

wounds were identified).<sup>2</sup> Despite seemingly good predictive ability, heterogeneity in the timing of PAR and outcome measurement, lack of external validation, and high risk of bias limit PAR's value. Most studies retrospectively analyzed data from randomized controlled trials.

Of the four publications referenced in the Global Vascular CLTI guideline concerning PAR (of which three were included in our systematic review), two included DFUs,<sup>3,4</sup> one solely included postoperative wounds in diabetic patients,<sup>5</sup> and one included DFUs or VLU.<sup>6</sup> The optimal PAR cutoffs reported varied considerably: 37.7%,<sup>5</sup> 50%,<sup>4</sup> 53%,<sup>3</sup> and 60%.<sup>6</sup> Unsurprisingly, healing rates vary due to diverse pathologies and unforeseen events (eg, infection). Crucially, the randomized controlled trials from which these studies derive data exclude patients with ischemia or active infection.<sup>7-10</sup>

Our review concludes that, although PAR may be of value in research, its use in routine clinical practice to predict DFU or VLU healing is not supported by robust evidence. We agree that failure of an ischemic wound to progress towards healing should prompt consideration of revascularization. Although this practice is intuitive, the referenced papers in the CLTI guidelines do not actually inform the recommendation to use PAR as a surrogate marker of healing in patients with CLTI. More research is required to establish exactly what the clinical utility of PAR is for patients with ischemic wounds.

*Eshan Mazumdar, MBChB, MMedSci, MRCSEd*

Welsh Wound Innovation Centre  
Pontyclun, Wales, United Kingdom

*Brenig Llwyd Gwilym, MBChB, MRCS*

Royal Gwent Hospital  
Newport, United Kingdom

*Keith Harding, FRCGP, FRCS, FLSW, FRCP*

Welsh Wound Innovation Centre  
Pontyclun, Wales, United Kingdom

*David Charles Bosanquet, MBChB, MD, FRCS*

Royal Gwent Hospital  
Newport, United Kingdom

## REFERENCES

1. Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, White JV, Dick F, FitrIDGE R, et al: CVG Writing group. Global vascular guidelines on the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2019;69:3S-125S.
2. Gwilym BL, Mazumdar E, Naik G, Tolley T, Harding K, Bosanquet DC. Initial reduction in ulcer size as a prognostic indicator for complete wound healing: a systematic review of diabetic foot and venous leg ulcers. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)* 2022. Online ahead of print.
3. Sheehan P, Jones P, Caselli A, Giurini JM, Veves A. Percent change in wound area of diabetic foot ulcers over a 4-week period is a robust predictor of complete healing in a 12-week prospective trial. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:1879-82.

4. Snyder RJ, Cardinal M, Dauphinee DM, Stavosky J. A posthoc analysis of reduction in diabetic foot ulcer size at 4 weeks as a predictor of healing by 12 weeks. *Ostomy Wound Manage* 2010;56:44-50.
5. Cardinal M, Eisenbud DE, Phillips T, Harding K. Early healing rates and wound area measurements are reliable predictors of later complete wound closure. *Wound Repair Regen* 2008;16:19-22.
6. Lavery LA, Barnes SA, Keith MS, Seaman JW Jr, Armstrong DG. Prediction of healing for postoperative diabetic foot wounds based on early wound area progression. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:26-9.
7. Marston WA, Hanft J, Norwood P, Pollak R, Dermagraft Diabetic Foot Ulcer Study Group. The efficacy and safety of dermagraft in improving the healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: results of a prospective randomized trial. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:1701-5.
8. Harding K, Sumner M, Cardinal M. A prospective, multicentre, randomised controlled study of human fibroblast-derived dermal substitute (Dermagraft) in patients with venous leg ulcers. *Int Wound J* 2013;10:132-7.
9. Wieman TJ, Smiell JM, Su Y. Efficacy and safety of a topical gel formulation of recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor-BB (becaplermin) in patients with chronic neuropathic diabetic ulcers. A phase III randomized place. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21:822-7.
10. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA; Diabetic Foot Study Consortium. Negative pressure wound therapy after partial diabetic foot amputation: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005;366:1704-10.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2022.05.032>

## Reply



The authors made very salient points about the limitations of using the percent area reduction (PAR) of lower extremity wounds as a surrogate predictor for complete healing in patients with chronic limb-threatening ischemia (CLTI). As noted in their recent systematic review,<sup>1</sup> the data underlying the proposed 50% PAR at 4 weeks as a threshold for predicting for full healing were from a small number of studies largely of patients with diabetic foot ulcer and not specifically in the setting of advanced ischemia (eg, CLTI). The data from these studies had notable heterogeneity. The recommendations from the Global Vascular Guideline that have incorporated this measure include those focused on when to consider revascularization for patients with either low- or intermediate-limb threat (recommendations 6.10 and 6.14) and for those with a high surgical risk (recommendations 6.35 and 6.36).<sup>2,3</sup> In each of these cases, the strength of the recommendation was graded as weak (grade 2) and the strength of evidence as low (C). In practical terms, the patients in these subgroups should initially be treated with wound care, offloading, and infection control, with revascularization reserved for clear evidence of failure to make progress or frank deterioration. At present, no robust, validated biomarker or clinical

measure is available to accurately predict a trajectory for complete healing for these patients. Such a biomarker would be of significant value in both clinical decision-making and the efficient design and execution of clinical trials. Given these important caveats, we still believe it is reasonable to suggest 50% PAR at 4 weeks as an estimator of the trajectory until a better validated measure has been identified, specifically for the CLTI population.

*Michael S. Conte, MD*

Department of Surgery  
University of California, San Francisco  
San Francisco, CA

*Andrew W. Bradbury, MD*

Department of Vascular Surgery  
University of Birmingham  
Birmingham, UK

*Philippe Kolh, MD*

Department of Biomedical and Preclinical Sciences  
University of Liège  
Liège, Belgium

GIGA Cardiovascular Sciences  
University of Liège  
Liège, Belgium

## REFERENCES

1. Gwilym BL, Mazumdar E, Naik G, Tolley T, Harding K, Bosanquet DC. Initial reduction in ulcer size as a prognostic indicator for complete wound healing: a systematic review of diabetic foot and venous leg ulcers. [e-pub ahead of print]. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*. <https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2021.0203>. Accessed August 14, 2022.
2. Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, White JV, Dick F, Fitridge R, et al. Global Vascular Guidelines on the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2019;69:3S-125S.
3. Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, White JV, Dick F, Fitridge R, et al. Global Vascular Guidelines on the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2019;58:S1-109.e33.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2022.08.014>