

Differentiating the value of land from that of real estate to better understand the impacts of NNLT on housing affordability AN APPLICATION OF MULTISCALE GEOGRAPHICALLY WEIGHTED REGRESSION (MGWR)

Belgian Geographers Day 2024 Charlotte Bernier – 15 mars 2024



Context

The NNLT target: a European ambition

- >> Communication from the European Commission in 2011
- >> « No Net Land Take » by 2050

... locally declined

- >> In Flanders, with the "Bouwshift" policy
- >> In Wallonia, with the "Schéma de Développement Territorial"
- >> Drastic limitation of soil artificialization ("Stop-concrete")
- >> Meet the growing demand for housing (<a>? number of households)

How to reconcile land restrictions and housing affordability?





Different issues

- Description of household expenditure
- Analysis of housing market trends
- Predicting a household's ability to pay its rent or mortgage
- Match between the type of housing and the type of household that occupies it
- Defining housing needs for public policy purposes...



Several

dimensions

- Financial accessibility
- Quality standards
- Sanitation, decency
- Access in terms of mobility
- Spatial justice
- Socio-spatial segregations...

One dimension of housing affordability

Housing prices on the market of "secondhand" real estate

→ Owners



Causes of increases in values?



Causes of increases in values?



7 Demand (*¬* number of households ; *¬* income ; *∨* borrowing rate)

Inelasticity of supply (scarcity of land, too long delays in obtaining permits, etc.)

Socio-economic disparities (*¬* income or wealth gaps...)



Causes of increases in values?

Inter-market scale: macroeconomic factors

7 Demand (*¬* number of households ; *¬* income ; *∨* borrowing rate)

Inelasticity of supply (scarcity of land, too long delays in obtaining permits, etc.)

Socio-economic disparities (*¬* income or wealth gaps...)

Intra-market scale: housing, a combinatorial good



Build structure

Living surface area, quality and age of construction...

Land

Localisation, accessibility, quality of the physical and social neighborhood, relief...





What share of the land?



Decomposition of land prices and construction costs in 11 OECD countries (Knoll et al., 2017, p. 345)

Impact of land supply restrictions?



Impact of land supply restrictions?

Liberalized market context

Underdeveloped policy instruments for affordable housing



↗ issues of already existing problems of housing affordability, socio-spatial segregation, socio-spatial polarization and spatial justice

Importance of a good inventory and a detailed understanding of the dynamics





Mapping values





A mapping of land values for a better understanding of the residential real estate market

→ Does land actually drive the increase in real estate prices ?

- If so, to what extent and what are the regional differences ?
- Has this trend changed over time?
- Better understanding of the effects of influencing factors (inter/intra-market scales, spatial variability of these effects, etc.)





A mapping of land values for a better understanding of the residential real estate market

→ Does land actually drive the increase in real estate prices ?

- If so, to what extent and what are the regional differences ?
- Has this trend changed over time?
- Better understanding of the effects of influencing factors (inter/intra-market scales, spatial variability of these effects, etc.)
- ➔ Since the NNLT is likely to have significant effects on the price of housing and the problems already present, knowing the initial situation correctly seems essential
 - What effects does land availability have on the price ?
 - Can we simulate the effects of ZAN on the price and therefore on housing affordability ?



Concrete objectives

Does land actually drive the increase in real estate prices ?

- Differentiate land and real estate values, even for plots already built
- → Have a map of land values



Concrete objectives

Does land actually drive the increase in real estate prices ?

- Differentiate land and real estate values, even for plots already built
- → Have a map of land values

$$y = \beta_{c1}x_{c1} + \beta_{c2}x_{c2} + \dots + \beta_{t1}x_{t1} + \beta_{t2}x_{t2} + \dots + \varepsilon$$
Prices of real Construction Land related variables $y = y'_{construction} + y'_{land} + \varepsilon$

$$y'_{land} = y - y'_{construction} - \varepsilon$$



Concrete objectives

Does land actually drive the increase in real estate prices ?

• Differentiate land and real estate values, even for plots already built

→ Have a map of land values

• Better understanding of the effect of variables on price over time and space, including land availability

What are the effects of NNLT? What initial situation?

- So far, what effects has land availability had on the price?
- Simulate the implementation of the NNLT by modifying the land availability variable







LEVEL I – Scale of the plot – Whole of Belgium

Sales data (2009 to 2020) obtained from SPF Finances/FOD Financiën with characteristics of the property and the plot (m², number of facades, rooms, garden, etc.)

Various sources : noise pollution, accessibility to the employment center, to a train station, slope of the land, land availability, etc.



LEVEL I – Scale of the plot – Whole of Belgium

Sales data (2009 to 2020) obtained from SPF Finances/FOD Financiën with characteristics of the property and the plot (m², number of facades, rooms, garden, etc.)

Various sources : noise pollution, accessibility to the employment center, to a train station, slope of the land, land availability, etc.

LEVEL II – Statistical sector / neighborhood :

Various sources : income, quality of buildings, environment, nature, various aspects of social development, etc.

LEVEL III – Municipalities :

Various sources : social and economic context, etc.



15 variables **tested** to explain the price of the plot of land



21 variables **tested** to explain the price of real estate (6 construction variables + 15 land variables)



Problems to solve

Data issues

- Belgian land registry data of low quality and not often updated
- Different scales of data
- Non-uniformity of the distribution of observations



Problems to solve

Data issues

- Belgian land registry data of low quality and not often updated
- Different scales of data
- Non-uniformity of the distribution of observations

Issues related to the use of classic OLS

- **Spatial heterogeneity** of the effect of certain variables on the price of housing (e.g., plot size)
- No consideration of the greater probable "resemblance" between two close entities than between two distant entities
- → Need to define the extent of the real estate market studied *a priori*



GWR models

GWR

- Allows variation of regression coefficients β_i
- Calibrates a separate regression model at each point using a "data borrowing" system that weights observations serving as regression points based on their distance from each other

$$y_i = \sum_{j=0}^m \beta_j(u_i, v_i) x_{ij} + \varepsilon_i$$

With *n* observations where $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ localised in (u_i, v_i)

 x_{ij} being the j^{th} independent variable and $\beta_j(u_i, v_i)x_{ij}$ the j^{th} coefficient

- ε_i the error term
- y_i the dependent variable (here the price)



GWR models



- Calculation of ONE optimal bandwidth/number of nearest neighbors
- Better handles irregularly shaped study areas, **non-uniform spatial distributions of observations**, and boundary effects

GWR = ONE unique bandwidth size/number of neighbors for all independent variables



(M)GWR models

MGWR

Allows variation of regression coefficients AND a different bandwidth for all the variables considered

Where *bwj* indicates the bandwidth used to calculate β

Using the Python Package :

https://mgwr.readthedocs.io/ See as well : https://github.com/pysal/mgwr



Example

- 6783 houses
- 19 variables + intercept

OLS

 $R^2 = 0,53$

GWR Number of neighbors : 624 R² = 0,62

MGWR

	Variables	Number of neighbors	$R^2 = 0.71$
	car_main_train	199	- /
	car_BXL	4846	
	car_GDL	4612	
	time_foot	6097	
	land_supply	6482	
	prop_nature	6782	
	noise	6751	
	socioEco1	2863	
	socioEco2	1227	
	socioEco3	6782	
	shape_Area	236	
	Slope_land	5798	
	Flood_zone	6782	
	living_surface	60	
	nb_facades	2096	
	age	866	
	garages	236	
	bathroom	1070	
	nb_housing	769	



Example



Example



The problems of MGWR

MGWR

Although more efficient, MGWR models are however **very demanding in terms of calculation** and therefore time.

As an example - 16 CPU Server

- 14 600 plots of land (2019) + 15 variables
 = 6 days of treatment
- 92 000 real estate properties (2019) + 21 variables
 - > 20 days of treatment (still in progress)

Identifying bandwidths is the longest part

Current/future objectives

MGWR and GWR models for 2019

→ Determination of bandwidths and analysis of improvements with MGWR models

Determination of a "Best Model » (removing unnecessary variables)
 → Possible differentiation between the price of land and the price of real estate

→ Evolution of the significance of variables in time and space

Try a simulation of the implementation of the ZAN
→ Study of the effects on the price of land and real estate

Exploration of the *Repeat Sales* → New insights into previous analyzes



Thank you for your attention !



Bibliography

Fotheringham, A. S., Yang, W., Kang, W., Fotheringham, A. S., Yang, W., & Kang, W. (2017). *Multiscale Geographically Weighted Regression*. 4452. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1352480</u>

Bernier. C., Fettweis. R., Hendrickkx. S. & Halleux. J-M. (2021). Recherche 1 : intensification et requalification des centralités pour lutter contre l'étalement urbain. Volet 4 – Concilier la limitation de l'étalement urbain et l'accessibilité financière au logement, CPDT, Rapport final, 93 p.

Bibby, P., Henneberry, J., & Halleux, J. M. (2020a). Incremental residential densification and urban spatial justice: The case of England between 2001 and 2011. *Urban Studies*, 12 (en cours de publication). <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098020936967</u>

Bibby, P., Henneberry, J., & Halleux, J. M. (2020b). Under the radar? "Soft" residential densification in England, 2001–2011. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*, 47(1), 102–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2399808318772842</u>

Caldera, A., & Johansson, Å. (2013). The price responsiveness of housing supply in OECD countries. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 22(3), 231–249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHE.2013.05.002</u>

Cavailhes J. (2018). Les raisons du boom international des prix immobiliers (1996-2007). *La revue foncière*, 22, pp. 13-18. <u>https://fonciers-en-</u> <u>debat.com/raisons-du-boom-international-des-prix-immobiliers/</u>, consulté le 10 janvier 2021.

Cavicchia, R. (2021). Are Green, dense cities more inclusive? Densification and housing accessibility in Oslo. *Local Environment*, 26(10), 1250–1266. https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2021.1973394

Commission européenne. 2011. Feuille de route pour une Europe efficace dans l'utilisation des ressources, Bruxelles.

Debrunner, G., & Hartmann, T. (2020). Strategic use of land policy instruments for affordable housing – Coping with social challenges under scarce land conditions in Swiss cities. *Land Use Policy*, *99*(June 1979), 104993. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104993</u>

Gouvernement wallon. 2019. Schéma de Développement du Territoire. Une stratégie territoriale pour la Wallonie, Version rectificative du 14 mai 2019.

Halleux, J-M. (2022). Sobriété foncière et accessibilité financière au logement : perspectives européennes. In, Comment garantir l'accès au foncier abordable à l'heure du ZAN, 14 juin 2022, Matins Aura, Séminaire en ligne.

Knoll, B. K., Schularick, M., & Steger, T. (2017). No Price Like Home : Global House Prices , 1870 – 2012. American Economic Review, 107(2), 331–353.

Reusens, P., & Warisse, C. (2018). Prix des logements et croissance économique en Belgique. *Revue Économique de La Banque Nationale, décembre*, 85–111. <u>https://www.nbb.be/doc/ts/publications/economicreview/2018/revecoiv2018_h5.pdf</u>. Consulté le 20-10-21.

Stone, M. E. (2006). What is housing affordability? The case for the residual income approach. Housing Policy Debate, 17(1), 151–184.

