Quasiclassical description of out-of-time-ordered correlators

Thomas MICHELPeter SCHLAGHECK Juan Diego URBINA

Introduction

- Study the quantum chaos through the lense of out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs) \rightarrow Quasiclassical theory of OTOCs
- Recover the initial exponential growth?
- Derive a saturation value?
- Numerical simulations in Bose-Hubbard systems

Out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)

•
$$
C(t) = \langle \psi | \left| \left[\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(0) \right] \right|^2 | \psi \rangle
$$

- Characterises the propagation of information
	- \rightarrow butterfly speed

Out-of-time-ordered correlators (OTOCs)

If there is chaos: 3 regimes

- ultra short time $t < \frac{1}{2}$: power-law regime, $\lambda_L = L$ yapunov exponent
- short time $\frac{1}{\lambda} < t < t_E$: exponential regime
- after the Ehrenfest time t_E : saturation

Wigner-Moyal formalism

- Well known in the literature
- Crude classical limit: $\hbar \rightarrow 0$
- Equivalent to transform commutator into Poisson bracket

• For
$$
\hat{A} = \hat{q}_i
$$
, $\hat{B} = \hat{q}_j$:
\n
$$
\langle \psi | \left| \left[\hat{A}(t), \hat{B} \right] \right|^2 | \psi \rangle \to \hbar^2 \int \mathrm{d}q \mathrm{d}p \, \left\{ A_W(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, t), B_W(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, 0) \right\}^2 W_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p})
$$
\n
$$
= \hbar^2 \int \mathrm{d}q \mathrm{d}p \, \left(\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial q_j}(t) \right)^2 W_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p})
$$

 W_{ψ} = Wigner function of initial state

Wigner-Moyal formalism

• If chaos: exponential growth

$$
\{A_W({\boldsymbol q},{\boldsymbol p},t),B_W({\boldsymbol q},{\boldsymbol p},0)\}^2\propto \hbar^2e^{2\lambda_L t}
$$

• Problem: valid only for short time:

$$
t_E \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_L} \log\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right) \to \infty
$$

 \rightarrow need for a more elaborate formalism for $t > t_E$

Semiclassical propagator

- Also called the van Vleck-Gutzwiller propagator
- Stationary-phase approximation on the Feynman path integral

$$
\langle \boldsymbol{q}^f | \hat{U}(t) | \boldsymbol{q}^i \rangle \simeq \sum_{\gamma: \boldsymbol{q}^i \to \boldsymbol{q}^f} A_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{q}^f, \boldsymbol{q}^i, t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} R_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{q}^f, \boldsymbol{q}^i, t)}
$$

Semiclassical propagator

- More granularity towards the classical limit
- Takes interferences into account through
- No \hbar expansion

$$
\langle \boldsymbol{q}^f \big| \hat{U}(t) \big| \boldsymbol{q}^i \rangle \simeq \sum_{\gamma: \boldsymbol{q}^i \to \boldsymbol{q}^f} A_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{q}^f, \boldsymbol{q}^i, t) \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} R_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{q}^f, \boldsymbol{q}^i, t)}
$$

Diagonal (quasiclassical) approximation

- Most of the trajectories average out through
	- \rightarrow interferences
- Only trajectories of the same family contribute

Rammensee J., Urbina J.-D. & Richter, K. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 124101 (2018).

Diagonal approximation (quasiclassical)

- \overline{X} pairing beyond quasiclassical scope
	- \rightarrow contributions:

Semiclassical + diagonal approximation

• Quasiclassical OTOC:

$$
C_{qcl}(t) = \int dX_{1,2,3} d\Delta_{1,2} e^{i(\Delta_1 \otimes (X_2 - X_3) + \Delta_2 \otimes (X_1 - 2X_2 + X_3))} A_t \left(X_1 + \frac{\hbar \Delta_2}{4}\right) A_t \left(X_1 - \frac{\hbar \Delta_2}{4}\right)
$$

$$
\left[B \left(X_2 + \frac{\hbar \Delta_1}{4}\right) B \left(X_2 - \frac{\hbar \Delta_1}{4}\right) - B (2X_1 - 2X_2 + X_3) \left(B \left(X_2 + \frac{\hbar \Delta_1}{4}\right) + B \left(X_2 - \frac{\hbar \Delta_1}{4}\right)\right) \right]
$$

$$
+ (\hat{B}^2)_{W} (2X_1 - 2X_2 + X_3) \left[\frac{W(X_3)}{(2\pi)^{4L}} \right]
$$

• Symplectic formalism:

$$
R_1 \wedge R_2 = \boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_2 - \boldsymbol{p}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2
$$

• Cancellation of Λ and \overline{I} for short time

Rammensee J., Urbina J.-D. & Richter, K. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 124101 (2018). Jalabert R., Garcia-Mata I. & Wisniacki D., Physical Review E 98, (2018). Kurchan J., J Stat Phys 171, 965-979 (2018).

Semiclassical + diagonal approximation

• First non-vanishing order in \hbar :

$$
C_{qcl}(t) = \hbar^2 \int dX \left\{ A_t(X), B(X) \right\}^2 W(X) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^3)
$$

- We recover Wigner-Moyal, *i.e.* the Poisson bracket and the exponential growth
- Valid for short time, but we can go further if we don't make expansions!

Long-time value:

- Goal: obtain a finite long-time value C_{∞} of
- Hypotheses: ergodicity $+$ mixing

$$
\implies A_t(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}) \to \bar{A}(\vec{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}))
$$

$$
\vec{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}) = (c_1(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}), c_2(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}), \ldots)
$$
 the constants of motion

• With some \hbar expansions **after** $t \to \infty$ but not inside evolution:

$$
C_{\infty} = \hbar^2 \int dX \left\{ \bar{A}(\vec{c}(X)), B(X) \right\}^2 W(X) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^3)
$$

Numerical simulations: Bose-Hubbard

- Model of ultra-cold bosonic atoms in optical lattices with
	- on-site energy
	- 2-body interaction on a site
	- hopping between adjacent sites
	- Energy driving δ with frequency

Numerical simulations: Bose-Hubbard

• Model of ultra-cold bosonic atoms in optical lattices

$$
\hat{H} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left(E_l \hat{n}_l + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_l \left(\hat{n}_l - 1 \right) \right) - J \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \left(\hat{b}_l^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{l+1} + \hat{b}_{l+1}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_l \right) + \delta \cos(\omega t) \left(\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2 \right)
$$

 Ω

 t_E

• Quasiclassical simulations using truncated Wigner

Bose-Hubbard trimer: coherent states

Bose-Hubbard trimer: Fock states

Driven dimer

Observations

- Perfect agreement for short time
- Wigner-Moyal (dashed) never saturates• Perfect agreement for short time $\frac{\frac{1}{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$

• Wigner-Moyal (dashed) never $\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$

• Finite long-time value with diagonal $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ tool
- approximation (dotted)

Conclusion

- We reproduced the exponential behaviour using the semiclassical propagator and the diagonal approximation [Rozenbaum, E. B., Ganeshan, S. & Galitski, V., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017)]
- We also reproduced a saturation but not the quantum one \rightarrow quantum nature (interference) of OTOCs

[Rammensee, J., Urbina, J.-D. & Richter, K., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 124101 (2018)]

• Perspective: generalisation to thermal states?

[Maldacena, J., Shenker, S. H. & Stanford, D. J. High Energ. Phys. 2016, 106 (2016)]

Additional material

Forwards and backwards propagations

