$\frac{1}{2}$	Continent-wide genomic analysis of the African buffalo (Syncerus caffer).		
3	Andrea Talenti ^{1,2†} , Toby Wilkinson ^{1,2†} , Elizabeth A. Cook ^{3,4} , Johanneke D. Hemmink ^{1,2,3,4} ,		
4	Edith Paxton ¹ , Matthew Mutinda ⁵ , Stephen D. Ngulu ⁶ , Siddharth Javaraman ¹ , Richard P.		
5	Bishop ³ , Isaiah Obara ⁷ , Thibaut Hourlier ⁸ , Carlos Garcia Giron ⁸ , Fergal J. Martin ⁸ , Michel		
6	Labuschagne ⁹ , Patrick Atimnedi ¹⁰ , Anne Nanteza ¹¹ , Julius D. Keyyu ¹² , Furaha Mramba ¹³ ,		
7	Alexandre Caron ^{14,15,16} , Daniel Cornelis ^{17,18} , Philippe Chardonnet ¹⁹ , Robert Fyumagwa ¹² ,		
8	Tiziana Lembo ²⁰ , Harriet K. Auty ²⁰ , Johan Michaux ²¹ , Nathalie Smitz ²² , Philip Toye ^{3,4} ,		
9	Christelle Robert ^{1,2,23#} , James G.D. Prendergast ^{1,2#} , Liam J. Morrison ^{1,2#*} .		
10			
11	1. The Roslin Institute, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of		
12	Edinburgh, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, United Kingdom		
13	2. Centre for Tropical Livestock Genetics and Health (CTLGH), Roslin Institute,		
14	University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Campus, EH25 9RG, United Kingdom		
15	3. International Livestock Research Institute, P.O. Box 30709, Nairobi 00100, Nairobi,		
16	Kenya.		
17	4. Centre for Tropical Livestock Genetics and Health (CTLGH), ILRI Kenya, P.O. Box		
18	30709, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.		
19	5. Kenya Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 40241, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.		
20	6. OI Pejeta Conservancy, Private Bag, Nanyuki 10400, Kenya.		
21	7. Institute for Parasitology and Tropical Veterinary Medicine, Freie Universität Berlin,		
22	Kobert-von-Ustertag-Str. /-13, 14163 Berlin, Germany.		
23	8. European Molecular Blology Laboratory, European Blolniormatics Institute, Wellesme Communications Linguitan Combinidenshire CD10.1SD United Kingdom		
24 25	Clinomica Litziah Daad Baingulai Plaamfontain 0228 South Africa		
25 26	9. Childhifes Authority, Kampala, Uganda		
20	10. Oganua whome Authority, Kampala, Oganua.		
28	University Kampala Uganda		
29	12 Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute Box 661 Arusha Tanzania		
30	13. Hester Biosciences Africa Limited, P.O. Box 30216. Kibaha, Coastal Region.		
31	Tanzania		
32	14 ASTRE University of Montpellier (UMR) CIRAD 34090 Montpellier France		
33	15 CIRAD UMR ASTRE RP-PCP Maputo 01009 Mozambique		
34	16. Faculdade Veterinaria. Universidade Eduardo Mondlane. Maputo. Mozambique.		
35	17. CIRAD. Forêts et Sociétés, 34398 Montpellier, France.		
36	18. Forêts et Sociétés, University of Montpellier, CIRAD, 34090 Montpellier, France.		
37	19. IUCN SSC Antelope Specialist Group co-chair, 92100 Boulogne, France.		
38	20. School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine, College of Medical,		
39	Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom.		
40	21. Laboratoire de Génétique de la Conservation, Institut de Botanique (Bat. 22),		
41	Université de Liège (Sart Tilman), Chemin de la Vallée 4, B4000 Liège, Belgium.		
42	22. Royal Museum for Central Africa (BopCo), Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080, Tervuren,		
43	Belgium.		
44	23. Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Cancer,		
45	University of Edinburgh, Crewe Road South, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.		
46			
47	†Joint first authors		
48	#Joint last authors		
49	*Corresponding author: <u>liam.morrison@roslin.ed.ac.uk</u>		

50

51 Abstract

52 The African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) is a wild bovid with a historical distribution across 53 much of sub-Saharan Africa. Genomic analysis can provide insights into the evolutionary 54 history of the species, and the key selective pressures shaping populations, including 55 assessment of population level differentiation, population fragmentation, and population 56 genetic structure. In this study we generated the highest quality de novo genome assembly 57 (2.65 Gb, scaffold N50 69.17 Mb) of African buffalo to date, and sequenced a further 195 58 genomes from across the species distribution. Principal component and admixture analyses 59 provided surprisingly little support for the currently described four subspecies, but indicated 60 three main lineages, in Western/Central, Eastern and Southern Africa, respectively. 61 Estimating Effective Migration Surfaces analysis suggested that geographical barriers have 62 played a significant role in shaping gene flow and the population structure. Estimated 63 effective population sizes indicated a substantial drop occurring in all populations 5-10,000 years ago, coinciding with the increase in human populations. Finally, signatures of selection 64 65 were enriched for key genes associated with the immune response, suggesting infectious 66 disease exert a substantial selective pressure upon the African buffalo. These findings have 67 important implications for understanding bovid evolution, buffalo conservation and 68 population management.

69

70 Introduction

The African buffalo, *Syncerus caffer*, is a key member of the charismatic African megafauna, and was historically distributed across sub-Saharan Africa, inhabiting a diverse range of habitats from dry savannah to montane rainforest. Over the past century the population density and distribution has been much reduced. The population range has also become increasingly fragmented due to man-made pressures, resulting in approximately 70% of the global population being restricted to protected areas [1-3].

77 The species has been historically divided into varying numbers of subspecies based upon 78 distribution, habitat and morphology, the most recent update of the IUCN Red List 79 recognising S. caffer caffer (Eastern and Southern African savannah), S. c. brachyceros 80 (Western African savannah), S. c. aequinoctialis (Central African savannah), and S. c. nanus 81 (Western and Central African forest) [4]. The genetic understanding of population diversity 82 and structure across the species range mostly derives from the application of low resolution 83 tools, such as mitochondrial D-loop sequences, microsatellites and mitogenomes [5-7], with a 84 more recent study using genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [8]. The two 85 studies to analyse diversity at the genome level, focused on South African S. c. caffer animals 86 (n=40) in protected areas [9] and S. c. caffer populations (n=59) from East and Southern 87 Africa [10]. These studies have collectively highlighted that the current subspecies 88 classification may not be supported by genetic data, and that there is striking population 89 substructuring within and between the putative subspecies. They have also indicated concerns 90 with respect to low effective population sizes in increasingly isolated populations in some 91 African regions. Improved genetic tools can potentially contribute to conservation 92 management strategies, both in terms of restoring connectivity between relevant populations 93 in order to improve or restore genetic diversity, and avoiding loss of genetic integrity (i.e. 94 maintenance of genetic diversity relevant to local environmental adaptation) through 95 uninformed population mixing (e.g. translocations) [6, 11, 12].

As well as being an iconic species of African wildlife, the African buffalo is the closest bovid
relative of domesticated cattle (*Bos taurus taurus & Bos taurus indicus*) in Africa. The
African buffalo has co-evolved in Africa with pathogens responsible for important and

99 impactful diseases of cattle such as animal African trypanosomiasis [13] and foot and mouth 100 disease virus (FMD) [14, 15]. For trypanosomiasis, in contrast to the often devastating impact 101 that infection has on cattle, African buffalo are largely tolerant, displaying much less severe 102 clinical signs (e.g. [16, 17]). Additionally, African buffalo are the primary host for the tick-103 borne protozoan Theileria parva, the causative agent of East Coast fever, an often deadly 104 disease in cattle that is asymptomatic in buffalo [18]. These diseases have impeded 105 productivity and the expansion of African pastoralists and their cattle for centuries [19, 20]. 106 During the colonial era, European cattle also brought with them diseases then exotic to 107 Africa, such as rinderpest, brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis [21], to which African buffalo 108 are susceptible. African buffalo and cattle co-exist today across many wildlife/livestock 109 interfaces that enhance mutual pathogen transmission [22], and this can result in imposition 110 of strict veterinary controls at these interfaces that often impact local livelihoods and 111 conservation efforts (e.g., [23, 24]). This makes the buffalo particularly interesting in terms 112 of host-pathogen coevolution and potentially providing a route to identifying host genes and 113 pathways relevant to controlling these diseases in livestock.

114 This study aimed to develop a reference genome for the African buffalo, as a foundation to 115 analyse the population genomic structure across the current distribution of the species in sub-116 Saharan Africa. Two reference genomes have previously been published, but were generated 117 via short read sequencing, resulting in relatively fragmented final genome assemblies 118 (scaffold N50s of 2.40 Mb and 2.32 Mb, respectively) [25, 26]. Using a combination of long 119 read (PacBio) and Hi-C sequencing, we generated and *de novo* assembled a substantially 120 higher quality and more contiguous reference genome of 2.65 Gb, with a scaffold N50 of 121 69.17 Mb. We then sequenced the genomes of 196 African buffalo samples from across the 122 current species distribution, which enabled the analysis of genetic substructure, admixture 123 between populations, and effective population sizes. We also assessed S. caffer genomes for 124 signatures of selection, highlighting genes that may be responsible for environmental 125 adaptation, in particular against diseases important for both buffalo and cattle.

126 **Results**

127 Assembly statistics

128 We first generated a *de novo S. c. caffer* reference genome from a male buffalo (OPB4) 129 sampled in Ol Pejeta Conservancy, Kenya, providing the foundation to enable the 130 characterisation of the genetic diversity of African buffalo populations both in terms of their 131 geographic regions and habitats and their current subspecies classification. We applied a deep 132 sequencing strategy, based on a combination of 60x long read (PacBio) and 75x short read 133 (Illumina) reads, to generate a *de novo* reference genome ensuring high per base sequence 134 quality and consensus to achieve good genome contiguity, with an N50 of 69.16Mb. The long 135 reads were assembled using FALCON (Dovetail Genomics) and polished using Arrow. 136 Contigs were then scaffolded using ~393 million 2x150bp Illumina reads of HiC data, using 137 the HiRise software. Gaps in the draft genome were addressed using PBJelly [27]. Finally, 138 Pilon [28] was used for sequential rounds of polishing, each of which was assessed for its 139 resulting assembly quality over previous rounds. The genome following four rounds of 140 polishing displayed the highest assembly statistics, with a total of 3,351 scaffolds, a total 141 length of 2.65 Gb (comparable to 2.72 Gb for the Bos taurus genome), a scaffold N50 of 142 69.16 Mb and a quality value (QV) of 35.9, indicating ~1 error every 5,000 bp. The assembly 143 statistics are summarised in Figure 1 and Supplementary Data 1.

144Previous African buffalo reference genomes, generated by Glanzmann et al. [25] and Chen et145al. [26], were based solely on Illumina short read sequencing, which led to highly fragmented146assemblies of 442,401 scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 2.40 Mb, and 150,000 scaffolds with

an N50 of 2.30 Mb, respectively. These very fragmented assemblies provided limited scope
for downstream analysis of variants and their predicted effects on functional regions, i.e.
annotated genes and regulatory regions (a comparison of the three genome assemblies is
illustrated in Figure 1).

151

152 Transcriptome analyses and genome annotation

153 To enable in depth characterisation of the African buffalo transcriptome and to facilitate the 154 annotation of gene isoforms, we performed full length isoform sequencing (Iso-Seq) across 155 samples from six different tissues (prescapular lymph node, testis, liver, kidney, lung and 156 spleen) collected from the same animal for which the genome was assembled (OPB4). In 157 total 51,521 distinct, high quality isoforms (defined as being supported by at least two full length reads and with >99% base composition accuracy) were detected across these samples 158 159 (median of 11,520 per tissue, maximum of 27,271 in the testis). Complementing these data, 160 we also generated Illumina RNA-seq data, from the same animal, from eight tissues (heart, 161 prescapular and inguinal lymph nodes, testis, liver, kidney, lung and spleen). All 162 deposited transcriptomic data were **ENA** to 163 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/GCA 902825105.1) with accession numbers PRJEB36587 and PRJEB36588 for RNA-seq and Iso-Seq, respectively. Together these data 164 165 have been used to provide a high quality annotation of the buffalo assembly which can be 166 accessed through the Ensembl Rapid Release genome browser: 167 https://rapid.ensembl.org/Syncerus caffer GCA 902825105.1.

168 African buffalo-specific sequence

After aligning the African buffalo genome to eight high quality assemblies of four different Bovidae species (cattle, water buffalo, yak and goat [29-34]), portions of the *S. caffer* genome that did not match any regions in the other assemblies were ascertained. This process identified a total of 24,336,918 intervals, for a total of 145,050,830 bp of sequence not identified in the other eight assemblies. This includes both small variations (e.g. SNPs, small indels), unplaced contigs without alignments to any other genome, and large portions of the genome lacking any alignment.

176 We then refined the region selection by filtering out shorter intervals (<60 bp) and regions 177 defined as too close to a telomere (<10 Kb) or to a gap (<1 Kb), leaving a total of 178 113,654,400 bp in 81,357 fragments longer than 60 bp, which were neither telomeric nor 179 neighbouring an assembly gap. These regions have an average length of 1,397bp (3772.4 bp 180 SD) and a median size of 286bp (min. 61bp, max 308,890bp). The majority of the regions 181 (74,659 fragments accounting for 112,762,919 bp) represent sequence not found in any of the 182 other species genomes considered in the study, whereas the remaining are classified as 183 divergent haplotypes. Of the 113Mb, a total of 64.9Mb (57.1%) are putatively identified as 184 repeats using RED [35]. To rule out the possibility of these novel regions being due to 185 contamination, we confirmed the coverage of these regions was consistent with the rest of the 186 genome, using short-read whole genome sequencing data from 46 samples from the 187 population analysis (see section below; Supplementary Figure 1).

HOMER analysis considered 4,286/7,096 sequences with less than 60% of masked nucleotides. These sequences presented 38 motif types enriched (P-value <1e-5), such as the FOSL2/MA0478.1/Jaspar (0.661) motif, a negative regulatory sequence in the differentiation-sensitive adipocyte gene (aP2), a motif identified as a transcriptional enhancer for the Gibbon ape leukaemia virus, and which is also in a region of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [36]. We performed the feature analysis on the annotation generated by Ensembl from the Iso-Seq sequencing data previously described. We identified 195 7,096 annotated genes and 131 pseudogenes overlapping the novel regions, of which 583 196 genes, 194 ncRNA genes and 71 pseudogenes were entirely included in the identified regions 197 (Supplementary Table 1). A total of 317 of 583 genes had at least one biological term 198 annotated. GO terms definitions were fetched using the goatools python package [37]. Out of 199 4,088 terms in the background dataset, 17 (15 GO terms and 2 KEGG pathways) were found 200 significantly enriched. Among the significant terms was the defence response GO term (GO:0006952, FDR-corrected P-value: 0.0189, Supplementary Table 1), described as the 201 202 response triggered by the presence of a foreign body.

203 **Population genetics**

204 To better understand African buffalo genetic diversity, we generated short read sequencing 205 data for a further 195 animals deriving from across the continental range of the species (at a 206 coverage of 15x for 146 samples, and 30x for 50 samples; Table 1 & Figure 2A; for full 207 sample list and metadata see Supplementary Table 2). This included samples from the 208 currently described four subspecies; S. c. caffer, S. c. nanus, S. c. brachyceros and S. c. 209 aequinoctialis (Table 1 & Figure 2A), and two putative S. c. nanus and S. c. aequinoctialis 210 hybrids (based upon morphology and geography at time of sampling - labelled as 211 'intermediates'). Together, these samples derived from 21 sites/localities or protected areas 212 across 12 different countries. We performed phylogenetic and population analyses including 213 only samples with a high call rate (>85%), and analysing only the biallelic polymorphic 214 SNPs (minor allele frequency >5%), as well as only considering unrelated individuals 215 (samples fourth degree or greater).

216

217 As can be seen in Figure 2B the genetic relationships between the samples largely mirrors 218 their geographic origin, with the first principal component (PC1) reflecting differentiation 219 between samples from Eastern/Southern and Western Africa, which corresponds to a split 220 between the Western/Central African subspecies (S. c. aequinoctialis, S. c. brachyceros and 221 S. c. nanus) and Eastern/Southern African S. c. caffer. The second component (PC2) 222 correlates with differentiation between S. c. caffer samples from the Northern part of the 223 subspecies' range (Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda) compared to S. c. caffer samples from 224 Southern Africa. Notably, there was a clear signature of geography within the S. c. caffer 225 data, with each geographic sub-population forming a distinct cluster in the PCA and a cline 226 observed from Uganda to Kenya and Tanzania in the North, through Mozambique to samples 227 from Botswana and Zimbabwe, and finally South Africa in the South. In Western/Central 228 Africa, S. c. aequinoctialis, S. c. brachyceros and S. c. nanus sub-populations also formed 229 separate clusters, although the S. c. nanus and S. c. brachyceros populations clustered closely 230 together. Samples were initially grouped by sub-species and country of sampling. However, 231 based on PCA results, the Tanzania and Kenya, and Botswana and Zimbabwe samples were 232 grouped together, reflecting their geographic proximity. This resulted in nine subgroups for 233 downstream analyses; referred to hereafter as S. c brachyceros, S. c. nanus, S. c. 234 aequinoctialis, intermediate (putative hybrids between S. c. nanus, S. c. aequinoctialis), S. c. 235 caffer Uganda, S. c. caffer Kenya/Tanzania, S. c. caffer Mozambique, S. c. caffer 236 Zimbabwe/Botswana and S. c. caffer South Africa. Population sample sizes post-filtering 237 ranged from 2 for the S. c. nanus spp to 48 for the S. c. caffer from Tanzania (see Table 1), 238 leaving a total of 163 samples for the phylogenetic analyses.

In order to explore the relationship between these populations further, and to mitigate the different sample size between subpopulations resulting in over-representation of populationspecific variation in the dataset as far as possible [38], we downsampled the larger groups to 15 representative samples (for those with less, all samples were included). Since the *S. c. brachyceros* population had a total of 16 samples, we did not perform any reduction on this population. This resulted in a subset of 95 individuals to be considered for the population
genetic analyses (see Supplementary Table 2 for samples included in these analyses). As
shown in the principal components analysis (PCA) pre- and post-reduction (Supplementary
Figure 2), the general structure of the sample was not affected by the subsampling.

Bootstrapped admixture analyses identified three clusters as a parsimonious solution for the number of subpopulations (i.e. lowest iteration number and reduced increase in CV error; Supplementary Figure 3), representing the East, West and Southern African high level groupings. At K=9 the clusters recapitulate the nine sub-groupings described above (see Supplementary Figure 4 for admixture results at multiple K). The same high-level structure is reflected in the 100-bootstrap identity-by-state phylogenetic tree (Figure 3B).

254 Comparison of the genetic diversity between all pairs of populations (as represented by the 255 F_{ST} statistic) highlights that this is largely a function of physical distance, i.e. the diversity 256 observed between two populations increases broadly linearly with increasing distance 257 between them (Figure 3C, Mantel test r: 0.65, p=0.0018; underlying F_{ST} data detailed in 258 Supplementary Table 3). However, sub-structure in this isolation-by-distance analysis is 259 observed. After excluding the S. c. caffer Hluhluwe-Umfolozi and S. c. nanus populations, 260 the relationship is even stronger, and variation in the F_{ST} values between the remaining 261 groups can potentially largely all be explained by the distances between them (red line in 262 Figure 3C, Mantel test r: 0.96, p=0.0013). This is consistent with the idea that these African 263 buffalo have historically formed large continuous groups of populations with differentiation 264 between populations simply reflecting the reduced mating probability with increasing 265 distance. S. c. nanus, the forest buffalo, shows an unusually steep increase in differentiation 266 relative to other populations (blue line in Figure 3C). This could be for a variety of reasons, 267 including geographical barriers reducing the gene flow between this group and the others 268 analysed. Animals found at the same location should exhibit little differentiation, and 269 consistent with this, the intercept of the slopes is not significantly different from 0 in these 270 comparisons (both linear regression intercept P>0.4), i.e. when comparing S. c. nanus to other 271 populations or the non- S. c. nanus and non-S. c. caffer Hluhluwe-Umfolozi populations to 272 each other. However, this is not the case for comparisons involving the South African S. c. 273 *caffer* Hluhluwe-Umfolozi population. Under the assumption of a simple linear relationship 274 between genetic differentiation and geographic distance, the predicted level of diversity at a 275 distance of 0 km is significantly higher than 0 (green line in Figure 3C, linear regression 276 intercept $P=2.7 \times 10^{-4}$). This suggests, that unlike in the other population comparisons, there is 277 elevated differentiation between this population and others, above and beyond that expected 278 from their geographic distance apart. This may reflect an isolation event with respect to the 279 Hluhluwe-Umfolozi population.

EEMS analysis (Figure 4A) adds to this picture of continental gene flow, with the Congo river basin likely representing a significant barrier of migration, particularly between Western/Central African *S. c. nanus* and *S. c. caffer* populations in Eastern Africa. The data also suggest that the Rift Valley potentially presents a geographical barrier to gene flow within the African buffalo.

The Relate software and genome-wide genealogies were used to estimate population-specific population sizes over time for the largest buffalo groupings (*S. c. caffer* only: Uganda, Tanzania/Kenya and Zimbabwe/Botswana – grouped as defined by PCA, admixture and phylogenetic analyses; Figures 2 and 3). As shown in Figure 4 there has been a sharp reduction in the estimated effective population sizes across these groups in the last approximately 10,000 years, broadly mirroring the expansion of human effective population sizes over a similar time-period (Figure 4B). There were not sufficient numbers in all individual populations for robust N_e analyses, but for the populations that did have sufficient numbers, contemporary N_e estimates were ~1,300, 2,000 and 3,000 for Uganda, Tanzania/Kenya and Zimbabwe/Botswana, respectively. These data suggest that the effective population sizes of these Eastern and Southern African *S. c. caffer* are above the levels of conservation concern. Coelescence estimates are shown in Supplementary Figure 5.

297 However, analysis of all populations highlights that the S. c. nanus and South African S. c. 298 *caffer* Hluhluwe-Umfolozi samples have high levels of homozygosity (F_{ROH} of 0.29 and 0.36 299 compared to a range of 0.12 to 0.21 for the other populations; Figure 3D). This is consistent 300 with the known extreme bottlenecks experience by the Hluhluwe-Umfolozi buffalo 301 population [9]; the S. c. nanus samples derive from Lekedi NP in Gabon, and we are unaware 302 of historical population-level data that would inform of bottlenecks – while the homozygosity 303 analysis is obviously on individual genomes, with this population we would caution 304 overinterpretation as we only have data from two individuals.

Selective sweeps

306 African buffalo are exposed to a range of different environmental pressures across their 307 distributional range, including a range of pathogens that also impact domesticated bovids 308 such as cattle. To investigate selective sweeps between and within the nine population 309 groupings we calculated the XP-EHH and P_R Relate Selection Test statistics [39, 40]. Due to 310 being more susceptible to artefactual results deriving from smaller sample sizes than the XP-311 EHH statistic, the calculation of the P_R statistic was restricted to just the populations with 312 more than 20 samples after filtering for relatedness (i.e. the Uganda, Zimbabwe/Botswana 313 and Tanzanian/Kenyan populations). These two tests are complementary in that whereas the 314 XP-EHH statistic tests for differences in haplotype homozygosity between populations, P_R 315 characterises the speed of spread of particular genomic lineages within a population, relative 316 to others. Supplementary Table 4 summarises the results of these two tests. In total, 73 loci of 317 elevated XP-EHH levels overlapping a gene were identified in at least one population 318 comparison, and 34 P_R significant loci were detected in one of the three studied populations. 319 Of the XP-EHH loci, 9 also overlapped a significant P_R peak (Supplementary Table 4). These 320 9 loci spanned 11 genes, with several having strong links to immune response, including 321 putative killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor like protein KIR3DP1 (LOC102402296), T 322 cell receptor beta variable 5-1-like (LOC112577699), the major histocompatibility complex 323 gene TRIM26 and N-acetylneuraminic acid phosphatase (NANP). The latter is involved in 324 sialic acid synthesis which in turn is linked to immune response modulation, and NANP has 325 also been observed to be under recent positive selection in both humans and cattle [41, 42]. 326 Two further of these nine genes linked to both XP-EHH and P_R peaks in African buffalo were 327 also previously linked to recent positive selection in water buffalo [42], namely myeloid-328 associated differentiation marker-like (LOC102403696) and tyrosine-protein phosphatase 329 non-receptor type substrate 1-like (SIRPA-like) gene (LOC102396916). LOC102396916 was 330 associated with significant P_R peaks in both the Uganda and Tanzania/Kenyan populations 331 and also elevated XP-EHH scores in the South African S. c. caffer vs intermediate and S. c 332 aequinoctialis populations (Figure 5; Supplementary Figure 6). SIRPA is an 333 immunoglobulin-like cell surface receptor for CD47 (a cell surface protein that is involved in 334 the promotion/regulation of cellular proliferation) and has been associated with a range of 335 infectious diseases, including *Theileria annulata* infection in cattle [43] (T. annulata being 336 the causative agent of tropical theileriosis across North Africa and Asia, and is closely related 337 to *Theileria parva* found in Eastern Africa). This gene has previously been identified to be 338 associated with selective sweeps between water buffalo breeds (elevated XP-CLR statistics 339 between Mediterranean and Jaffrabadi, and Pandharpuri and Banni water buffalo breeds 340 [42]). Characterisation of this gene's expression profile in the water buffalo expression atlas

highlighted that it falls within a macrophage-specific cluster of genes [44]. Together these
results therefore point towards this gene being a potentially important target of selection
across bovids due to its role in immune response. Consequently, five of these nine genes
under putative selection in African buffalo show strong links to immune response, with two
of the remaining genes being uncharacterised and their function being unknown.

346

347 **Discussion**

348 African buffalo genome

349 The genome generated in this study represents a substantial improvement on current genomic 350 resources available for S. caffer, with greater contiguity and much improved assembly and 351 annotation – this, and the allied gene expression datasets, will hopefully serve as useful 352 resources for the bovid and African buffalo research communities. The genome assembly is 353 currently at the scaffold rather than chromosomal level, and so karyotype and features such as 354 centromeres remain undefined, and the genome also contains Y chromosome and 355 mitochondrial sequences that have not been completely resolved. There is therefore clearly 356 scope for further improvement of the reference genome. An interesting finding was the 357 African buffalo-specific sequence, which was identified after aligning the African buffalo 358 genome to eight existing high quality bovid genome assemblies (cattle, water buffalo, yak 359 and goat [29-34]). S. caffer sequences that that did not match any regions in the other 360 assemblies were defined as African buffalo-specific sequence. These sequences were 361 validated by assessing coverage of these African buffalo-specific sequences in randomly 362 selected short read data from the population data, based on the expectation that if these were 363 genuine African buffalo-specific sequence there would be coverage detected in multiple 364 samples, and this was indeed the case. While 57.1% of these African buffalo-specific 365 sequences are repeats, there are 583 genes, 71 pseudogenes, and 194 ncRNAs that are 366 entirely within the identified regions. These were enriched for genes associated with the host 367 defence, and the genes within these regions would clearly be of interest in further studies to 368 identify traits that may be relevant to these African buffalo-specific sequences.

369 *Population genomic structure: taxonomic insights*

370 The admixture analysis suggests that the S. caffer population splits into three high-level 371 lineages, with a further nine subgroupings apparent that correlate with geographical location. 372 There is little support in our data for the current classification of the four IUCN recognised 373 subspecies; S. c. caffer (Eastern and Southern African savannah), S. c. brachyceros (Western 374 African savannah), S. c. aequinoctialis (Central African savannah) and S. c. nanus (Western 375 and Central African forest), with S. c. brachyceros and S. c. aequinoctialis sometimes being 376 lumped and treated as a single subspecies, viz. S. c. brachyceros. Historically these 377 classifications have been based on a combination of geographical distribution, habitat 378 preferences and morphological features. Syncerus c. nanus, the forest buffalo, is the most 379 divergent morphologically, being on average much smaller, predominantly rufous in colour 380 as opposed to black, and with a different horn shape. From this perspective it is perhaps 381 surprising that we could not detect substantial genetic divergence from the Western/Central 382 African savannah buffalo. However, this finding agrees with previous genetic analyses using 383 mitochondrial D-loop sequence markers, which similarly indicated a lack of support for 384 differentiation between Western/Central African 'subspecies' [5]. However, the limited 385 number of samples assigned to S. c. nanus did not enable balanced analyses, with in general a 386 smaller number of populations sampled for the Western and Central African regions

387 compared to Eastern and Southern Africa. This may have resulted in some bias in our 388 population analyses. However, we did attempt to mitigate this bias to some extent by 389 reducing populations to 10 samples per population where relevant and possible. 390 Notwithstanding this fact, the present database provides genome-level and -wide resolution 391 on variation (based upon 23,454,419 identified variants relative to the assembled reference 392 genome); a much more robust basis for identifying genetic differentiation than previous 393 methods used to identify genetic substructuring in this species. These insights have parallels 394 with previous genome/multilocus genetic data studies on African ungulates with similar pan-395 Sub-Saharan distribution, the giraffe (Giraffa camelopardis) and zebra (Equus quagga), 396 which indicated a lack of correlation of genetic data with morphology-based speciation, in 397 those cases resulting in the identification of cryptic speciation [45, 46].

398 Admixture and EEMS analyses indicate that the population genomic structure is shaped by 399 geographical barriers, which limit where migration and therefore where lineage and 400 population mixing can happen. This is evidenced by Ugandan buffalo demonstrating ancestry 401 from both Eastern and Western African populations, and there being some signal of East 402 African ancestry in Central African buffalo (S. c. aequinoctialis, S. c. nanus and intermediate; 403 Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure 4). Both admixture and EEMS data indicate that 404 Uganda is likely to act as an interface zone between these lineages, although further sampling 405 in relevant populations (for example, known buffalo populations in Eastern CAR and DRC, 406 South Sudan and Western Ethiopia) would help resolve the extent of genetic flow. EEMS 407 analyses suggests that the divergence between the East and West lineages was most likely 408 driven by geography, with the Congo Basin and River effectively creating a barrier to North-409 South gene flow in the West of the continent, and Uganda being the pinch point at which 410 Central African savannah and forest populations can intersect with Eastern African savannah 411 buffalo.

412 The driving forces shaping the differentiation between Northern and Southern populations of 413 S. c. caffer (i.e. between the Kenyan, Ugandan and Tanzanian cluster and the Mozambique, 414 Botswana, Zimbabwe and South Africa cluster) is less clear from our analyses. A potential 415 role of the Great Rift Valley acting as historical barrier to gene flow has been suggested 416 within other large savannah mammals [47-49]. However, all Tanzanian samples included in 417 the present study originated from the North of the country (the closest population in the 418 Southern cluster being Niassa Special Reserve in Mozambique -approximately 1,000 km 419 from the Northern Tanzanian parks); additional samples from Central and Southern Tanzania 420 where substantial buffalo populations exist (e.g. in Ruaha and Nyerere NPs) could potentially 421 identify animals that are genetically intermediate between the 'Northern' and 'Southern' 422 clusters, and reveal that there is a steady cline of differentiation within S. c. caffer from North 423 to South, as supported by the isolation-by-distance analysis. The data are consistent with the 424 findings of a previous genomic study of S. c. caffer across its range, which also concluded 425 that there was a primary split between northern and southern S. c. caffer populations 426 approximately 50,000 years ago, followed by gene flow [10].

427 *Effective population sizes*

428 Although effective population size estimates are difficult to estimate accurately and can be 429 confounded by population structure, the effective population size data interestingly suggests a 430 coincident drop in N_e with the rise in human N_e (obtained through the 1,000 Genomes data 431 [50]). This is observed in similar analyses applied to both other individual African ungulates 432 (giraffe) [51] and collated global ruminant data [26]. In the case of African buffalo, previous 433 studies based on both microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA data have suggested an 434 expansion approximately 80,000 years ago coincident with the spread of grassland habitat, 435 which was followed by a significant decline ~3-7,000 years ago, probably resulting from an 436 overall increase in arid areas across Africa that are inhospitable to African buffalo [7, 52, 53] 437 - our findings are also consistent with these conclusions. For the African buffalo, it was 438 anticipated that the greater resolution provided by genomic data may detect a drop in N_e 439 observed as a result of the rinderpest virus epidemic of the 1890s [54], which anecdotally 440 caused very high mortality of the buffalo populations through Eastern and Southern Africa in 441 particular [55, 56]. However, given the relatively recent timing of the rinderpest epidemic 442 and the fact that the N_e was reducing across the relevant timeframe in our analysis, from the 443 genome data we are not able to infer the impact of rinderpest upon population sizes. Other 444 analyses using lower resolution genetic markers [53, 57, 58] were also not able to detect a 445 drop in N_e that correlated with the timing of the rinderpest epidemic, although a recent 446 genomic study using samples from S. c caffer did identify a very significant drop in Ne over 447 the past 500 years, which could plausibly be explained by rinderpest [10] – notably the 448 decline was particularly steep in samples from Hluhluwe-Umfolozi. While we did not have 449 sufficient numbers in each population to robustly test N_e , for the closely related groupings of 450 Uganda, Tanzania/Kenya and Zimbabwe/Botswana Ne estimates were approximately of 451 1300, 2,000 and 3,000 individuals, respectively. In these clusters at least, there is limited 452 evidence for inbreeding depression, in agreement with previous studies [6]. However, the S. 453 c. nanus and South African S. c. caffer Hluhluwe-Umfolozi samples showed high levels of 454 homozygosity, meaning that further population-specific work is required in order to assess 455 inbreeding risk. The S. c. caffer Hluhluwe-Umfolozi population is known to derive from very 456 small number of founder animals, and our finding is in agreement with previous data that has 457 indicated high inbreeding coefficients and low genome-wide heterozygosity levels in this 458 population [9, 10].

459 While we have very limited numbers of S. c. nanus samples, the finding of high levels of 460 homozygosity may be explained by the very different features of forest buffalo behaviour, in 461 that relative to savannah buffalo forest buffalo have smaller home ranges, shorter daily 462 movements, negligible seasonal movements and live in significantly smaller group sizes [2]. 463 This is linked to the forest habitat likely generally acting as a greater barrier to gene flow than 464 savannah environments, limiting migration/dispersal and resulting in comparatively small 465 and isolated populations [5]. Genetic diversity metrics such as heterozygosity/homozygosity 466 and effective population size will clearly be an important feature for future studies, 467 particularly where there are increasingly fragmented and isolated populations, as is the case 468 for the West African Savannah buffalo.

469 *Selective Sweeps*

470 The selective sweep analyses identified tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 471 substrate 1-like (SIRPA-like) as being under selection, independently detected using two 472 distinct and complementary methodologies (P_R and XP-EHH), and across several population 473 groupings (Ugandan, Tanzanian/Kenyan, South African S. c. caffer, intermediate and S. c 474 *aequinoctialis* populations). The same locus was identified in selective sweep analyses of the 475 Asian buffalo Bubalus bubalis [42], and expression analysis in this species identified 476 upregulated gene expression in a macrophage-specific cluster. Interestingly SIRPA has been 477 associated with *Theileria annulata* infection in cattle [43], and its gene expression has been 478 shown in independent studies to be significantly upregulated in host cells following infection 479 and the cellular transformation associated with T. annulata infection [59, 60]. While SIRPA 480 will clearly be involved in the immune response to other pathogens, it is notable that B. 481 bubalis is the primary host of T. annulata (the tick-borne causative agent of tropical 482 theileriosis across North Africa and Asia). Syncerus caffer is similarly the primary host for

483 the related parasite *Theileria parva* (and the related *Theileria* sp. buffalo [61]), and it is 484 therefore plausible to link the described function of this gene with the long co-existence and 485 co-evolution of S. caffer with T. parva. Although only the Ugandan, Tanzanian/Kenyan and 486 South African S. c. caffer populations are within the current distribution of the tick vector 487 (Rhipicephalus appendiculatus) of T. parva, the historical range and selection of T. parva 488 cannot likely be inferred by the current vector distribution. Several other genes detected in 489 the selective sweep analysis have been implicated in the host response to apicomplexan 490 protozoa (which includes *Theileria* species), which lends credence to the hypothesis that the 491 ancient co-evolution and selection pressure exerted by T. parva in S. caffer may have played 492 a role in shaping the patterns of diversity in relevant regions of the current S. caffer genome. 493 The long relationship between T. parva and S. caffer is reflected in the limited pathology 494 caused by infection of T. parva in S. caffer, which is in stark contrast to the severe and often 495 fatal disease caused by T. parva infection in other hosts such as domestic cattle [18, 62]. The 496 latter have only co-existed with T. parva for 5,000-10,000 years [63]. This finding may 497 provide a route to identifying genes and pathways important in controlling disease during 498 infections by *Theileria* species, that can, for example, be translated to mitigating the effect of 499 these pathogens upon cattle or Asian buffalo owned by resource-poor farmers.

500 Conclusion

501 For the first time we have analysed genome-level data from all extant recognised African 502 buffalo subspecies, covering the majority of the remaining geographical distribution of the 503 species. Our findings demonstrate that the African buffalo is composed of three main 504 lineages, that further subdivide based on geographical location. While current subspecies 505 nomenclature is likely to still have utility in terms of Management or Conservation Units, 506 more samples and data, particularly from S. c. nanus, S. c. brachyceros and S. c. 507 *aequinoctialis*, would help resolve the status of taxonomic units across the population range 508 of African buffalo. The data also demonstrated that genetic connectivity between populations 509 has historically been constrained by geographical barriers that have shaped the modern 510 population structure (particularly the Congo basin), and that human influence has been for 511 ~10,000 years and remains a main pressure on effective population size and population 512 fragmentation. While most populations do not show signs of inbreeding, particular 513 populations do, and this has implications for conservation and management of the species. 514 Finally, through analyses of selective sweeps, we identified infectious diseases as a likely 515 substantial contributor to historical selection, and hypothesise that protozoan pathogens for 516 which the buffalo has been primary host for millennia may be responsible for driving some of 517 this selection.

518

519 Materials & Methods

520 Sample collection

521 DNA samples were obtained through (1) active sampling of animals for this project; this was 522 done in collaboration with the Kenya Wildlife Service at the Ol Pejeta Conservancy, Kenya, 523 or (2) secondary use of DNA samples previously collected; this included samples previously 524 collected and published from Tanzania [14], Uganda [64], and Mozambique, Botswana, 525 Zimbabwe, South Africa, Niger, Burkina Faso, Gabon Central African Republic and Chad [5, 526 6, 8]. For sample collection in Kenya, buffalo were darted and sedated by qualified veterinary 527 personnel from KWS, and 10 ml blood collected into Paxgene Blood DNA tubes from 528 peripheral venous sampling. DNA was extracted from the Paxgene Blood DNA tubes using 529 the Paxgene Blood DNA kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Tissue 530 pieces (OPB4) were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen in the field. Tissue pieces were

bomogenised using mortar and pestle over liquid nitrogen. The powder was resuspended in

532 Trireagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according

533 to the manufacturer's instructions.

Relevant research approvals were obtained in all instances; for the active sampling within this study, approval was obtained from the Kenya Wildlife Services (permit number KWS/BRM/5001). For secondary use of DNA samples previously collected, relevant permits are Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute and Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology (permit number 2021-262-NA-2021-066) [14] and Uganda Wildlife Authority (permit number COD/96/05) [64], or details are provided in [5, 6, 8].

540 Genome sequencing

541 For the reference genome, a buffalo sample from Ol Pejeta in Kenya (OPB4) was sequenced 542 using a combination of Illumina HiSeq (Dovetail Genomics & Edinburgh Genomics) and 543 Pacific BioSciences approaches (Dovetail Genomics & Edinburgh Genomics) to a final 544 sequencing coverage of 75x (Illumina) and 60x (PacBio). The same sample was also 545 sequenced using Illumina Hi-C (Dovetail Genomics) in order to facilitate scaffolding. For 546 the population samples, approximately 2.5 µg of total DNA from 196 animals sampled across 547 Africa (Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Mozambique, Botswana, Zimbabwe, South Africa, Niger, 548 Burkina Faso, Gabon, Central African Republic and Chad; Table 1, Supplementary Table 2) 549 was subjected to whole-genome sequencing by Illumina HiSeq; this was performed at a 550 coverage of 30x for 50 samples from Tanzania, with the remaining samples being sequenced 551 at 15x.

552 Genome assembly

553 A primary assembly of the single molecule PacBio sequencing from OPB4 (mean read 554 lengths > 10Kb) was generated using FALCON and consisted of 7,269 contigs and an N50 of 555 1.9 Mb. This primary assembly was scaffolded using the Hi-C libraries and the HiRise 556 software by Dovetail. The resulting scaffold-level assembly was further improved via gap 557 filling and polishing steps performed with PBJelly [27] and Pilon [28] respectively, as 558 described below. Gap filling: 7,085 gaps (both inter- and intra-scaffolds) were identified in 559 the scaffold-level assembly. A total of 78 inter-scaffold gaps were partially filled (i.e. 560 extended on one side) using PBJelly, with 476,665 bases added in total, while none of the 561 identified gaps were fully closed. This observation confirmed the high quality of the primary 562 assembly achieved from PacBio reads including a post-processing step using Arrow (part of 563 the GenomicConsensus package from PacBio). Polishing: An additional 75x Illumina short 564 read sequencing (101bp paired-end reads) of DNA from the same individual used to build the 565 reference genome assembly (OPB4), was used to polish the *de novo* scaffold-level reference 566 genome assembly. Polishing allows the correction of artefacts due to sequencing errors in 567 assemblies, using the pile up of short reads that are associated with low sequencing error 568 $(\sim 1\%)$. This process was performed multiple times and improvement upon quality metrics 569 (i.e. reduced numbers of ambiguous bases, corrected SNPs, resolved small indels, closed 570 gaps) were assessed after each round of Pilon (see Supplementary Data 1). The rate of 571 improvements reached a plateau between the third (P3) and the fourth (P4) rounds of Pilon, 572 and therefore the resulting P4 polished assembly was considered optimal and used for 573 downstream analysis. Given the reference genome should not contain any homozygote 574 alternate variant calls relative to the short read data from the same sample, we compared how 575 the number of these changed following polishing. The Illumina short reads, sequenced from 576 the same animal as that used to generate the reference genome assembly (OPB4), were 577 mapped with bwa-mem (BWA v0.7.17) against the polished genome assemblies (P2 to P4).

578 The percentages of mapped reads were extremely high (>99%) and comparable across the P2,

579 P3 and P4 assemblies.

580 Assembly statistics

581 To directly compare the quality of the genome assembly at each step during the assembly 582 process, and to highlight improvements, QUAST (v 5.0.2) [65] was used to produce genome 583 assembly metrics for each iteration of the genome assembly, pre and post gap filling with 584 PBJelly, and for each successive round of polishing with Pilon (Supplementary Data 1). 585 QUAST further compares a given genome assembly to a reference genome, and for this the 586 genome assembly for the water buffalo Bubalus bubalis (GCF_003121395.1) [29] was 587 provided, to produce genome alignment metrics and details of suspected misassemblies 588 (Supplementary Data 1). custom Python А script 589 (https://raw.githubusercontent.com/evotools/CattleGraphGenomePaper/master/Assembly/AB 590 S.py) was used to calculate scaffold metrics, N, L, NG, LG and GC content for a given 591 proportion of the scaffold-level P4 genome assembly, in 5% increments (5-100, 592 Supplementary Data 1). The scaffold-level P4 genome assembly contains a total of 3,351 593 scaffolds, of which 1,381 scaffolds are greater than 10 kb. Quality values (QV) representative 594 of the single-base accuracy were computed using Merqury (v1.1)[66]with the K-mer counts 595 generated by Meryl (v1.2; https://github.com/marbl/meryl). For downstream analysis we 596 selected 1,381 contigs with a length of 10 kb or greater, representing 99.68% (2.653 Gb) of 597 the total length of the assembled genome. This subset of contigs were used for downstream 598 analyses.

599 Detection of novel genomic sequences

600 Following completion of the assembly, we identified the novel sequences in the genome in 601 comparison with other ruminant species. We selected a set of nine genome assemblies for 602 five species, and calculated the distances among them using mash v2.2 [67], using a K-mer 603 size of 32. We used the following genome assemblies to generate the alignment graph: 604 Syncerus caffer (accession number GCA_902825105.1), Bubalus bubalis Mediterranean 605 (GCF_003121395.1)[29], Capra hircus San Clemente (GCF_001704415.1)[34], Bos 606 grunniens (GCA_005887515.2)[30], Bos taurus indicus Brahman (GCF_003369695.1), Bos 607 taurus taurus Angus (GCA 003369685.2)[31], Bos taurus taurus Hereford 608 (GCF_002263795.1)[33], Bos taurus taurus N'Dama (GCA_905123515) and Bos taurus 609 indicus Ankole (GCA_905123885)[32]. We then generated a phylogenetic tree using the 610 neighbour-joining algorithm included in the neighbour software from Phylip (v3.698)[68] 611 which was used to create the following guide tree for CACTUS [69]:

- 612 ((angus:0.00187,hereford:0.00115)Anc1:0.0004,(ankole:0.00317,((yak:0.00671,((abuffalo:0.
- 613 01228,wbuffalo:0.0095)Anc6:0.00438,goat:0.04443)Anc5:0.01195)Anc4:0.00254,brahman:0
- 614 .00256)Anc3:0.00023)Anc2:0.0004,ndama:0.00195)Anc0;

615 The HAL archive of multiple whole genome alignments (mWGA) was generated using the

616software CACTUS [69], and then converted to PackedGraph format using the hal2vg617software (v.2.1)[70] with the African buffalo genome as reference. We then used the nf-618GraphSeqworkflow

- 619 (https://github.com/evotools/CattleGraphGenomePaper/tree/master/detectSequences/nf-
- 620 <u>GraphSeq</u>) described in Talenti et al. [32] based on libbdsg [71] to identify the nodes (i.e. the
- 621 fragment of genome) that are found exclusively in the backbone of the graph (i.e. African
- buffalo genome), excluding all intervals overlapping a gap. We combined all interval regions
- 623 less than 5bp apart using BEDTools (v.2.30.0) [72]. We then annotated the regions by length
- 624 (short if < 10bp, intermediate if < 60bp and large if > 60bp), position (labelled telomeric if

<10Kb from the end of a scaffold larger than 5Mb, flanking a gap if <1Kb from an N-mer), type of sequence (novel if > 95% of the bases in the region are not found in any other genome, or haplotype if < 95% of the bases were found only in the African Buffalo) and proportion of masked bases. We filtered out regions if they 1) were not classified as long, 2) contained less than 50% novel bases and 3) were not telomeric or were not flanking a gap.

630 To validate that these regions corresponded to buffalo sequence, and did not derive, for 631 example, from contamination, 46 of the population WGS samples were randomly selected 632 and their coverage examined at these regions, with the assumption that if these regions 633 corresponded to contamination in our reference sample, they would not have aligned reads 634 from multiple buffalo samples. Mean read depth was calculated for each of the 74,659 novel 635 regions within the reference genome, for the 46 population samples, using Mosdepth (v0.3.4)636 [73]. The distribution of average coverage values across the population samples, for each 637 novel region, is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. There are only 1494 novel regions with a 638 mean read depth < 1 and 419 regions with no reads mapped across these 46 samples, 639 suggesting that these putative African buffalo-specific regions do not derive from an artefact 640 such as contamination.

641 We characterized the content of the novel regions by 1) performing a motif analysis using 642 HOMER (v4.11.1)[74], and 2) by detecting the novel features. To identify these features, we 643 used the annotation generated by Ensembl and available in the rapid release database 644 (http://www.ensembl.info/2020/06/25/ensembl-rapid-release/; accession 645 GCA_902825105.1). We identified all gene features overlapping a novel sequence using 646 bedtools intersect (v2.30.0) [72], and identified only these fully overlapping a novel region 647 still with bedtools intersect with the -f 1.0 option (100% of overlap between the feature and 648 the novel region).

649 Once we identified these fully new gene features, we extracted the GO term and KEGG 650 pathways present in the annotation itself in embl format. To do so, we first converted the file 651 in GenBank format, and then extracted for each gene the transcript IDs, protein IDs and 652 biological terms. For these terms, we performed an enrichment analysis in R using a binomial 653 test with the genes not in novel regions as background.

654 *Reference genome annotation*

655 Genome annotation was undertaken at EMBL-EBI by Ensembl, primarily using RNA-seq 656 and full-length isoform sequencing (Iso-Seq) data generated from the animal for which the 657 genome was assembled. A TruSeq stranded total RNA-seq library with one round of Ribo-658 Zero Gold kit (Illumina) was prepared from one pooled library consisting of RNA samples 659 from eight tissues (heart, prescapular and inguinal lymph nodes, testis, liver, kidney, lung and 660 spleen) collected from the animal for which the genome was assembled. RNA-seq was 661 performed at Edinburgh Genomics on an S2 lane of an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform 662 generating 100bp paired-end reads. Iso-Seq was performed at the Centre for Genomic 663 Research at the University of Liverpool, using RNA samples from six different tissues 664 (prescapular lymph node, testis, liver, kidney, lung and spleen) collected from the same 665 animal. Full-length cDNA from total RNA was generated using TeloPrime full-length cDNA 666 amplification kit (v2) from Lexogen. A total of six barcoded TeloPrime libraries from six 667 RNA samples were multiplexed. Iso-seq was performed on the resulting multiplexed library 668 using six PacBio Sequel SMRT cells. The RNA-seq data were aligned to the reference 669 genome using STAR [75]. For loci where the structures derived from the transcriptomic data 670 appeared to be fragmented or absent, gap-filling using cross-species protein data was carried 671 out. For more information on the annotation process see Supplementary Information 1.

672 Detection of variants in WGS samples across Africa.

673 For all 196 WGS samples from S. caffer across Africa (raw data is available at ENA via 674 accession numbers PRJEB59220 and ERP144275), reads were mapped with bwa-mem 675 (BWA v0.7.17) against the reference genome generated as above. The GATK (v4.0.11.0) 676 pipeline, following the best practices as outlined at https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/hc/en-677 us/articles/360036194592-Getting-started-with-GATK4 was used with HaplotypeCaller to 678 identify variants (SNPs and Indels). The GATK best practice includes a Variant Quality 679 Score Recalibration (VQSR) step that compares all variant calls to those in a high quality set 680 to identify and flag potential false positives. Unlike in well-characterised species no gold-681 standard set of variants is available for the African buffalo. We therefore used a consensus set 682 of 6,806,905 variants called from the Illumina data generated for the same sample as the 683 reference genome using three software tools (GATK, Arrow and Longshot [76]). Although 684 we do not expect this set to be free of false variant calls, we expect it to be enriched for true 685 positives and was therefore used in VOSR. Three VOSR tranches, 99, 99.9 and 100 (each 686 representing the proportion of gold-standard variants that are retained at each quality 687 threshold), were assessed. The variant set resulting from the 99.9 tranche was selected for 688 downstream analyses with a Ti/Tv ratio of 2.07 and >120M variants. The variant set was 689 further filtered for GQ (Phred-scaled Probability that the call is incorrect) values less than 30 690 and site missingness of 0.9 (at least 90% of the samples contain data at this site). PLINK 691 (v1.90) was used to calculate sample missingness, the proportion of variant sites missing 692 from each sample, and vcftools (v0.1.13) to calculate the relatedness of all individuals. For 693 downstream analyses, individual samples with a missingness greater than 0.15, additionally 694 individuals that were closer than fourth degree relatedness (relatedness value 0.0625), were 695 also removed, resulting in a variant dataset covering 163 individual animals. We checked for 696 any mapping biases due to use of an East African reference genome, by randomly sampling 697 three animals per country and comparing how read mapping rates differed by longitude 698 (Supplementary Figure 7). No obvious mapping bias was observed among the West African 699 samples when mapping to the reference genome obtained from an East African sample.

700 Genomic diversity analyses

701 The VCF file for the set of unrelated samples was first filtered through bcftools (v1.9; 702 https://samtools.github.io/bcftools/) to keep only unrelated individuals according to the KING method implemented in vcftools [77, 78]. A cutoff of 0.0625 was applied to exclude 3rd 703 704 degree relatives or closer. Furthermore only biallelic SNPs in large contigs (>10Kb) were 705 retained. Variants were further filtered using plink (v1.90b4) [79] to restrict to those with a 706 minor allele frequency >0.05. This dataset was then used to carry out analyses of migration 707 events and effective population size. ADMIXTURE and the identity-by-state phylogenetic 708 tree can benefit from having an even sample size for the different populations/samples 709 deriving from the same location that were tested [38]. Therefore, for these analyses we 710 identified a representative subsample for the populations with more than 15 animals. Sample 711 size reduction was carried out using the BITE R package [80] to select a representative set of 712 individuals for each population. The reduction process was performed on each population 713 separately. For each group we selected the variants with very high call rate (99%) and highly 714 polymorphic (--maf 0.3). The reduction step in BITE was performed considering only 715 individuals with 95% call rate and up to 10K markers to compute the kinship matrix (options 716 n.trials = 100000, ibs.marker=10000, n.k=2, ibs.thr = 0.95, id.cr=0.95). Principal component 717 analysis (PCA) was performed post reduction in sample numbers using plink v1.90b4. 718 Admixture analysis performed using was ADMIXBoots 719 (https://github.com/RenzoTale88/ADMIXBoots), a Nextflow workflow that performs 720 bootstrapped admixture (v1.3.0) [81], defining a consensus of the different K at different

721 iterations using CLUMPP [82] and generating plots in R. The workflow was run pruning for 722 variants in linkage (plink --indep-pairwise 5000 100 0.3), testing every K between 2 and 15, 723 and with 100 bootstraps of 100,000 markers each. A consensus of the different bootstraps 724 was called using CLUMPP in LargeKGreedy mode. Bar charts for each consensus K, 725 boxplots for the distribution of the CV errors and line plots of the H' scores of each K were 726 generated from the pipeline automatically. Bootstrapped identity-by-state (IBS) phylogenetic 727 tree was calculated using the nf-PhyloTree workflow (https://github.com/RenzoTale88/nf-728 PhyloTree). This workflow uses plink to generate a matrix of identity-by-state distances 729 across individuals. These workflows then use a series of custom scripts to generate the 730 individual phylogenetic tree, call the consensus tree and generate the input compliant for 731 GraphLan [83]. In our case, we ran the workflow allowing for pruning variants in high 732 linkage disequilibrium using plink (--indep-pairwise 5000 100 0.3), then generated 100-733 bootstrapped IBS-based distances using plink (--distance 1-ibs square flat-missing), allowing 734 repeated variants in each bootstrap and sampling a number of SNPs equalling the number of 735 pruned variants. A consensus tree was generated using a custom python script, converted to 736 phyloXML, annotated for colour and consistency nodes and finally plotted with GraphLan [83]. For the isolation by distance analysis, pairwise F_{ST} values between populations were 737 738 calculated using vcftools, and the Haversine formula was used to calculate the distances 739 between the centre points of population sampling sites.

740 Estimated Effective Migration Surfaces (EEMS)

741 The EEMS package developed by [84] Petkova et al. was used 742 (https://github.com/dipetkov/eems) to estimate effective migration surfaces. The 743 runeems snps program was used to visualise spatial population structure in the African 744 buffalo populations and to identify the geographic barriers to migration preventing gene flow 745 across these populations. The runeems_snps program requires the following data as input 746 files: (1) a matrix of average pairwise genetic dissimilarities, (2) sample coordinates, and (3) 747 a list of habitat coordinates, here covering the natural distribution of African buffalo 748 populations on the African continent, and listed as a sequence of vertices organised as a 749 closed polygon. For the input files for EEMS analysis, a matrix of average pairwise genetic 750 dissimilarities was generated from the pruned set of SNP data, using the bed2diffs_v1 751 program within the EEMS package. The locations of all African buffalo animals, from which 752 DNA samples were collected for WGS and variant detection, were inputted as longitude and 753 latitude coordinates, indicating either specific sampling locations or the centre of specific 754 geographical regions (e.g. national parks) when no other information was available. The list 755 of habitat coordinates was generated based on the known past and present natural distribution 756 of the four subspecies of African buffalo populations (as described in [2]) and using the 757 https://www.latlong.net/ website to identify the latitude and longitude geocoding of point 758 locations on the African continent. EEMS analysis was run using the runeems_snps program 759 within the EEMS package based on the African buffalo pruned SNP data. Parameters used to 760 run EEMS analysis were set as follows: nIndiv = 163; nSites = 6000; nDemes = 400; diploid 761 = true; numMCMCIter = 4000000; numBurnIter = 1000000; numThinIter = 9999. 762 Description for all parameters used are defined in the EEMS instruction manual 763 (v.0.0.0900). Results of EEMS analysis were plotted using the rEEMSplot package in R to 764 generate contour plots of effective migration and effective diversity surfaces from EEMS 765 outputs. Additionally, posterior probability trace plots (pilogl) were used to check the MCMC 766 sampler had successfully converged using four million MCMC iterations. The effective 767 migration and diversity surfaces plots also include the addition of lakes and rivers depicted in 768 based blue on data extracted from the Natural Earth website 769 (https://www.naturalearthdata.com/download/50m/physical/).

770 Estimating effective population sizes and selective sweeps

771 To calculate the XP-EHH scores the African buffalo genotype data was first phased using 772 Beagle 5.1 [85]. A recombination rate of 1cM/Mb was assumed and XP-EHH scores 773 calculated between each pair of populations using hapbin [86]. Peaks were called as 774 previously described [42]. Briefly XP-EHH scores were smoothed by averaging across 1000 775 SNP windows and putative selective sweep regions were those with an absolute XP-EHH >4, 776 with the start and end coordinates defined where the XP-EHH scores fell back below two. 777 The locations of XP-EHH peaks in the water buffalo and cattle genomes were obtained from 778 Dutta et al. [42] and the peaks for all three species mapped to the orthologous regions of the 779 water buffalo genome.

780 The effective population sizes over time of the three largest African buffalo populations were 781 calculated using Relate v1.1.6 [40] using the same phased haplotypes from Beagle. An 782 estimated generation time of 11 years for the African buffalo was used in this analysis [87]. 783 Previously calculated estimated effective population sizes for human African populations 784 were obtained from Speidel et al [40]. The P_R statistic was also calculated using Relate [40] 785 and the same Beagle haplotype files using an estimated mutation rate of 1.25×10^{-8} . Variants 786 with a P less than 5×10^{-8} were retained. The circular Manhattan plot was created using the 787 CMplot R package [88]. The water buffalo genes were lifted over to the African buffalo 788 genome to identify which genes fell under putative selective sweep peaks.

789 Data availability statement

790 All raw data generated in this project is available through the following routes: for the buffalo 791 reference genome, PacBio, Illumina and Hi-C data is available via Genbank 792 (GCA_902825105.1) and ENA (PRJEB3658), and the assembly, annotation and associated 793 files flat can be accessed through 794 https://rapid.ensembl.org/Syncerus caffer GCA 902825105.1; transcriptomic data were 795 deposited to ENA (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/GCA_902825105.1) with 796 accession numbers PRJEB36587 and PRJEB36588 for RNA-seq and Iso-Seq, respectively, 797 and population genome data is available through ENA via accession number PRJEB59220.

798 Acknowledgements

799 This research was funded in part by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (USA) and with 800 UK aid from the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (Grant Agreement 801 OPP1127286) under the auspices of the Centre for Tropical Livestock Genetics and Health 802 (CTLGH), established jointly by the University of Edinburgh (UK), Scotland's Rural College 803 (SRUC, UK), and the International Livestock Research Institute (UK). The findings and 804 conclusions contained within are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect positions 805 or policies of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation nor the UK Government. The work was 806 also supported by grants BBS/OS/GC/000012C, BBS/E/D/20002172 and 807 BBS/E/D/20002174 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council 808 (BBSRC, UK). This research was conducted as part of the Consultative Group on 809 International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) Program on Livestock (Kenya). International 810 Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Kenya, is supported by contributors to the CGIAR Trust 811 Fund. CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food-secure future. Its science is carried 812 out by 15 Research Centres in close collaboration with hundreds of partners across the globe 813 (www.cgiar.org). Work carried out at EMBL, EBI was supported by the Wellcome Trust 814 (WT222155/Z/20/Z)

- 815 and BBSRC (BB/S020152/1).
- 816 817

818 Figure Legends

819

820 Figure 1. Genome assembly metrics. The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and 821 BUSCO gene completeness. A) Syncerus caffer de novo assembly. The main plot represents 822 the full genome length of 2.65 Gb. The distribution of scaffold length is shown in dark grey 823 with the plot radius scaled to the longest chromosome present in the assembly (190 Mb, 824 shown in red). Dark and light orange sections represent N50 and N90 (69Mb and 8.8Mb), 825 respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count on a log scale with 826 white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue/pale-blue/white ring 827 graph shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages for the given range in the main 828 plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the 829 mammalia_odb9 set is shown in the top right. B) Chen et al published genome. BlobToolKit 830 Snailplot representing the S. caffer assembly as presented by Chen et al. [26] C) Glanzmann 831 et al published genome. BlobToolKit Snailplot representing the S. caffer assembly as 832 presented by Glanzmann et al. [25] The plot radius for both the Chen and Glanzmann 833 genomes has been scaled to the maximum contig length (190Mb) in the S. caffer genome 834 assembled here to enable comparison of metrics.

835 Figure 2. (A) The sampling locations of African buffalo samples sequenced in the current 836 study (circled letters), mapped on to the approximate current distribution of the four 837 subspecies. a: Singou and Pama Game Reserves (GR)/Arli National Park (NP) complex, 838 Burkina Faso (n=10 samples [before data filtering]); b: W NP, Niger (n=10); c: Zakouma NP, 839 Chad (n=13), d: Manovo-Gounda-St. Floris NP, Central African Republic (CAR; n=2); e: 840 Bamingui-Bangoran NP, CAR (n=2); f: Sangba, CAR (n=1); g: N'Gotto Forest Reserve, 841 CAR (n=2); h: Lekedi NP, Gabon (n=8); i: Murchison Falls NP, Uganda (n=13), j: Kidepo 842 NP, Uganda (n=20); k: Ol Pejeta Game Reserve, Kenya (n=12); l: Serengeti NP, Tanzania 843 (n=15); m: Ngorongoro Conservation Area, Tanzania (n=15); n: Tarangire NP, Tanzania 844 (n=10); o: Arusha NP, Tanzania (n=10); p: Niassa National Reserve (NR), Mozambique 845 (n=9); q: Marromeu NR, Mozambique (n=9); r: Chobe NP, Botswana (n=9); s: Okavango 846 Delta, Botswana (n=9); t: Gonarezhou NP/Crook's Corner, Zimbabwe (n=18), u: Hluhluwe-847 Umfolozi NP, South Africa (n=8; for full sample data see Supplementary Table 2). (B) 848 Principal Component Analysis of population samples, with data for components 1 and 2 849 illustrated. Samples are coloured by country of origin, with different symbols indicating the 850 previously recognised subspecies.

851 Figure 3. Population genetic analyses based on genome sequences: A) Admixture analysis for 852 K = 3 showing the three major clusters of diversity (Western/Central Africa in red, Eastern 853 Africa in yellow and Southern Africa in blue). B) Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree from 854 the Identity-by-State (IBS) distances showing the gradient from Southern- to Eastern- to 855 Western/Central-African populations (clockwise from the root). Symbols indicate confidence 856 value for each node (circle is less than 50%; square between 50% and 74%; star between 857 75% and 89%; hexagon above 90%). C) Isolation by distance (IBD) analysis of African 858 buffalo populations. The F_{ST} values were calculated between all pairs of populations and 859 plotted against their geographic distance apart. Pairwise comparisons involving S. c. nanus 860 are indicated in blue, pairwise comparisons involving the Hluhluwe-Umfolozi population are 861 shown in green, the single pairwise comparison comparing S. c. nanus and Hluhluwe-862 Umfolozi in purple, and all remaining pairwise comparisons in red. The predicted pairwise 863 F_{ST} values outside of the observed distances are indicated by dashed lines. D) The proportion 864 of homozygous segments per sample (FROH) indicating that the Hluhluwe-Umfolozi 865 population has unusually high levels of homozygosity.

866 Figure 4. (A) The contour map shows the mean of two independent Estimating Effective 867 Migration Surfaces (EEMS) posterior migration rate estimates between 400 demes modelled 868 over the land surface of Sub-Saharan Africa. A value of 1 (blue) indicates a tenfold greater 869 migration rate over the average; -1 (orange) indicates tenfold lower migration than average. 870 The courses of the major river systems (Niger, Congo, Nile and Orange rivers), as well as 871 water bodies with a surface area greater than 5,000 km² are included to highlight their 872 potential relationships with migratory routes and barriers. Red diamonds indicate 873 geographical location of samples in the dataset. (B) Estimated effective population sizes of 874 African buffalo (solid lines) and human (dashed lines) populations over time. The countries 875 of sampling for each population are indicated in the legend along with the three letter 1,000 876 Genomes consortium population code for the human data. Only human populations from the 877 1,000 Genomes consortium dataset of recent African origin are shown.

- Figure 5. (A) The coloured outermost track and legend indicates the SNP density across 41 large contigs. The next three tracks show the P_R scores in the Uganda (centremost), Zimbabwe/Botswana (middle) and Tanzania/Kenya (outer) populations. Red points indicate SNPs with a P-value smaller than 5×10^{-8} . The peaks at LOC102396916 are highlighted (B)
- Absolute XP-EHH scores across Contig 187 for the Hluhluwe-Umfolozi versus intermediate populations indicating the peak also detected at the LOC102396916 locus.
- 884 Supplementary Data 1 Genome assembly statistics.
- 885 Supplementary Figure 1 Mean read depth across the putative African buffalo specific regions.
- 886 Mean read depth was calculated for each of the 74,659 novel regions within the reference
- genome, for 46 of the population samples, using Mosdepth (v0.3.4) [73]. The distribution of
- average coverage values across the population samples, for each novel region, is shown.
- 889 There are only 1494 novel regions with a mean read depth < 1 and 419 regions with no reads 890 mapped across these 46 samples.
- 891 Supplementary Table 1. Genes identified in the buffalo-specific sequence, with Ensembl
- transcript, gene and protein identifiers, and GO terms where relevant. Note that the list is
- 893 greater than the 583 identified genes, as some genes appear in the list more than once due to 894 having different transcripts.
- 895 Supplementary Table 2. Details of buffalo samples for which genome sequences were
- generated and included in this study, including sample identification, subspecies, country of
- 897 origin, region of origin, whether sequences were retained in analysis following filtering steps
- 898 (0.0625 relatedness, 0.2 missingness), the population group the sample was assigned to, and a
- 899 latitude/longitude of a central point in the respective sampling area.
- Supplementary Figure 2 Principal Component analysis pre- & post-reduction (i.e. following
 sample removal post filtering steps; 0.0625 relatedness, 0.2 missingness), with data for
 components 1 and 2 illustrated, samples are coloured by population grouping.
- 903 Supplementary Figure 3. Admixture evaluation metrics ((A) cross-validation error, (B)
- number of iterations to converge and (C) H') at different values of K calculated using 100
 bootstraps of 100,000 variants each.
- 906 Supplementary Figure 4. Admixture analysis for K = 2-10.
- 907 Supplementary Figure 5. Relate-inferred inverse coalescence rates (effective population
- 908 sizes) for each of the larger sub-groups to themselves (dashed lines) and each other (solid
- 909 lines). For this comparison, due to the smaller sample sizes, all West African animals were
- 910 collated into one group.

- 911 Supplementary Table 3. Pairwise F_{ST} values for the nine population groupings (S. c
- 912 brachyceros, S. c. nanus, S. c. aequinoctialis, intermediate (putative hybrids between S. c.
- 913 nanus, S. c. aequinoctialis), S. c. caffer Uganda, S. c. caffer Kenya/Tanzania, S. c. caffer
- 914 Mozambique, S. c. caffer Zimbabwe/Botswana and S. c. caffer South Africa), and geographic
- 915 distance as measured to centred latitude/longitude measurement for each grouping.
- 916 Supplementary Table 4. Details of genes identified to be under selection in the African
- 917 buffalo, whether the gene has been previously identified to be in a selection peak in either the
- 918 cow or water buffalo, and whether the gene is related to immune response function. Genes
- are grouped by (a) detected in both XPEHH and PR analyses of African buffalo (dark green),
- 920 (b) detected in either XPEHH or PR analyses of African buffalo, and in both metrics for
- 921 water buffalo or cow analyses (medium green), (c) detected in either XPEHH or PR analyses
- 922 of African buffalo, and in one of the metrics for water buffalo or cow analyses (light green),
- 923 or (d) none of the above (no colour).
- Supplementary Figure 6. The absolute XP-EHH scores at the LOC102396916 locus on contig
- 187. The boundaries of the called peak are indicated by dashed vertical lines. The locationand direction of LOC102396916 is shown in blue below.
- 927 Supplementary Information 1 A methodological summary of the genome annotation process928 undertaken at ENSEMBL.
- Supplementary Figure 7. Mapping rate by longitude of three randomly selected samples per
 country. No obvious mapping bias was observed among the West African samples when
 mapping to the reference genome obtained from an East African sample.
- 932 **References**
- 933
- Bast, R., *African Antelope Database 1999*, I.S.A.S. Group, Editor. 1999: Gland,
 Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.
- Cornelis, D., et al., African buffalo Syncerus caffer (Sparman, 1779), in Ecology,
 evolution and behaviour of wild cattle: implications for conservation., M. Melletti
 and J. Burton, Editors. 2014, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 939 3. Cornelis, D., et al., *Conservation status of the African buffalo: a continent-wide*940 *assessment.*, in *Ecology and Management of the African buffalo*, A. Caron, et al.,
 941 Editors. 2023, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 942 4. Michaux, J., N. Smitz, and P. Van Hooft, *Taxonomic status of the African buffalo.*, in
 943 *Ecology and Management of the African buffalo*, A. Caron, et al., Editors. 2023,
 944 Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 9455.Smitz, N., et al., Pan-African genetic structure in the African buffalo (Syncerus946caffer): investigating intraspecific divergence. PLoS One, 2013. 8(2): p. e56235.
- 947 6. Smitz, N., et al., Genetic structure of fragmented southern populations of African
 948 Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer caffer). BMC Evol Biol, 2014. 14: p. 203.
- 949 7. Heller, R., A. Bruniche-Olsen, and H.R. Siegismund, *Cape buffalo mitogenomics*950 *reveals a Holocene shift in the African human-megafauna dynamics*. Mol Ecol, 2012.
 951 21(16): p. 3947-59.
- 8. Smitz, N., et al., Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) identification
 and characterization in a non-model organism, the African buffalo (Syncerus caffer),
 using next generation sequencing. Mammalian Biology, 2016. 81: p. 595-603.
- 9559.de Jager, D., et al., High diversity, inbreeding and a dynamic Pleistocene956demographic history revealed by African buffalo genomes. Sci Rep, 2021. 11(1): p.9574540.

- 958 10. Quinn, L., et al., Colonialism in South Africa leaves a lasting legacy of reduced
 959 genetic diversity in Cape buffalo. Mol Ecol, 2023. 32(8): p. 1860-1874.
- 960 11. Pizzutto, C.S., H. Colbachini, and P.N. Jorge-Neto, *One Conservation: the integrated view of biodiversity conservation*. Anim Reprod, 2021. 18(2): p. e20210024.
- Hohenlohe, P.A., W.C. Funk, and O.P. Rajora, *Population genomics for wildlife conservation and management*. Mol Ecol, 2021. **30**(1): p. 62-82.
- 96413.Auty, H., et al., Cattle trypanosomosis: the diversity of trypanosomes and965implications for disease epidemiology and control. Rev Sci Tech, 2015. 34(2): p. 587-96698.
- P67 14. Casey-Bryars, M., et al., *Waves of endemic foot-and-mouth disease in eastern Africa*P68 suggest feasibility of proactive vaccination approaches. Nat Ecol Evol, 2018. 2(9): p.
 P69 1449-1457.
- Bengis, R., et al., Infections and parasites of the free-ranging African buffalo., in *Ecology and Management of the African buffalo*, A. Caron, et al., Editors. 2023,
 Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, Uk.
- 973 16. Dwinger, R.H., et al., Susceptibility of buffaloes, cattle and goats to infection with
 974 different stocks of Trypanosoma vivax transmitted by Glossina morsitans centralis.
 975 Res Vet Sci, 1986. 41(3): p. 307-15.
- 976 17. Grootenhuis, J.G., et al., Susceptibility of African buffalo and Boran cattle to
 977 Trypanosoma congolense transmitted by Glossina morsitans centralis. Vet Parasitol,
 978 1990. 35(3): p. 219-31.
- Morrison, W.I., J.D. Hemmink, and P.G. Toye, *Theileria parva: a parasite of African buffalo, which has adapted to infect and undergo transmission in cattle.* Int J Parasitol, 2020. 50(5): p. 403-412.
- 982 19. Gifford-Gonzalez, D., Animal disease challenges to the emergence of pastoralism in
 983 Sub-Saharan Africa. African Archaeological Review, 2000. 17(3): p. 95-139.
- 20. Lankester, F. and A. Davis, *Pastoralism and wildlife: historical and current perspectives in the East African rangelands of Kenya and Tanzania.* Rev Sci Tech, 2016. 35(2): p. 473-484.
- 987 21. Michel, A.L., *Implications of tuberculosis in African wildlife and livestock*. Ann N Y
 988 Acad Sci, 2002. 969: p. 251-5.
- 22. Kock, R., et al., *Livestock and buffalo (Syncerus caffer) interfaces in Africa: ecology*990 of disease transmission and implications for conservation and development., in
 991 *Ecology, Evolution and Behaviour of Wild Cattle*, M. Melletti and J. Burton, Editors.
 992 2014, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. p. 431-425.
- 23. Caron, A., et al., *Relationship between burden of infection in ungulate populations*and wildlife/livestock interfaces. Epidemiol Infect, 2013. 141(7): p. 1522-35.
- Section 24. Kock, R., et al., African buffalo and colonial cattle: is "systems change" the best
 future for farming and nature in Africa?, in Ecology and Management of the African
 buffalo, A. Caron, et al., Editors. 2023, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- 998 25. Glanzmann, B., et al., *The complete genome sequence of the African buffalo*999 (*Syncerus caffer*). BMC Genomics, 2016. **17**(1): p. 1001.
- 100026.Chen, L., et al., Large-scale ruminant genome sequencing provides insights into their1001evolution and distinct traits. Science, 2019. 364(6446).
- English, A.C., et al., *Mind the gap: upgrading genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS long-read sequencing technology*. PLoS One, 2012. 7(11): p. e47768.
- 100428.Walker, B.J., et al., Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant1005detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One, 2014. 9(11): p. e112963.
- 100629.Low, W.Y., et al., Chromosome-level assembly of the water buffalo genome surpasses1007human and goat genomes in sequence contiguity. Nat Commun, 2019. 10(1): p. 260.

- 100830.Zhang, S., et al., Structural Variants Selected during Yak Domestication Inferred from1009Long-Read Whole-Genome Sequencing. Mol Biol Evol, 2021. 38(9): p. 3676-3680.
- 1010 31. Koren, S., et al., *De novo assembly of haplotype-resolved genomes with trio binning*.
 1011 Nat Biotechnol, 2018.
- 101232.Talenti, A., et al., A cattle graph genome incorporating global breed diversity. Nat1013Commun, 2022. 13(1): p. 910.
- 1014 33. Rosen, B.D., et al., *De novo assembly of the cattle reference genome with single-*1015 *molecule sequencing.* Gigascience, 2020. **9**(3).
- 1016 34. Bickhart, D.M., et al., Single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation
 1017 capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome. Nat Genet,
 1018 2017. 49(4): p. 643-650.
- 101935.Girgis, H.Z., Red: an intelligent, rapid, accurate tool for detecting repeats de-novo on1020the genomic scale. BMC Bioinformatics, 2015. 16: p. 227.
- 102136.Franza, B.R., Jr., et al., The Fos complex and Fos-related antigens recognize1022sequence elements that contain AP-1 binding sites. Science, 1988. 239(4844): p.10231150-3.
- 1024 37. Klopfenstein, D.V., et al., *GOATOOLS: A Python library for Gene Ontology*1025 analyses. Sci Rep, 2018. 8(1): p. 10872.
- 102638.Meirmans, P.G., Subsampling reveals that unbalanced sampling affects STRUCTURE1027results in a multi-species dataset. Heredity (Edinb), 2019. 122(3): p. 276-287.
- 102839.Sabeti, P.C., et al., Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection1029in human populations. Nature, 2007. 449(7164): p. 913-8.
- 103040.Speidel, L., et al., A method for genome-wide genealogy estimation for thousands of1031samples. Nat Genet, 2019. 51(9): p. 1321-1329.
- 1032 41. Moon, J.M., et al., *Examination of Signatures of Recent Positive Selection on Genes*1033 *Involved in Human Sialic Acid Biology*. G3 (Bethesda), 2018. 8(4): p. 1315-1325.
- 103442.Dutta, P., et al., Whole genome analysis of water buffalo and global cattle breeds1035highlights convergent signatures of domestication. Nat Commun, 2020. 11(1): p.10364739.
- Prajapati, B.M., et al., *Molecular markers for resistance against infectious diseases of economic importance.* Vet World, 2017. 10(1): p. 112-120.
- 1039 44. Young, R., et al., A Gene Expression Atlas of the Domestic Water Buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). Front Genet, 2019. 10: p. 668.
- 1041 45. Fennessy, J., et al., *Multi-locus Analyses Reveal Four Giraffe Species Instead of One*.
 1042 Curr Biol, 2016. 26(18): p. 2543-9.
- 104346.Pedersen, C.T., et al., A southern African origin and cryptic structure in the highly1044mobile plains zebra. Nat Ecol Evol, 2018. 2(3): p. 491-498.
- 104547.Lohay, G.G., et al., Genetic connectivity and population structure of African savanna1046elephants (Loxodonta africana) in Tanzania. Ecol Evol, 2020. 10(20): p. 11069-104711089.
- 104848.Bertola, L.D., et al., Phylogeographic Patterns in Africa and High Resolution1049Delineation of Genetic Clades in the Lion (Panthera leo). Sci Rep, 2016. 6: p. 30807.
- 105049.Smitz, N., et al., A genome-wide data assessment of the African lion (Panthera leo)1051population genetic structure and diversity in Tanzania. PLoS One, 2018. 13(11): p.1052e0205395.
- 1053 50. Genomes Project, C., et al., A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature,
 1054 2015. 526(7571): p. 68-74.
- 1055 51. Coimbra, R.T.F., et al., Conservation Genomics of Two Threatened Subspecies of
 1056 Northern Giraffe: The West African and the Kordofan Giraffe. Genes (Basel), 2022.
 1057 13(2).

- 1058 52. Van Hooft, W.F., A.F. Groen, and H.H. Prins, *Phylogeography of the African buffalo*1059 *based on mitochondrial and Y-chromosomal loci: Pleistocene origin and population*1060 *expansion of the Cape buffalo subspecies.* Mol Ecol, 2002. 11(2): p. 267-79.
- 1061 53. Heller, R., et al., *Mid-Holocene decline in African buffalos inferred from Bayesian*1062 *coalescent-based analyses of microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA*. Mol Ecol,
 1063 2008. 17(22): p. 4845-58.
- 1064 54. Mack, R., *The great African cattle plague epidemic of the 1890's*. Trop. Anim. Hlth.
 1065 Prod., 1970. 2: p. 210-219.
- 1066 55. Plowright, W., *The effects of rinderpest and rinderpest control on wildlife in Africa*. .
 1067 Symposia of the Zoological Society of London, 1982. 50: p. 1-28.
- 1068 56. Estes, R.D., *The Behaviour Guide to African Mammals*. 1991, Berkeley, USA:
 1069 University of California Press.
- 1070 57. Van Hooft, W.F., A.F. Groen, and H.H. Prins, *Microsatellite analysis of genetic diversity in African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) populations throughout Africa*. Mol Ecol, 2000. 9(12): p. 2017-25.
- 1073 58. Simonsen, B.T., H.R. Siegismund, and P. Arctander, *Population structure of African*1074 *buffalo inferred from mtDNA sequences and microsatellite loci: high variation but*1075 *low differentiation.* Mol Ecol, 1998. 7(2): p. 225-37.
- 107659.Stephens, S.A. and C.J. Howard, Infection and transformation of dendritic cells from1077bovine afferent lymph by Theileria annulata. Parasitology, 2002. 124(Pt 5): p. 485-93.
- 107860.Glass, E.J., S. Crutchley, and K. Jensen, Living with the enemy or uninvited guests:1079functional genomics approaches to investigating host resistance or tolerance traits to1080a protozoan parasite, Theileria annulata, in cattle. Vet Immunol Immunopathol,10812012. 148(1-2): p. 178-89.
- 108261.Bishop, R.P., et al., The African buffalo parasite Theileria sp. (buffalo) can infect and1083immortalize cattle leukocytes and encodes divergent orthologues of Theileria parva1084antigen genes. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl, 2015. 4(3): p. 333-42.
- 1085 62. Wragg, D., et al., A locus conferring tolerance to Theileria infection in African cattle.
 1086 PLoS Genet, 2022. 18(4): p. e1010099.
- 108763.Decker, J.E., et al., Worldwide patterns of ancestry, divergence, and admixture in1088domesticated cattle. PLoS Genet, 2014. 10(3): p. e1004254.
- 1089 64. Obara, I., et al., *The Rhipicephalus appendiculatus tick vector of Theileria parva is*1090 *absent from cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer) populations and associated ecosystems in*1091 *northern Uganda.* Parasitol Res, 2020. **119**(7): p. 2363-2367.
- 1092 65. Gurevich, A., et al., *QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies.*1093 Bioinformatics, 2013. 29(8): p. 1072-5.
- 109466.Rhie, A., et al., Merqury: reference-free quality, completeness, and phasing1095assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biol, 2020. 21(1): p. 245.
- 109667.Ondov, B.D., et al., Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using1097MinHash. Genome Biol, 2016. 17(1): p. 132.
- 109868.Felsenstein, J., PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package)2009: Department of1099Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle.
- 110069.Armstrong, J., et al., Progressive Cactus is a multiple-genome aligner for the1101thousand-genome era. Nature, 2020. 587(7833): p. 246-251.
- 1102 70. Hickey, G., et al., *HAL: a hierarchical format for storing and analyzing multiple genome alignments.* Bioinformatics, 2013. **29**(10): p. 1341-2.
- 1104 71. Eizenga, J.M., et al., *Efficient dynamic variation graphs*. Bioinformatics, 2020.
 1105 36(21): p. 5139-5144.
- 110672.Quinlan, A.R. and I.M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing1107genomic features. Bioinformatics, 2010. 26(6): p. 841-2.

- Pedersen, B.S. and A.R. Quinlan, *Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and exomes*. Bioinformatics, 2018. 34(5): p. 867-868.
- 1110 74. Heinz, S., et al., Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors
 1111 prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol
 1112 Cell, 2010. 38(4): p. 576-89.
- 1113 75. Dobin, A., et al., *STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner*. Bioinformatics, 2013.
 1114 29(1): p. 15-21.
- 1115 76. Edge, P. and V. Bansal, Longshot enables accurate variant calling in diploid genomes
 1116 from single-molecule long read sequencing. Nat Commun, 2019. 10(1): p. 4660.
- 1117 77. Danecek, P., et al., *The variant call format and VCFtools*. Bioinformatics, 2011.
 1118 27(15): p. 2156-8.
- 1119 78. Manichaikul, A., et al., *Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association*1120 studies. Bioinformatics, 2010. 26(22): p. 2867-73.
- 112179.Chang, C.C., et al., Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and1122richer datasets. Gigascience, 2015. 4: p. 7.
- 1123 80. Milanesi, M., et al., BITE: an R package for biodiversity analyses. BioRxiv, 2017.
- 1124 81. Alexander, D.H., J. Novembre, and K. Lange, *Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals*. Genome Res, 2009. **19**(9): p. 1655-64.
- 112682.Jakobsson, M. and N.A. Rosenberg, CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation1127program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population1128structure. Bioinformatics, 2007. 23(14): p. 1801-6.
- 112983.Asnicar, F., et al., Compact graphical representation of phylogenetic data and1130metadata with GraPhlAn. PeerJ, 2015. 3: p. e1029.
- 113184.Petkova, D., J. Novembre, and M. Stephens, Visualizing spatial population structure1132with estimated effective migration surfaces. Nat Genet, 2016. 48(1): p. 94-100.
- Browning, S.R. and B.L. Browning, *Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering*. Am J Hum Genet, 2007. **81**(5): p. 1084-97.
- 1136 86. Maclean, C.A., N.P. Chue Hong, and J.G. Prendergast, *hapbin: An Efficient Program*1137 *for Performing Haplotype-Based Scans for Positive Selection in Large Genomic*1138 *Datasets.* Mol Biol Evol, 2015. **32**(11): p. 3027-9.
- 113987.Pacifici, M., et al., Database on generation length of mammals. Nature Conservation,11402013. 5: p. 89-94.
- 1141 88. Yin, L., Package "CMplot". 2019.

1142

1143

		Filtered Missingness 0.20:
Sample origin	Unfiltered	Relatedness 0.0625
Botswana	17	15
Burkina Faso	9	7
Central African Republic	6	6
Chad	12	9
Gabon	7	2
Kenya	12	11
Mozambique	20	11
Niger	10	9
South Africa	8	6
Tanzania	50	48
Uganda	30	27
Zimbabwe	15	12
Total	196	163
By subspecies		
S. c. caffer	152	130
S. c. brachyceros	19	16
S. c. aequinoctialis (S.c.a)	12	9
Putative intermediate		
(S.c.n/S.c.a)	6	6
S. c. nanus (S. c. n)	7	2
Total	196	163

1144 Table 1. Sample number by country, subspecies and pre- and post-data filtering.

1145

1146

1147

1148





Dataset: Glanzmann Genome









52.5 37.5 60 66 45





PC1

PC2

PC1

PCA components 1 and 2 (representative subsample)



Population

- Aequinoctialis а
- Brachyceros а
- Intermediate а
- Mozambique а
- a Nanus
- a Tanzania-Kenya
- Olympic 2 Uganda
- Umfolozi a
- 2 Zimbabwe-Botswana





bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.12.566748; this version posted November 15, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.





H' - 100 bootstrap



С





