# Antibubble collapse: Beyond the Taylor-Culick retraction

#### C. André<sup>1</sup>, B. Scheid<sup>2</sup>, S. Dorbolo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*PtyX, CESAM, Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium* <sup>2</sup>*TIPs, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium* 



Paris, 4th-7th of June 2024

## Context

An **antibubble** is the opposite of a soap bubble and, thus, consists of an **air shell separating two liquids**. The popping of the antibubble involves the collapse of the air film and has been studied in recent years. However, the effect of surfactant concentration has never been investigated. When the **surface is not saturated**, *i.e.*, below the concentration is below the CMC, the retraction is interrupted by a **rupture** of the remaining air film. This poster presents preliminary experimental findings on the collapse of these antibubbles.

### Liquid film retraction: the soap film

When a thin **liquid film** retracts, the **velocity** of this retraction is constant and only depends on the physics properties of the liquid [1].





Eq. 1 – Taylor-Culick velocity [1].

Fig. 1 – Retraction of a liquid film [1].

## **Observations**

When we puncture an **antibubble** under typical conditions, *i.e.*, in a solution concentrated at **more than ten times the CMC**, the air film retracts like a normal liquid film. However, when the concentration is smaller than the CMC, the retraction is interrupted by a **rupture of the film**. This rupture begins when the retraction rim approaches the prime meridian.

This poster











Fig. 2 – Collapse of an antibubble.

#### Air film retraction: the antibubble

When an **antibubble** is punctured, the **velocity** of the retraction rim **decreases over time**.





- Velocity decreases with surface tension
- Eq. 2 is not valid for concentration smaller

Fig. 3 – (A) Rim velocity and (B) distance between the hole center and the antibubble center over the antibubble radius [2].



Fig. 4 – Curvilinear abscissas over time, with each color corresponding to a different surfactant concentration. The green line represents the retraction model, while the orange line represents only the exponent.

than the CMC

#### References

[1] V. Sanjay, U. Sen, P. Kant, D. Lohse, J. Fluid Mech. 948 (2022). [2] D.N. Sob'yanin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 104501 (2015).

#### Acknowledgments

C. André, S. Dorbolo and B. Scheid thank F.R.S-FNRS for funding, and AGEM for their support and help conceiving experiments.

**Cyril André** 

PhD Student, PtyX, CESAM, ULiège

Results

cyril.andre@uliege.be

**X** @andrecy314

