of equations = creatinine**

Specific populations: Equations are not magic! Keep our clinical feeling!!

Anorexia Nervosa (Delanaye P, Clin Nephrol, 2009, 71, 482) Cirrhosis (Skluzacek PA, Am J Kidney Dis, 2003, 42, 1169) ICU (Delanaye P, BMC Nephrology, 2014, 15, 9) Hospitalized (Poggio ED, Am J Kidney Dis, 2005, 46, 242) Heart Transplanted (Delanaye P, Clin Transplant, 2006, 20, 596) Kidney Transplanted (Masson I, Transplantation, 2013, 95, 1211) Obesity (Bouquegneau A, NDT, 2013, 28, iv122)
# Do not over-interpet an eGFR result...

All equations remain estimation...

Good at the population level

Lack of precision at the individual level



rig. 1. Uncertainty of eGFR calculated using the CRD-EPI equations for African-Americans and non-African-Americans at Various creatinine concentrations for a 50-year-old male. Circles (red, larger values) indicate African-American and diamonds (green, lower values) indicate non-African-American equations. Plot symbols are the eGFR values and error bars represent the 95% CI for each eGFR value.

eGFR = 60,25 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>

#### Miller WG, Clin Chem, 2021, p693 and p820



creatinine concentrations for a 50-year-old male. Circles (red, larger values) indicate African-American and diamonds (green, lower values) indicate non-African-American equations. Plot symbols are the eGFR values and error bars represent the 95% CI for each eGFR value.

eGFR = 60,25 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> = 60 ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> (CI 95%: 33-87)

Miller WG, Clin Chem, 2021, p693 and p820

| Variable   | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr-cys(ASR) | CKD-EPI<br>eGFRcr-cys(AS) | EKFC<br>eGFRcr-cys without Sex |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| EKFC cohort, 7727 White patients                             |                            |                           |                                |
| Median bias (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m²†                       | 2.50 (2.17 to 2.76)        | 5.04 (4.69 to 5.36)       | 0.37 (0.14 to 0.66)            |
| IQR of estimated GFR – measured GFR — ml/min/1.73 m²‡        | 14.8 (-3.6 to 11.2)        | 16.7 (-1.8 to 14.9)       | 12.0 (-5.9 to 6.1)             |
| Root-mean-square error (95% CI) — ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> | 13.1 (12.8 to 13.4)        | 14.7 (14.4 to 15.0)       | 11.3 (11.0 to 11.6)            |
| P <sub>10</sub> — % (95% CI)¶                                | 41.5 (40.4 to 42.6)        | 37.2 (36.2 to 38.3)       | 48.9 (47.8 to 50.0)            |
| P <sub>30</sub> — % (95% CI)                                 | 88.3 (87.6 to 89.0)        | 84.2 (83.4 to 85.0)       | 90.4 (89.8 to 91.1)            |

### REVIEWS

## The applicability of eGFR equations to different populations

Pierre Delanaye and Christophe Mariat



Delanaye P, Nature Rev Nephrol, 2013, 9, p513 Ebert N, Clin Kidney J, 2021, 14, p1861 Agarwal R, Nephrol Dial Transplant, 2019, 34, p2001 Shafi T, Ann Intern Med, 2022, 175, p1073 Iohexol plasma clearance

Not so cumbersome

Not so costly

#### Iohexol plasma clearance measurement protocol standardization for adults: a consensus paper of the European Kidney Function Consortium

OPEN

Natalie Ebert<sup>1,25</sup>, Elke Schaeffner<sup>1,25</sup>, Jesse C. Seegmiller<sup>2</sup>, Marco van Londen<sup>3</sup>, Arend Bökenkamp<sup>4</sup>, Etienne Cavalier<sup>5</sup>, Pierre Delanaye<sup>6,7</sup>, Laurence Derain-Dubourg<sup>8</sup>, Bjørn O. Eriksen<sup>9</sup>, Olafur S. Indridason<sup>10</sup>, Runolfur Palsson<sup>10,11</sup>, Tariq Shafi<sup>12</sup>, Anders Christensson<sup>13</sup>, Sebastjan Bevc<sup>14,15</sup>, Fabiola Carrara<sup>16</sup>, Marie Courbebaisse<sup>17</sup>, R. Neil Dalton<sup>18</sup>, Markus van der Giet<sup>19</sup>, Toralf Melsom<sup>9</sup>, Shona Methven<sup>20</sup>, Gunnar Nordin<sup>21</sup>, Hans Pottel<sup>22</sup>, Andrew D. Rule<sup>23</sup>, Matias Trillini<sup>16</sup> and Christine A. White<sup>24</sup>; and the European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine Task Group on Chronic Kidney Disease (EFLM TG-CKD)

<sup>1</sup>Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Institute of Public Health, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, University of Liège, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire du Sart-Tilman, Liège, Belgium; <sup>6</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire du Sart-Tilman, Liège (ULiege), Belaium; <sup>7</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Carémeau, Nîmes, France; <sup>8</sup>Service de Néphrologie, Dialyse, Hypertension et Exploration Fonctionnelle Rénale, Centre de Référence des Maladies Rénales Rares, Service de Néphroloaie et Rhumatoloaie Pédiatriaues, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; <sup>9</sup>Section of Nephroloay, University Hospital of North Norway and Metabolic and Renal Research Group, UiT, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway; <sup>10</sup>Division of Nephrology, Landspitali University Hospital, Reykavik, Iceland; <sup>11</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>12</sup>Division of Kidney Diseases, Hypertension and Transplantation, Department of Medicine, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA; <sup>13</sup>Department of Nephroloay, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden; <sup>14</sup>Department of Nephroloay, Department of Pharmacoloay, University Medical Centre Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia; <sup>15</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia; <sup>16</sup>Clinical Research Center for Rare Diseases, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Bergamo, Italy; <sup>17</sup>Université Paris Cité; Physiology Department, Hôpital Européen Georges-Pompidou, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; <sup>18</sup>The WellChild Laboratory, Evelina London Children's Hospital, London, UK; <sup>19</sup>Department of Nephrology, Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; <sup>20</sup>Department of Renal Medicine, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Scotland, UK; <sup>21</sup>Equalis AB, Uppsala, Sweden; <sup>22</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium; <sup>23</sup>Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; and <sup>24</sup>Department of Medicine, Oueen's University, Kinaston, Ontario, Canada

#### LABORATORY ANALYSIS

#### 89

Practice Point 1.2.2.2: Where more accurate ascertainment of GFR will impact treatment decisions, measure GFR using plasma or urinary clearance of an exogenous filtration marker (Table 9).

# Sweden is the best !



#### Diagnostic standard: assessing glomerular filtration rate

Pierre Delanaye 🔞<sup>1,2</sup>, Hans Pottel<sup>3</sup>, Etienne Cavalier 🔞<sup>4</sup>, Martin Flamant<sup>5</sup>, Thomas Stehlé 🔞<sup>6</sup> and Christophe Mariat<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège (ULiege), CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hôpital Universitaire Carémeau, Nîmes, France

<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, University of Liège (ULiege), CHU Sart Tîlman, Liège, Belgium

<sup>5</sup>Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Bichat Hospital, and Université Paris Cité, UMR 1149, Paris, France

<sup>6</sup>Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Service de Néphrologie et Transplantation, Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire «

Innovative therapy for immune disorders », Créteil, France

<sup>7</sup>Service de Néphrologie, Dialyse et Transplantation Rénale, Hôpital Nord, CHU de Saint-Etienne, France

Correspondence to: Pierre Delanaye; E-mail: pdelanaye@chuliege.be

P. Delanaye et al. 📔 🖞



### Thanks for your attention