OPEN LETTER



Enhanced identification of endocrine disruptors through

integration of science-based regulatory practices and

innovative methodologies: The MERLON Project [version 1;

peer review: 2 approved]

Terje Svingen ¹, Anna-Maria Andersson^{2,3}, Julianna Angelova⁴, Marta Axelstad¹, Julie Bakker⁵, Lisa Baumann⁶, Anna Beronius⁷, Nora Bouftas ¹⁰⁶, Frederic Chalmel⁸, Sofie Christiansen ¹⁰¹, Charlotte Cornil ¹⁵, Pauliina Damdimopoulou^{9,10}, Deepika Deepika ¹⁰¹¹, Martijn E. T. Dollé¹², Monica Kam Draskau ¹⁰¹, Margit Bistrup Fischer^{2,3}, Casper P. Hagen^{2,3}, Ellen Hessel¹², Marie Louise Holmer¹, Samantha Hughes ¹⁰⁶, Genon Jensen⁴, Hanna Katarina Lilith Johansson¹, Anders Juul ¹^{02,3,13}, Vikas Kumar¹¹, Saurav Kumar ¹¹¹, Aurélie Lardenois⁸, Katharina M. Main^{2,3,13}, Severine Mazaud-Guittot⁸, S. Jannicke Moe ¹¹⁴, Gylli Mola^{2,3}, Anne-Simone Parent⁵, Rafael Pineda¹⁵, Antoine Rolland⁷, Anna Kjerstine Rosenmai¹, You Song ¹¹⁴, Antonio Suglia⁸, Manuel Tena-Sempere¹⁵, Lydia Wehrli ¹^{9,10}, Johanna Zilliacus⁷, Majorie van Duursen⁶

¹National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Region Hovedstaden, 2800, Denmark

²Department of Growth and Reproduction, Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet), Copenhagen, Denmark

³International Center for Research and Training in Endocrine Disruption of Male Reproduction and Child Health (EDMaRC),

Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet), Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵Neuroendocrinology Laboratory, GIGA-Neurosciences, University of Liege, Liege, Belgium

⁶Environmental Health and Toxicology, Amsterdam Institute for Life and Environment, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, North Holland, 2800, The Netherlands

⁷Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, 17177, Sweden

⁸Univ Rennes, Inserm, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail), Rennes, F-25000, France

⁹Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, 14186, Sweden

¹⁰Department of Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, 14186, Sweden

¹¹IISPV, Departament d'Enginyeria Quimica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Catalonia, 43007, Spain

¹²Centre for Health Protection, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

¹³Department of Clinical Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet), Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁴Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Oslo, 0579, Norway

¹⁵Department of Cell Biology, Physiology and Immunology / IMIBIC., University of Cordoba, Cordoba, 14012, Spain

First published: 12 Apr 2024, 4:68

⁴Health and Environment Alliance (HEAL), Brussels, Belgium

https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.17319.1 **Latest published:** 12 Apr 2024, **4**:68 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.17319.1

Abstract

The prevalence of hormone-related health issues caused by exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) is a significant, and increasing, societal challenge. Declining fertility rates together with rising incidence rates of reproductive disorders and other endocrinerelated diseases underscores the urgency in taking more action. Addressing the growing threat of EDCs in our environment demands robust and reliable test methods to assess a broad variety of endpoints relevant for endocrine disruption. EDCs also require effective regulatory frameworks, especially as the current move towards greater reliance on non-animal methods in chemical testing puts to test the current paradigm for EDC identification, which requires that an adverse effect is observed in an intact organism. Although great advances have been made in the field of predictive toxicology, disruption to the endocrine system and subsequent adverse health effects may prove particularly difficult to predict without traditional animal models. The MERLON project seeks to expedite progress by integrating multispecies molecular research, new approach methodologies (NAMs), human clinical epidemiology, and systems biology to furnish mechanistic insights and explore ways forward for NAM-based identification of EDCs. The focus is on sexual development and function, from foetal sex differentiation of the reproductive system through mini-puberty and puberty to sexual maturity. The project aims are geared towards closing existing knowledge gaps in understanding the effects of EDCs on human health to ultimately support effective regulation of EDCs in the European Union and beyond.

Keywords

endocrine disruption, regulatory toxicology, adverse outcome pathways, sexual development, reproduction, gender, new approach methodologies, systems biology



This article is included in the Horizon Europe gateway.



- 1. Andrea Terron, EFSA European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy
- 2. **Qi Wang** (D), Peking University, Beijing, China

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Terje Svingen (tesv@food.dtu.dk)

Author roles: Svingen T: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - Original Draft Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing; Andersson AM: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Angelova J: Conceptualization, Project Administration, Writing - Review & Editing: Axelstad M: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing: Bakker J: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Baumann L: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Beronius A: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Bouftas N: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Chalmel F: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Christiansen S: Investigation, Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; Cornil C: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project Administration, Writing - Review & Editing; Damdimopoulou P: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Deepika D: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Dellé MET: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Draskau MK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Fischer MB: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Hagen CP: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Hessel E: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Holmer ML: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Hughes S: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Jensen G: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Project Administration, Writing - Review & Editing; Johansson HKL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Juul A: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Kumar V: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Kumar S: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Lardenois A: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Main KM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Mazaud-Guittot S: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Moe SJ: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Mola G: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Parent AS: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; Pineda R: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Rolland A: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Rosenmai AK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing; Song Y: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Suglia A: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Tena-Sempere M: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing -Review & Editing; Wehrli L: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Zilliacus J: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing; van Duursen M: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101137411 (Merging scientific Evidence with Regulatory practices and Leveraging identification Of endocrine disruptors using New approach methodologies [MERLON]).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2024 Svingen T *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Svingen T, Andersson AM, Angelova J *et al.* Enhanced identification of endocrine disruptors through integration of science-based regulatory practices and innovative methodologies: The MERLON Project [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Open Research Europe 2024, 4:68 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.17319.1

First published: 12 Apr 2024, 4:68 https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.17319.1

List of Abbreviations

ADME: absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion

AO: Adverse Outcome

AOP: Adverse Outcome Pathway

AOPN: Adverse Outcome Pathway Network

CLP: Classification, Labelling and Packaging

DHT: Dihydrotestosterone

EDC: endocrine disrupting chemical

EAS: oestrogen, androgen, and steroidogenesis

EU: European Union

IATA: Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment

KE: Key Event

KER: Key Event Relationship

NAM: New Approach Methodology

OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PBTK: Physiologically Based Toxicokinetic

qAOP: quantitative Adverse Outcome Pathway

WHO: World Health Organization

Introduction

Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) are of great concern to human and environmental health. The European Union (EU) considers the identification and regulation of EDCs a high priority and much effort is being put towards improving test methods and regulatory frameworks. Despite these efforts, many challenges remain before we have a system that is robust, reliable, and effective enough to adequately capture and regulate EDCs that may pose a threat to human health. These challenges include i) the lack of a sufficient number of validated test methods that can detect all relevant effects of EDCs, ii) incomplete knowledge about the capacity of many alternative test methods to predict effect outcomes in humans and laboratory animals, iii) interspecies variations or iv) sex differences within same species, and v) incomplete quantitative understanding of causal pathways of endocrine disruption. In addition, the regulation of EDCs within the EU remains tediously slow, as it typically involves substance evaluation on a one-by-one basis with a demand for extensive animal studies. With 26.000 substances currently registered under REACH (ECHA, 2024) and estimated 40-60.000 substances in global commerce (WHO, 2022), there is an urgent need for speeding up the process.

Sexual development is especially sensitive to EDCs due to its intrinsic dependence on hormone signalling at critical life stages. During specific time periods, such as foetal and early childhood, exposure to EDCs can disrupt the normal signalling pathways, potentially leading to long-term adverse effects on the reproductive system (Johansson *et al.*, 2017; Lopez-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2021; Sharpe, 2020; Skakkebaek *et al.*, 2016). These early-life exposures are of great concern since they can induce irreversible effects that potentially impact reproductive organs, fertility, and the overall functioning of the reproductive system throughout life.

Our knowledge about normal mammalian sexual development and later reproductive function is substantial and includes detailed characterization of numerous molecular mechanisms and cellular events. Several established and validated test methods for evaluating endocrine disruption are also available, with some related to developmental and reproductive toxicity testing (OECD GD 150) (OECD, 2018), which goes some way of informing on the potential effects of EDCs on both male and female reproduction. However, the current catalogue of test methods is not sufficient, and it remains challenging to predict, with reasonable accuracy, many adverse health outcomes in animal models or humans based on data from alternative test methods alone (Svingen et al., 2022). The MERLON consortium, funded under EU's Horizon Health programme, aims to address these challenges, and provide a roadmap to advance EDC identification within the EU.

In this article we outline the concept and rationale for the MERLON project. First, we provide a short overview of how EDCs can affect the reproductive system and cause a variety of adverse effects depending on what life stages disruption occurs. This is followed by an emphasis on the regulatory needs for EDC identification, before outlining the MERLON project's objectives and research strategies.

EDC exposure and effects on reproductive development and function – a short overview

EDCs are substances that can interfere with the normal functioning of the endocrine system in humans and wildlife and thereby cause adverse effects. They can act by numerous mechanisms, such as mimicking, blocking, or disrupting the production, transport, release, metabolism, or action of endogenous hormones and cause a plethora of adverse effects in living organisms. Adverse effects include developmental toxicity, reproductive disorders, and disrupted hormonedependent physiology. EDCs are now ubiquitous in the environment and are found in everyday products such as pesticides, plastics, cosmetics, food packaging materials, paints *etc*, and their potential impact on human health continues to raise significant concern (EC, 2012; EEA, 2019).

Both sexual development and reproductive function are dependent on hormone signalling, and thus vulnerable to EDCs. Exposures have been linked to a range of reproductive disorders in both sexes, with effects manifesting at birth or later in adulthood (Figure 1). This has been repeatedly shown in both animal models and human epidemiological studies (Johansson *et al.*, 2017; Land *et al.*, 2022; Palanza *et al.*, 2021; Skakkebaek *et al.*, 2016). Importantly, critical windows of exposure are paramount when delineating effects on the reproductive systems, since hormone signalling pathways have unique functions that differ throughout life. In general terms, one may consider hormone action during early development as instructions for building the reproductive system whereas



Figure 1. Human life stages for endocrine disruption and disease manifestations. Sexual development initiates at fertilization with chromosomal sex determination, but phenotypic bifurcation of the biological sexes is first visible immediately after gonadal sex determination; differentiation of either testes or ovaries in XY and XX foetuses, respectively. Subsequent sexual development hinges on steroid sex hormones, not least high androgen levels produced by the foetal testes in males. Since reproductive development is strongly influenced by relative hormone levels, reproductive development from foetal stages through mini-puberty and puberty are vulnerable to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs). Life-long exposure, or exposures at various life stages, can lead to a range of reproductive disorders, from severe malformations to a shift in puberty onset and infertility. Created with BioRender.com.

during adulthood hormones make the reproductive system function. As such, endocrine disruption during foetal stages and early childhood are especially concerning as the adverse effects may be irreversible.

In males, developmental exposure to EDCs has been linked to disorders such as cryptorchidism, hypospadias, reduced fertility, and reproductive cancers. Disorders arising in consequence of malfunctioning testes during foetal life have been described under the Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome hypothesis (Skakkebaek et al., 2001). However, male reproductive disorders triggered by exposure to EDCs may also arise from other causes (Svingen et al., 2022). Nevertheless, since male sexual differentiation is largely controlled by androgen signalling during foetal life, primarily by testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT), males are particularly sensitive to endocrine disruption during this life stage. A surge in androgen production is observed two-thirds into gestation in mice and rats (gestational day ~16-21) and at the start of second trimester in humans (gestational weeks ~12-20), commonly referred to as the masculinization programming window (Welsh et al., 2008). This androgen surge is not occurring in females, as they do not have testes, and hence the difference in androgen levels is the main driver of sexually dimorphic differentiation of the sexual phenotypes following gonadal differentiation into either testes or ovaries. This is also why many of the EDCs causing male reproductive disorders have either estrogenic or anti-androgenic potentials, which may

lead to under-masculinization seen with for instance shorter anogenital distance (Schwartz *et al.*, 2019), hypospadias (Mattiske & Pask, 2021), and cryptorchidism (Bay & Anand-Ivell, 2014) as well as increased risk of testicular cancer and reduced semen quality in adulthood (Skakkebaek *et al.*, 2001).

In females, developmental exposure to EDCs have been linked to disorders such as precocious puberty, reduced fertility, early menopause, and reproductive cancers (Johansson *et al.*, 2017). Importantly, the pool of ovarian primordial follicles is established during early foetal life and is deciding for a woman's reproductive lifespan. During folliculogenesis, primordial follicles are formed by granulosa cells surrounding germ cells as they enter and arrest in the first meiotic division. The transition from mitosis to meiosis is highly regulated, and if the process is disrupted, germ cells are lost to apoptosis (Jung & Kee, 2015). Studies suggest that the germ cell lineage, and follicles, are particularly vulnerable to EDCs and may have latent effects on adult fertility. Reduction of the follicle pool may also affect age at pubertal onset and cause premature menopause (Dean *et al.*, 2016).

Mini-puberty is a transient activation of the hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis during infancy resulting in adult levels of androgens in boys as well as maturation of ovarian follicles and oestradiol production in girls (Busch *et al.*, 2022; Kuiri-Hänninen *et al.*, 2011; Ljubicic *et al.*, 2022a). This transient period of hormonal activity is thought to play a role in the development of the reproductive system and has been associated with certain physiological changes, including the growth of reproductive organs and the maturation of reproductive tissues (Boas *et al.*, 2006; Ljubicic *et al.*, 2022b; Main *et al.*, 2000). Mini-puberty serves as a window of opportunity to evaluate, and in certain cases treat, gonadal dysfunction caused by disrupted foetal pituitary-gonadal axis development (Kuiri-Hänninen *et al.*, 2014; Main *et al.*, 2000). The hormonal changes that take place during mini-puberty are considered essential for establishing adult reproductive function (Scheutz Henriksen *et al.*, 2022) and disruption to this process by EDC exposure may thus have long-term negative consequences. There is evidence for EDCs disrupting minipuberty, including phthalates (Muerköster *et al.*, 2020) and parabens (Jensen *et al.*, 2021).

Changes in puberty onset have been associated with EDC exposure in both sexes (Lopez-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2021). These may arise from exposures during the time of pubertal development itself, but worryingly also by foetal and perinatal exposure. Puberty onset is controlled by hormonal release from the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, but also requires proper feedback from gonadal hormone signalling (Argente *et al.*, 2023). Hence, both compromised brain and gonad development can lead to disrupted puberty, and it can be challenging to unravel where along the signalling axis disruption is initiated (Uldbjerg *et al.*, 2022). There may also be sex-specific effects in human, suggested by phthalates having been associated with delayed puberty in girls but precocious puberty in boys (Berger *et al.*, 2018).

Sex is genetically defined in mammals and typically defines individuals as being either male or female. Gender, on the other hand, can be considered a social construct in humans and refers to a personal intrinsic sense of self; it typically defines if individuals view themselves as man or woman, or other (Coleman et al., 2022; Swaab et al., 2021). It has been demonstrated in animals and humans that steroid hormones influence brain development during perinatal life resulting in sex differences in brain structure, as well as differences in psychosexual characteristics in humans (Bakker, 2022). In rodent toxicity studies, developmental exposure to different EDCs has been demonstrated to affect both reproductive and cognitive behaviour in offspring (Ducroq et al., 2023; Hilz & Gore, 2022). Since animals do not have a gender, it is more difficult to ascertain direct effects of EDCs on gender aspects in controlled experiments. Notably, however, there has been a significant increase in persons referred to health care clinics with gender incongruence over the last decades (Hilden et al., 2021; Indremo et al., 2021; Kuyper & Wijsen, 2014; Van Caenegem et al., 2015). Additional research seeking to find explanations for this steady rise in incidence rates is therefore warranted, including potential involvement of EDCs.

Improving testing methodologies and EDC identification

In recent years, great efforts have been put into updating standardised test guidelines and developing new methods for detecting endocrine disrupting effects. Major advances have been made and criteria for identification of EDCs have been introduced into various regulations. Despite this, we still lack test methods to adequately detect several important effect endpoints and the regulatory system remains tediously slow; only a small number of substances are currently regulated based on inherent endocrine disrupting properties. One major challenge for EDCs has been the required evidence for an 'adverse effects in intact organism'. This requirement is embedded in the definition of EDCs provided by the World Health Organization (WHO/IPCS, 2002), and consequently in the scientific criteria for identifying EDCs under the EU regulations for plant protection products (EU, 2018) and biocides (EU, 2017), and recently also in the Classification, Labelling and Packaging (CLP) Regulation (EU, 2023). The new CLP criteria also introduces the possibility to use non-animal data with an equivalent predictive capacity as human and animal data for EDC identification, which may pave the way for a wider application of new approach methodologies (NAMs: herein meaning 'alternatives to traditional animal toxicity testing').

A 2019 report commissioned by the European Parliament's Committee on Petitions estimates the annual cost related to the effects of exposure to EDCs at 163 billion Euros, with 5% (8.15 billion euros) attributed to reproductive disorders (Kassotis *et al.*, 2020). Apart from the monetary costs to society, reproductive disorders such as infertility, genital malformation, or reproductive cancers can cause significant personal suffering. The societal need for robust and efficient testing regimens is therefore clear. Yet, for reproductive toxicity we still lack both appropriate methods and knowledge that is needed to predict human-relevant outcomes based on test data, being it *in silico, in vitro* or *in vivo*.

To support public authorities with scientific evidence in safety assessment of EDCs, the MERLON project aims to provide a roadmap to effectively assess effects on sexual differentiation and function arising from endocrine disruption for substances under assessment in EU regulatory frameworks. A clear goal is to practically implement new and emerging concepts of 21st Century toxicology, such as adverse outcome pathways (AOPs), and NAMs that are compliant with the 3R-principles to refine, reduce and replace animal testing. This will facilitate informed EDC identification by providing necessary mechanistic knowledge and ultimately support various regulatory frameworks, including biocidal products, plant protection products, REACH chemicals, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals, as well as the CLP regulation.

The AOP framework is well suited for predictive toxicology as it provides empirically supported knowledge about causal pathways linking initial stressor events with adverse outcomes (AOs) in intact organisms (Villeneuve *et al.*, 2014). By organizing biological, especially mechanistic, knowledge into modular units, including key events (KEs) that describe measurable effects in a biological system and KE relationships (KERs) that describe causal links between two KEs, predictive toxicological pathways can be constructed. In MERLON we will leverage the AOP concept and build on an emerging AOP network (AOPN) for reproductive toxicity to facilitate more integrated use of NAMs in EDC identification. Novel approaches will be applied for quantification of AOPs, including Bayesian network modelling (Moe *et al.*, 2021) and piecewise structural equation modelling (Cao *et al.*, 2023).

Due to the central role steroid hormones play in reproductive development and function, it is not surprising that many adverse effects induced by EDCs may occur through the estrogenic, androgenic, or steroidogenic (EAS) modalities (ECHA/EFSA *et al.*, 2018; OECD, 2018). This background knowledge is also why most attention has been paid to these modalities over recent decades of EDC-induced reproductive toxicity and why the emerging AOPN for reproductive toxicity in the AOP-wiki includes several adverse outcomes (AOs) known to be mediated through EAS disruption (Wiklund *et al.*, 2023; Zilliacus *et al.*, 2024). Notably, however, this does not exclude the involvement of other modalities such as, for example, retinoid signalling.

The MERLON approach and methods

In addition to regulatory tests that are performed in compliance with internationally validated test guidelines, data from other sources such as non-standard research studies or non-validated methods can also provide relevant information for EDC assessments. In MERLON we will use and develop a broad range of experimental approaches chosen specifically to facilitate increased used of integrated approaches for EDC identification (Figure 2). To achieve our aims, MERLON will address four main objectives:

- Provide human data on the role of EDC exposure during foetal development and changes in mini-puberty, puberty, reproductive function, and gender incongruence using existing biobanks and cohorts.
- ii) Develop NAMs focusing on sexual development and function and the effects of EDCs thereon.
- iii) Develop a roadmap for EDC identification, making the best use of NAMs.



Figure 2. Applying basic research to improve next generation risk assessment of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs). The MERLON project (blue boxes) will employ a broad array of research methods to establish a roadmap for the identification of EDCs with greater reliance on alternative (reductionist) methods and new approach methodologies (NAMs). Using human cohorts and foetal tissues together with rodent toxicity and genetic models, human-relevant biomarkers and assays will be pinpointed and subsequently exploited to establish alternative test methods, including zebrafish, nematode and quail models. In addition, modelling approaches will supplement empirical data in providing quantitative extrapolative frameworks for predicting adverse outcomes in humans based on non-animal test results. This will be achieved by continued improvement of an Adverse Outcome Pathway Network (AOPN) for reproductive toxicity that can be further leveraged in future development of integrated approaches for testing and assessment (IATA), ultimately supporting next generation risk assessment (NGRA) more broadly.

iv) Consult, engage, and collaborate with relevant stakeholders, including citizens and public authorities such as EU risk assessment bodies and regulators.

Objective 1: Biomarkers and association between EDC exposures and effects on developmental and reproductive toxicity in humans

The first objective involves utilizing ongoing human cohorts and biobanks to provide human data on the correlation between foetal exposure to EDCs and the perturbation of reproductive organ development, mini-puberty, and puberty. Further data on the potential influence of EDCs on sexually dimorphic neurodevelopment and their impact on gender identity will also be provided. Leveraging human cohorts and tissues will enable the identification of predictive biomarkers for future epidemiological studies, as well as lending support to AOP development and use. Additional focus will be on elucidating health endpoints that currently lack sufficient data, such as disrupted mini-puberty and gender incongruence. The project aims to advance our capabilities of identifying EDCs by providing improved biomarkers for predicting health outcomes. A key goal is to pinpoint the most sensitive windows of susceptibility to EDC exposure in humans during development and provide important information for refining our understanding of the risks associated with these chemicals throughout different life stages.

Objective 2: New approach methodologies for EDC identification

The second objective involves the development of NAMs for EDC identification, including using non-mammalian, wholeanimal models such as non-protected life stages of zebrafish (<120 hpf embryo), nematodes, and Japanese quail. Traditional and genetically modified rodent models will be used to gain mechanistic knowledge, including in-depth interrogation of novel modes of action. We will employ both bulk- and single-cell transcriptomics approaches to gain mechanistic information on the effects of EDC exposures on various organs and aid in cross-species comparisons of EDC-mediated effects. Human induced pluripotent stem cells will be used to gauge how hormones such as androgens and oestrogens contribute to sex differences in brain development. Zebrafish embryo models will be used to study EDC-induced (neuro) developmental toxicity and early markers of sexual development from which the EDC-induced effects could potentially be predicted. These early markers may subsequently be implemented in existing zebrafish embryo-assay to assess the effects of selected EDCs on biomarkers of sexual differentiation and on the developing brain. C. elegans models will be used to assess multigenerational inherited effects from EDC exposure. As with the human studies, special emphasis will be placed on exposure during critical life stages, identification of new biomarkers and on exploring endpoints that are currently not adequately addressed in internationally accepted test guidelines. New mechanistic knowledge and empirical evidence will be used to support and expand the abovementioned AOPN. The use of Physiologically Based Toxicokinetic (PBTK) models will address multi-organ exposures and physiological barriers, enhancing the applicability of NAMs for EDC identification. In addition, cross-scale (e.g., in vitro to in vivo) dose extrapolation by PBTK modelling will allow for better utilization of

the NAMs data to support the development of quantitative AOP (qAOP) models. Ultimately, these predictive approaches will allow more reliable estimations of thresholds (points of departure) necessary to induce adverse effects and support the use of NAMs in regulatory decision-making.

Objective 3: A roadmap to advance EDC identification in EU regulations

The third objective involves conducting case studies to evaluate the application of an AOPN on EAS-mediated reproductive effects, drawing additional insights from Objectives 1 and 2. We will assess the utility of AOPs and qAOPs in EDC assessment and identification, and assess the capacity of AOPNs to capture intricate interactions across biological levels of complexity. Additionally, of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) parameters will be incorporated in prediction models. In this way, we will develop a roadmap for EDC identification, emphasizing the optimal use of NAMs, focusing on the predictability and associated uncertainties in EDC identification. With increasing emphasis on mechanism-based NAMs approaches, the project aims to provide recommendations on methods, methodologies, and principles. This includes leveraging NAM data, implementing strategies for grouping chemicals, read-across, and conducting cross-species extrapolations to enhance the identification of EDCs.

Objective 4: Consult, engage and collaborate with relevant stakeholders

The fourth objective involves engagement with citizens and key public authorities, such as EU risk assessment bodies and regulators, with the goal to develop fit-for-purpose framework enhancements that align with current practices for EDC identification within the EU. The MERLON consortium, along with its Scientific Advisory Board, maintains robust connections with regulatory bodies, societal entities, and scientific networks, including the Partnerships for the Assessment of Risks from Chemicals (PARC, https://www.eu-parc.eu/). Ongoing collaboration with the Joint Research Centre (JRC) and the OECD Test Guidelines Program (TGP) will ensure uniform integration of the project outcomes with global initiatives related to EDC testing and identification. Finally, considerations for gender, regional variations, socioeconomics, and cultural factors are integral to our approach, as we seek to enhance the societal impact of our research activities. Collaborations with other projects of this call, the ENKORE cluster, will optimize synergies and amplify the overall impact of MERLON.

Concluding remarks

Although many mechanistic endpoints related to reproductive toxicity can be assessed in rodent studies, the existing list of validated NAMs methods to test for effects mediated by the EAS modalities is notably deficient. The critical knowledge gap lies in the quantitative relationships between early signs of perturbation and adverse effect outcomes of regulatory concern. The MERLON project strategically addresses this challenge by focusing on the development and application of a AOPN for reproductive toxicity. This approach, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative inputs, is envisioned to significantly enhance the predictive capacity of NAM data, contributing to the development of fit-for-purpose NAMs that can either replace or complement in vivo toxicity studies alongside human epidemiological data.

Ethics and consent

Ethical approval and consent were not required for writing this summary paper. All planned experiments under MERLON are covered by valid ethical licenses.

References

Argente I, Dunkel L, Kaiser UB, et al.: Molecular basis of normal and pathological puberty: from basic mechanisms to clinical implications. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2023; 11(3): 203-216.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Bakker J: The role of steroid hormones in the sexual differentiation of the human brain. J Neuroendocrinol. 2022; 34(2): e13050.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Bay K, Anand-Ivell R: Human testicular insulin-like factor 3 and endocrine disrupters. Vitam Horm. 2014; 94: 327–348. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Berger K, Eskenazi B, Kogut K, et al.: Association of Prenatal Urinary Concentrations of Phthalates and Bisphenol A and Pubertal Timing in Boys and Girls. Environ Health Perspect. 2018; 126(9): 97004. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Boas M, Boisen KA, Virtanen HE, et al.: Postnatal penile length and growth rate correlate to serum testosterone levels: a longitudinal study of 1962 normal boys. Eur J Endocrinol. 2006; 154(1): 125-129 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Busch AS, Ljubicic ML, Upners EN, et al.: Dynamic Changes of Reproductive Hormones in Male Minipuberty: Temporal Dissociation of Leydig and Sertoli Cell Activity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022; 107(6): 1560-1568.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Cao Y, Moe SJ, De Bin R, et al.: Comparison of piecewise structural equation modeling and Bayesian network for *de novo* construction of a quantitative adverse outcome pathway network. *ALTEX*. 2023; **40**(2): 287–298. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Coleman E, Radix AE, Bouman WP, et al.: Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8. Int J Transgend Health. 2022; 23(Suppl 1): S1-S259.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Dean A, van den Driesche S, Wang Y, *et al.*: **Analgesic exposure in pregnant** rats affects fetal germ cell development with inter-generational reproductive consequences. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 19789.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ducroq S, Grange-Messent V, Mhaouty-Kodja S: Exposure to Low Doses of Phthalates in Male Rodents: Effects on Reproductive and Cognitive Behaviors. Neuroendocrinology. 2023; 113(12): 1215–1231. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

EC: Communication from the Commission to the Council - the combination effects of chemicals. Chemical Mixtures, COM. 2012; 10. **Reference Source**

ECHA: ECHA's publicly available database of registered substances. 2024; (Accessed February 7, 2024).

Reference Source

European Chemical Agency (ECHA) and European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) with the technical support of the Joint Research Centre (JRC), Andersson N, Arena M, et al.: Guidance for the identification of endocrine disruptors in the context of Regulations (EU) No 528/2012 and (EC) No 1107/2009. EFSA J. 2018; 16(6): e05311.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

EEA: The European environment – State and outlook 2020 – Knowledge for transition to a sustainable Europe. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2019. 2019; (Accessed February 6, 2024). **Publisher Full Text**

EU: BP, Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/2100 of 4 September 2017 setting out scientific criteria for the determination of endocrine disrupting properties pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and Council (Text with EEA relevance). EUR-Lex. 2017; (Accessed February 6, 2024) Reference Source

EU: PPP, Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/605 of 19 April 2018 amending

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors. Publication in Open Research Europe does not imply endorsement of the European Commission.

Data availability

No data are associated with this article

Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 by setting out scientific criteria for the determination of endocrine disrupting properties (Text with EEA relevance). EUR-LEX. 2018; (Accessed February 6, 2024). **Reference Source**

EU: CLP, COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2023/707 of 19 December 2022 amending Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 as regards hazard classes and criteria for the classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, (Text with EEA relevance). EU-LEX. 2023; (Accessed February 6, 2024).

Reference Source

Hilden M, Glintborg D, Andersen MS, et al.: Gender incongruence in Denmark, a quantitative assessment. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2021; 100(10): 1800-1805

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Hilz EN, Gore AC: Sex-specific Effects of Endocrine-disrupting Chemicals on Brain Monoamines and Cognitive Behavior. Endocrinology. 2022; 163(10): bgac128.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Indremo M, White R, Frisell T, et al.: Validity of the Gender Dysphoria diagnosis and incidence trends in Sweden: a nationwide register study. Sci Rep. 2021; 11: 16168.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Jensen TK, Andersson AM, Main KM, et al.: Prenatal paraben exposure and anogenital distance and reproductive hormones during mini-puberty: A study from the Odense Child Cohort. Sci Total Environ. 2021; 769: 145119. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Johansson HKL, Svingen T, Fowler PA, et al.: Environmental influences on ovarian dysgenesis - developmental windows sensitive to chemical exposures. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017; **13**(7): 400–414. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Jung D, Kee K: Insights into female germ cell biology: from in vivo development to in vitro derivations. Asian J Androl. 2015; 17(3): 415-20. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kassotis CD, Vandenberg LN, Demeneix BA, et al.: Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: economic, regulatory, and policy implications. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020; 8(8): 719-730.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kuiri-Hänninen T, Kallio S, Seuri R, et al.: Postnatal developmental changes in the pituitary-ovarian axis in preterm and term infant girls. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 96(11): 3432-3439

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Kuiri-Hänninen T, Sankilampi U, Dunkel L: Activation of the hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis in infancy: minipuberty. Horm Res Paediatr. 2014; 82(2): 73-80.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Kuyper L, Wijsen C: Gender Identities and Gender Dysphoria in the Netherlands. Arch Sex Behav. 2014; 43(2): 377-385. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Land KL, Miller FG, Fugate AC, et al.: The effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals on ovarian- and ovulation-related fertility outcomes. Mol Reprod Dev. 2022; 89(12): 608-631

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Ljubicic ML, Busch AS, Upners EN, et al.: A Biphasic Pattern of Reproductive Hormones in Healthy Female Infants: The COPENHAGEN Minipuberty Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022a; 107(9): 2598-2605. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Ljubicic ML, Madsen A, Upners EN, et al.: Longitudinal evaluation of breast tissue in healthy infants: Prevalence and relation to reproductive hormones and growth factors. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022b; 13: 1048660. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Lopez-Rodriguez D, Franssen D, Heger S, et al.: Endocrine-disrupting

chemicals and their effects on puberty. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021: 35(5): 101579.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Main KM, Schmidt IM, Skakkebæk NE: A Possible Role for Reproductive Hormones in Newborn Boys: Progressive Hypogonadism without the Postnatal Testosterone Peak. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000; 85(12): 4905–4907. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Mattiske DM, Pask AJ: Endocrine disrupting chemicals in the pathogenesis of hypospadias; developmental and toxicological perspectives. Curr Res Toxicol. 2021; 2: 179-191

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Moe SJ, Wolf R, Xie L, et al.: Quantification of an Adverse Outcome Pathway Network by Bayesian Regression and Bayesian Network Modeling. Integr Environ Assess Manag. 2021; **17**(1): 147–164. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Muerköster AP, Frederiksen H, Juul A, et al.: Maternal phthalate exposure associated with decreased testosterone/LH ratio in male offspring during mini-puberty. Odense Child Cohort. Environ Int. 2020; 144: 106025. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

OECD: Revised Guidance Document 150 on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption. Paris: OECD; 2018 **Publisher Full Text**

Palanza P, Paterlini S, Brambilla MM, et al.: Sex-biased impact of endocrine disrupting chemicals on behavioral development and vulnerability to disease: Of mice and children. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2021; 121: 29-46. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Scheutz Henriksen L, Holm Petersen J, Skakkebæk NE, et al.: Serum Testosterone Levels in 3-Month-Old Boys Predict Their Semen Quality as Young Adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022; 107(7): 1965–1975. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Schwartz CL, Christiansen S, Vinggaard AM, *et al.*: **Anogenital distance as a toxicological or clinical marker for fetal androgen action and risk for reproductive disorders**. *Arch Toxicol.* 2019; **93**(2): 253–272. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Sharpe RM: Androgens and the masculinization programming window: human-rodent differences. Biochem Soc Trans. 2020; 48(4): 1725–1735. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Skakkebaek NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Buck Louis GM, et al.: Male Reproductive Disorders and Fertility Trends: Influences of Environment and Genetic Susceptibility. Physiol Rev. 2016; 96(1): 55–97. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Skakkebaek NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Main KM: Testicular dysgenesis

syndrome: an increasingly common developmental disorder with environmental aspects. Hum Reprod. 2001: 16(5): 972-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Svingen T, Schwartz CL, Rosenmai AK, et al.: Using alternative test methods to predict endocrine disruption and reproductive adverse outcomes: do we have enough knowledge? *Environ Pollut*. 2022; **304**: 119242. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Swaab DF, Wolff SEC, Bao AM: Sexual differentiation of the human hypothalamus: Relationship to gender identity and sexual orientation. Handb Clin Neurol. 2021; 181: 427-443.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Uldbjerg CS, Koch T, Lim YH, et al.: Prenatal and postnatal exposures to endocrine disrupting chemicals and timing of pubertal onset in girls and boys: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update. 2022; 28(5): 687-716.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Van Caenegem E, Wierckx K, Elaut E, et al.: Prevalence of Gender Nonconformity in Flanders, Belgium. Arch Sex Behav. 2015; 44(5): 1281-1287. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Villeneuve DL, Crump D, Garcia-Reyero N, et al.: Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) Development I: Strategies and Principles. Toxicol Sci. 2014; 142(2): 312-320

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Welsh M Saunders PTK Fisken M et al : Identification in rats of a programming window for reproductive tract masculinization, disruption of which leads to hypospadias and cryptorchidism. J Clin Invest. 2008; 118(4): 1479-1490

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

WHO: Compendium of WHO and Other UN Guidance on Health and Environment. 2022; (Accessed February 7, 2024). **Reference Source**

WHO/IPCS: Global Assessment of the State-of-the-Science of Endocrine Disruptors. 2002; (Accessed November 6, 2023). **Reference Source**

Wiklund L, Caccia S, Pípal M, et al.: Development of a data-driven approach to Adverse Outcome Pathway network generation: a case study on the EATS-modalities. Front Toxicol. 2023; 5: 1183824.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Zilliacus J, Kam Draskau M, Johansson HKL, et al.: Building an adverse outcome pathway network for estrogen-, androgen-and steroidogenesis-mediated reproductive toxicity. Front Toxicol. 2024. **Publisher Full Text**

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 14 June 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.18719.r40908

© **2024 Wang Q.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Qi Wang 匝

School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, Beijing, China

This article provides a concise and insightful introduction to the MERLON Project, a highly promising initiative aimed at developing a comprehensive roadmap for identifying endocrinedisrupting chemicals (EDCs) using new approach methodologies (NAMs) within the European Union. The article is well-written, offering a thorough overview of the project's background, objectives, and methods.

The MERLON Project addresses mechanistic insights and explores forward-looking strategies for NAM-based identification of EDCs, particularly through the development and application of the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) network. The AOP framework is sound, and applying the AOP network to identify EDCs presents a significant challenge. However, there are uncertainties and limitations in predicting potential EDCs. It is essential to conduct case studies on both known EDCs and new chemicals, as the predictability for new chemicals may be limited due to insufficient test data.

I suggest that the authors include the project's timeline to provide a clearer context for its progress. We eagerly anticipate the research outcomes of the MERLON Project.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail? (Please consider whether existing challenges in the field are outlined clearly and whether the purpose of the letter is explained)

Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions?

Partly

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language? (Please consider whether all subject-

specific terms, concepts and abbreviations are explained)

Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow? (Please consider whether others in the research community would be able to implement guidelines or recommendations and/or constructively engage in the debate) Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Computational Toxicology; PBPK modeling and risk assessment

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 08 June 2024

https://doi.org/10.21956/openreseurope.18719.r40271

© **2024 Terron A.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Andrea Terron

EFSA European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy

Please provide a full report, expanding on your answers to the questions above. In particular, if you answered "no" or "partly" to any of the questions, please give constructive and specific details as to how the authors can address any criticisms. Please indicate clearly which points must be addressed to make the article technically sound. (*50 words min*.)

The paper presents the status in the EU on how the assessment of the endocrine disrupting properties is conducted following the different jurisdictions for chemicals and clearly summarize the challenges and the gaps in the current approach. Based on these, is proposing a project mapped on criticalities and clearly stating the objectives and the main strategy to achieve them. The article reference to several stakeholders and is proportionate selection of adequate partner to seek collaboration with.

The scientific considerations are correct, adequate, and well reflect the current uncertainties and areas of concerns for what is concerning the relationship between chemical exposure and endocrine disruption. The article is focusing on the mini puberty window of exposure which is recognized as a complex period for the impact of endocrine disrupting chemical and adequacy of animal models. The article is considering established scientific evidence as well circumstantial evidence and associated gaps.

The article is easy to read and very clear.

The article is not giving recommendations but as a scoping paper is framing the proposal on clear objectives and strategic plan. In this, the outcome of the project can well represent a step forward for the regulatory implementation of assays and the development of guidance or revisitation of

existing guidance that needs to be updated to cover endpoints or window of exposure so far neglected.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail? (Please consider whether existing challenges in the field are outlined clearly and whether the purpose of the letter is explained)

Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language? (Please consider whether all subjectspecific terms, concepts and abbreviations are explained) Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow? (Please consider whether others in the research community would be able to implement guidelines or recommendations and/or constructively engage in the debate) γ_{PS}

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Expertise in toxicological pathology and toxicology. Risk assessment for endocrine disrupting chemicals and neurotoxicants. My area of expertise fit very well with the items discussed in the paper

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Page 13 of 13