



THERMODYNAMICS LABORATORY
AEROSPACE AND MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF LIÈGE

The role of residential micro-cogeneration fuel cells in the energy transition

A case study in Belgium

Author:
Nicolas PAULUS

Supervisor:
Pr. Vincent LEMORT

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
Doctor of Applied Sciences

Liège, September 2023

The role of residential micro-cogeneration fuel cells in the energy transition

Copyright ©2023 by Nicolas Paulus. All rights reserved.

Thermodynamics Laboratory
Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Department
Faculty of Applied Sciences - University of Liège
Allée de la Découverte 17
B-4000, Liège (BELGIUM)
nicolas.paulus@uliege.be
+32 475 49 90 65

Members of the Examination Committee:

Pr. Dr. Ir. Vincent Lemort, *Supervisor*
(University of Liège, Belgium)

Pr. Dr. Ir. Sylvain Quoilin
(University of Liège, Belgium)

Pr. Dr. Ir. Nathalie Job
(University of Liège, Belgium)

Doc. Dr. Ir. Jean-Baptiste Bouvenot
(Nation institute of applied sciences of Strasbourg – INSA Strasbourg, France)

Pr. Dr. Ir. Steven Lecompte
(Ghent University, Belgium)

Abstract

Through theoretical, simulation, and experimental work, this thesis investigates the role of fuel cell micro-cogeneration systems in driving the energy transition. The thesis begins by defining the ‘decarbonization’ through the notion of carbon budgets, drawing insights from IPCC's latest work (AR6), which is then contextualized at both local and individual scales, with the examples of Belgium, Wallonia, and France serving as frequent case studies throughout this research. Considering imported emissions, the current commitments of those regions/countries is in fact not compatible with IPCC's +2°C recommendations. Also, since some greenhouse gases emissions could never be fully mitigated, 2050 carbon neutrality could only be reached by increasing carbon sinks to at least 1 tCO_{2eq}/year per capita, which will be highly challenging for France or Wallonia as it represents +370% and +300% increases against respective current (natural) levels.

Introducing the concept of fuel cells, the thesis provides comprehensive descriptions of main existing fuel cell types and their respective characteristics. Furthermore, the future performance of micro-cogeneration fuel cells is reviewed according to their underlying technology. PEMFC (Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell) systems performance are not expected to be significantly increased. This is not the case for SOFC (Solid Oxide Fuel Cell) systems, that exhibit no Carnot limit and could offer theoretical electrical LHV (Low Heating Value) efficiency close to 100% (DC) for the dry electrochemical oxidation of biochar or methane, which can be a renewable hydrogen carrier. Maximum demonstrated LHV electrical efficiency (AC) of any commercially available fuel cell systems is already of 65%, for a utility scaled methane-fed SOFC system launched on the market in 2023.

The thesis then presents experimental and simulation work performed on two presently available fuel cell systems, namely the BlueGEN SOFC and the Vitovvalor PT2 PEMFC. The experimental work encompasses both laboratory test campaigns and in-situ field-test monitoring in real applications, yielding dedicated performance models that can be integrated into building performance simulation or energy planning tools. The tested PEMC system exhibits a high-level of hybridization with a classical condensing boiler, which is assumed to prevent both sub-systems to operate as optimally and reliably as they would have as standalone units. Oppositely, the tested SOFC system exhibited no troubleshooting and a reliable electrical efficiency always close to 60% (LHV) at its nominal power outputs, which can also easily even be modulated in the 33-100% range.

Building on those experimental performance and anticipated advancements of fuel cell systems, the thesis demonstrates that their greenhouses gases mitigation potential over the average individual carbon footprint remains quite insignificant if their fuel is not decarbonized. Even so, their mitigation potential would still be way insufficient, and other actions, including behavioural changes, would still have to be implemented.

However, emerging technologies, such as Direct Carbon Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (DCSOFCs) or Direct Formic Acid Fuel Cells (DFAFCs) offer the capability of facilitating pure CO₂ capture at their anode exhaust and thus allow for potential negative emissions. With the case study of an average Belgian dwelling's electrical demand and the use of an electric car (for about 20000 km/year) provided by a DCSOFC with an electrical LHV efficiency of 80% fed by biomass, those negative emissions could be up to about 3 MtCO_{2eq}/year. In view of the minimal carbon absorption level implied by the carbon neutrality target (reported above), which will unlikely rely only on natural sinks in densely populated western countries, the negative emissions potential of such fuel cell systems shall absolutely be further developed and implemented (in addition to the maximization of natural sinks).

Résumé

Cette thèse examine le rôle des systèmes de micro-cogénération à piles à combustible dans la transition énergétique via un travail théorique et expérimental. La thèse commence par définir la 'décarbonisation' à travers la notion de budgets carbone, en s'appuyant sur les derniers travaux du GIEC (AR6), qui sont ensuite contextualisés à l'échelle locale et individuelle, avec les exemples de la Belgique, de la Wallonie et de la France. En tenant compte des émissions importées, les engagements actuels de ces régions/pays ne sont en fait pas compatibles avec les recommandations du GIEC visant à limiter le réchauffement à +2°C. De plus, étant donné que certaines émissions de gaz à effet de serre ne pourront jamais être complètement atténuées, la neutralité carbone en 2050 ne pourrait être atteinte qu'en augmentant les puits de carbone à au moins 1 tCO_{2eq}/an par habitant, ce qui représenterait une augmentation de +370% et +300% par rapport aux niveaux actuels (naturels) respectifs pour la France et la Wallonie, ce qui sera extrêmement difficile.

La thèse fournit des descriptions complètes des principaux types de piles à combustible existantes et de leurs caractéristiques respectives. De plus, les performances futures des piles à combustible de micro-cogénération sont étudiées. Les performances des systèmes PEMFC ne devraient pas augmenter de manière significative. Ce n'est pas le cas des systèmes SOFC, qui pourraient offrir une efficacité électrique théorique (DC) de 100% (LHV) pour l'oxydation électrochimique de biochar ou du méthane, qui peut être un vecteur d'hydrogène renouvelable. Par ailleurs, l'efficacité électrique LHV maximale démontrée (AC) d'une SOFC disponible commercialement depuis 2023 est déjà de 65%.

Ensuite, la thèse présente des travaux expérimentaux et de simulation réalisés sur deux systèmes actuellement commercialisés, à savoir le SOFC BlueGEN et le PEMFC Vitovalor PT2. Les travaux expérimentaux comprennent à la fois des campagnes de tests en laboratoire et un suivi des tests in situ, sur le terrain, dans des applications réelles, débouchant sur des modèles de performance qui peuvent spécifiquement être intégrés dans des outils de simulation de bâtiments ou de planification énergétique. Le système PEMC testé présente un niveau élevé d'hybridation avec une chaudière à condensation classique, ce qui est présumé empêcher un fonctionnement optimal et robuste de ces deux sous-systèmes. En revanche, le système SOFC testé est fiable et offre une efficacité électrique toujours proche de 60% (LHV) à sa puissance nominale, qui peut par ailleurs facilement être modulée dans la plage de 33% à 100%.

En s'appuyant sur ces performances expérimentales et sur les progrès anticipés des systèmes de piles à combustible, la thèse démontre que leur potentiel de réduction des gaz à effet de serre sur l'empreinte carbone individuelle moyenne reste relativement insignifiant si leur combustible n'est pas décarboné. Même dans ce cas, la réduction resterait insuffisante, et d'autres actions devraient encore être mises en œuvre.

Cependant, certaines piles, telles que les SOFC à 'Direct Carbon' (DCSOFC) ou les PEMFC à 'Direct Formic Acid' (DFAFC), offrent la possibilité capturer du pure CO₂ à leur échappement anodique. Avec l'étude de cas de la demande électrique moyenne d'un logement belge et de l'utilisation d'une voiture électrique (pour environ 20000 km/an) fournie par une DCSOFC avec une efficacité électrique LHV de 80% alimenté en biomasse, ces émissions négatives pourraient atteindre environ 3 MtCO_{2eq}/an. Vu le niveau minimal d'absorption de carbone requis par l'objectif de neutralité carbone (spécifié plus haut), le potentiel d'émissions négatives de ces systèmes de piles à combustible devra absolument être davantage développé et mis en œuvre en parallèle d'une augmentation significative des puits carbone naturels.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my wife, who has been by my side throughout this four-year journey. Her unwavering support and care for me and our family have made this accomplishment possible. I would also like to extend my thanks to both her parents and mine for taking excellent care of our two children during the countless extra hours I dedicated to this work.

Secondly, I would like to convey my heartfelt appreciation to Professor Vincent Lemort for his warm welcome, trust, and guidance over the years. Working under his supervision has allowed me to explore fascinating topics such as fuel cells, providing a unique balance of creative freedom and structured deliverables. Despite the personal challenges I encountered, this job has given me a sense of purpose like no other one I had before. I am truly grateful for Professor Lemort's knowledge, insights, valuable advice, and positive attitude that accompanied me throughout this journey.

Furthermore, I would like to acknowledge and thank the examination committee for their dedicated time and effort in reviewing and evaluating this manuscript.

I am deeply grateful to the *Gas.be* company for their substantial financial support and trust in the ULiege Thermodynamics Laboratory, as well as in me, for the field-tests and laboratory studies presented in this thesis. I extend my thanks to Olivier, their expert, for generously sharing technical information on gas-fed space heating appliances.

Undoubtedly, my appreciation goes to the entire ULiege Thermodynamics Laboratory community, including the academic staff, researchers, and especially the technicians involved in the laboratory activities: Bernard, Richard, and José. I would also like to express my gratitude to all my past and present colleagues who have served as teaching assistants. Their assistance in our academic duties has been invaluable. This is particularly the case with Alanis, whom I frequently sought help from and who was always available. It was a genuine honour to have the opportunity to know and collaborate with all of you.

I would also like to extend my special thanks to my colleague Camilà, who worked extensively with me on the *Gas.be* deliverables. I deeply admire her ability to handle those challenging assignments alongside her other responsibilities

Lastly, I would like to thank Professor Nathalie Job for spending a lot of time reviewing extensively some of my work. I truly learned a lot in a field that was new to me thanks to her judicious advices and comments.

It goes without saying that I would be delighted if my path was to cross again with the ULiege Thermodynamics Laboratory community in the future.

Contents

| | |
|--|--------------|
| ABSTRACT | III |
| RÉSUMÉ | IV |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | V |
| CONTENTS | VII |
| LIST OF FIGURES | XIII |
| LIST OF TABLES | XXI |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | XXV |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | XXVII |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 PERSONAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.2 TECHNICAL INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| 1.3 THESIS OBJECTIVES | 3 |
| 1.4 METHODOLOGY AND DETAILED MANUSCRIPT OVERVIEW | 4 |
| • <i>Chapter 1 – Introduction</i> | 4 |
| • <i>Chapter 2 – Collective and individual GHG mitigation pathways</i> | 4 |
| • <i>Chapter 3 – Fuel cell technologies and their residential applications</i> | 4 |
| • <i>Chapter 4 & 5 – Study of the BlueGEN SOFC and of the Vitovalor PT2 PEMFC systems</i> | 5 |
| • <i>Chapter 6 – Residential fuel cells’ carbon footprint mitigation potential</i> | 5 |
| • <i>Chapter 7 – Perspectives</i> | 5 |
| • <i>Chapter 8 – Conclusions</i> | 5 |
| CHAPTER 2 COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL GHG MITIGATION PATHWAYS | 6 |
| 2.1 CONFRONTING IPCC’S CARBON BUDGETS TO CLIMATE POLICIES | 6 |
| 2.1.1 <i>Carbon budgets background information</i> | 6 |
| 2.1.2 <i>Current France and Wallonia NDCs</i> | 9 |
| 2.1.3 <i>Comparison methodology and data source</i> | 13 |
| 2.1.4 <i>Comparison results</i> | 14 |
| 2.1.5 <i>Discussion and perspectives about the section</i> | 16 |
| 2.1.6 <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 17 |
| 2.2 ESTABLISHING INDIVIDUAL CARBON FOOTPRINT PATHWAYS BASED ON IPCC’S CARBON BUDGETS | 19 |
| 2.2.1 <i>Material and methods</i> | 22 |
| 2.2.2 <i>Results for Wallonia : examples of sigmoidal GHG mitigation pathways</i> | 29 |
| 2.2.3 <i>Results for France : missing data and example of sigmoidal GHG emission pathway</i> | 30 |
| 2.2.4 <i>Discussion and perspectives about the section</i> | 33 |
| 2.2.5 <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 33 |
| 2.3 CURRENT EMISSIONS FACTORS FROM HEAT AND POWER GENERATION | 36 |
| 2.3.1 <i>CO₂ and CO_{2eq} emission factors</i> | 36 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| 2.3.2 | <i>SO₂ and NO_x emission factors</i> | 39 |
| 2.3.3 | <i>Methane slip in natural gas fed fuel cells</i> | 42 |
| 2.4 | CONCLUSIONS OF THE CHAPTER | 43 |
| CHAPTER 3 FUEL CELL TECHNOLOGIES AND THEIR RESIDENTIAL APPLICATIONS | | 44 |
| 3.1 | GENERALITIES | 44 |
| 3.1.1 | <i>Fuel cell operations and main advantages</i> | 44 |
| 3.1.2 | <i>Fuel cell types and classification</i> | 46 |
| 3.2 | MICRO-COGENERATION FUEL CELLS SYSTEMS AND MARKETS | 61 |
| 3.2.1 | <i>Definitions and commercial fuel cell-based micro-CHP technologies</i> | 61 |
| 3.2.2 | <i>Status of commercialized HT-PEMFC-based micro-CHP systems</i> | 63 |
| 3.2.3 | <i>Main fuel cell-based micro-CHP markets</i> | 65 |
| 3.2.4 | <i>Focus on the European market and the available fuel cell-based micro-CHP systems</i> | 67 |
| 3.2.5 | <i>Current and expected performance of micro-CHP systems based on a PEMFC or a SOFC</i> | 69 |
| 3.3 | CONCLUSIONS OF THE CHAPTER | 71 |
| CHAPTER 4 STUDY OF THE BLUEGEN SOFC SYSTEM | | 73 |
| 4.1 | DESCRIPTION OF THE MACHINE | 73 |
| 4.1.1 | <i>Working principle</i> | 73 |
| 4.1.2 | <i>Applications and the different versions of the tested system</i> | 74 |
| 4.1.3 | <i>BlueGEN 2014+ - Manufacturer datasheet</i> | 79 |
| 4.1.4 | <i>Probable internal schemes</i> | 79 |
| 4.1.5 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 84 |
| 4.2 | LABORATORY TESTS | 85 |
| 4.2.1 | <i>Description of the test bench</i> | 85 |
| 4.2.2 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 87 |
| 4.2.3 | <i>Tests matrix</i> | 90 |
| 4.2.4 | <i>Test procedure</i> | 91 |
| 4.2.5 | <i>Experimental results and data analysis</i> | 94 |
| 4.2.6 | <i>Troubleshooting</i> | 100 |
| 4.2.7 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 100 |
| 4.3 | IN-SITU MONITORING | 102 |
| 4.3.1 | <i>Description of the buildings</i> | 102 |
| 4.3.2 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 103 |
| 4.3.3 | <i>Methodology</i> | 105 |
| 4.3.4 | <i>Results</i> | 107 |
| 4.3.5 | <i>Uncertainty analyses</i> | 116 |
| 4.3.6 | <i>Troubleshooting</i> | 118 |
| 4.3.7 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 118 |
| 4.4 | MACHINE MODELLING | 120 |
| 4.4.1 | <i>LHV thermal efficiency modelling</i> | 120 |
| 4.4.2 | <i>LHV electrical efficiency modelling</i> | 121 |
| 4.4.3 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 122 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 4.5 | COMPARISON OF LABORATORY AND IN-SITU MEASUREMENTS | 123 |
| 4.6 | NON-CO ₂ POLLUTANT EMISSIONS..... | 125 |
| 4.6.1 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 125 |
| 4.6.2 | <i>Measurements analysis</i> | 126 |
| 4.7 | CONCLUSIONS OF THE CHAPTER | 131 |
| CHAPTER 5 STUDY OF THE VITOTALOR PT2 PEMFC SYSTEM..... | | 132 |
| 5.1 | DESCRIPTION OF THE MACHINE | 132 |
| 5.1.1 | <i>Working principle</i> | 132 |
| 5.1.2 | <i>Applications and the different versions of the tested system</i> | 136 |
| 5.1.3 | <i>Vitotalor PT2 - Manufacturer datasheet</i> | 140 |
| 5.1.4 | <i>Probable internal schemes</i> | 141 |
| 5.1.5 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 145 |
| 5.2 | LABORATORY TESTS | 147 |
| 5.2.1 | <i>Description of the test bench</i> | 147 |
| 5.2.2 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 148 |
| 5.2.3 | <i>Test procedure</i> | 150 |
| 5.2.4 | <i>Test matrix</i> | 150 |
| 5.2.5 | <i>Experimental results and data analysis</i> | 152 |
| 5.2.6 | <i>Troubleshooting</i> | 155 |
| 5.2.7 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 155 |
| 5.3 | IN-SITU MONITORING | 157 |
| 5.3.1 | <i>Description of the buildings</i> | 157 |
| 5.3.2 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 158 |
| 5.3.3 | <i>Methodology</i> | 160 |
| 5.3.4 | <i>Results</i> | 161 |
| 5.3.5 | <i>Uncertainty analyses</i> | 168 |
| 5.3.6 | <i>Troubleshooting</i> | 169 |
| 5.3.7 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 170 |
| 5.4 | MACHINE MODELLING | 172 |
| 5.4.1 | <i>Introduction</i> | 172 |
| 5.4.2 | <i>Material and Methods</i> | 173 |
| 5.4.3 | <i>Modelling</i> | 175 |
| 5.4.4 | <i>Modelling limitations & further work</i> | 185 |
| 5.4.5 | <i>Conclusions of the section</i> | 186 |
| 5.5 | COMPARISON OF LABORATORY AND IN-SITU MEASUREMENTS | 188 |
| 5.5.1 | <i>Field-test chosen model</i> | 188 |
| 5.5.2 | <i>Steady-state laboratory results</i> | 188 |
| 5.5.3 | <i>Correlation</i> | 188 |
| 5.5.4 | <i>Results and conclusions of the section</i> | 190 |
| 5.6 | NON-CO ₂ POLLUTANT EMISSIONS..... | 192 |
| 5.6.1 | <i>Measurement devices</i> | 192 |
| 5.6.2 | <i>Measurements analysis</i> | 192 |
| 5.7 | CONCLUSIONS OF THE CHAPTER | 202 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| CHAPTER 6 RESIDENTIAL FUEL CELLS' CARBON FOOTPRINT MITIGATION POTENTIAL | 203 |
| 6.1 CARBON FOOTPRINT CALCULATORS | 203 |
| 6.2 INDIVIDUAL CARBON FOOTPRINT EXAMPLE WITHOUT ENERGY USES | 204 |
| 6.3 CARBON FOOTPRINT OF ENERGY USES | 206 |
| 6.4 CONCLUSION OF THE CHAPTER | 210 |
| CHAPTER 7 PERSPECTIVES | 212 |
| 7.1 SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS AND CONTRIBUTIONS | 212 |
| 7.1.1 Chapter 1 – Introduction | 212 |
| 7.1.2 Chapter 2 – Collective and individual GHG mitigation pathways | 212 |
| 7.1.3 Chapter 3 – Fuel cell technologies and residential applications | 213 |
| 7.1.4 Chapter 4 – Study of the BlueGEN SOFC system | 214 |
| 7.1.5 Chapter 5 – Study the Vitovalor PT2 PEMFC system | 215 |
| 7.1.6 Chapter 6 – Residential fuel cells' carbon footprint mitigation potential | 217 |
| 7.1.7 Chapter 7 – Perspectives and further works | 217 |
| 7.1.8 Chapter 8 – Conclusions | 218 |
| 7.1.9 Appendixes | 218 |
| 7.2 DISCUSSION, LIMITATIONS & FURTHER WORKS | 219 |
| CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS | 222 |
| APPENDIX 1 : ENERGY CONTENT OF NATURAL GAS IN RESIDENTIAL APPLICATIONS | 223 |
| INTRODUCTION | 223 |
| ESTABLISHING THE HHV OF THE CONSUMED GAS AT THE FIELD-TEST DELIVERY CONDITIONS | 225 |
| DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS | 228 |
| APPENDIX 2 : DOCUMENT PROPERTIES OF THE PREVIOUS BLUEGEN MODEL INSTALLATION'S MANUAL | 230 |
| APPENDIX 3 : USER MANUAL OF THE TESTED BLUEGEN SOFC SYSTEM | 231 |
| APPENDIX 4 : INSTALLATION MANUAL OF THE (TESTED) BLUEGEN (FRONT PAGE AND DOCUMENT PROPERTIES) | 254 |
| APPENDIX 5 : INSTALLATION MANUAL OF THE (TESTED) BLUEGEN (REVERSE OSMOSIS PAGE) | 256 |
| APPENDIX 6 : CALIBRATION PRINCIPLES OF THE SOFC TEST BENCH | 257 |
| APPENDIX 7 : SOFC LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS PROCEDURE | 258 |
| APPENDIX 8 : SATISFACTORY SURVEY OF THE HOUSE IN RIEMST | 260 |
| APPENDIX 9 : SATISFACTORY SURVEY OF THE HOUSE IN DUFFEL | 269 |
| APPENDIX 10 : POLLUTANT TESTING (NOX, SO2 AND CO) OF COMMERCIALIZED MICRO-COMBINED HEAT AND POWER (MCHP) FUEL CELLS | 278 |
| INTRODUCTION | 278 |
| MATERIAL AND METHODS | 279 |
| TESTED SYSTEMS | 279 |
| <i>PEMFC hybridized to a gas condensing boiler</i> | 279 |
| <i>SOFC</i> | 280 |
| <i>Gas condensing boiler</i> | 281 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>Euro 6 diesel vehicle</i> | 281 |
| MEASUREMENT DEVICE | 282 |
| CONVERSION OF PPM TO MG/KWH | 282 |
| TESTING PROCEDURE AND RESULTS | 285 |
| DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION | 288 |
| APPENDIX 11 : DETAILED SCHEMATICS OF THE MONITORING SENSORS CONFIGURATION OF THE VITVALOR PT2 FIELD-TEST SITES | 290 |
| APPENDIX 12 : VITVALOR PT2 BEHAVIOR AND HOW IT AFFECTS EFFICIENCY: ZOOMING ON REPRESENTATIVE DAYS | 291 |
| APPENDIX 13 : SATISFACTORY SURVEY OF THE HOUSE IN HUY | 300 |
| APPENDIX 14 : SATISFACTORY SURVEY OF THE HOUSE IN OOSTMALLE | 312 |
| APPENDIX 15 : VITVALOR PT2 – LABORATORY TROUBLESHOOTING DESCRIPTION | 322 |
| MAY 2019 | 322 |
| OCTOBER 2020..... | 323 |
| NOVEMBER 2020. INTERVENTIONS SUMMARY AND PREVIOUS VISITS | 323 |
| JANUARY 2021 | 324 |
| APPENDIX 16 : LITERATURE REVIEW ON PEMFC DEGRADATION MECHANISMS.. | 326 |
| REVERSIBLE AND IRREVERSIBLE DEGRADATION OF PEMFCs..... | 326 |
| GENERAL LITERATURE REVIEW OF PEMFC DEGRADATIONS AND CORRESPONDING RECOVERY PROCEDURES..... | 327 |
| <i>Lack of humidity and gas crossover</i> | 328 |
| <i>Water accumulation</i> | 328 |
| <i>Carbon monoxide poisoning</i> | 329 |
| <i>Oxidation, dissolution and sintering of the platinum catalysts</i> | 332 |
| <i>Sulfur contamination</i> | 333 |
| DEDICATED REVIEW OF SIMILAR COMMERCIALIZED APPLICATIONS BY PATENT RESEARCH | 334 |
| <i>Carbon deposition on the reforming catalyst</i> | 334 |
| <i>Ammonia poisoning of the oxidation catalyst of the carbon monoxide remover</i> | 335 |
| REFERENCES | 338 |

