



Citation	Robba C, Giovannini M, (2021), Intensive Care Admission and Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Cross-sectional Survey of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology, online ahead of print
Archived version	Final publisher's version / pdf
Published version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ANA.0000000000000761
Journal homepage	Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology
Author contact	greet.vandenberghe@kuleuven.be + 32 (0)16 34 40 21
IR	https://lirias2.kuleuven.be/viewobject.html?cid=1&id=3396689

(article begins on next page)



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

Intensive Care Admission and Management of Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Cross Sectional Survey of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine

Chiara Robba¹, Martina Giovannini², Geert Meyfroidt³, Mathieu van der Jagt⁴, Giuseppe Citerio^{5,6*}, Martin Smith^{7,8*} and collaborators^a

* The authors have equally contributed to the manuscript
^a The list of collaborators is at the end of the manuscript

- ¹Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Policlinico San Martino IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience, Genoa, Italy.
- ² Department of Surgical Science and Diagnostic Integrated, University of Genoa, Italy
- ³ Department of Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, 3000, Leuven, Belgium
- ⁴ Department of Intensive Care Adults, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- ⁵ Neurointensive Care Unit, San Gerardo Hospital, ASST-Monza, Monza, MB, Italy
- ⁶ School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano - Bicocca, Milano, Italy
- ⁷Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London, London, UK.
- ⁸Neurocritical Care Unit, The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, University College London Hospitals, Queen Square, London, UK

Corresponding author:
Chiara Robba, PhD
Policlinico San Martino, IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience, Genova, Italy. Largo Rosanna Benzi 12, 16100.
kiarobba@gmail.com
Tel.003090105551

Fundings: none
Conflict of interest: MS is the Editor-in-Chief of the *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*; this manuscript was handled by Dr Alana Flexman, Associate Editor. The other authors have no conflict of interest.
Word count: 2917
Tables: 1
Figures:4
Supplementary Digital Contents:12

Results of this survey have been partially presented at the European Society of Intensive Care Meeting LIVESin December 2020.

Abstract

Background: No specific recommendations are available regarding the intensive care management of critically ill acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients, and questions remain regarding optimal ventilatory, hemodynamic and general ICU therapeutic targets in this population. We performed an international survey to investigate intensive care unit (ICU) admission criteria and management of AIS patients.

Methods: An electronic questionnaire including 25 items divided into 3 sections was available on the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) website between 1st November 2019 and 30th March 2020 and advertised through the Neurointensive Care (NIC) section newsletter. This survey was emailed directly to the NIC members and was endorsed by the ESICM.

Results: There were 214 respondents from 198 centers, with response rate of 16,5% of total membership (214/1296). In most centers (67%), the number of AIS patients admitted to respondents' hospitals in 2019 was between 100 and 300, and, among them, fewer than 50 required ICU admission per hospital. The most widely accepted indication for ICU admission criteria was a requirement for intubation and mechanical ventilation. A standard protocol for arterial blood pressure (ABP) management was utilized by 88 (58%) of the respondents. For patients eligible for iv thrombolysis, the most common ABP target was < 185/110 mmHg (n=77 [51%]), while for patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy it was \leq 160/90 mmHg (n=79 [54%]). The preferred drug for reducing ABP was labetalol (n=84 [55,6%]). Other frequently used therapeutic targets included: blood glucose 140-180 mg/dl (n=65 [43%]) maintained with intravenous insulin infusion in most institutions (n=110 [72,4%]); enteral feeding initiated within 2-3 days from stroke onset (n=142 [93,4%]); oxygen saturation (SpO₂) >95% (n=80 [53%]), and tidal volume 6-8 ml/kg of predicted body weight (n=135 [89%]).

Conclusions: The ICU management of AIS, including therapeutic targets and clinical practice strategies, importantly varies between centers. Our findings may be helpful to define future studies and create a research agenda regarding the ICU therapeutic targets for AIS patients.

Keywords: acute ischemic stroke; intensive care unit; mechanical ventilation; arterial blood pressure; thrombolysis

93

94 **Introduction**

95 Acute ischemic stroke (AIS) is a major cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide.¹ A substantial
96 proportion of patients with AIS require admission to an intensive care unit (ICU) for neurological
97 monitoring and management of general or poststroke complications that cannot be delivered on a stroke
98 unit.²

99 The overarching goal of AIS management in both stroke units and ICUs is to target therapeutic efforts to
100 restore blood flow to the penumbra before irreversible tissue injury has occurred in order to minimize
101 secondary brain injury and improve long-term functional outcomes and quality of life.^{3,4} This is
102 accomplished by conceptually optimizing brain perfusion and compensating for associated dysfunction in
103 systemic organ systems. Because of the rapid and irreversible nature of ischemic brain injury, it is crucial
104 for neurocritical care management to begin as early as possible in appropriate patients.^{2,5,6} A number of
105 medical, surgical, and endovascular treatment are associated with improved long-term outcomes.⁶
106 However, evidence regarding general management of AIS is scarce; therapeutic strategies are often based
107 on specific locally agreed criteria, and questions remains regarding the optimal ventilatory, hemodynamic
108 and general ICU management.^{2,5-7}

109 We therefore conducted an international survey: “Indication**S** of ICU admission and in**T**ensive ca**R**e
110 management of pat**I**ents with acute ischemic stro**K**E: the STRIKE survey” to assess current criteria for
111 admission to ICU, clinical practice variability, and critical care management of AIS patients. Our hypothesis
112 is that ICU indications and management vary significantly among centers.

113

114

115 **Methods**

116 The questionnaire underwent a peer-review process within the European Society of Intensive Care
117 Medicine (ESICM) Research Committee. This international survey was endorsed by the ESICM and
118 promoted by the Neuro-intensive Care (NIC) section of ESICM. The survey was registered with the ESICM
119 Survey portfolio, and no ethics approval was required. The questionnaire was distributed by the ESICM
120 office, thus protecting data confidentiality and anonymity using a specific database.

121 122 Study population

123 The target audience consisted of Neurointensive Care (NIC) section members of the ESICM who had agreed
124 to participate in ESICM surveys at the time of their membership registration, and who manage patients
125 with AIS in their clinical practice. Participants did not receive compensation for their participation in the
126 survey, and response to the survey questionnaire was deemed as implied consent for participation.

127 128 Survey development

129 The survey was developed by three investigators (C.R., G.C. and M.S.), following a narrative review of the
130 literature on the management of stroke in the ICU.⁷ An electronic questionnaire including 25 items divided
131 into 3 sections was emailed to the NIC members of the ESICM through the section newsletter, and was
132 available on the ESICM website, accessible only by NIC members (1296 members), between 1st November
133 2019 and 30th March 2020. Multiple responders from each institution were allowed. Two mass reminders
134 were sent during the study period.

135 The survey questionnaire is shown in the supplementary material (Supplemental digital content (SDC) 1:
136 Survey questionnaire).

137 The survey participants were asked to score (on a scale of 0 to 10 according to the priority of their
138 management) responses to different factors aimed to guide specific clinical decisions during AIS patients'
139 management (e.g. ICU admission criteria, neuromonitoring choices, indication for tracheostomy). Single-
140 answer questions were asked about specific area of management, including glycemic control, ventilation,
141 temperature, arterial blood pressure, thrombotic risk, management of dysphagia and speech disturbance.
142 The questions allowed only one single answer, and multiple answers were accepted only for the following
143 questions: Section 2, question 4; Section 3, question 1, 16,17 (SDC 1: Survey questionnaire).

144
145 The survey was designed to identify (within 3 sections):

146 Section 1: Survey participants' demographics, type of hospital/ICU, catchment area population for AIS,
147 number of ICU beds, medical and nurse staffing, and the presence of neurointerventional service or stroke
148 unit.

149 Section 2: General information and criteria for ICU admission of AIS patients in the respondents'
150 institution.

151 Section 3: Specific aspects of the ICU management of AIS patients in the respondents' institution.

152 Statistical analysis

153

154 Variables are reported as percentages of the total responses; if a "none" response was allowed in the
155 questionnaire, percentage was calculated using only reported values. Numerical variables are presented as
156 median and interquartile range (IQR), as they were not normally distributed. Results are presented
157 according to micro-macro geographical areas to test our hypothesis. Descriptive analysis was performed
158 using statistical package of "R" software ver. 4.0.2. Level of significance was considered as $p < 0.05$.

159

160

161

Results

There were 214 responses from 198 centers and 54 countries around the world, with a response rate of 214/1296 (16,5%) of total registered members of the NIC section. A significant proportion of the respondents (n=73,3%) did not provide complete information, and only partial responses were included in the analysis.

More than one half of the respondents were from European countries (n=130 [61%]); Spain was the country with the highest number of respondents (n=18), followed by India (n=16), United Kingdom (n=15), Italy (n=14) and France (n=12) (SDC 2, Supplementary Table S1: Countries of respondents). The majority of respondents were general intensivists (n=126 [59%]), and 27% (n=57) worked in dedicated neuro-intensive care units. Baseline characteristics of the respondents are summarized in the supplementary material (Table1, and SDC 3, Supplementary figure S1: Geographic areas of respondents). Large variability was observed between centers and countries.

The number of patients with AIS admitted to the hospital in 2019 was between 100 and 300 according to the majority of the responders (n=90;67%); among these, fewer than 50 required ICU admission in most cases (n=64, 35,5%). In half of the centers (n=82; 51,2%) less than 20% of AIS patients underwent intravenous thrombolysis in 2019, while fewer than 10% (n=68; 49,3%) of AIS patient were eligible for mechanical thrombectomy, with large variability among countries (SDC 4, Supplementary Figure S2: Percentage of patients undergoing thrombolysis and mechanical thrombectomy).

The most widely accepted ICU admission criteria were need for intubation and mechanical ventilation (median score on scale 0-10: 10, IQR 9-10), need for systemic organ support (10, IQR 9-10) and management of intracranial complications (10, IQR9-10) (Figure 1). Table 2, and SDC 5 (Supplementary Table S3: General ICU management) summarize the details of key ICU targets and management principles.

A standard protocol for ABP management was utilized by 88 (58%) of respondents. For patients eligible for iv thrombolysis, the most common ABP target was $\leq 185/110$ mmHg (n=77 [51%]), the same as for those ineligible for this procedure (n=64 [43%]). For patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy, the most common ABP target was $\leq 160/90$ mmHg (n=79 [54%]). The preferred drugs for reducing ABP were continuous intravenous administration of labetalol (n=84 [55,6%]) and nicardipine (n=25 [16,6%]) (Table 2).

Blood glucose levels were most frequently monitored every 4 hours (n=61 [40%]), and the most frequently used glycemic target was 140-180 mg/dl (n=65 [43%]) (Figure 2). Glycemic control was achieved by intravenous insulin infusion in most institutions (n=110 [72,4%]). Enteral feeding was started between days 2-3 from stroke onset in 142 (93.4%) respondents' centers (SDC 6, Figure S3: Insulin use and time to start

198 of enteral feeding). With regard to respiratory management, the most common oxygen saturation (SpO₂)
199 target was > 95% (n=80 [53%]), the most common end tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO₂) target was 35-40 mmHg
200 (n=89 [59%]), and the most commonly used tidal volume in mechanically ventilated patients was 6-8 ml/kg
201 of predicted body weight (n=135 [89%])(SDC 7, Supplementary Figure S4: Ventilator targets and
202 management). A target temperature ≤ 37,5°C (n=99 [65%]) was preferred in most centers, and the most
203 used treatment for fever was antipyretic drugs (n=129 [85%]).
204

205 Thromboembolic prophylaxis was most frequently initiated within 2 days from stroke onset (n=121
206 [79,6%]); most respondents (n=89 [58,6%]) used intermittent pneumatic devices as the preferred physical
207 method for prophylaxis (SDC 8, Supplementary Figure S5: Thromboprophylaxis). The most common
208 hemoglobin trigger for transfusion was 7-8 mg/dl (n=107 [70,4%])(SDC 9, Figure S6: Transfusion
209 thresholds).

210 According to most of the respondents (n=126 [78%]), fewer than 10% of AIS patients underwent
211 decompressive craniectomy in 2019 (SDC 10 - Figure S7: Decompressive craniectomy rates).
212

213 Clinical observation was considered the most important “neuromonitoring” technique for AIS patients in
214 the ICU (median score 10, IQR 10-10), followed by intermittent electroencephalography (EEG) (median
215 score 5, IQR 3-10) and transcranial Doppler ultrasound (5, IQR1-8) (SDC 11, Figure S8: Neuromonitoring).
216

217 Access to speech and language therapists was reported by 82 (54%) respondents; stroke-related dysphagia
218 was mostly assessed clinically (102 [67%]), with a bolus of food (Figure 3). The main indications for
219 tracheostomy in AIS patients in the ICU were poor neurological status (median score 9, IQR 8-10), followed
220 by repeated extubation failures (9, IQR 7-10). Tracheostomy was typically performed after 8 days from the
221 event in most institutions (n=91 [60%])(SDC 12, Figure S9: Timing and indication for tracheostomy).
222
223
224

225 Discussion

226 This international survey provides information regarding ICU admission criteria and ICU management of
227 patients with AIS. Our results provide an overview of current clinical practices and their variation across
228 several countries and stroke centers, thus representing the current state of affairs regarding the ICU
229 management of AIS patients, which may be influenced by location (country), local, regional and national
230 guidelines. Although variability between centers might potentially lead to innovation, the development of
231 specific and universal guidelines may assist clinicians in their practice.

232 This survey reports a high variability among respondents with regard to type of ICU, hospital and number
233 of general vs neuro ICU beds, type of medical staff and management of AIS patients, with consequent
234 different availability of resources, standardization of care at institutional care, performance measures,
235 public reporting of data etc.

236 *Indications for ICU admission*

237 The indications for ICU admission of patients with AIS are variable; this likely depends on several factors,
238 including the level of care that can be provided in the institution's stroke unit, availability of intermediate
239 care units (such as step-up or step-down from ICU) and local preferences.⁷⁻¹⁰

240 The availability of more aggressive treatments and interventional approaches has led to an increasing
241 number of AIS patients being admitted to the ICU.¹¹ The literature suggests that common indications for
242 ICU admission include the need for intubation and/or mechanical ventilation due to respiratory failure
243 and/or decreased level of consciousness with loss of airway protection, blood pressure management,
244 systemic organ system support (e.g. for cardiac failure, acute kidney injury) management of cerebral
245 complications (seizures, post-intervention or anticoagulation hemorrhage, malignant infarction or post
246 decompressive craniectomy).^{7,12-15} The results of our survey are in line with these previous reports, with
247 the most common indication for ICU admission being a need for airway management or systemic organ
248 support or monitoring and management of intracranial complications that cannot be managed in the
249 stroke units. ICU admission indications are likely related on the level of care provided by stroke units, and
250 number of specialized neurocritical care units; in fact, in some countries, staffing and care of patients in a
251 stroke unit be a satisfactory replacement to the ICU.

252 As presented in Table 1, the total number of dedicated neurocritical care units according to our responders
253 is low, and the ICUs are small to moderate size. This suggests that ICU admission criteria are stricter and
254 use ICU resource only for the sickest patients, and not for monitoring or routinely after mechanical
255 thrombectomy.

256

257

258

259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293

Neuromonitoring

Limited data are available regarding the role of neuromonitoring after AIS. Most patients are not sedated, thus making clinical assessment the most useful monitoring tool in this cohort.

Transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasonography is a safe, bedside tool able for the assessment of cerebral blood flow and potentially useful in the detection of acute vessels occlusion and to assess cerebral and vasoreactivity^{16,17} TCD and near-infrared spectroscopy allow assessment of autoregulatory indexes that have been reported to identify individualized optimal ABP/ cerebral perfusion pressure targets.¹⁸

EEG can be useful to detect subclinical seizures which may occur after AIS.¹⁹ Routine ICP monitoring is not recommended after AIS but can be considered in patients with large infarct areas or hemorrhagic complications and significant midline shift.²⁰ Finally, evidence on the utility of brain tissue oxygen tension (PtiO₂) and pupillometry are lacking and are currently not routinely used.

As no neuromonitoring technique can be considered the gold standard, and has limitations, a multimodal approach- according to availability of resources, standardization of care at institutional care- is warranted.

Ventilation

Patients with AIS often require intubation and mechanical ventilation because of airway or respiratory compromise or pharyngeal dysfunction,^{6,21-25} Hypoxemia should be avoided to minimize secondary brain damage,²⁶ but a randomized controlled trial found no benefit on 90-days functional outcome of oxygen administration with SpO₂ >93% versus ≤93%;²⁷ the most recent guidelines suggest maintenance of SpO₂ >94% and avoidance of supplemental oxygen in non-hypoxemic patients⁶. No specific recommendations are available regarding PaCO₂ targets and ventilator management, but the use of protective ventilation strategies, including low tidal volume (while maintaining normocapnia) and positive end expiratory pressure titrated to optimize systemic oxygenation while avoiding hemodynamic instability and alveolar overdistension have been suggested.²¹

Similarly, the indications for performing a tracheostomy in AIS patients include both respiratory (more than one extubation failure) and neurological (impaired brainstem reflexes or level of consciousness) factors. No specific guidelines are available regarding the optimal time for performing a tracheostomy after AIS, but recent evidence in traumatic brain injured patients suggest that early tracheostomy might be associated with reduced ICU length of stay.^{28,29} In contrast, the results from our survey suggest that late tracheostomy (>8 days) is favored, although with large variability among centers, possibly because of the potential risk of intracranial instability in the early phases. This suggests that the decision to perform a tracheostomy is mostly driven by local policies and resources.

294
295
296 *Blood pressure*
297

298 Patients with AIS often present with systemic hypertension. Strict management of ABP is mandatory in
299 order to reduce the risks of hypertension (hemorrhagic complications, cerebral edema, cardiovascular
300 complications), while avoiding hypoperfusion and the risk of inadequate blood flow to the ischemic
301 penumbra.^{7,30,31} Hypertension is also associated with several complications after endovascular treatment.³²
302 Guidelines recommend that patients with elevated blood pressure who are eligible for endovascular
303 treatment with alteplase should have ABP reduced <185/110 mm Hg before intravenous (iv) fibrinolytic
304 therapy is initiated.⁶ There is no clear evidence to guide ABP targets in the post procedural phase or in
305 cases of mechanical thrombectomy, but most of the responders suggested an ABP target of 160/90.
306 In the absence of specific recommendations, according to our responder, labetalol is the first drug of
307 choice for blood pressure control, followed by nicardipine.

308
309
310 *Glucose/insulin/nutrition*

311 Strict monitoring of blood glucose is mandatory in AIS patients, as both hypo and hyperglycemia are
312 associated with adverse outcomes, particularly in relation to the potential effect of glycemic derangements
313 and cerebral blood flow.³³ A blood glucose concentration of 140-180 mg/dL is the most used target
314 worldwide, as recommended by the latest guidelines.⁶ However, optimal glycemic management after AIS
315 remain controversial. The recent Stroke Hyperglycemia Insulin Network Effort (SHINE) study³⁴
316 demonstrated that intensive glucose control (80–130 mg/dL) with insulin infusion has no beneficial effect
317 on 90-day functional outcomes when compared with standard control (< 180 mg/dL) with intermittent
318 subcutaneous insulin. As consequence, different strategies for glucose control are being used worldwide
319 (including continuous glucose monitors), with large variability among centers and countries. Regardless of
320 the strategy used, frequent checks of glucose values (every 4 hours) to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia are
321 warranted.

322 Enteral feeding started within 7 days from admission has a favorable effect on outcome, with a reduction
323 of 5.8% in mortality after AIS.³⁵ Specifically, most clinicians prefer very early initiation of enteral feeding
324 (within 2-3 days), with the early insertion of a nasogastric tube in case of dysphagia.

325
326 *Temperature control*
327

328 Fever is common after AIS, with temperature > 37.5 °C occurring in up to 25% of patients in the first
329 6 hours after stroke onset and in about 30% in the first 24 h.^{2,7} Fever may have an infective or neurogenic
330 origin, and is associated with poor outcome.³⁶ However, whether targeted temperature management
331 (TTM) policies improve outcome has not been investigated. Further, no clear evidence is available

332 regarding the threshold of temperature for TTM after AIS; a recent consensus in brain injured patients
333 suggested starting TTM when temperature is >37.5 degrees, which is consistent with the results from our
334 survey.³⁷ Antipyretic drugs are first-line therapy for fever after AIS, although treatment with paracetamol
335 seems not to be effective.³⁸ Secondary interventions include external and intravascular cooling methods.
336 Type and timing of temperature management are strongly influenced by local policies and resources.

337 *Other issues*

338 According to our survey, a hemoglobin concentration of 7-8 mg/dL is generally accepted as the trigger for
339 red cell transfusion of to optimize cerebral oxygen delivery and minimizing the adverse effects of
340 transfusion.

341 Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is very common after AIS (10-75%) and is potentially a life-threatening
342 complication.³⁹ VTE prophylaxis should always be considered when and initiated when the potential
343 benefits outweigh bleeding risks.⁶ The use of intermittent pneumatic compression in stroke patients
344 without contraindications is strongly recommended. The Clots in Legs Or sTockings after Stroke (CLOTS)3
345 trial found that, compared to routine care, intermittent pneumatic compression can significantly reduce
346 the incidence of deep-vein thrombosis and improve outcomes.⁴⁰ Our survey revealed that low molecular
347 weight heparin is generally started within 1-2 days from stroke, and intermittent pneumatic compression is
348 the preferred option for deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis.

349 Finally, dysphagia occurs in 23–50% of AIS patients and increases the risks of aspiration pneumonia,
350 affecting morbidity and mortality.⁷ Recent guidelines suggest an early nurse-led swallow assessment
351 followed by fiberoptic evaluation in those at risk for aspiration.⁶ In our survey, we found that clinical
352 evaluation is the preferred method for dysphagia assessment, with very low use of fiberoptic,
353 videofluoroscopy or clinical scores, but also with large variability between centers.

354 355 356 *Limitations*

357 There are several limitations to this study. First, the number of respondents is relatively small, making it
358 difficult to generalize the findings of the study. The low response rate may be due to the fact that the
359 survey was started just before the first peak of the first Covid-19. However, the response rate is similar to a
360 previously published survey from the ESICM.⁴¹ Unfortunately, the response rate cannot be precisely
361 calculated as NIC-ESICM members were invited to forward the invitation to relevant colleagues, thus
362 making it impossible to obtain the total number of people who received the survey. Second, this survey
363 was developed after conducting a narrative review of the literature rather than a systematic review. Third,
364 this survey was not previously validated, and the impact of the results is therefore reduced; pilot testing of
365 the questionnaire was not conducted in a smaller sample of participants prior to the survey. Furthermore,
366 important information is missing, including the presence of triage mechanisms or institutional ICU

367 admissions policies among countries, details regarding the type treatment and location of ischemic
368 strokes, data on hemorrhagic transformation, and post stroke vascular reserve or collateralization.
369 Moreover, the processes and clinical practices that identified by this survey are those before the start of
370 the COVID-19 pandemic and some treatment pathways and management policies may be different now.
371 Finally, this survey only describes the perception of the clinical practice according to the respondents,
372 without inclusion of patient data, and more than one responder per center was allowed to answer, thus
373 making the results less generalizable.

374 *Conclusions*

375 This international survey identified important institutional differences in the ICU management of AIS
376 patients, and many questions about optimal management remain. The survey highlights specific areas with
377 large practice variability among centers, and those areas with clinical equipoise with regard to the
378 management of critically ill AIS patients. Individualized arterial blood pressure management, protective
379 ventilation strategies and hemoglobin targets are areas that have been increasingly developed over the
380 last years. The findings of this survey might be useful to inform the design of future randomized clinical
381 studies and comparative effectiveness research, as well as more specific recommendations/guidelines on
382 this topic.
383

384
385
386
387 Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Mrs Sherihane Bensemmane and ESICM for the
388 support in the development of the study.
389

390 391 392 393 **References**

- 394
- 395
- 396
- 397 1. Gorelick PB. The global burden of stroke: persistent and disabling. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 18:417–418 .
- 398 2. Kirkman MA, Citerio G, Smith M The intensive care management of acute ischemic stroke: an overview. *Intensive Care*
399 *Med.* 2014; 40:640–653
- 400
- 401 3. Bandera E, Botteri M, Minelli C et al. Cerebral blood flow threshold of ischemic penumbra and infarct core in acute
402 ischemic stroke: a systematic review. *Stroke* 2006; 37:1334–1339
- 403
- 404 4. Klug J, Dirren E, Preti MG et al. Integrating regional perfusion CT information to improve prediction of infarction
405 after stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2020 Jun 5:271678X20924549.
406

- 407 5. Stroke Unit Trialists' Collaboration. Organised inpatient (stroke unit) care for stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;
408 9:CD000197 .
- 409
- 410 6. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic
411 stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.
412 *Stroke* .2018; 49:e46–e110
- 413
- 414 7. Smith M, Reddy U, Robba C, Sharma D et al. Acute ischemic stroke: challenges for the intensivist. *Intensive Care Med*
415 2019 Sep; 45(9): 1177-1189.
- 416
- 417 8. Campbell BCV, Khatri P. *Stroke*. *Lancet*. 2020 Jul 11;396(10244):129-142.
- 418
9. Campbell BCV, De Silva DA, Macleod MR, et al. Ischaemic stroke. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2019 Oct 10;5(1):70.
- 419
10. Campbell BC. *Advances in stroke medicine*. *Med J Aust*. 2019 May;210(8):367-374.
- 420
11. Zazulia AR. Critical care management of acute ischemic stroke. *Continuum (Minneapolis)* 2009; 15:68–82
- 421
- 422 12. Jadhav AP, Molyneaux BJ, Hill MD, et al. Care of the postthrombectomy patient. *Stroke*. 2018; 49:2801–2807
- 423
- 424 13. Kasner SE. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. *Lancet*
425 *Neurol* 2006; 5:603–612
- 426
- 427 14. Knopf L, Staff I, Gomes J, McCullough L (2012) Impact of a neurointensivist
428 on outcomes in critically ill stroke patients. *Neurocrit Care* 16:63–71
- 429
- 430 15. Pelosi P, Ferguson ND, Frutos-Vivar F et al. Management and outcome of mechanically ventilated neurologic patients.
431 *Crit Care Med* 2011; 39:1482–1492
- 432
- 433 16. Robba C, Goffi A, Geeraerts T et al. Brain ultrasonography: methodology, basic and advanced principles and clinical
434 applications. A narrative review. *Intensive Care Med* 2019 Jul;45(7):913-927.
- 435
- 436 17. Robba C, Cardim D, Sekhon M et al. Transcranial Doppler, a stethoscope for the brain. *J Neurosci Res*. 2018 Apr;96(4):720-
437 730
- 438
- 439 18. Petersen NH., Silverman A, Strander SM et al. Fixed Compared With Autoregulation-Oriented Blood Pressure Thresholds
440 After Mechanical Thrombectomy for Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke*. 2020 Mar; 51(3): 914-921
- 441
- 442 19. Kawano T, Hattori N, Uno Y, et al. Electroencephalographic Phase Synchrony Index as a Biomarker of Poststroke Motor
443 Impairment and Recovery. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2020 Jul 21:1545968320935820.
- 444
- 445 20. Korbakis G, Vespa PM. Multimodal neurologic monitoring. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2017;140:91-105.
- 446
- 447 21. Robba C, Bonatti G, Battaglini D et al. Mechanical ventilation in acute ischemic stroke: from pathophysiology to clinical
448 practice. *Crit Care* 2019 Dec 2;23(1):388.
- 449
- 450 22. Samary CS, Ramos AB, Maia LA, et al. Focal ischemic stroke leads to lung injury and reduces alveolar macrophage
451 phagocytic capability in rats. *Crit Care*. 2018;22:249
- 452
- 453 23. Kiphuth IC, Schellinger PD, Kohrmann M et al. Predictors for good functional outcome
454 after neurocritical care. *Crit Care* 2010; 14:R136
- 455
- 456 24. Santoli F, De JB, Hayon J et al. Mechanical ventilation in patients with acute ischemic stroke: survival
457 and outcome at one year. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; 27:1141–1146
- 458
- 459 25. Berrouschot J, Rossler A, Koster J et al. Mechanical ventilation in patients with hemispheric ischemic stroke. *Crit Care*
460 *Med* 2000 28:2956–2961
- 461
- 462 26. Rowat AM, Dennis MS, Wardlaw JM. Hypoxaemia in acute stroke is
463 frequent and worsens outcome. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006; 21:166–172
- 464
27. Roffe C, Nevatte T, Sim J, et al. Stroke Oxygen Study Investigators and the Stroke Oxygen Study Collaborative Group.

465 Effect of routine low-dose oxygen supplementation on death and disability in adults with acute stroke: the Stroke Oxygen
466 Study Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2017;318:1125–1135.
467

468 28. Robba C, Galimberti S, Graziano F et al. Tracheostomy practice and timing in traumatic brain-injured patients: a CENTER-
469 TBI study. *Intensive Care Med* 2020 May;46(5):983-994.
470

471 29. Mc Credie VA, Alali AS, Scales DC et al. Effect of Early Versus Late Tracheostomy or Prolonged Intubation in Critically Ill
472 Patients with Acute Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neurocrit Care*. 2017 Feb;26(1):14-25.
473

474 30. Astrup J, Siesjo BK, Symon L. Thresholds in cerebral ischemia—the
475 ischemic penumbra. *Stroke* 1981; 12:723–725
476

477 31. Lo EH. A new penumbra: transitioning from injury into repair after
478 stroke. *Nat Med* 2008; 14:497–500
479

480 32. Mistry EA, Mistry AM, Nakawah MO, et al. Systolic blood pressure within 24 hours after thrombectomy for acute
481 ischemic stroke correlates with outcome. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017. 6:e006167.
482

483 33. Cryer PE. Hypoglycemia, functional brain failure, and brain death. *J Clin Invest* 2007; 117:868–870. Kagansky N, Levy S,
484 Knobler H (2001) The role of hyperglycemia in acute stroke. *Arch Neurol* 58:1209–1212
485

486 34. Johnston KC, Bruno A, Barrett K, et al. Stroke hyperglycemia insulin network effort (SHINE) trial primary results.
487 International Stroke Conference 1189 2019. Available at: [https://net.umich.edu/sites/default/files/docs/shine](https://net.umich.edu/sites/default/files/docs/shine_isc_2019.final.pdf)
488 [isc_2019.final.pdf](https://net.umich.edu/sites/default/files/docs/shine_isc_2019.final.pdf). Accessed 16 Apr 2019
489

490 35. Dennis M, Lewis S, Cranswick G, Forbes J; FOOD Trial Collaboration. FOOD: a multicentre randomised trial evaluating
491 feeding policies in patients admitted to hospital with a recent stroke. *Health Technol Assess*. 2006;10:iii–iv, ix.
492

493 36. Greer DM, Funk SE, Reaven NL et al. Impact
494 of fever on outcome in patients with stroke and neurologic injury: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2008; 39:3029–
495 3035
496

497 37. Andrews PJD, Verma V, Healy M et al. Targeted temperature management in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage,
498 subarachnoid haemorrhage, or acute ischaemic stroke: consensus recommendations. *Br J Anaesth* 2018; 121:768–775
499

500 38. den Hertog HM, van der Worp HB, van Gemert HM et al. The paracetamol (acetaminophen)
501 in stroke (PAIS) trial: a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008; 8:434–440
502

503 39. Bembenek J, Karlinski M, Kobayashi A, et al. Early stroke-related
504 deep venous thrombosis: risk factors and influence on outcome. *J*
505 *Thromb Thrombolysis* 2011; 32:96–102
506

507 40. Dennis M, Sandercock P, Reid J, et al. Effectiveness of intermittent pneumatic compression in reduction of risk of deep
508 vein thrombosis in patients who have had a stroke (CLOTS 3): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2013;
509 382:516–524
510

511 41. Picetti E, Pelosi P, Taccone F et al. VENTILatOry strategies in patients with severe traumatic brain injury: the VENTILO
512 Survey of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). *Crit Care*. 2020 Apr 17;24(1):158.
513

Figures

Figure 1

Indications for ICU admission

Values are presented as median value and interquartile range. Circles represent outlier values.

Stroke severity; according to clinical and radiological characteristics; phys, physiological

ABP, arterial blood pressure; ICU, intensive care unit; MCA, middle cerebral artery

Figure 2

Glucose targets in acute stroke patients the intensive care unit

Figure 3

Methods to assess dysphagia in the ICU in acute ischemic stroke patients

ICU, intensive care unit

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplemental digital content 1

Survey Questionnaire

SDC 1.pdf

Supplemental digital content 2

Table S1: Countries of respondents

SDC 2. pdf

Supplemental digital content 3

Figure S1: Geographic areas of the responders

SDC 3. pdf

Supplemental digital content 4

Figure S2: Thrombolysis and mechanical thrombectomy. The zero level corresponds to the threshold of "10%" of patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy. The values below 0 both include the '<10%' and 'unknown' responses, to differentiate small hospitals from first level referral hospitals.

SDC 4. pdf

Supplemental digital content 5

Table S3: General ICU Management

SDC 5. pdf

Supplemental digital content 6

Figure S3: Insulin use and timing for start of enteral feeding SDC 6. pdf

Supplemental digital content 7

Figure S4: Ventilator targets and management

SDC 7. pdf

Supplemental digital content 8

Figure S5: Thromboembolic prophylaxis

SDC 8. pdf

Supplemental digital content 9

Figure S6: Hemoglobin threshold for transfusions
SDC 9. pdf

Supplemental digital content 10

Figure S7: Decompressive craniectomy in acute ischemic stroke
SDC 10. pdf

Supplemental digital content 11

Figure S8: Type of neuromonitoring. Values are presented as median value and interquartile range.
Circles represent outlier values.
SDC 11. pdf

Supplemental digital content 12

Figure S9: Indications and timing for tracheostomy
SDC 12. pdf

List of collaborators

Name	Affiliation	City/Town	State/Province
Juan Luis Pinedo Portilla	Hospital Nacional Almanzor Aguinaga Asenjo	Chiclayo	Chiclayo
Iole Brunetti	Policlinico San Martino, IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience, Genova, Italy		
MOULRONT S.	CH Dunkerque	DUNKERQUE	NORD
Tomasz Torlinski	QEHB UHB NHS FT	Birmingham	West Midlands
Bruno Maia	Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central	Lisboa	
Raimund Helbok	Medical University of Innsbruck	Innsbruck	Tirol
DIEGO MOROCHO TUTILLO	HOSPITAL DE ESPECIALIDADES EUGENIO ESPEJO	QUITO	PICHINCHA
Georgios Papathanakos	Assistant Professor of Intensive Care Medicine	Ioannina	Ipiros
Marco Carbonara	Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico	Milano	Milano
Ron Neyens	Medical University of South Carolina	Charleston	SC
Sofia Dias	UCI Neurocríticos e Trauma, Hospital São José	Lisboa	Lisboa
Killen Harold Briones Claudett	Universidad de guayaquil. Facultad de Ciencias Médicas	Guayaquil	Guaayas
Thomas Rechnitzer	Royal Melbourne Hospital	Parkville	Victoria
Dr Paul Wong	Farrer Park Hospital	Singapore	Singapore
abdelwadod	abuturki	hebron	palestine
Victoria McCredie	University of Toronto	Toronto	Ontario
Seri Sunarmiasih	Army central hospital	Jakarta	DKI
Alex Harrison	Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust	Brighton	GB
Dr Christopher Beck	AGAPLESION	Bad Pyrmont	Lower Saxony
Ruby Parveen	Houston Methodist Baytown		
Sonneville Romain	APHP, Bichat university hospital	Houston	Texas
Krishna mylavarapu	Baptist	Paris	Ile de France
Ricard Mellado Artigas	Surgical ICU. Department of Anesthesia. Hospital Clinic	Little Rock	Arkansas
Patrick Schramm	University Medical Centre Main	Barcelona	Catalunya
Ahmad Fayed	Qasr Al-Ainy School of Medicine	Mainz	Rheinland-Pfalz
Rob Wilting	ETZ	Cairo	Al-Manial
Anna Hall	Zorgsaam	Tilburg	Noord-Brabant
DIMITRIOS PAPADOPOULOS	General Hospital of Larisa ICU	Terneuzen	Zeeland
Veronika Reinhard	Tartu University Hospital	Larisa	Larisa
H.Hartziekenhuis	Idem	Tartu	Tartumaa
Ricard Mellado Artigas	Surgical ICU. Department of Anesthesia. Hospital Clinic	Lier	Antwerp
Patricio Maskin	FLENI	Barcelona	Catalunya
Vitalii Saskin	Aleksandrovich	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
Emanuela Keller	Neurocritical Care Unit Anesthesia and intensive care, Policlinico San Martino Hospital, IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience	Arkhangelsk	Arhangelsk region
Matilde Bastianello		Zurich	Zurich
Alessandro Prior	Department of Neurosurgery, Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy	Genova	GE
Mohammad Ashraf Drabu	Dr Sulaiman al habib hospital riyadh		
Ezequiel Manrique	HOSPITAL PRIVADO UNIVERSITARIO DE CORDOBA	Riyadh	Riyadh
Sanchita Garg	Fortis hospital Mohali	Cordoba	Cordoba
Moos Matthias	Kantonsspital Frauenfeld	Mohali	Punjab
Sergio Canestrini	King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	Frauenfeld	Kanton Thurgau
Jouko Kähkönen	Central Hospital	London	Greater London
Casiano Barrera Groba	BSUH	Seinäjoki	Western-Finland
Antigoni Karathanou	G.H.Volos Achillopouleio Policlinico San Martino, IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience,	Brighton	E
Paolo Frisoni	Genova, Italy	Volos	Magnesia
Yuti Sheth	Doctor		
Pasquale Anania	Department of Neurosurgery, Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy	Mumbai	Vile Parle(w)
Dr Nissar Shaikh	Hamad medical corporation		
Alexey Gritsan	Professor	Doha	Qatar
Sasa Dragic	University Clinical Centre of Republic of Srpska	Krasnoyarsk	Krasnoyarsk Region
Mariona Badia	Hospital Arnau de Vilanova	Banja Luka	Republic of Srpska

Sandra Rossi	Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Parma	Lleida	Lleida
Fabrizio Chiusolo	Bambino Gesù Hospital	Parma	Pr
Kirsten Møller MADUABUCHI PAUL UFOEGBUNAM	Dept. of Neuroanaesthesiology, Rigshospitalet	Rome	RM
Arturo Chieriegato	MEMFYS HOSPITAL FOR NEUROSURGERY	Copenhagen	DK
Melcior Martinez Perez	Neurorianimazione ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda	ENUGU	Enugu
Sandra Rossi	Chief Intensive Care	Milano	Italy
İsmail Yıldız	Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Parma	Sant Cugat	Barcelona
Ashraf Al Tayar	.	Parma	Pr
Simant Kumar Jha	SFHD	İstanbul	.
Francisco Guerrero-López	PSRI hospital Delhi	DAMMAM	Dmm
Vasileios Karamouzos	H.U. Virgen de las Nieves	Delhi	Delhi
Dr ISMAIL M HAJI	Patras university hospital	Granada	Granada
eval	Yenepoya medical college	Patra	ACHAIA
Dr Sanjay Wijayatilake	doctor	Mangalore	Karnataka
Layios Nathalie	BHR NHS Trust	lleida	lleida
MOHAN GURJAR	ICU Department	London	london
Alaa Abu Saleh	Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences (SGPGIMS)	Liège	Liège
Nageswar Bandla	Dr	Lucknow	UP
Mallédant	Consultant in Critical Care	Majdal Shams	Golan Heights
Malcolm Correia	Réanimation	Stoke on Trent	Staffordshire
Christina Grivans	ESICM	Rennes	Bretagne
Juan Ignacio Silesky-Jiménez	ICU	Nairobi	Nairobi
Francesco Marramao	ICU CHIEF DEPARTMENT Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy	Kungälv	Västra Götaland
Mohiuddin Ahmed	Genova, Italy	Genova	Italy
Kojder Klaudyna	Bangladesh Specialized hospital Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Department Pomeranian Medical University	Dhaka	Dhaka
Neil Davidson	N&HP	Szczecin	Zachodniopomorskie
Martin Betts	Scarborough Health Network	Oxford	Oxon
Dr Subodh Kumar	Assistant Professor	Scarborough	ON
Pablo Navarrete	Hospital de Chillan	Chandigarh	Chandigarh
Rotzel Huerta	ESICM	Chillan	Ñuble
Luis Computaro	Hospital Juan A Fernandez	Valencia	Valencia
Massimo Lamperti	Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
Gilles FRANCONY	polyvalent surgical critical care	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi
Pietro Fiaschi	Department of Neurosurgery, Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy	GRENOBLE	auvergne rhone alpes
Elmar Höfner	Klinikum Klagenfurt, Austria		
Alejandro	MD, PhD	Klagenfurt	Carinthia
Clara H. Gaspari	Instituto Estadual do Cerebro Paulo Niemeyer	Algeciras	Cádiz
Ugan Reddy	National hospital for neurology and neurosurgery , UCLH	Rio de Janeiro	RJ
Dr Elliott Worku	The Prince Charles Hospital, Brisbane	London	London
Nicolas WEISS MADUABUCHI PAUL UFOEGBUNAM	Sorbonne Université, La Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital	Brisbane	Queensland
Ivaylo Minev	MEMFYS HOSPITAL FOR NEUROSURGERY	PARIS	IDF
Mahmoud Talha	Medical University of Plovdiv	ENUGU	Enugu
Denise Battaglini	Security forces hospital Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Policlinico San Martino Hospital, IRCCS for Oncology and Neuroscience	Plovdiv	Plovdiv
Zunairah Rais	Liaquat National Hospital	Riyadh	Riyadh
Rafael Badenes	Department Of Surgery. University of Valencia.	Genoa	Genoa
BARBARA VIDAL	SEMICYUC-ESICM	Karachi	Sindh
Ors Felegyhazy	.	Valencia	Valencia
Thomas Bleck	Northwestern University	CASTELLON	CASTELLON
Camilo Rodriguez	MD	Vienna	Vienna
DR. KISHORE MANGAL	ETERNAL HOSPITAL	Chicago	IL
fghfgh	ghfgh	Bs As	Bs As
		JAIPUR	RAJASTHAN

FOTEINI CHATZIVASIOGLOU	ICU, Tzaneio General Hospital of Piraeus	gfhfgh	fhfgh
Vasiliki Chantziara	SAINT SAVVAS HOSPITAL	PIRAEUS	ATTIKI
Thomas Rechnitzer	Royal Melbourne Hospital	ATHENS	AMPELOKIPOI
J. Claude Hemphill III, MD,MAS	University of California, San Francisco	Parkville	Victoria
sami fahoum	Sundsvall county hospital	San Francisco	California
Florent GOBERT	Lyon University hospital	sundsvall	medelpad
Alex Flaxman	Union Hospital of Cecil County	Lyon	Auvergne Rhone Alpes
Bosque, M. Dolores	Head of Intensive Care Department	Swedesboro	NJ
Mostafa Nabawy Ali	Nakuru hospital	Sant Cugat del Vallés	Barcelona
Pradipta Bhakta	Cork University Hospital, Cork, Ireland.	Nakuru	Nakuru
Haitham Osman	Mouwasat Hospitals	Drogheda	Louth (Lú)
René Schmutz	St. John of God Hospital	Riyadh	Central region
Iwan van der Horst	Maastricht UMC+	Vienna	Vienna
Lenka Horakova	Masaryk Hospital	Maastricht	Limburg
Maarten Vollebergh	Umcg	Usti nad Labem	Ustecky kraj
Pedro Cavaleiro	Centro Hospitalar do Algarve	Groningen	Groningen
Lauwers	H.Hart	Faro	Algarve
Jean Aldo Rodríguez Díaz	???	Lier	Antwerp
C Barrera Groba	BSUH	Las Tunas	Las Tunas
Anna Hall	Zorgsaam	Brighton	Sussex
Ilko Maier	University Medicine Göttingen	Terneuzen	Zeeland
DIEGO MOROCHO TUTILLO	HOSPITAL DE ESPECIALIDADES EUGENIO ESPEJO	Göttingen	Niedersachsen
Nicolas Gaspard	ULB - Hôpital Erasme	QUITO	PICHINCHA
Alexandra Saraguro	Physician	Brussels	Brussels
Silvia De Rosa	Sna Bortolo Hospital	Latacunga	Cotopaxi
Gennady	Bregman	Vicenza	Italy
Ana de Pablo	Hospital Universitario del Sureste	Rehovot	Central
Stammet	CGDIS	Arganda del Rey	Madrid
Celeste Dias	NCCU	Luxembourg	Luxembourg
Ahmed Msherghi	University of Tripoli/Faculty of Medicine	Porto	Porto
Laura Borgstedt	Klinikum rechts der Isar TU Munich	Tripoli	Alzawyah street
Marcel Aries	MUMC	Munich	Bavaria
Jeremy Henning	JCUH	Maastricht	Limburg
Rob Wilting	Intensive Care ETZ	Middlesbrough	Cleveland
Dr Vera Gotz	UHMBT	Tilburg	Brabant
Dr Sanjay Wijayatilake	BHR NHS Trust	Lancaster	Lancashire
Tommaso Petteuzzo	"Santa Maria della Misericordia" Hospital	London	London
QUINTARD Hervé	CHU Nice	Rovigo	Veneto
Fabio S TACCONI	Hôpital Erasme	Nice	Provence-Alpes-Cote d'Azur
Dana Rodica Tomescu	Fundeni Clinical Institute	Brussels	NA
Kuzmin Alexey	No	Bucharest	Bucharest
Dr Sundaresan Maiyalagan	Clinic Muller	Saint-Peterburg	Saint-Peterburg
Siddharth Chavali	Aditya Birla Memorial Hospital	Curepipe	Curepipe
Igor Milet	CHTMAD	Pune	Maharashtra
Luis Antonio Gorordo-Delsol	Adult Intensive Care Unit - Hospital Juárez de México	Vila Real	Vila Real
David Menon	University of Cambridge	México City	México City
Philip Bastone	Oxford university hospitals NHS foundation trust	Cambridge	Cambs
Nerina Harley	Royal Melbourne Hospital	Oxford	Oxfordshire
Martha susana Perez	Dr	Melbourne	VIC
Hazem Ahmed	Abo Slem Trauma Hospital	Hermosillo	Sonora
Ian Seppelt	Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Nepean Hospital	Tripoli	Tripoli
Jean Paul Vazquez Mathieu	Critical Care	Penrith	NSW
Kirsten Møller	Rigshospitalet, Neurointensive Care Unit	México	D.F.
LUIZ HENRIQUE COSTA GARCIA	UNITED HEALTH	Copenhagen	None

Cobilinschi	Clinical emergency hospital Bucharest	MOGI DAS CRUZES	SAO PAULO
Skarzynski	Intensivist	Bukarest	Bukarest
Andrew Cheng	St George Hospital and Clinical School UNSW	Orleans	Centre
Enrique Fernández Mondejar	ICU hospital virgen de las nieves	Sydney	NSW
Eva Provaznikova	Physicia	Granada	Granada
Jorge H. Mejía-Mantilla	Fundación Valle del Lili	Usti nad Labem	Czech Republic
Mohammad AshrafDrabu	Saudi council for heath specialities	Cali	Valle del Cauca
seda guzeldag	medical doctor	Riyadh	Riyadh region
Aarti Sarwal	Wake Forest Baptist Health Center	kayseri	kayseri
Robert C Tasker	Boston Children's Hospital	Winston Salem	NC
Guillermo Nunez	Medical Director	Boston	MA
Juan Antonio Llompart-Pou	Hospital Universitari Son Espases	Santiago	Region Metropolitana
Veronika Reinhard	Tartu University Hospital	Palma	Illes Balears
Edoardo Picetti	I UO Anestesia Rianimazione	Tartu	Tartu
Niraj Tyagi	Consultant Intensivist	Parma	Parma
Andrea Marudi	AOU Modena	Delhi	Delhi
ghaleb almekhlafi	PSMMC ICS 11159	Modena	MO
Andrea Marudi	Aou Modena	riyadh	riyadh
Zunairah Rais	Liaquat National Hospital	Modena	MO
Raul Neto	Serviço de Medicina Intensiva Polivalente Centro Hospitalar Vila Nova de Gaia/Espinho	Karachi Vila Nova de Gaia	Sindh Porto
Muhammed Elhadi	Faculty of Medicine, University of Tripoli Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto	Tripoli	Choose State/Province
Alberto Goffi MADUABUCHI PAUL UFOEGBUNAM	MEMFYS HOSPITAL FOR NEUROSURGERY	Toronto	Ontario
Marco Carbonara	Policlinico Milano	ENUGU Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico	Enugu Mi
Mathieu van der Jagt	Erasmus MC – University Medical Center	Rotterdam	Zuid-Holland
Adrian Wong	King's College Hospital NeuroIntensiveCareUnit, Christian Doppler Klinik, Paracelsus Medical University	London	London
Dr. Helmut F. Novak	Grenoble Alpes University Hospital	5020	Salzburg
Pierre Bouzat	Neurosurgical intensive care Unit, Skane University Hospital	Grenoble	
Malin Rundgren	King's College Hospital	Lund	Skane
Carmen Lopez Soto	sterling hospital	London	Greater London
sahil doshi	University Hospital	ahmedabad	gujarat
Jan Neiser	Pakistan institute of medical sciences	Ostrava	MSK
Shazia Nasim Akhtar	Jss University	Islamabad	Pakistan
Akshay hm	Doctor	Mysore	Karnataka
maria isabel gonzalez	Physician	leon	leon
Ditte Strange	Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João	Copenhagen	Copenhagen
Eduarda Pereira	MD, PhD Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care, Grenoble University Hospital	PORTO	PORTO
Camilla Brorsson	Department of Neurology, University Hospital Münster	Umeå	Umeå
PAYEN Jean Francois	INTENSIVE CARE MEDICINE	GRENOBLE	
Rainer Dziewas JOSE MANUEL JIMENEZ MORAGAS	Max Super Specialty Hospital, PPG	Münster	North Rhine Westfalia
Y P Singh	Dr.	CADIZ	CADIZ
ANA BERRAZUETA	Zealand University Hospital	Delhi	Delhi
Stine Estrup	MBBS,MD,FNB,EDIC,IDCCM,IFCCM	SANTANDER	CANTABRIA
DR PAYEL BOSE	Hospital Juan A Fernandez	Roskilde	Zealand
Luis Computaro	Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi	KOLKATA	WEST BENGAL
Jamil Dibu	Associate consultant-ICU,SQUARE HOSPITAL'S LTD	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
Shihan mahmud Redwanul Huq		Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi

Ranadhir Mitra	Care hospital	Dhaka	Dhaka
Ali Necati Gökmen	Dokuz Eylül University Faculty Of Medicine	Bhubaneswar	Odisha
Haifa Mesfer Algethamy	King Abdulaziz university hospital	İzmir	Balcova
Ranadhir Mitra	Care hospital	Jeddah	Western
Patrick Biston	CHU Charleroi	Bhubaneswar	Odisha
George Mixides	Private Hospital ICU	Charleroi	Hainaut
İsmail Yıldız	.	Nicosia	Nicosia
ERIKS SLIDERS	Consultant ICM	İstanbul	Turkiye
Aaron Blandino Ortiz	Critical Care Physician / Intensivist	ABU DHABI	ABU DHABI
Stepani Bendel	Kuopio University Hospital	Madrid	Madrid
Hitoshi Kobata	Osaka Mishima Emergency Critical Care Center	Kuopio	Pohjois-Savo
mark van den boogaard	radboudumc dep intensive care Dept. of Neuroanaesthesiology, NA 2091 Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen	Takatsuki	Osaka
Tejs Jansen	University of Salerno	nijmegen	gelderland
Ornella Piazza		Copenhagen	Captital Region
Hesham Kamal Habeeb Keryakos	Lecturer of Internal Medicine - Minia Faculty of Medicine	Cava de Tirreni	SA
Denis Pizhin	Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, UCINC	El-Minya	El-Minya
Sara Gamelas	Anesthesiology	Lisbon	Pirtugal
Tomasz Torlinski	University Hospitals Birmingham NHS FT	Aveiro	Aveiro
heming nicholas	general intensive care	Birmingham	West Midlands
Ari Ercole	Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust (NCCU)	garches	ile de france
Dr Janardan Nimbolkar	Breach Candy Hospital Trust	Cambridge	Cambridgeshire
Simon	Vivalia Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Besancon	Mumbai	Maharashtra
BESCH		Arlon	Luxembourg
Orville Baez Pravia	ICU Consultant	Besançon	NA
Jain Nikhilesh	ESICM ISCCM	Madrid	Madrid
Tihamer Molnar MD PhD Mauricio Alejandro Aliaga Caero	Dept. of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Bolivia	Indore	Madhya Pradesh
Nino Stocchetti	Milan University	Pécs	Baranya
Walter M. van den Bergh	UMCG	Santa Cruz	Andres Ibañez - Santa Cruz
Christos Efseviou	Nicosia General Hospital	Milano	MI, Italy
Fabio Micheli	Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII	Groningen	Groningen
Natasa Sojcic	University hospital Dubrava, Anesthesia and intensive medicine Clinica delle Malattie dell'apparato Cardiovascolare IRCCS Ospedale San Martino	Nicosia	Nicosia
Matteo Sarocchi		Seriate	Bergamo
		Genova	Italy

Table 1. Arterial blood pressure (ABP) Management (reported as absolute values, % on total answers and % on answers with perceived values)

Table 1.			
ABP target in patients eligible for iv thrombolysis			
	n	TOT=214	TOT=151
<185/110	77	36%	51%
<160/90	60	28%	39,7%
Reduction of 15% *	11	5,1%	7,3%
Other	3	1,4%	2%
Not Answered	63	29,4%	
ABP target in patients not eligible for iv thrombolysis			
	n	TOT=214	TOT=149
<185/110	64	29,9%	43%
<160/90	38	17,8%	25,5%
<220/120	14	6,5%	9,4%
Reduction of 15% *	29	13,6%	19,5%
Other	4	1,9%	2,7%
Not Answered	65	30,4%	
ABP target in patients after mechanical thrombectomy			
	n	TOT=214	TOT=147
<185/110	40	18,7%	27,2%
<160/90	79	36,9%	53,7%
Reduction of 15% *	23	10,7%	15,6%
Other	5	2,3%	3,4%
Not Answered	67	31,3%	
Preferred Drugs for reducing ABP			
	n	TOT=214	TOT=151
Labetalol	84	39,2%	55,6%
Nicardipine	25	11,7%	16,6%
Clevepine	3	1,4%	2%
Enalapril	9	4,2%	6%
Hydralazine	6	2,8%	4%
Other	24	11,2%	15,9%
Urapidil	16	7,5%	10,6%
Nifedipine	2	0,9%	1,3%
Nitroprusside	3	1,4%	2%
Captopril	1	0,5%	0,7%
Amlodipine	1	0,5%	0,7%
Azamethonium	1	0,5%	0,7%
Not Answered	63	29,4%	

* of the initial arterial blood pressure