#### Maintaining Constant Tiller and Spike Fertility to Achieve Stable Grain Yield of *Thinopyrum intermedium*.

#### FAGNANT L., DUCHÊNE O., CELETTE F., DUMONT B.





- Newly developed perennial grain crop (Kernza®) (DeHaan *et al.*, 2018)
  - Perenniality → Ecosystem services
  - Dual production  $\rightarrow$  Grain and forage



- Newly developed perennial grain crop (Kernza®) (DeHaan *et al.*, 2018)
  - Perenniality → Ecosystem services
  - Dual production  $\rightarrow$  Grain and forage
- Nascent stage of domestication:
  - Promising progress
  - Low and variable resource allocation to grains

(Culman et al., 2013; Newell & Hayes, 2017; Zhang et al., 2015)





- Perenniality induces ...
  - Variable and low proportion of **fertile tillers** as crop ages:  $\searrow$  grain yield

(Fulkerson, 1980; Jungers et al., 2017)

- Perenniality induces ...
  - Variable and low proportion of fertile tillers as crop ages: ↘ grain yield (Fulkerson, 1980; Jungers et al., 2017)
  - Influence of the **period of appearance of tillers** on their reproductive potential

(Rouet et al., 2021)

- Perenniality induces ...
  - Variable and low proportion of fertile tillers as crop ages: ↘ grain yield (Fulkerson, 1980; Jungers et al., 2017)
  - Influence of the **period of appearance of tillers** on their reproductive potential

(Rouet et al., 2021)

• Variability in floret site utilization

(Elgersma, 1985; Altendorf et al., 2021)

- Perenniality induces ...
  - Variable and low proportion of fertile tillers as crop ages: ↘ grain yield (Fulkerson, 1980; Jungers et al., 2017)
  - Influence of the **period of appearance of tillers** on their reproductive potential

(Rouet et al., 2021)

• Variability in floret site utilization

(Elgersma, 1985; Altendorf et al., 2021)

- Potential competition between **several sink organs** at the end of the growing season
  - (i.e., grains, rhizomes, deep root system or dormant buds)

(Hay & Porter, 2006; Lafarge & Durand, 2011)

- Lack of understanding of crop development and the resulting grain yield:
  - Importance of reproductive tiller density and floret site utilization (Altendorf et al., 2021)
  - Strong trade-off between tiller density and fertility (Jungers et al., 2017; Hunter et al., 2020)
  - Yield decline as stand ages: > HI up to 50% (Culman et al., 2023; Duchene et al., 2023)
  - → 31% of global yield increase would be linked to better management in fields (Bajgain et al.; 2022)

- Objectives:
  - Understand:



- The developmental traits influencing grain yield and elucidate their interrelations
- The influence of autumn defoliation, N fertilization and stand age on crop growth and yield
- → Provide additional support for the design of adapted crop management strategy for Th. intermedium.

# Material & Method

Management treatments			
(A) N fertilization			
Total N dose	Splitti	Splitting (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
(kg N ha⁻¹)	Early-spring (tillering)	Autumn Vegetative stage	
0	0	0	
50	50	0	
100	50	50	
100	100	0	
(A) Forage harvest			
	Summer straw harvest + Autumn defoliation		
Summer straw harvest			

- Belgian field experiment
  - Deep and fertile soil conditions
  - Four grain production years (i.e., establishment year + three regrowing years)
  - Various N treatments
  - Autumn defoliation compared to the only summer defoliation
- Various measurments
  - During the growing season: tiller density, aboveground biomass
  - At grain harvest: grain yield, TKW, spike density, grain density, spike fertility, plant height, harvest index, nitrogen harvest index, ...

Fagnant et al., major revision – European J. of Agronomy.



#### Results



- **7** Tiller density during the **establishment**
- Overproduction of tillers in 2019 => strong mortility
- Unique **positive** influence of N in the establishment year 12

## Results



# **Results & Discussion**

- Grain yield explained by:
  - Spike density  $\times$  spike fertility
- Optimal spike density: ~= 400spikes m<sup>-2</sup>
- Low spike fertility BUT constant as the crop ages:
  - Floret fertility <49% (larson et al, 2019)
    - ightarrow Limitation for seed production



## **Results & Discussion**

- Compensation mechanisms:
  - Between yield per spike and yield per plant
- When early biomass is peaking:
  - Inhibition of reproductive growth of tillers:  $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$  Yield per spike
  - Tillers mortality (~=50%)
- Probable optimal tiller and spike density:

Hunter et al., (2022) : [620 – 2730]tillers m<sup>-2</sup> & [370 – 960] spikes m<sup>-2</sup>



## **Results & Discussion**

- Impact of agronomic management:
  - 1) N fertilization:
    - Positive effect on spike density and spike DM
    - Negative effect on grain yield when excessive aboveground biomass

#### 2) Autumn defoliation:

- $\mathbf{v}$  Biomass in the next growing season
- 7 Yield per spike through TKW
- 3) Exportation and shredding of post-harvet residues
  - Light perception of early *Th. intermedium* tillers (present since early autumn) maybe the future reproductive tillers (Langer, 1979)

# Conclusions

- How agronomic management can help maintain constant grain?
  - 1) Avoid early excessive biomass and tiller density:
    - Reduce N fertilization at tillering (>1000tillers m<sup>-2</sup> at BBCH30)
    - Autumn defoliation
  - 2) Maintain reproductive potential of tillers:
    - Early autumn tillers maybe the future reproductive tillers (Langer, 1979)
      - ightarrow Favor light perception through exportation and shredding of post-harvet residues
      - ightarrow Potential positive effect of autumn N fertilization
    - Support of spike density and spike DM with an early spring N fertilization

➔ 100kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> splitted between autumn and early spring seemed optimal

# Maintaining Constant Tiller and Spike Fertility to Achieve Stable Grain Yield of Thinopyrum Intermedium.

#### THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION !



FAGNANT L., DUCHÊNE O., CELETTE F., DUMONT B. QUESTIONS ? LAURA.FAGNANT@ULIEGE.BE

spike fertility = 
$$\frac{grain \ yield}{spike \ density. \frac{TKW_{un}}{1000}}$$

grain density = spike fertility.spike density