

Optimal quantum states for quantum information and how to prepare them

Eduardo Serrano Ensástiga

IPNAS, CESAM, University of Liège, Belgium

with J. Martin (ULiège), J. Denis (ULiège) and C. Chryssomalakos (ICN, UNAM)

SciPost Phys. **15**, 120 (2023); PRA **109**, 022430 (2024); arXiv.2404.15548 (2024)

Outline of the talk

Basic concepts

- Mixed quantum states: a more realistic model
- Optimal quantum states by spectrum
- Intanglement and separability
 - Symmetric 2-qubit system
 - Symmetric 3-qubit system (Numerical results)
 - SAS witnesses for symmetric N-qubit systems

Quantum metrology

Mixed Optimal Quantum Rotosensors

Conclusions

Quantum technology

Quantum Technology: The Second Quantum Revolution, Dowling and Milburn (2003) The goal of quantum technology is to deliver useful devices and processes that are based on quantum principles: entanglement, quantum superposition, etc.



In summary, we use quantum correlations of a quantum system to create/enhanced technology. In most of the cases, for a particular task, the optimal quantum states of a system are those who maximize a particular quantum correlation: entanglement, QFI, anticoherence, fidelity, etc.

ICN Seminar

Optimal quantum states

 Pure states, \mathcal{H} Mixed states, $\mathcal{HS}(\mathcal{H})$
 $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ $\rho = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} \lambda_{\alpha}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\langle\psi_{\alpha}|$
 $\lambda_{\alpha} \ge 0, \qquad \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} = 1$
 $\{\psi_{\alpha}\}$ orthonormal basis

Most of the quantum correlations are convex with respect to mixture

$$Q\left(\sum_{lpha}\lambda_{lpha}|\psi_{lpha}
angle\langle\psi_{lpha}|
ight)\leqslant\sum_{lpha}\lambda_{lpha}Q\left(|\psi_{lpha}
angle\langle\psi_{lpha}|
ight)$$

Consequently, the optimal quantum states for quantum technologies, i.e., the states that maximize a quantum correlation, would be only pure states. However...

Ideal vs Reality

Pure states of a quantum system are ideal scenarios. They face many experimental challenges

Mixedness

• Open quantum systems (Decoherence)

$$|\psi\rangle \Rightarrow_t \rho$$

• Finite temperatures

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}| = \frac{e^{-H/k_{B}T}}{Z}$$

- Marginal densities $ho_{\sf spin} = {\sf Tr}_{\sf spatial}\left(|\Psi
 angle\langle\Psi|
 ight)$
- Particle loss $ho = {\sf Tr}_1(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$

Maximizing a quantum correlation for a mixed state



Global unitary transformation

$$\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|,$$
$$U\rho U^{\dagger} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|,$$

Maximum Q. correlation by spectrum

$$\max_{U \in SU(d)} Q\left(U\rho U^{\dagger}\right)$$

э

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

- = Group of Schrödinger evolutions
- Set of thermal states with fixed T and fixed eigenenergies
- = Group of unitary gates of a quantum protocol

Entanglement

æ

御 と く き と く き と



Qubit B is completely determined [Correlation between A and B] Qubit B is independent of the result [No correlation between A and B]

Entanglement of mixed states

Separable mixed states [Werner (1989)]

 ρ is separable if

$$\rho = \int_{\mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} P(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2) |\mathbf{n}_1\rangle |\mathbf{n}_2\rangle \langle \mathbf{n}_1 | \langle \mathbf{n}_2 | d\mathbf{n}_1 d\mathbf{n}_2.$$



with $P(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2) \geq 0$. Otherwise is entangled.

Measure of entanglement

- $E(\rho) = 0$ if and only if ρ is separable.
- Invariant under local unitary transformations.
- Other properties...

For qubit-qubit and qubit-qutrit: **Negativity** [Peres (1996)], [Horodecki et al (1996)]

The sum of the negative eigenvalues Λ_k of ρ^{T_A}

$$\mathcal{N}(
ho) = -2\sum_{\Lambda_k < 0} \Lambda_k \, ,$$

200



Qubit B is independent of the result [No correlation between A and B]

Qubit B is completely determined [Correlation between A and B]

Entanglement (Pure state case)

Not-invariant under global unitary transformations SU(4)



Pure state ρ_{pure}

$$\lambda_0 = 1, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0,$$

$$\max_{U\in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}(U\rho_{pure}U^{\dagger}) = 1\,,$$

Optimal quantum states

Entanglement (Maximally mixed state case)

Not-invariant under global unitary transformations SU(4)





$$\rho_* = U\rho_*U^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{1} = \frac{1}{4}\int_{S^2\otimes S^2} |\boldsymbol{n}_1\rangle |\boldsymbol{n}_2\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{n}_1|\langle \boldsymbol{n}_2|\,\mathrm{d}^2\boldsymbol{n}_1\,\mathrm{d}^2\boldsymbol{n}_2\,.$$

Maximally mixed state ρ_*

$$\lambda_0=\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\lambda_3=1/4\,,$$

$$\max_{U\in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}(U\rho_*U^{\dagger}) = 0\,,$$

Optimal quantum states

Maximum entanglement in the unitary orbit of ρ



Figure taken from [Bengtsson and Żcyzkowski (2017)]

Questions

- What is the maximum entanglement of ρ attained in its SU(4)-orbit?
- Is ρ_* the unique state that is absolutely separable (AS) over all its unitary orbit?

Maximum entanglement in the unitary orbit of ho

Results for qubit-qubit and qubit-qutrit systems

$$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Qubit-qubit system} \quad \mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes 2} \\ \rho - \mbox{spectrum:} \quad (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \\ \\ \max_{U \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N} \left(U \rho U^{\dagger} \right) = \max \left(0, \sqrt{(\lambda_0 - \lambda_2)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2} - \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 \right), \\ \rho \mbox{ is AS iff } \lambda_0 \leqslant \lambda_2 + 2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_3}. \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \mbox{[Verstraete, Audenart \& De Moor (2001)].} \\ \mbox{Qubit-qutrit system} \quad \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3 \\ \rho - \mbox{spectrum:} \quad (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5) \\ \\ \max_{U \in SU(6)} \mathcal{N} \left(U \rho U^{\dagger} \right) \\ \hline \\ \mbox{Open question. Partial results [Mendonça, Marchiolli, Herdemann (2017)]} \end{array}$$

Statement of the problem

Bosons: BEC, spin-j system, multiphotons systems, etc.



New question

For a symmetric qubit-qubit state ρ_S ,

What is the maximum entanglement achievable under a global unitary transformation U_S restricted in the symmetric subspace ?
What is the spectrum of the symmetric states that remains separable after any global unitary transformation U_S?

Qubit-qubit system $\ {\cal H}_2^{\otimes 2}$	Symmetric 2-qubit system $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 2}$
ρ -spectrum: $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$	ρ_{S} -spectrum: $(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0)$
$\max_{U\in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}\left(U ho U^{\dagger} ight)$	$\max_{U_{\mathcal{S}} \in SU(3)} \mathcal{N} \left(U_{\mathcal{S}} \rho_{\mathcal{S}} U_{\mathcal{S}}^{\dagger} \right)$
Qubit-qutrit system $\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\mathcal{H}_3$	Symmetric 3-qubit system $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3}$
ρ -spectrum: $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5)$	ρ_S -spectrum: $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0, 0)$
$\max_{U\in SU(6)} \mathcal{N}\left(U ho U^{\dagger} ight)$	$\max_{U_{S} \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}\left(U_{S}\rho_{S}U_{S}^{\dagger}\right)$

< ≣ >

æ

≣⇒

Symmetric 2-qubit system

э

個 ト イヨト イヨト

Symmetric 2-qubit system

Theorem [ESE, Martin (2023)]

Let $\rho_{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\vee 2})$ with spectrum $\lambda_{0} \geqslant \lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2}$. It holds that

$$\max_{U_{\mathcal{S}}\in SU(3)} \mathcal{N}\left(U_{\mathcal{S}}\rho_{\mathcal{S}}U_{\mathcal{S}}^{\dagger}\right) = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\lambda_{0}^{2} + (\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2})^{2}} - \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}\right).$$



Imagen taken from [Denis, Davis, Mann, Martin (2023)]

Maximally entangled state					
$\rho_S =$	$ \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \lambda_0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \ \lambda_1 \end{array}$)	

Details in the proof

• Bistochastic matrices $B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$.

イロト イヨト イヨト

э

• (Birkhoff's theorem) Any bistochastic matrix is a linear combination of permutation matrices.

Optimal quantum states

ICN Seminar

Symmetric qubit-qubit system

Main result





▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

æ

SAS states

 \mathcal{A} Absolutely separable (AS) states [Życzkowski (1999)]

$$\max_{U \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}(U
ho_S U^{\dagger}) = 0$$

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 2}) = \{
ho_0\}$

A_{sym} Symmetric absolutely separable (SAS) states [Giraud et al (2008)]

$$\max_{U \in SU(3)} \mathcal{N}(U_S \rho_S U_S^{\dagger}) = 0$$
$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}}(\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 2})) = 2$$



Corollary [ESE, Martin (2023)]		
$ ho_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}$ iff		
$\sqrt{1}$ + $\sqrt{1}$ > 1		
$\sqrt{\lambda_1} + \sqrt{\lambda_2} \ge 1.$		

ICN Seminar

Optimal quantum states

Applications Symmetric qubit-qubit system at finite temperature

Hamiltonian: BEC [Ribeiro, Vidal Mosseri (2007)], Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model (1965)

$$H = g J_z + \gamma_x J_x^2 + \gamma_z J_z^2 \,,$$

with eigenenergies ϵ_j .

State at finite temperature T

$$\lambda_k = \frac{e^{-\beta\epsilon_{2s+2-k}}}{Z}, \text{ with } Z = \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta H}\right).$$



Maximum entanglement



Maximum entanglement

Spectrum ϵ_j of H

For
$$g \neq 0$$

 $\left\{\gamma_x, \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_x + 2\gamma_z - \sqrt{4g^2 + \gamma_x^2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_x + 2\gamma_z + \sqrt{4g^2 + \gamma_x^2}\right)\right\}.$



э

Applications

Symmetric qubit-qubit system at finite temperatures

Condition of SAS states

$$\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{\rm sym} \Leftrightarrow \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \geqslant \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - 2\epsilon_3}{2 \ln 2} \,,$$

 $g=0, \qquad k_B T=2\hbar,$



э

Symmetric 3-qubit system

æ

個 と く き と く き と

Symmetric 3-qubit system $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3} \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$, numerical results



26 / 53

ICN Seminar

 $\frac{\tau_1 - \tau_4}{2}$ 0

 $\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_4}{2}$

$\begin{array}{l} \text{Symmetric 3-qubit system} \\ \mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3} \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3, \text{ numerical results} \end{array}$



Set of SAS states in the spectra polytope of symmetric 3-qubit states.

SAS witnesses for symmetric N-qubit states

Separability condition for symmetric *N*-qubit states [Giraud, Braun, Braun (2008)]

SAS states

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee N})$, ρ is separable \Leftrightarrow there exists $P(\rho; \mathbf{n})$ such that

$$\rho = \int_{S^2} P(\rho; \boldsymbol{n}) |\boldsymbol{n}\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle \boldsymbol{n} |^{\otimes n} \, \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{n} \,,$$

and

29

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{n}\in S^2} P(\rho; \boldsymbol{n}) \ge 0,$$

Non-uniqueness of the P-function [Giraud, Braun, Braun (2008)]

$$P(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) = \sum_{L=0}^{N} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} y_{LM} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}) + \sum_{L=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} y_{LM} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}),$$

$$P_{0}=\text{Tr}(\rho\omega^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{n})), \text{ unique for } \rho \qquad P', \text{ arbitrary } y_{LM}$$

SAS witnesses for symmetric *N*-qubit states [ESE, Denis, Martin (2023)]

SAS states

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee N})$, $\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{sym} \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \mathbf{n})$ such that

$$U\rho U^{\dagger} = \int_{S^2} P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) |\boldsymbol{n}\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle \boldsymbol{n}|^{\otimes n} \, \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{n} \,,$$

and

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{U}\in SU(N+1)\\\boldsymbol{n}\in S^2}} P(\boldsymbol{U}\rho\boldsymbol{U}^{\dagger};\boldsymbol{n}) \ge 0\,,$$

SAS-witness W [Bohnet-Waldraff, Giraud, Braun (2017)]

$$ho \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}} \; \; \mathsf{if} \; \; \mathsf{Tr}(
ho^2) \leqslant rac{1}{N+1} \left(1 + rac{1}{2(2N+1) {2N \choose N} - (N+2)}
ight) \, ,$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

SAS witnesses for symmetric N-qubit states

Non-uniqueness of the P-function [Giraud, Braun, Braun (2008)]

$$P(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) = \sum_{\substack{L=0 \ M=-L \\ P_0 = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho\omega^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{n})) \text{, unique for } \rho}}^{N} + \sum_{\substack{L=N+1 \ M=-L \\ P' \text{, arbitrary } y_{LM}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{L=0 \ M=-L \\ P' \text{, arbitrary } y_{LM}}}^{L} y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}),$$

Proposal

To consider $P(\rho, \mathbf{n})$ such that i) They are covariant

$$P(U\rho U^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{n}) = P(D(\mathsf{R})^{\dagger} U\rho U^{\dagger} D(\mathsf{R}), \boldsymbol{z}) = P(V\rho V^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{z}).$$

ii) We built $P(U\rho U^{\dagger}, \mathbf{n})$ that their explicit expressions depend only on (or can be approximated) the (unistochastic) bistochastic matrices $B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$

$$B_{ij} = |V_{ij}|^2$$
, $B_{ij} \ge 0$, $\sum_i B_{ij} = \sum_j B_{ij} = 1$.

SAS witness W_1 : A polytope of SAS states

$P = P_0$ [Denis, Davis, Mann, Martin (2023)]

Observation 1

$$\min_{\substack{U \in SU(N+1)\\ \boldsymbol{n} \in S^2}} P_0(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) = \min_{V \in SU(N+1)} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\rho V \omega^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{z}) V^{\dagger} \right]$$
$$\left(\rho_{jk} = \tau_j \delta_{jk} \,, \omega^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{z})_{jk} = \Delta_j \delta_{jk} \right) = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}}} \lambda B \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\mathsf{T}} \,,$$

B a bistochastic matrix, $B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$. Observation 2 (Birkhoff's Theorem)

Permutations matrices achieve extremal values of a convex function f(B)

$$\min_{B\in\mathcal{B}_{N+1}}\lambda B\Delta^{T}=\min_{\Pi\in\mathcal{S}_{N+1}}\lambda\Pi\Delta^{T},$$

SAS witness \mathcal{W}_1

 $ho \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}} \qquad \mathsf{if} \qquad oldsymbol{\lambda}^{\downarrow} oldsymbol{\Delta}^{\uparrow \mathcal{T}} \geqslant 0\,, \qquad \Delta_k = (-1)^{N-k} inom{N+1}{k}\,,$

・ロト ・ 一 ・ ・ ー ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

э

SAS Witness W_1 for N = 2



Polytope of SAS states detected by W_1 for N = 2.

SAS Witness \mathcal{W}_1 for N = 3



Polytope of SAS states detected by W_1 for N = 3.

Optimal quantum states

SAS witness $W_2(\{y_L\})$

Non-uniqueness of the P-function [Giraud, Braun, Braun (2008)]

$$P(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) = \sum_{L=0}^{N} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} y_{LM} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}) + \sum_{L=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} y_{LM} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}),$$

$$P_{0}=\text{Tr}(\rho \omega^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{n})) \text{, unique for } \rho + \underbrace{\sum_{L=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} y_{LM} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n})}_{P'},$$

We add some quadratic SU(2)-covariant terms of ρ (with j = N/2)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) &= \sum_{M=-L}^{L} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\rho T_{LM}^{(j)\dagger} \right) Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}) \,, \\ P_L(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) &\equiv Q_L^2 - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{N} \sum_{\nu=-\sigma}^{\sigma} \left(\int Q_L^2 \, Y_{\sigma\nu}^*(\boldsymbol{n}') \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{n}' \right) Y_{\sigma\nu}(\boldsymbol{n}) \,, \\ P'(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) &= \sum_{L>N/2} y_L P_L \,, \end{aligned}$$

200

SAS witness $\mathcal{W}_2(\{y_L\})$

 $P = P_0 + P'(\rho, \mathbf{n})$

$$\min_{\substack{U \in SU(N+1)\\ \boldsymbol{n} \in S^2}} P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) \geq \min_{\substack{\lambda B\\ B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}}} P_{LB}(y_L; \lambda B)$$

 P_{LB} is a quadratic function on the entries of B and linear on the $\{y_L\}$'s added by P'.



• • • • • • • •

э

SAS witnesses $W_2(\{y_L\})$

SAS witness $\mathcal{W}_2(\{y_L\})$: A symmetric 2j = N-qubit state ρ is SAS if for some values of $\{y_L\}$

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{\lambda B \\ B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}}} P_{LB}(y_L; \lambda B) &= \min_{\substack{\lambda B \\ B \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}}} f + \sum_{l=1}^{2j} \left[g_L \lambda B \mathbf{t}_L^T + h_L \left(\lambda B \mathbf{t}_L^T \right)^2 \right] \ge 0 \,, \\ f &= \frac{1}{N+1} + \left(\frac{y_N F(N,1)}{2} \right) \left(\operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2) - \frac{1}{N+1} \right)^2 \,, \\ g_L &= \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{N+1}} \left(C_{jjL0}^{ij} \right)^{-1} \,, \quad h_L = y_L F(L,0) \Theta(L-j) - \frac{y_{2j} F(2j,1)}{2} \,, \\ \mathbf{t}_L &= (C_{jj,j-j}^{L0}, -C_{jj-1,j1-j}^{L0}, \dots, (-1)^{2j} C_{j-j,jj}^{L0}) \,, \\ f(L,\mu) &\equiv \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{\substack{\sigma=0\\\sigma \text{ even}}}^{2j} \left(C_{L0L0}^{\sigma 0} \right)^2 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ 2(-1)^{\mu+1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma=0\\\sigma \text{ even}}}^{2j} C_{L0L0}^{\sigma 0} C_{L\mu L-\mu}^{\sigma 0} & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The variables h_L must be positive, restricting the domain of the free parameters $\{y_L\}$.

ICN Seminar

Example: $W_2(\{y_2\})$ for N = 2

A symmetric 2-qubit state ρ with spectrum $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is SAS if

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda}B\\B\in\mathcal{B}_{3}}} P_{LB}(\boldsymbol{y}_{L};\boldsymbol{\lambda}B) = \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda}B\\B\in\mathcal{B}_{3}}} f + \sum_{L=1}^{2} \left[g_{L}\boldsymbol{\lambda}B \, \mathbf{t}_{L}^{T} + h_{L} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}B \, \mathbf{t}_{L}^{T} \right)^{2} \right] \ge 0$$

for some $y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$f = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{12}{35}y_2\left(\operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2) - \frac{1}{3}\right),$$

$$(g_1, g_2) = \left(\sqrt{2}, 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right), \quad (h_1, h_2) = \frac{6}{35}(2y_2, 5y_2),$$

$$\boldsymbol{t}_L = \left(C_{11,1-1}^{L0}, -C_{10,10}^{L0}, C_{1-1,11}^{L0}\right),$$

Instead of jabbering math, let us see a video.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

SAS witness \mathcal{W}_3

A ball of SAS states detected by the $W_2(\{y_L\})$ witnesses

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\lambda}B\\\boldsymbol{\beta}\in\mathcal{B}_{N+1}}} P_{LB}(\boldsymbol{y}_L;\boldsymbol{\lambda}B) \geq \min_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} P_{LB}(\boldsymbol{y}_L;\boldsymbol{\nu}) \geq 0.$$

A function that depends only in the purity of the state $Tr(\rho^2)$. Moreover, we can maximize the purity attained over the $\{y_L\}$ variables.



\mathcal{W}_3 : A symmetric *N*-qubit state ρ is SAS if

$$\begin{split} r^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{(2j+1)^2} \left(\sum_{L=1}^{2j} \frac{g_L^2}{1-2\Theta(L-j)\frac{F(L,0)}{F(L,1)}} \right)^{-1} \,, \\ \text{where } r^2 \equiv \left\| \rho - \rho_0 \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{Tr}(\rho^2) - (N+1)^{-1}. \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

SAS witnesses for symmetric *N*-qubit states [ESE, Denis, Martin (2024)]

Number of qubits $N = 2j$	{	Witness \mathcal{W}_1 Witness \mathcal{W}_3
2	{	$oldsymbol{\lambda} (-3, 1, 3)^T \ge 0$ $r^2 \leqslant rac{1}{78} pprox 0.01282$
3	{	$oldsymbol{\lambda} \left(-6,\ -1,\ 4,\ 4 ight)^{\mathcal{T}} \geqslant 0$ $r^2 \leqslant rac{1}{354} pprox 0.002825$
4	{	$m{\lambda} (-10, -5, 1, 5, 10)^T \geqslant 0$ $r^2 \leqslant rac{11}{25390} pprox 0.0004332$
5	{	$m{\lambda} (-15, \ -15, \ -1, \ 6, \ 6, \ 20)^T \geqslant 0$ $r^2 \leqslant rac{1595}{16058598} pprox 0.00009932$

Table: SAS witnesses \mathcal{W}_1 and \mathcal{W}_3 for a state with eigenspectrum $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_N)$ sorted in descending order $\lambda_0 \ge \lambda_1 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_N$.

Set of SAS states S_k witnessed by W_k in N = 2, 3



Dark Brown = $S_2(\{y_L\})$ Light Brown = S_1 Orange surface = Bound of S_3 Blue surface = Bound of S[Bohnet-Waldraff, Giraud, Braun (2017)]

Green = Unwitnessed SAS states by \mathcal{W}_k

Optimal quantum rotosensors with mixed states

э

Metrology: The science of measurements



How can we measure a rotation on a boat in the see?





э

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ -

Optimal quantum states

Quantum metrology



Which states of a quantum system could measure a rotation? *Quantum rotosensors*

Quantum System



Which is the optimal state of a quantum system to measure an infinitesimal rotation over an arbitrary axis? *Optimal quantum rotosensors* [Hernández-Coronado, Chryssomalakos (2017)]

Optimal quantum rotosensors

Quantum Fisher information (QFI) [Braunstein and Caves (1994)]

Initial state: $|\psi\rangle$ Final state: $e^{-i\theta S_n}|\psi\rangle$ Uhlmann-Jozsa Fidelity (Bures distance): $F(\rho_i, \rho_f) = \text{Tr}(\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho_i}\rho_f\sqrt{\rho_i}})$, Pure case $F = |\langle\psi|e^{-i\theta S_n}|\psi\rangle|^2$ Fidelity of an infinitesimal rotation (QFI)

$$QFI_{J_n}(|\psi\rangle) = g_{FS}(V_n, V_n) = \langle \Delta J_n \rangle^2 = \langle \psi | J_n^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | J_n | \psi \rangle^2 \,.$$

$$QFI_{J_n}(\rho) = g_B(V_n, V_n) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho J_n^2) - 2\sum_{l,m=1}^k \frac{\lambda_l \lambda_m}{\lambda_l + \lambda_m} |\langle \psi_l | J_n | \psi_m \rangle|^2.$$

Quantum Cramer-Rao bound

$$\operatorname{Var}[\theta] \ge QFI_{J_z}(\rho)^{-1}$$

Therefore, an optimal quantum rotosensor over a fixed axis maximizes $QFl_{J_z}(\rho)$.

Quantum Fisher information and Cramer-Rao bound

Averaged Quantum Cramer-Rao bound

$$\overline{\mathsf{Var}[\theta]} \geq \overline{\mathsf{QFI}_{J_n}(\rho)^{-1}} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\mathsf{QFI}_{J_n}(\rho)} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{n} \,,$$

By the Jensen inequality,

$$\overline{\mathsf{Var}[\theta]} \geqslant \overline{\mathsf{QFI}_{J_n}(\rho)^{-1}} \geqslant \overline{\mathsf{QFI}_{J_n}(\rho)}^{-1},$$

Hence, the conditions to minimize the r.h.s. of the Q Cramer Rao bound are

- Maximize $\overline{QFI_{J_n}(\rho)}$
- Saturate the Jensen Inequality $\Rightarrow QFI_{J_n}(\rho)$ independent of **n**

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Anticoherence (AC)

•
$$ho$$
 is 1-AC if $\langle \psi | m{n} \cdot m{J} | \psi
angle = 0$

•
$$ho$$
 is 2-AC if $\langle \psi | \pmb{n} \cdot \pmb{J} | \psi
angle = 0$ and $\langle \psi | (\pmb{n} \cdot \pmb{J})^2 | \psi
angle = j(j+1)/3$

	Pure states $ \psi angle$	Mixed states ρ
ρ maximizes $\overline{QFI_{J_n}(\rho)}$	$ \psi angle$ is 1-AC	$\operatorname{im}(ho)$ is a 1-AC subspace
$QFI_{J_n}(\rho)$ is independent of n	$ \psi angle$ is 2-AC	ho is 2-AC

In both cases, the maximum estimation to measure a rotation has variance equal to

$$\overline{\operatorname{Var}[heta]} \geq 3/j(j+1)$$
 .

Pure case [Goldberg, James (2017)] Mixed case [ESE, C. Chryssomalakos, J. Martin (2024)]



$$\rho(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi \rho_{\psi_1} + (1 - \xi) \rho_{\psi_2}, & \xi \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \\ \left(\frac{5\xi - 1}{3}\right) \left(\rho_{\psi_1} + \rho_{\psi_2}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - 2\xi}{3}\right) \mathbb{1}, & \xi \in \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \end{cases},$$

æ

Maximum entanglement (negativity) over the unitary orbit for N = 2, 3

ESE and John Martin, SciPost Phys. 15, 120 (2023)

SAS witnesses in terms of the spectrum

of the symmetric N-qubit states

ESE, Jérôme Denis and John Martin, PRA 109, 022430 (2024)

Optimal quantum rotosensors with mixed states

ESE, John Martin and Chryssomalis Chryssomalakos, arXiv.2404.15548 (2024)

Thank you very much for your attention!

Overview of the proof

Maximum entanglement in $\mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes 2}$ [Verstraete et al (2001)]

Observation 1
$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) = -2 \sum_{\Lambda_k < 0} \Lambda_k = -2 (0, \Lambda_{\min}) ,$$

Observation 2 $\min_{U \in SU(4)} \Lambda_{\min} = \min_{U \in SU(4)} \min_{|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes 2}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\rho U^{\dagger}(|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|)^{T_A} U \right]$

Observation 3

$$\min_{U \in SU(4)} \Lambda_{\min} = \min_{V \in SU(4)} \min_{\alpha \in [0,\pi]} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\rho V D V^{\dagger} \right]$$

$$\left(\rho_{jk} = \lambda_j \delta_{jk}, D_{jk} = \sigma_j(\alpha) \delta_{jk} \right) = \min_{B \in \mathcal{U}} \min_{\alpha \in [0,\pi]} \lambda^T B \sigma,$$

B an unistochastic matrix, $B \in U \subset B$. Observation 4 (Birkhoff's Theorem)

Permutations matrices achieve extremal values of a convex function f(B)

$$\min_{U \in SU(4)} \Lambda_{\min} = \min_{\Pi \in S_3} \min_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \lambda^T \Pi \sigma.$$

C

Comparison between the SAS witnesses



Comparison between the maximal distances of several sets of SAS states. The black crosses are defined by the furthest away SAS state in the ray ρ_0 and the GHZ pure state, with distance $r_{\rm GHZ}$. The red line shows the radius $r_{\rm NS} = (2^N(2^N - 1))^{-1/2}$ of the largest ball containing only AS states in the full Hilbert space [Gurvits and Barnum (2002)].

Comparison between the SAS witnesses



Distances $r_{\max}(S_1)$ (purple), $r_{\min}^{v}(S_1)$ (blue) and $r(S_3)$ (orange), rescaled by the distance of the witness $r(S_0)$.