STAR Space sciences, Technologies and Astrophysics Research



# Advancing Soft QCD Understanding Revisiting Multi-Pomeron Exchange in String Models with U-Matrix Solutions

Rami Oueslati

IFPA Group: Fundamental Interactions in Physics and Astrophysics, ULiège Belgium

Monday, July 15, 2024 IJCLab, Orsay

# Hadron interaction and QCD

• Hadronic interactions : Involve particles that undergo strong interactions.

• QCD : Widely recognized as the theory of strong interactions.

• QCD is most applicable to processes in which the coupling constant is small.



# Problem : soft qcd : perturbation theory breaks down

• Solution : Pheno. models

• abundant in the literature :

• based on the Gribov-Regge phenomenology.

hinge on fundamental principles of S matrix theory : unitarity, analyticity and crossing

empirical parameterizations

Unitarity Problem

$$S(s, \mathbf{b}) = 1 + iG(s, \mathbf{b})$$

Unitarity demands that  $|S(\mathbf{b})|^2 \leq 1$ 

Unitarity circle: the amplitudes must lay on the

circle to satisfy the unitarity condition for elastic scattering.



#### Several ways to represent the unit circle

• one can map the upper complex plane into a circle via a complex exponential

• Use a one-to-one map through a Möbius transform

U Matrix scheme

$$S(s, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1 + iz'(s, \mathbf{b})}{1 - iz'(s, \mathbf{b})}, \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Im } z'(s, \mathbf{b}) \ge 0.$$

$$G(s, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{\chi(s, \mathbf{b})}{1 - i\chi(s, \mathbf{b})/2}.$$

### High-energy collider data for pp and pp scattering



## Single diffractive cross-sections



### Single-diffractive cross section at ultra-high energy



# Unitarisation and multi-particle production

• Slight better description for cross-sections with the U matrix scheme than the eikonal

- What about the multiplicity distribution
- Probability of producing n char  $P_n(s) = \frac{\sigma_n(s)}{\sigma_{in}(s)}$  stic p + p(p̄) collision at the energy s

## The model

$$P_n(s) = \frac{1}{\langle n(s) \rangle \int d^2 b \, G_{\rm in}(s,b)} \int d^2 b \, \frac{G_{\rm in}(s,b)}{f(s,b)} \, \phi^{(1)}\left(\frac{z}{f(s,b)}\right),$$

• Superposition procedure : summing contributions from parton-parton collisions occurring at each impact parameter weighted by the inelastic overlap function, which dictates the unitarisation scheme.

• picture : the KNO scaling violation viewed as an extension of the geometrical scaling violation

### Geometrical scaling violation



### Multiplicity distributions for inelastic pp collision



#### Multiplicity distributions for inelastic pp collision



#### Multiplicity distributions for inelastic pp data



### Multiplicity distributions for inelastic pp data



## Hadron mean multiplicity

 In line with Troshin and Tyurin :

$$\langle n(s) \rangle = 2.328 \ s^{0.201},$$

• this alignment further support the use of The

U Matrix scheme



### KNO scaling violation





# Particles correlation and fluctuation

$$C_q = M_q / M_1^q,$$

$$M_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^q P_n,$$



## Particles correlation and fluctuation

• Predictions match with the data points

within the ISR energy range

 Overestimates the fluctuations and correlations in the multiplicity distribution with rising energy notably above LHC energy.



# Particles correlation and fluctuation

• In order to further illustrate this overestimation

• The f2 moment

(or the two-particle correlation parameter)

$$f_2 = < n(n-1) > - < n >^2$$



## Model's Outcomes

• Pronounced KNO scaling violation resulting from a strong Geometrical scaling violation

• Unexpected overestimation of the fluctuations and correlations with increasing energy

• Attributed to statistical fluctuations related to the inelastic overlap function and hence to the U Matrix scheme

• What is the distribution of pomerons in the U-Matrix scheme ?

Thank you for your attention