









High-resolution description of insular and fjordic benthic food webs along the West Antarctic Peninsula

DOGNIEZ Martin^{1,2,3*}, Camille MOREAU⁴, Léa KATZ⁴, Bruno DANIS⁴, Axelle BRUSSELMAN⁵, Bruno DELILLE⁵, Loïc N. MICHEL⁶, Isa SCHÖN^{2,3†} & Gilles LEPOINT^{1†}

1.Trophic & Isotopic Ecology Laboratory, R.U. FOCUS, University of Liège | 2. Center of Environmental Science (CMK), University of Hasselt | 3. Freshwater Biology Department, OD Natural Environment, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences 4. Marine Biology Laboratory, Free University of Brussels 5. Chemical Oceanography Unit, R.U. FOCUS, University of Liège 6. Animal Systematics & Diversity Laboratory, R.U. FOCUS, University of Liège. ^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>martin.dogniez@uliege.be</u> [†] Co-last authors

Background & Objectives

The West Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) is experiencing rapid warming, with predicted impact on marine benthic ecosystems¹. In shallow WAP waters, macroalgae forests (MF) and soft sedimentary bottoms (SSB) dominate, supporting "green" and "brown" food webs respectively^{2,3}. **Climate change** is expected to disrupt the equilibrium between these ecosystems, favoring for example the expansion of macroalgae forests into areas previously dominated by sea ice⁴. In this context, the aims of this this project are twofold:



- **1. Identify trophic differences between MF and SSB in WAP.**
- 2. Explore how changing environmental conditions along the WAP affect "green" and "brown" food webs.

Invertebrate benthic communities were sampled from five locations with varying environmental conditions along the WAP during the **TANGO 2023** (n-stations = 5) **& TANGO 2024** (n-stations = 6) **expeditions**.

Sampling Design & Processing

Quantitative sampling of communities:

- *Transects (10m)* & *quadrates* (0.16m²)

Onboard processing:

- Wet weight biomass measurement
- Dissection and storage (60°C/24H dried)

Stable Isotopes Analysis:

- Grinding & encapsulation of samples (total-n = 507, max n-replicates = 6)







Q Divers	ity (n cor	nsumer	morphos	species)
DI1	DI2	DI3	BL1	BL2
Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ
15	16	27	24	20

Low number of morphospecies (4/54) \bigcirc *common* to all stations



- IRMS analysis of C & N ratios
- Trophic niche modelling (**SIBER**)

Core & Full Trophic Diversity

<u>Fig.5</u>: Standard ellipses drawn using SIBER for the five benthic consumer communities; each of them encompasses 40% of the data points from the respective community: $DI1 = \square | DI2 = \# | DI3 = \land | BL1 = \diamond | BL2 = \bullet$

Fig.3: Transects (top) and quadrates (bottom) used to collect benthic invertebrates and primary producers.

Dominance of : \bigcirc

- Filter feeders & grazers in DI

- Deposit feeders & scavengers in BL



<u>Fig.4</u>: Organisms sampled (left to right / top to bottom): Dendrilla antarctica, Glyptonotus antarcticus, Nacella concinna, Sterechinus neumayeri.

<u>Fig.6</u>: (A) Convex hulls encompassing all mean δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of the morphospecies present in each of the five benthic consumer communities: DI1 = || DI2 = * | DI3 = 🔺 | BL1 = 🔶 | BL2 = 🔵 (B-E) Density plots of credible intervals from the Bayesian Estimates for four of the Layman Metrics (TA, CD, CR & NR) corresponding to the five benthic consumer communities; modes of the estimates are represented as black dots while the Maximum Likelihood Estimates are represented as red crosses, zones of the density plots correspond to the 50, 75 and 95% credibility intervals for each metric.

 \bigcirc





 \bigcirc

12.5

10.0

7.5

5.0

2.5

-25

δ¹⁵Ν (‰)

Shift of consumer standard ellipses towards less **negative δ¹³C** values in **soft sedimentary bottoms**

No significant differences in SEAb between stations...

Conclusion & Perspectives

- Larger Total Convex Hull Area (TA) and \bigcirc **δ¹³C range** in **DI3** macroalgae forest
- No significant difference in δ¹⁵N range \bigcirc across stations

Higher Mean Distance to Centroid (CD) in DI3 macroalgae forest VS **lower CD** in **BL1** soft sedimentary bottom

Acknowledgements

- ✓ **Funding -** BRAIN-be 2.0 (BELSPO): Research Project n°B2/212/P1/TANGO
- Logistics Ocean Expeditions: B. Wallis, A. Bombosch & M. Amenabar
- Pictures ©TANGO Expedition 2023, CC BY 4.0
- Samples processing Davide Cadonici

References

- . Sahade R, Lagger C, Torre L, Momo F, Monien P, Schloss I, et al. (2015) Climate change and glacier retreat drive shifts in an Antarctic benthic ecosystem. Sci Adv. 1(10). 2. Wiencke C, Amsler CD. Seaweeds and Their Communities in Polar Regions. (2012) In: Wiencke C, Bischof K, editors. Seaweed Biology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 265–91. (Ecological Studies; vol. 219). 3. Cordone G, Salinas V, Marina TI, Doyle SR, Pasotti F, Saravia LA, et al. (2020) Green vs brown food web: Effects of habitat type on multidimensional stability proxies for a highly-resolved Antarctic food web. Food Webs. 25. 4. Amsler CD, Amsler MO, Klein AG, Galloway AWE, Iken K, McClintock JB, et al. (2023) Strong correlations of sea ice cover with macroalgal cover along the Antarctic Peninsula: Ramifications for present and future benthic communities. Elem Sci Anth. 1(11).
- **Standard ellipses** positions point towards a **higher importance of high-δ¹³C basal resources** (e.g. sympagic -) algae) for soft bottom consumer communities compared to macroalgae forests communities
- In stations with comparable species diversity, TA and CD suggest a higher trophic diversity among macroalgae forest consumers than in soft bottoms, driven by some species occupying more extreme positions in the isospace...

BUT ! What about the potential influence of latitudinal changes in environmental conditions on communities?



To distinguish the effect of habitat VS latitude on WAP benthic food webs, more macroalgal forests and soft sediment communities are needed along the peninsula \implies TANGO 2024 samples are on the way!