

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Antoine Renard

Work with Michel Rigo & Markus A. Whiteland

3rd September 2024





- 1. Definition and examples
- 2. Combinatorial interpretation
- 3. *p*-group languages
- 4. q-Parikh matrices

Definition and examples *q*-deformations



A *q*-deformation or *q*-analog is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \rightarrow 1$ gives back the original object.

Definition and examples *q*-deformations



A *q*-deformation or *q*-analog is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \rightarrow 1$ gives back the original object.

<u>Ex:</u>

q-natural numbers: [n]_q = 1 - qⁿ/1 - q = 1 + q + ··· + qⁿ⁻¹,
q-factorial: [n]_q! = [n]_q[n - 1]_q ··· [2]_q[1]_q,
q-binomial coefficients:

$$\binom{n}{k}_{q} = \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[n - k]_{q}![k]_{q}!}$$

Definition and examples *q*-deformations



A *q*-deformation or *q*-analog is a generalisation of some mathematical object involving a new parameter q, such that the limit for $q \rightarrow 1$ gives back the original object.

<u>Ex:</u>

q-natural numbers: [n]_q = 1 - qⁿ/1 - q = 1 + q + ··· + qⁿ⁻¹,
q-factorial: [n]_q! = [n]_q[n - 1]_q ··· [2]_q[1]_q,
q-binomial coefficients:

$$\binom{n}{k}_{q} = \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[n - k]_{q}![k]_{q}!}$$

But also: q-rational numbers, q-derivative, Gaussian q-distribution, etc.

Binomial coefficients

The *binomial coefficient* $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of *v* as a subword of *u*

<u>Ex:</u>

$$\begin{pmatrix} abbab\\ ab \end{pmatrix} = 4$$

$$abbab \quad abbab$$

$$abbab \quad abbab$$

Binomial coefficients

The *binomial coefficient* $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of v as a subword of u

<u>Ex:</u>

$$\begin{pmatrix} abbab\\ ab \end{pmatrix} = 4$$

$$abbab \quad abbab$$

$$abbab \quad abbab$$

Gaussian binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$ of two positive integers:

$$\binom{n}{k}_{q} = rac{(1-q^{n})\cdots(1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q^{k})\cdots(1-q)}$$

 \rightarrow counts the number of subspaces of dimension k in a vector space of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_q .



Binomial coefficients

The *binomial coefficient* $\binom{u}{v}$ of two words counts the number of occurrences of v as a subword of u

<u>Ex:</u>

$$\binom{abbab}{ab} = 4$$

abbab abbab abbab abbab *Gaussian binomial coefficient* $\binom{n}{k}_q$ of two positive integers:

$$\binom{n}{k}_{q} = rac{(1-q^{n})\cdots(1-q^{n-k+1})}{(1-q^{k})\cdots(1-q)}$$

 \rightarrow counts the number of subspaces of dimension k in a vector space of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_q .

ightarrow What if we merge these two objects?



q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the "classical" coefficients:



q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the "classical" coefficients:

Coefficients on words:

Gaussian coefficients:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ua\\ vb \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u\\ vb \end{pmatrix} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u\\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\binom{n+1}{k+1}_{q} = \binom{n}{k+1}_{q} \cdot q^{k+1} + \binom{n}{k}_{q}$

Antoine Renard – q-deformed binonial coefficients of words – University of Liège

4/21



q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Two recursive definitions for the "classical" coefficients:

Coefficients on words:

Gaussian coefficients:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ua\\ vb \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u\\ vb \end{pmatrix} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u\\ v \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} n+1\\ k+1 \end{pmatrix}_q = \begin{pmatrix} n\\ k+1 \end{pmatrix}_q \cdot q^{k+1} + \begin{pmatrix} n\\ k \end{pmatrix}_q$$

\rightarrow We are going to mix these two!



V,

q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

The *q*-deformation (:)_{*q*} of binomial coefficients of words is a polynomial in $\mathbb{N}[q]$ defined as follows: for all $u, v \in A^*$ and $a, b \in A$ (where A is a finite alphabet),

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}_{q} = 1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{q} = 0 \text{ if } v \neq \varepsilon,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} ua \\ vb \end{pmatrix}_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ vb \end{pmatrix}_{q} \cdot q^{|vb|} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{q}.$$

Basic properties

Directly from definition, we can show that $\forall u, v \in A^*$,

•
$$\binom{u}{u}_q = 1$$
,
• $\binom{u}{v}_q = 0 \Leftrightarrow v$ does not occur as a subword of u .

We can also link our *q*-coefficients to the classical ones:



Definition and examples Example: an easy way to compute *q*-binomials (abbab` ah q^2 abba` abba q $\binom{abb}{a}_q$ abb $\binom{abb}{\varepsilon}_{a} = 1$ ab)a q^2 $\binom{ab}{ab}_a$ $\binom{ab}{a}_a$ $\binom{ab}{a}_q$ = 1q

 ${a \choose a}_q = 1$ ${a \choose a}_q = 1$





$${abbab \choose ab}_q = q^6 + q^5 + q^3 + 1$$





Main result

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let u be a word over A, $k \geq 0$, and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$. Then

$$\binom{u}{a_1\cdots a_k}_q = \sum_{\substack{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k \in A^*\\ u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k i|u_i|}.$$



Main result

If we take back our favourite example

$${abbab \choose ab}_q = q^6 + q^5 + q^3 + 1,$$

we have to consider all factorisations of *abbab* of the form $u_0au_1bu_2$:

	abbab	abbab	<mark>a</mark> bba <mark>b</mark>	abb <mark>ab</mark>
(u_1, u_2)	($arepsilon, bab$)	(b, ab)	(bba, ε)	$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$
$ u_1 + 2 u_2 $	6	5	3	0

V

Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of *v* in *u*, one has to count, for each letter of *v*, the number of letters of *u* that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of *q*.

V

Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of v in u, one has to count, for each letter of v, the number of letters of u that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of q.

To quote a famous Swedish band, "here I go again":



Main result

In other words, for a fixed occurrence of v in u, one has to count, for each letter of v, the number of letters of u that are at its right and not part of this specific occurrence. Summing these numbers gives the corresponding power of q.

To quote a famous Swedish band, "here I go again":



\longrightarrow the powers of q encode the positions of the letters of v in its occurrences in u

Information within the coefficients

From the combinatorial interpretation, we get some information about coefficients.

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

For all words u, v, the polynomial $\binom{u}{v}_q$ is monic, and the non-zero coefficient of the monomial of least degree is 1. In particular,



Definitions

Recall that a language *L* is *recognised* by a monoid *M* if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- ▶ a monoid morphism φ : $A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.



Definitions

Recall that a language *L* is *recognised* by a monoid *M* if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- ▶ a monoid morphism φ : $A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language *L* is said to be *recognisable* if it is recognised by a <u>finite</u> monoid.



Definitions

Recall that a language *L* is *recognised* by a monoid *M* if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- ▶ a monoid morphism φ : $A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language *L* is said to be *recognisable* if it is recognised by a <u>finite</u> monoid.

Finally, a language recognised by a p-group (*i.e.* a group whose elements have order which is a power of p) is a p-group language, where p is a prime.



Definitions

Recall that a language *L* is *recognised* by a monoid *M* if there exist

- a subset $S \subset M$,
- ▶ a monoid morphism φ : $A^* \to M$,

such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(S)$.

The language *L* is said to be *recognisable* if it is recognised by a <u>finite</u> monoid.

Finally, a language recognised by a p-group (*i.e.* a group whose elements have order which is a power of p) is a p-group language, where p is a prime.

<u>N.B.:</u> Regular languages = recognisable languages



Characterising *p*-group languages

Theorem (Eilenberg, 1976)

Let p be a prime. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,r,p} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {u \choose v} \equiv r \pmod{p} \right\}.$$



Characterising *p*-group languages

Theorem (Eilenberg, 1976)

Let p be a prime. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,r,p} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {u \choose v} \equiv r \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let p be a prime and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ with $d \ge 1$ an integer and a non-zero $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {u \choose \nu}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$





"Classical" Parikh matrices

Introduced by Şerbănuță in 2004, *Parikh matrices induced by a word* $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ are upper triangular matrices of size $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$, containing elements of the form $\binom{u}{v}$ for words v of the form $z_i z_{i+1} \cdots z_j$, $1 \le i \le j \le |z|$.



"Classical" Parikh matrices

Introduced by Şerbănuţă in 2004, *Parikh matrices induced by a word* $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ are upper triangular matrices of size $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$, containing elements of the form $\binom{u}{v}$ for words v of the form $z_i z_{i+1} \cdots z_j$, $1 \le i \le j \le |z|$.

The Parikh matrix mapping is a monoid morphism $\Psi_{A,z}: A^* \to \mathbb{N}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ defined by

$$[\Psi_{A,z}(a)]_{i,j} = egin{cases} 1 & j=i \ \delta_{a,z_i} & j=i+1 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 for all $a\in A$.



An example

Fix z = aba and u = abbaba. We have

$$\Psi_{A,z}(a) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad ext{and} \quad \Psi_{A,z}(b) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $\Psi_{A,z}(u) = \Psi_{A,z}(a)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(a)\Psi_{A,z}(b)\Psi_{A,z}(a)$, *i.e.*

$$\Psi_{A,z}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \binom{u}{a} & \binom{u}{ab} & \binom{u}{aba} \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{b} & \binom{u}{ba} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{a} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Antoine Renard – q-deformed binonial coefficients of words – University of Liège

.

q-deformed Parikh matrices



Let $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ be a word and A be the alphabet of z, *i.e.* the set of letters occurring in z. For $a \in A$ and $\ell \ge 0$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}$ denote the upper triangular matrix defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}]_{i,j} = egin{cases} 1 & j=i \ \delta_{a,z_i} q^\ell & j=i+1 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We now define the map

$$\mathcal{P}_{z}: \mathcal{A}^{*} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}[q])^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}: u_{k}u_{k-1} \cdots u_{1}u_{0} \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{u_{k},k} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{u_{0},0}.$$

q-deformed Parikh matrices



Let $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$ be a word and A be the alphabet of z, *i.e.* the set of letters occurring in z. For $a \in A$ and $\ell \ge 0$, we let $\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}$ denote the upper triangular matrix defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell}]_{i,j} = egin{cases} 1 & j=i \ \delta_{a,z_i} q^\ell & j=i+1 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We now define the map

$$\mathcal{P}_{z}: \mathcal{A}^{*} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}[q])^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}: u_{k}u_{k-1} \cdots u_{1}u_{0} \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{u_{k},k} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{u_{0},0}.$$

Unfortunately, this is not a monoid morphism anymore.

L.

q-deformed Parikh matrices

Here, we have the following:

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let z be a word of length $n \ge 1$ whose alphabet is A. Let $u \in A^*$. The corresponding $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ q-Parikh matrix is such that

▶
$$[P_z(u)]_{i,j} = 0$$
, for all $1 \le j < i \le n + 1$,

•
$$[\mathcal{P}_{z}(u)]_{i,i} = 1$$
, for all $1 \le i \le n + 1$.

▶ Let
$$r \in \{1, ..., n\}$$
. For all $1 \le i \le n - r + 1$, $[\mathcal{P}_{Z}(u)]_{i,i+r} = q^{s(r-1)} {u \choose z_i z_{i+1} \cdots z_{i+r-1}}_q$,
where $s(\ell) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} k$.

An example (again)

Back with our friends z = aba and u = abbaba, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,\ell} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & q^\ell & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & q^\ell \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad ext{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{b,\ell} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & q^\ell & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



V

q-Parikh matrices

An example (again)

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{z}(u) &= \mathcal{M}_{a,5}\mathcal{M}_{b,4}\mathcal{M}_{b,3}\mathcal{M}_{a,2}\mathcal{M}_{b,1}\mathcal{M}_{a,0} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^{5} + q^{2} + 1 & q^{9} + q^{8} + q^{6} + q^{3} & q^{11} + q^{10} + q^{9} + q^{8} + q^{6} + q^{3} \\ 0 & 1 & q^{4} + q^{3} + q & q^{6} + q^{5} + q^{4} + q^{3} + q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & q^{5} + q^{2} + 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \binom{u}{a}_{q} & q\binom{u}{ab}_{q} & q^{3}\binom{u}{aba}_{q} \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{b}_{q} & q\binom{u}{ba}_{q} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{a}_{q} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \binom{u}{a}_{q} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$



Consequences

- Alternative way to compute q-binomials;
- New identities, *e.g.*

$$\sum_{\substack{z=xy\\x,y\in A^*}} (-1)^{|y|} q^{\mathsf{s}(|x|-1)+\mathsf{s}(|y|-1)} \binom{u}{x}_q \binom{u}{\widetilde{y}}_q = 0$$

for words z with no equal consecutive letters;

Information on the behaviour of (^{uⁿ}_z)_q as n → +∞: converges to a formal power series whose coefficients can be effectively computed;

etc.



Thank you for your attention!

Another example



Of course, other coefficients may be different than 1. For instance,

$$igg(aabaaa \ aa igg)_q = q^8 + q^6 + 2q^5 + 2q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1.$$

Here, the 2's mean that there exist two occurrences of *aa* in *aabaaa* such that the sum of positions equals 7 (resp. 8):

<mark>a</mark> abaa <mark>a</mark>	and	a <mark>a</mark> ba <mark>a</mark> a	\longrightarrow	$2q^4$
<mark>a</mark> aba <mark>a</mark> a	and	a <mark>a</mark> baaa	\longrightarrow	2q ⁵

Reconstructing words



Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let k be an integer, u, x words such that $|u| \ge k \ge |x|$. We have

$$\binom{|u|-|x|}{k-|x|}_q \binom{u}{x}_q = \sum_{t \in A^k} \binom{u}{t}_q \binom{t}{x}_q.$$

Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let $u \in A^*$ and $1 \le k \le |u|$. The sequence $\left(\binom{u}{x}_q\right)_{x \in A^k}$ uniquely determines the word u.



Let p be a prime, $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the field of integers modulo p and \mathfrak{M} be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$. We denote $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$ Quite naturally, we will consider languages of the form

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {\binom{u}{v}}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$

<u>Idea</u>: To prove the theorem, we are going to define a congruence \cong , so that A^* / \cong is a finite monoid, and thus have regular languages.



Let $u \in A^*$ and $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Two finite words $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ are (u, \mathfrak{M}) -binomially equivalent and we write $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ whenever

$$\forall v \in \mathsf{Fac}(u) : \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

 \longrightarrow # classes $\leq \# \mathbb{K}^{(\# \operatorname{Fac}(u)-1)}$

 $\longrightarrow A^* / \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is finite



<u>**Problem:**</u> $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is not always a congruence...

Ex: For p = 2, $\mathfrak{M} = q^2 + 1$, $A = \{a, b\}$ and u = a, we have $a \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} abbbaa$ and $b \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} bb$: $\binom{a}{a}_q \equiv 1 \equiv \binom{abbbaa}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\binom{b}{a}_q \equiv 0 \equiv \binom{bb}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ but $ab \not\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} abbbaabb$:

$${ab \choose a}_q \equiv q
eq 1 \equiv {abbbaabb \choose a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$

We will consider a congruence \cong which is a *refinement* of $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, *i.e.*

$$w_1 \cong w_2 \Rightarrow w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2.$$

We also say that $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is *coarser* than \cong .



As $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_{\rho}[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M}
angle$ is finite, there exist $i \geq 0, k \geq 1$ such that



Taking the smallest *i* and *k*,

- ▶ *i* is the *index* of *q*,
- ▶ *k* is the *period* of *q*.

Notice that, if q is invertible in \mathbb{K} , then i = 0 and $k = \operatorname{ord}(q)$.



If q is not a unit of \mathbb{K} , we have the following:

Proposition (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let $u \in A^*$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ and \cong be a congruence that refines $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$. If q is not a unit of \mathbb{K} , then the monoid A^*/\cong is a not group. In particular, no element except for the identity is invertible.

Why? We managed to show that for any congruence \cong refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, we have

$$w_1 \cong w_2 \Rightarrow |w_1| = |w_2| \text{ or } (|w_1|, |w_2| \ge \operatorname{ind}(q) \land \cdots)$$

So when q is not a unit of \mathbb{K} , ind(q) \geq 1, and [ε] is a singleton, so that [w] \cdot [x] = [wx] \neq [ε] for all $w, x \in A^+$.



If *q* is a unit of \mathbb{K} , we can look for the *coarsest* congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$.

We showed that $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} \cap \sim_{\operatorname{ord}(p)}$, where

$$w_1 \sim_{\operatorname{ord}(q)} w_2 \Leftrightarrow |w_1| \equiv |w_2| \pmod{\operatorname{ord}(q)},$$

and denoted $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is the coarsest congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$.

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let $u \in A^*$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. If q is a unit in \mathbb{K} , $A^* / \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a group whose order divides $ord(q) \cdot p^{|u|}$.



Corollary (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024)

Let v be a word and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ for some integer $d \ge 1$. The language

$$L_{
u,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}=\left\{u\in\mathsf{A}^{*}\mid inom{u}{v}_{q}\equiv\mathfrak{R}\pmod{\mathfrak{M}}
ight\}$$

is a *p*-group language.

Theorem (R., Rigo, Whiteland, 2024))

Let p be a prime and $\mathfrak{M} = a(q-1)^d$ with $d \ge 1$ an integer. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {u \choose v}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$





 $\{a,b\}^*/\!\!\sim_{ab,q^2+1}$

Antoine Renard – q-deformed binonial coefficients of words – University of Liège





b