

A PARALLEL ARCHITECTURE APPROACH TO SPANISH VERBAL DERIVATION WITH THE CAUSATIVE PREFIX *EN-*

ERNESTO WONG GARCÍA

KEYWORDS: causative verbs; causative prefix; Spanish morphology; Parallel Architecture; Conceptual Semantics

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an analysis of a corpus of Spanish causative verbs formed with the prefix *en-*, framed in terms of the Parallel Architecture (PA) theory. By segmenting the units, we identify five specific morphological schemas, all instances of a single general schema. By analysing dictionary definitions, we identify one general meaning schema, realized in nine specific schemas, which are modelled using the tools of Conceptual Semantics. By analysing how these verbs behave in sentences, we identify one general syntactic schema, realized in three specific schemas. We also look at the sound structure of these verbs, and explore the links between the three kinds of structure (sound, syntax and meaning), the production of redundancies (or lack thereof) when the verbs take complements, and we formulate the problems of predicting the meaning of the verb from the meaning of the base, and of accounting for figurative meanings.

1. INTRODUCTION: PARALLEL ARCHITECTURE

This paper presents the analysis of a corpus of Spanish causative verbs formed with the prefix *en-*. The study falls within the Parallel Architecture (PA) framework, which offers a view of these verbs that, as far as we know, has not previously been explored.

PA is a theoretical framework for the study of language, developed mainly by Ray Jackendoff,¹ in which the main components of language – semantics, phonology and syntax – are all generative in nature and interact with one another through interfaces. PA thus sets itself apart from other frameworks, notably Chomskian generative linguistics, which take syntax to be the only generative component of language.

PA does away with a distinction that has persisted in other theories of language, between lexical units (prototypically ‘words’) and rules (prototypically combinatorial). Within this framework, ‘words’ (lexemes, monemes, Saussurean signs, etc.) are themselves analysed as rules, in this case, interface rules specifying the links between pieces of structure produced by the three generative components. Thus, any given word, and, indeed, any fragment of language, appears (ideally²) as the interconnection of a piece of phonological structure (how it is pronounced), a piece of semantic structure (what it means), and a piece of syntactic structure (how it combines with other words). This view also makes it possible for the lexicon of a language to include not only words and idioms, but also smaller units such as morphemes.

Within PA, morphology is not seen as an autonomous component of the language faculty. Morphemes are themselves lexical units, and they are analysed as interface rules that link phonological, semantic and syntactic structures. A continuity is thus established from smaller units (morphemes) to larger ones (words, idioms, etc.).

Works on PA morphology,³ in keeping with the general PA framework, code the rules of grammar in the form of schemas: pieces of linguistic structure containing variables. Thus conceived, morphology appears as a grammar of words and their constituents, including morphosyntax and its interfaces with lexical phonology, lexical semantics and phrasal syntax.

2. CONCEPTUAL SEMANTICS

Within PA, the semantic structures of language are described by the theory of Conceptual Semantics (CS). Two aspects of this theory are necessary for understanding the results presented here: ontological classes and semantic functions.

The fragments of reality denoted by language are organized into ontological classes (kinds of things). We thus have the opposition between Events (bounded in time) and States (unbounded in time), and between Objects (bounded in space) and Substances (unbounded in space); Properties, Shapes and Positions; Places (spatial locations) and Paths (which define movement). For the purpose of the present analysis, we introduce here two particular kinds of States: Mental States (MStates) and Physical States (PhStates). Among Mental States, we will distinguish between those

that are intentional (directed at an object), such as love or jealousy, and those that are non-intentional, such as sadness or boredom.

These ontological classes serve as arguments for the semantic predicates or functions that structure meaning. The semantic structures of language are therefore analysed not as sets of necessary and sufficient features (in the tradition of structural semantics), but as complex combinations of smaller units of meaning in hierarchical arrangements. These smaller units (functions or predicates) are primitives, the meaning of which is exhausted by their ability to combine with other primitives and by the inference and interface rules that they license.⁴ We present here the ones we use in the analysis.

Our main function is evidently the function CAUS (X, F), which denotes a causation Event, and whose first argument X takes the role of Agent of the causation. Its second argument is another function whose first argument usually takes the role of Theme (the affected object, the causee).

We use a group of spatial functions. Unless otherwise noted, they are regularly used in PA Conceptual Semantics. The first one, which can be an argument of CAUS, is GO (Y, F). This function denotes a movement Event. Its first argument Y takes the role of Theme (moving entity), and its second argument is one of the following spatial functions:

- TO (F) denotes a Path, and its argument F is a Place function, which takes here the role of Goal (although it can also be a Source).
- IN (Z) denotes a Place, and its argument Z is an Object with a defined interior space. The meaning of a verb like *engavetar* ('to put in a drawer'), for example, can thus be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, GO (Theme, TO (IN (DRAWER)))). A rather awkward but faithful paraphrase would be 'an Agent causes a Theme to move along a Path that ends inside a drawer'. More formal models will be offered in Section 5.2.
- AT (Z) denotes a Place defined by its argument Z, without the implication that the Theme is 'inside' the object, like when we say *The car stopped at the lights*. The meaning of a verb like *enramar* ('to put branches somewhere, for decoration or for shade') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, GO (BRANCHES, TO (AT (Place)))).
- ON (Z) denotes a Place, defined as any point along the surface of the object Z. It can be marked with the feature [+dist], following Jackendoff,⁵ to indicate that multiple, similar objects are distributed along the surface.

See below the example of *embaldosar* ('to cover the floor with tiles').

- ALONG (Z) denotes a Path, defined by the object Z. The meaning of a verb like *encarrilar* ('to make something go along a lane') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, GO (Theme, ALONG (LANE))).
- AROUND (Z), introduced by us for the purposes of this analysis, denotes a Place, defined as the surface length of the object Z. The meaning of a verb like *encabuyar* ('to tie rope around something') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, GO (ROPE, AROUND (Object))).

The function MOVE (Y, Z) denotes a movement Event without change of location, as opposed to GO. Its first argument Y takes the role of Theme, and the second argument Z usually denotes a Position (relationships among the parts of the object Y) or Manner of movement. The meaning of a verb like *enderezar* ('to straighten') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, MOVE (Theme, STRAIGHT)).

The function ORIENT (Y, Z) denotes a State in which the Theme Y is oriented toward a Path Z, defined by the function TOWARD (Y). The meaning of a verb like *enfocar* ('to focus, to direct a light at an object') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, ORIENT (LIGHT, TOWARD (Object))).

The function BE (Y, Z) denotes a State in which Z is ascribed to the Theme Y. When this function is the argument of the aspectual function INC (F), as in *inchoative*, the whole denotes the beginning of the State. The meaning of a verb like *enceguecer* ('to blind') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, INC (BE (Theme, BLIND))).

The function PLUR (Y) denotes simply the plurality of the Object that is its argument, that is, multiple objects of the same kind. See below the example of *embaldosar* ('to cover the floor with tiles').

The function WITH (Y) denotes a Means, defined by the instrument Object that is its argument, and it denotes the instrument by means of which the Agent achieves the causation event. The meaning of a verb like *encabestrar* ('to lead with a halter, especially a bull') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, GO (BULL, ALONG (Path)), WITH (HALTER)).

For the present analysis, we have furthermore introduced the functions LIKE (Y) and EXP (Y, Z). The former is used to denote a Property or a Shape with which a resemblance relationship is established. The meaning of a verb like *enacerar* ('to make something resemble steel') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, INC (BE (Object, LIKE (STEEL)))). The latter typically denotes a State, like BE, in which the Theme Y experiences the Mental or Physical State Z. The meaning of a verb like *encolerizar* ('to enrage someone') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, INC (EXP (Theme, RAGE))). For intentional mental states (directed at an object), the argument Z itself takes an argument denoting the Object of said mental state. The meaning of a verb like *enamorar* ('to make someone fall in love') can be semi-formally modelled as CAUS (Agent, INC (EXP (Theme, LOVE (Object)))).

Many of these functions can be metaphorically relativized to various semantic fields. Thus, GO is typically spatial, but it can have a version GOPos for the semantic field of possession, where it denotes not a physical movement, but a transference. In the same manner, spatial BE has a version BEAsc for the semantic field of ascription, where properties are ascribed to Objects. This metaphorical co-opting of spatial concepts to structure more abstract semantic domains is widely documented in the literature as a defining, pervasive feature of human thought and language.⁶

The features of Contact (C) and Attachment (A) (which always implies Contact) can mark functions such as AT or AROUND to indicate that the Theme touches the surface of the Object or, additionally, that it is attached to it. In these cases, following Jackendoff,⁷ the features also mark the functions GO or BE, of which the former are the arguments.

We will give two examples that illustrate the combinatorial capacity of these functions to build semantic structures: the verbs *embaldosar* ('to cover the floor with tiles') and *enacerar* ('to make something resemble steel').

- *embaldosar* — [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GOC,A ([Object PLUR ([Object N]), [Path TO ([Place ON_{[+DIST],C,A} ([Object FLOOR])])])])])]
- *enacerar* — [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BE_{Asc} ([Object Y], [Property LIKE ([Object N])])])])]

Note that the base of the derived verb (N in both cases) is part of the semantic structure. This is shorthand for the semantic structure of the base (N or Adj), whatever it happens to be.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE CORPUS

Our results are based on a corpus of 374 verbs, many of which have more than one meaning. A sample of the corpus can be found in the Appendix. The lexicographical definitions (and the etymological information presented below) were taken from the Dictionary of Spanish Usage María Moliner (2008), although we occasionally consulted other lexicographical sources as support.

Two main inclusion criteria were followed: (a) the verb had to be formed by derivation with the prefix *en-*; and (b) the verb had to have at least one causative meaning. We left out *en-* verbs whose derivation process was synchronically opaque, because either

- they were formed in Latin from a base which does not exist in modern Spanish, like *encrasar*, 'to thicken' (Lat. *incrassāre*, 'to thicken'), or *engañar*, 'to deceive' (from the vulgar Latin *ingannāre*, 'to mock');
- the supposed prefix *en-* is actually an altered form of an earlier word, like *enjambrar*, 'to put a swarm of bees inside a hive' (Lat. *examināre*), or *enjebar*, 'to whitewash a wall' (Lat. *exalbāre*);
- they are more recent loans from other languages, like *embarazar*, 'to get someone pregnant' (from the Portuguese or Leonese *embaraçar*, from *baraça*, 'lasso'); *encaramar*, 'to put on a high place' (Andalusian Arabic *karāma*); *enfrascarse*, 'to immerse oneself in an activity' (Italian *infrascarsi*); *endosar*, 'to foist something onto someone' (French *endosser*); *encriptar* (English *encrypt*); or
- their segmentation does not produce an existing base in modern Spanish, like *endilgar* ('to foist something onto someone'), *enfunchar* ('to annoy someone'), *engendrar* ('to engender')

We also left out verb-based verbs with a superfluous *en-* prefix (like *encubrir*, 'to cover up'), archaic verbs, and some uninformative regionalisms.

4. METHODOLOGY

The technique of lexicographical definition analysis was used, first, to determine which meanings of the verbs were causative. These were the meanings included in the corpus.

The segmentation technique was used to establish the various morphological and phonological schemas. In the case of morphological schemas, this technique was also used to determine the base (noun or adjective) from which the verb had been derived.

The semantic structure of the units was modelled with the tools of Conceptual Semantics, that is, semantic functions with predicate-argument structure. Once again, we used the technique of lexicographical definition analysis to determine whether the units had idiosyncratic meanings (e.g. incorporated arguments).

The method of immediate constituent analysis was used to establish the various syntactic schemas to which the units conformed.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The verbs in the corpus all conform to a single general morphological schema:

$$[{}_v en - \{ \underline{N}, \underline{Adj} \} - \text{Suff}]$$

(The braces ({ }) indicate a choice between the two elements. The underlining indicates here the 'open' elements, that is, the variables that are replaced by lexical units.)

This general schema is realized in 5 particular schemas. We list them here from most frequent to least frequent in the corpus.

[${}_v en - N - ar$], as in *embaldosar* ('to cover the floor with tiles', from *baldosa*, 'tile'), *embarrar* ('to cover with mud or other such substance', from *barro*, 'mud'), or *embarrilar* ('to put in a barrel or barrels', from *barril*, 'barrel')

1. [${}_v en - \underline{Adj} - ecer$], as in *encarecer* ('to make more expensive', from *caro*, 'expensive'), *enceguecer* ('to blind', from *ciego*, 'blind'), or *endurecer* ('to harden', from *duro*, 'hard')
2. [${}_v en - \underline{Adj} - ar$], as in *enfriar* ('to chill, cool, make cold', from *fro*, 'cold'), *engordar* ('to fatten', from *gordo*, 'fat'), or *engrandar* ('to enlarge', from *grande*, 'big, large')
3. [${}_v en - N - ecer$], as in *enfebrecer* ('to cause to have a fever', from *fiebre*, 'fever') or *enfurecer* ('to anger', from *furia*, 'anger')
4. [${}_v en - \underline{N} - izar$], as in *encenizar* ('to cover with ash', from *ceniza*, 'ash') or *encolerizar* ('to enrage', from *cólera*, 'rage')

Among these, the only truly productive schemas in modern Spanish seem to be the first two: [${}_v en - \underline{N} - ar$] and [${}_v en - \underline{Adj} - ecer$]. These two schemas thus have a *generative* function, i.e. they work as 'moulds' from which to coin new verbs of this kind. The others have a *relational* function: they motivate existing verbs, making the relationship between meaning and form less arbitrary.⁸

In the following sections, we will analyse separately what happens with the phonological, semantic and syntactic components, and the interactions between them.

5.1 PHONOLOGY

All the verbs in the corpus conform to a single phonological schema:

$$[{}_{\omega} / eN / {}_1 / \dots / {}_2 / \dots / {}_3]_4$$

The prosodic word (x) is formed by three phonological chains (/ /) that can be linked to semantic and syntactic constituents. They are marked here with subscripts, which we will reuse below.

Because there is no isomorphism between morphological and phonological constituents, morphemes do not necessarily correspond to syllables or prosodic words.⁹ The prefix *en-* and the

verbal suffixes (*-ar*, *-ecer*, *-izar*) are cohering affixes, i.e. they form a prosodic unit with the base they attach to, and the syllabification pattern ignores the morphological boundaries. This means that morphosyntactic structure is invisible to the part of phonology that deals with syllable structure. As an example, consider the verb *enamorar* ('to make someone fall in love'): [_v *en* – *amor* – *ar*], but [_ω *e*•*na*•*mo*•*rar*].

A similar thing happens with primary and secondary stress assignment during syllabification. The prefix *en-* is atonic, but it is systematically stressed in words with an uneven number of syllables: *enacerar*¹⁰ ('to make something resemble steel'), but *enarcar* ('to arch'); *calabozo* ('jail cell'), but *encalabozar* ('to put someone in a jail cell').

At the same time, the base loses its original stress to the verbal suffix, which is always stressed in Spanish: *astil* ('haft'), but *enastilar* ('to put a haft on a tool'); *cabrón* (in Cuban usage, 'pissed off'), but *encabronar* ('to piss someone off', in Cuban usage). As a result of these two processes, all verbs formed with the prefix *en-* are, prosodically, sequences of iambic feet.

But maybe the largest variety of phonological activity is found in the changes undergone by the base (N, Adj) when it is incorporated into the verb. Although there is a considerable amount of idiosyncratic behaviour, it is possible to identify some general patterns of phonological variation (beyond stress reassignment):

- Deletion of final vowel in unstressed syllable (*aceite* ('oil') → *enaceitar* ('to put oil on something'), *acero* ('steel') → *enacerar* ('to make something resemble steel'), *cólera* ('rage') → *encolerizar* ('to enrage someone')).
- Deletion of final diphthong in unstressed syllable, when the diphthong vowel is different from the thematic vowel (*furia* 'anger' → *enfurecer* ('to anger someone')), but not when the vowels are the same (*furia* → *enfuriar* ('to anger someone')).
- Reduction of rising diphthong [je] and [we] in unstressed syllable (*ciego* ('blind') → *enceguecer* ('to blind someone'); *tierra* ('earth') → *enterrar* ('to bury'); *cueva* ('cave') → *encovar* ('to put in a cave')). However, we find *chueco* ('crooked') → *enchuecar* ('to bend, warp, twist') and *hiel* ('bile') → *enhielar* ('to mix something with bile'), among others.
- Diphthongization of stressed hiatus (*hastío* ('tedium') → *enhastiar* ('to bore someone intensely'); *lejía* ('bleach') → *enlejar* ('to dip in bleach'); *baúl* ('chest, trunk') → *embaular* ('to put something in a chest or trunk')).
- No change, with final consonant in CVC syllable (*amor* ('love') → *enamorar* ('to make someone fall in love'); *árbol* ('tree') → *enarbolar* ('to hoist, to brandish'); *cajón* ('drawer') → *encajonar* ('to put something in a drawer')).

There are other interesting, although far less frequent changes, such as:

- Insertion of phonestheme (*agua* ('water') ! *enaguachar* ('to water the earth excessively') / *enaguazar* (same); *lodo* ('mud') ! *enlodazar* ('to get something dirty with mud')).
- Appearance of a linking consonant (*corsé* ! *encorsetar* ('to put a corset on someone')). It may be orthographically motivated (from Fr. *corset*), but it is also the same consonant found in *cafetal* ('coffee plantation'), *cafeteria* ('coffee shop'), *tetera* ('teapot'), where the bases (*café*, *té*) end in a stressed /e/, like *cores*.

It is also worth mentioning that the prefix *en-* itself undergoes changes, to wit, its realization as different allomorphs by assimilation: dentalization (as in *endentar*), velarization (*engavetar*), labialization (*enmascarar*).

These variations (except, maybe, phonestheme insertion) are the result of rules, with varying

degrees of productivity, operating in the syntax-phonology interface, as their application depends on the combination of lexical units, in this case morphemes. On the other hand, the analysis did not show any notable interactions between phonology and semantics. In this interface, we can only point out the cases of phonestheme insertion, where a phonological segment is linked to evaluative semantic contents (in the corpus, negative connotation).

5.2 SEMANTICS

The verbs in the corpus all conform to a single general semantic schema:

[_{Event} CAUS ([_{Object} Agent], [F (Theme), .. .])]

All the analysed verbs denote events of causation. The function CAUS takes, in principle, two arguments: the Agent of the causation, belonging to the ontological class Object (usually human); and a second function (F) with its Theme (or Patient) argument. The ellipsis (.. .) stands for other possible arguments, the selection of which depends on this second function.

Depending on this second function and its arguments, this schema is realized as 9 specific semantic schemas, on a first level of specificity. We will present here what we have called 'transparent' semantic schemas, in which the meaning of the base is part of the semantic structure. Other units in the corpus correspond to 'opaque' semantic schemas, in which the meaning of the base is not part of the semantic structure. These are not included here. As will be seen, some polysemous verbs correspond to more than one semantic schema.

1. Change of place

a. Putting something inside something

i. Base is Theme

1. Theme is Substance: [_{Event} CAUS ([_{Object} X], [_{Event} GO ([_{Substance} N], [_{Path} TO ([_{Place} IN ([_{Object} Y])])])])]) — *enaguachar* ('to water the earth excessively'); *enaguar* (same); *endrogar* ('to administer drugs to someone'); *enguatar* ('to pad'), among others.
2. Theme is Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object N], [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object Y])])])])]) — *endemoniar* ('to put demons inside someone'); *endiablar* (same), among others.

ii. Base is Goal

1. Theme is individual Object / Individual Goal: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object Y], [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object N])])])])]) — *embarrilar* ('to put in a chest or trunk'); *encajonar* ('to put something in a drawer'); *encalabozar* ('to put someone in a jail cell'); *encapsular* ('to put in a capsule'); *encarcelar* ('to put someone in prison'), among others.
2. Theme is several similar Objects / Individual Goal: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object PLUR ([Object Y]), [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object N])])])])]) — *embarrilar* ('to put in a barrel or barrels'); *encantarar* ('to put ballots in a box'); *encorachar* ('to put in a leather sack, especially merchandise for transportation'), among others.
3. Theme is several similar Objects / several similar Goals: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object PLUR ([Object Y]), [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object PLUR ([Object N])])])])])]) — *encasillar* ('to put in pigeonholes'); *encostalar* ('to put in a sack or sacks'); *enlatar* ('to put in a can or cans'), among others.
4. Theme is Substance / Individual Goal: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Substance Y], [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object N])])])])]) — *embarrilar* ('to put in a barrel or barrels').

5. Theme is Substance / Several similar Goals: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Substance Y], [Path TO ([Place IN ([Object PLUR ([Object N])])])])])]) — embarrilar ('to put in a barrel or barrels'); embotellar ('to put in bottles'); enlatar ('to put in a can or cans'), among others.
 6. Goal is Substance: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object Y], [Path TO ([Place IN ([Substance N])])])])]) — enlejar ('to dip in bleach'); enterrar ('to bury').
- b. Putting something on something
- i. Base is Theme
 1. Individual Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object N], [Path TO ([Place ON ([Object Y])])])])]) — encamisar ('to put a shirt on someone'); encaperuzar ('to put a hood on someone'); encorbatar ('to put a tie on someone'); encorsetar ('to put a corset on someone'); enmantar ('to cover with a blanket'); enmascarar ('to cover someone's face with a mask'), among others.
 2. Several similar Objects: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object PLUR ([Object N]), [Path TO ([Place ON[+DIST] ([Object Y])])])])]) — embanderar ('to adorn with flags'); encartonar ('to cover with pieces of cardboard'); encascabelar ('to adorn with bells'); enchapar ('to cover with veneers'); enflorar ('to adorn with flowers'), among others.
 3. Spreading a Substance: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Substance N], [Path TO ([Place ON ([Object Y])])])])]) — embarrar ('to cover with mud or other such substance'); enaceitar ('to cover with oil'); enalmagrar ('to cover with ochre'); enarenar ('to cover with sand'); encalar ('to cover with lime'); encauchar ('to cover with rubber'); encerar ('to cover with wax'), among others.
- c. Attaching something to something
- i. Base is Theme: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEC,A ([Object N], [Place ATC,A ([Object Y])])])]) — enrayar ('to put spokes on a wheel').
 - ii. Base is Place: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEC,A ([Object Y], [Place ATC,A ([Object N])])])]) — encepar ('to put in a clamp'); enquiciar ('to fix a door or window to a jamb'), among others.
 - iii. Base is Instrument: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEC,A ([Object Y], [Place ATC,A ([Object Z])]), [Means WITH (Object N)]])] — enganchar ('to attach with a hook'); engoznar ('to attach with a hinge'); enmaromar ('to attach with a special kind of rope'), among others.
- d. Putting something around something
- i. With contact
 1. Theme is individual Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GOC ([Object N], [Path TO ([Place AROUND ([Object Y])])])])]) — encabuyar ('to tie rope around something'); encorar ('to cover with leather'); encordar ('to tie string around something'); encordelar ('to tie cord around something'), among others.
 2. Theme is several similar Objects: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GOC ([Object PLUR ([Object N]), [Path TO ([Place AROUND ([Object Y])])])])]) — encordonar ('to tie cord or rope around something').
 - ii. With contact and attachment
 1. Theme is individual Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GOC,A ([Object N], [Path TO ([Place AROUND,A ([Object Y])])])])]) — enlazar ('to attach with a bow').
 - iii. Without contact: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object N], [Path TO ([Place AROUND ([Object Y])])])])]) — encambronar ('to surround a field with buckthorns or brambles').

- e. Putting something somewhere
 - i. Base is Theme
 1. Several similar objects: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object PLUR ([Object N])), [Path A ([Place AT[+DIST] ([Object Y]))]])])]) — enramar ('to put branches somewhere, for decoration or for shade').
2. Change of position
 - a. Relationship among the parts of an individual Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event MOVE ([Object Y], [Position AT ([Object N]))])]) — enfielar ('to calibrate scales to the needle').
3. Leading
 - a. Without Instrument: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object Y], [Path ALONG ([Object N]))])]) — encallejonar ('to make something go down an alley'); encaminar ('to make something go along a path'); encarrilar ('to make something go along a lane'), among others.
 - b. With Instrument: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GO ([Object Y], [Path ALONG ([Object PATH]))]), [Means WITH ([Object N]))]) — encabestrar ('to lead with a halter, especially a bull').
4. Change of properties
 - a. Transformation
 - i. Patient is Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEAsc ([Object Y], [Property Adj/N])]])]) — enangostar ('to make narrow'); encanijar ('to make weak and rickety'); encarecer ('to make more expensive'); enceguecer ('to blind'); endulzar ('to sweeten'); enlustrecer ('to make shiny, glossy'), among others.
 - ii. Patient is Situation: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEAsc ([Situation Y], [Property Adj])]])]) — endulzar ('to sweeten, soften').
 - b. Likening: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEAsc ([Object Y], [Property LIKE ([Object N])]])])]) — enacerar ('to make something resemble steel'); encarnar ('to make something resemble flesh'); endiosar ('to make someone resemble a god in status and treatment'), etc.
5. Change of shape
 - a. Transformation: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BE ([Object Y], [Shape N])]])]) — enarcar ('to arch'); enchuecar ('to bend, warp, twist').
 - b. Likening: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BE ([Object Y], [Shape LIKE ([Object N])]])])]) — encanutar ('to make something resemble a tube'); engarabatar ('to make something resemble a hook or grapnel'), among others.
6. Change of orientation
 - a. Individual Theme: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [State ORIENT ([Object N], [Path TOWARD ([Object Y])]])]) — enfocar ('to focus, to direct a light at an object').
 - b. Two Themes: [Event [Event CAUS ([Object X], [State ORIENT ([Object Y], [Path TOWARD ([Object Z])]])]); [Event CAUS ([Object X], [State ORIENT ([Object Z], [Path TOWARD ([Object Y])]])]])] — encarrar ('to bring two things face to face'); enfrentar (same).
7. Constitution (a Substance or several similar Objects constitute a single distinct Object)
 - a. Patient is several similar Objects: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEAsc ([Object PLUR ([Object Y]), [Object PLUR ([Object N])]])]])] — enfardar ('to make up into a bale'); enfardelar (same); enlegajar ('to tie papers up into a stack').
 - b. Patient is Substance: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State BEAsc ([Substance Y], [Object PLUR ([Object N])]])]])] — engavillar ('to make the ripe grain up in sheaves').
8. Transference
 - a. Possession of individual Object: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event GOPos ([Object N], [Path TO

9. Causation of experience
- a. Intentional mental state
- i. Object is Agent: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State EXP ([Object Y], [MState N ([Object X]])])])] — enamorar ('to make someone fall in love'); encelar ('to make someone jealous'); enfurecer ('to anger someone'); enorgullecer ('to make someone proud'), among others.
 - ii. Object is not Agent: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State EXP ([Object Y], [MState N ([Object Z]])])])] — encariñar ('to make someone fond of something'); enfervorecer ('to make someone passionate or enthusiastic about something'); enfervorizar (same).
- b. Non-intentional mental state: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State EXP ([Object Y], [MState N])])] — encalmar ('to calm someone'); encolerizar ('to enrage someone'); encorajar ('to give someone courage'); encorajinar ('to make someone furious'); encuitar ('to trouble someone'); enfastiar ('to bore someone intensely'), among others.
- c. Physical state: [Event CAUS ([Object X], [Event INC [State EXP ([Object Y], [PhState N])])] — enfebrecer ('to cause to have a fever').

What we take out of this considerable semantic diversity is that there is no uniformity that allows us to systematically predict the meaning of the derived verb. There are, however, some regularities. Thus, for instance,

- when the base is a N denoting an Object with a defined interior space (a container such as *botella* ('bottle') or *barril* ('barrel'), but also *baúl* ('chest'), *gaveta* ('drawer'), etc.), the meaning of the causative verb will be 'to put NP inside N_{Goal}' (schemas 1.a.ii.1–5);
- when the base is a N denoting a Path (*callejón* ('alley'), *camino* ('path'), *carril* ('lane')), the meaning of the verb will be 'to make NP travel along N_{Path}' (schema 3.a);
- when the base is an Adj or a N denoting a Property (*angosto* ('narrow'), *caro* ('expensive'), *ciego* ('blind'), *dulce* ('sweet'), *lustre* ('luster')), the meaning of the verb will be 'to make NP be (more) Adj_{Property} / have (more) N_{Property}' (schema 4.a);
- when the base is a N denoting a mental or physical State (*amor* ('love'), *furia* ('anger'), *orgullo* ('pride'), *cariño* ('fondness'), *fiebre* ('fever'), etc.), the meaning of the verb will be 'to make NP experience / begin to experience N_{State}' (schema 9).

5.3 SYNTAX

The units in the corpus all conform to a single syntactic schema:

$$[s \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{NP}_y) \dots]]$$

The sentences built around these verbs have, at least, a subject NP and a direct object NP. Many of these verbs have intransitive pronominal forms, with only a subject NP, like *embarcarse* ('to board or embark'), *enamorarse* ('to fall in love'), *encabronarse* ('to get pissed off'), *encalmarse* ('to calm down'), *encaminarse* ('to head or set off toward something'). As can be seen from their English glosses, these forms are not causative, but inchoative, and they will be discussed at the end of this section.

Depending on the semantic schemas we saw above, this general syntactic schema is realized in 3 specific syntactic schemas, at a first level of specificity.

The first is the standard transitive schema:

$$[s \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{NP}_y)]]$$

where the sentence is built with only a subject NP and a direct object NP.

This object NP can carry the roles of Theme (*embarcar* ('to load onto a ship'); *embaular* ('to put in a chest or trunk'); *encajetillar* ('to put (cigarettes) in packs'); *encamar* ('to put someone in bed'); *encaminar* ('to make something go along a path'); *encarcelar* ('to put someone in prison'), among others); Goal (*embaldosar* ('to cover the floor with tiles'); *embalsamar* ('to embalm'); *enaceitar* ('to cover with oil'); *encenizar* ('to cover with ash'), among others); Patient (*enangostar* ('to make narrow'); *enarcar* ('to arch'); *encarecer* ('to make more expensive'); *enceguecer* ('to blind'), among others); or Experiencer (*enamorar* ('to make someone fall in love'); *encelar* ('to make someone jealous'); *encolerizar* ('to enrage someone'); *encorajar* ('to give someone courage'), among others). When the object NP refers to a human, it is introduced by the preposition *a* ('to'). This is not a different syntactic schema, but a case of differential object marking (DOM), whereby Spanish distinguishes human objects from non-human (or inanimate) ones.¹¹ This is also true for all following schemas.

The second specific schema is the transitive schema with a prepositional phrase:

$$[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } (\text{NP}_y) [_{PP} \text{ P } (\text{NP}_z)]]]$$

Depending on the preposition heading this PP, we can identify 4 more specific schemas:

- Transitive β PP-*con* ('with'): $[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } (\text{NP}_y) [_{PP} \text{ con } (\text{NP}_z)]]]$ — *enamorar* ('to make someone fall in love with someone'); *encubertar* ('to cover with cloths or the like'); *engrasar* ('to cover with oil or the like'), among others. NP_z can instantiate the Theme, the Instrument, or the Object of an intentional mental state.
- Transitive β PP-*de* ('of'): $[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } (\text{NP}_y) [_{PP} \text{ de } (\text{NP}_z)]]]$ — *embarrar* ('to cover with mud or other such substance'), among others. NP_z typically instantiates the Theme.
- Transitive β PP-*en* ('in'): $[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } (\text{NP}_y) [_{PP} \text{ en } (\text{NP}_z)]]]$ — *embarcar* ('to load onto a ship, plane, train'); *encantarar* ('to put ballots in a box'); *encovar* ('to put in a cave or similar location'), among others. NP_z typically instantiates the Goal.
- Transitive β PP-*a* ('to'): $[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } (\text{NP}_y) [_{PP} \text{ a } (\text{NP}_z)]]]$ — *encasquetar* ('to put a hat on someone'). NP_z typically instantiates the Goal.

Finally, the third schema is the double transitive schema:

$$[_S \text{ NP}_x, [_{VP} \text{ V } ([_{SN} \text{ NP}_y \text{ y } \text{ NP}_y)]]]$$

where the sentence has a subject NP and two coordinated direct object NPs (with the conjunction *y*, 'and') that carry the same semantic role. This is not a frequent schema, and the corpus only includes two cases: the verbs *encarar* and *enfrentar* ('to bring two things face to face'), very close in meaning, which correspond to a schema like $[_S \text{ NP}, [_{VP} \text{ V } ([_{NP} \text{ NP}_{\text{Theme1}} \text{ y } \text{ NP}_{\text{Theme2}})]]]$.

The most noteworthy finding from an analysis of the interaction of the semantic and syntactic components in these verbs is the matter of redundancies. The corpus includes many verbs whose semantic structure contains only one open variable (the Agent); the rest are filled with material already incorporated into the semantic argument structure (Theme, Goal, etc.). In other words, this material is already part of the verb's meaning. According to Jackendoff,¹² one of these closed variables can be instantiated in the syntax without it producing a redundancy, but only if the phrase instantiating the variable expands on the information already incorporated. Thus, for example, **bottling the wine in bottles* is redundant, but *bottling the wine in plastic bottles* is not, because the NP *plastic bottles* provides additional information, to wit, the material.

However, this is not exactly what we observe in the Spanish corpus. In verbs with incorporated direct objects like *enaguazar* ('to water the earth excessively', and only 'the earth'), *enalbardar* ('to put packsaddles on a horse', and only 'on a horse'), *enastilar* ('to put a haft on a tool', and only 'on a tool'), *encajetillar* ('to put cigarettes in a pack', and only 'cigarettes'), *encarpetar* ('to put papers in folders', and only 'papers'), *encorchar* ('to cork a bottle', and only 'a bottle'), and many others,

we see that this direct object can be instantiated in the syntax without providing additional information and without producing redundancies: *enaguazar la tierra* ('to water the earth excessively'), *encarpetar los papeles* ('to put the papers in folders') and *encorchar una botella* ('to cork a bottle') are all perfectly grammatical.

Redundancies are produced, however, when trying to instantiate, in the syntactic argument structure, the argument already filled by the base of the derived verb:

**enaguazar la tierra de agua* ('to water the earth with water') (Theme); **encarpetar los papeles en una carpeta* (lit. 'to folder the papers in a folder') (Goal); **encorchar una botella con un corcho* ('to cork a bottle with a cork') (Theme). But the other semantic material (the incorporated direct object) should also produce redundancies: the only thing one can *enaguazar* is the earth, just as the only thing one can cork is a bottle. How can we explain this?

Our hypothesis is that there are two constraints of the syntax-semantics interface that must be satisfied simultaneously: (1) the Theme role in the semantic structure must be realized in some syntactic argument; and (2) causative verbs must have a direct object. The verbs in which the base carries the Theme role (like *encorchar* and *enaguazar*) violate the second constraint if they fail to take a direct object (as in **Juan encorchó*, '*John corked'), and the only semantic role left is Goal, although it is already incorporated ('bottle' and 'earth', respectively). The verbs in which the base carries the Goal role (like *encajetillar*, 'to put cigarettes in a pack', or *encarpetar*, 'to put papers in folders') violate both constraints if they fail to take a direct object carrying the Theme role (as in **Juan encarpetó*, '*John put in folders'), although it too is already incorporated ('cigarettes' and 'papers', respectively). This hypothesis, proposed here in an arguably ad hoc fashion, awaits independent verification.

Systematic violations of these constraints have, however, become lexicalized as part of absolute constructions. Take, for instance, the case of *encestar* (lit. 'to put something in a basket', Sp. *cesta*). When used as a basketball term, it means 'to score' (lit. 'to put the basketball in the basket'), and it is used in an absolute way, as in *Juan*

encestó ('John scored'). So this has the flavour of the violable constraints of Optimality Theory or Jackendoff's preference rules.¹³

Other cases do conform to Jackendoff's generalization perfectly, but they do so in a rather special way: by way of paradigmatic lexical relationships. Thus, **encasquetarle un casquete a alguien en la cabeza* (≈ 'to hat someone with a hat') is redundant, but *encasquetarle un sombrero en la cabeza a alguien* is not (an English approximation would be 'to hat someone with a beret'). This is because *sombrero* ('hat'), being a hyponym of *casquete* ('any piece of clothing covering the top of the head'), provides additional information (it is more specific). The same thing happens with *encubertar un caballo con una armadura* ('to cover a horse with armour', where 'armour' is a kind of cover) and with *engrasar la rueda con aceite* ('to oil the wheel with grease', where 'grease' is a kind

of oil). A special case is *embarcar las mercancías en el avión* ('to load the merchandise onto the plane', but an English approximation would be 'to ship the merchandise by plane'), where *avión* ('plane') is not a hyponym, but a cohyponym of *barco* ('ship, boat'): they are both means of transportation.

Moving on from redundancies, some verbs in the corpus have pronominal ('re-flexive') variants (*enamorarse* ('to fall in love'), *encarecerse* ('to become more expensive'), among others). In general, the pronominal variants of these verbs are not causative (or even self-causative, bar some exceptions), but inchoative. As a result, as Marín & McNally argue when discussing Spanish reflexive psychological verbs (although the generalization is valid for other kinds of verbs), these verbs 'denote the beginning of the state they are associated with, without reference to the change

that brings about the state'¹⁴ (our translation). If that 'change that brings about the state' is ignored, we are not allowed to speak of causation.

We forgo discussing the contribution of the *se* morpheme to the semantic structure of these verbs. We will only point out that, if there really is no causation, the *se* morpheme cannot be a direct object coreferent with an Agent subject, which it is in truly reflexive verbs. In pseudo-reflexives like these, the *se* morpheme apparently 'blocks' the causative fragment of the semantic structure, which includes the Agent or Causer argument, leaving only one free argument to occupy the subject position (Theme, Experiencer, Goal, etc.) and the piece of semantic structure corresponding to [Event INC [State BEAsc (...)]] or [Event INC [State EXP (...)]], which accounts for their inchoative interpretation.

Having discussed the phonological, semantic and syntactic components of the analysed units, as well as the interactions between them, we can model the morphological schemas by bringing together the three components into an interface rule that accounts for the lexical representation of the causative prefix *en-*:

Semantics: [Event CAUS ([Object X₅], [F ... j { N/ Adj }₂ ... Y₆ j ...])]₄

Syntax: [V Pref₁ { N, Adj }₂ Suff₃]₄

Phonology: [x /eN/₁ /.. /₂ /.. /₃]₄

This rule can be paraphrased as 'a noun or an adjective, whatever its meaning and pronunciation, can combine with the prefix *en-* to form a causative verb'. The subscripts mark the links between the different components.

This schema generalizes over all the analysed units in the corpus. However, as we said at the beginning of Section 5, in modern Spanish, only two of the possible schemas are truly productive. These two schemas can be modelled as follows:

(1) Semantics: [Event CAUS ([Object X₅], [F ... j N₂ ... Y₆ j ...])]₄

Syntax: [V Pref₁ N₂ Suff₃]₄

Phonology: [x /eN/₁ /.. /₂ /ár/₃]₄

(2) Semantics: [Event CAUS ([Object X₅], [Event INC [State BEAsc (Y₆, [Property Adj₂])]])]₄

Syntax: [V Pref₁ Adj₂ Suff₃]₄

Phonology: [x /eN/₁ /.. /₂ /esér/₃]₄

Note that, in the schema presented above as an interface rule, the only semantic element that persists throughout the corpus is the function CAUS with its argument structure. Productive schema number (2) has a further specified semantic structure, but only accounts for a portion of the corpus.

Note also that the links, marked by the subscripts, do not cut across all three components. Crucially, the phonological segment /eN/ links to the category Prefix in the syntax, but it does not link to anything in the semantics. In other words, the causative meaning is not associated to the *en-* morpheme (subscript 1), but to the entire morphological schemas in which it occurs (subscript 4).

5.4 OPEN QUESTIONS

In Section 5.2, we identified some regularities in how the meaning of the base intervenes in the composition of the derived verb's meaning, but these regularities do not even begin to exhaust the possibilities evidenced by the corpus. What part of the meaning of *acero* ('steel') makes the meaning of *enacerar* 'to make something have the properties of steel (specifically, its toughness)',

instead of, say, ‘to cover something with steel’? Or consider a more extreme case: Why do *enaceitar*, *encalar* and *enfangar* mean ‘to cover something with a substance (oil, lime, and mud, respectively)’, but *enlejar* and *enterrar* mean ‘to make something go into a substance (bleach and earth, respectively)?

We could answer by saying that the first speaker who coined the verb used it with that specific meaning, which later speakers have inherited. However, the problem is rather the converse: When confronted with the first occurrence of a neologism whose derivation process is synchronically transparent (like *enacerar*, ‘to make something resemble steel’), how does a speaker ‘calculate’ the meaning of the derived verb from the meaning of the base and the knowledge she has about the morphological schemas in which the *en-* prefix occurs and their meanings? We are currently designing a methodology to try to give an answer to this question.

On a different matter, however difficult it is to predict the compositional meaning of these derived verbs, it is even harder to account for the idiosyncratic and/or figurative meanings of many of them, among which we include the cases of ‘opaque’ semantic schemas mentioned above. As an example, consider one of the meanings of the verb *encasquetar*, ‘to teach or make someone learn something, by repeating it insistently’, which no longer denotes the action of putting a physical object on someone’s head, but an intellectual transference (‘to put an idea inside someone’s mind’).

Modelling the figurative meaning is not in itself problematic; we can actually do it with the apparatus presented here: [_{Event} CAUS ([_{Object} X], [_{Event} GO_{Intel} ([_{Information} Y], [_{Path} TO ([_{Object} Z])])])]), where the function GO is relativized to the semantic field of intellection (as a kind of possession), its Theme argument belongs to the ontological class Information, and the Goal Z is a human. The problem lies in the lack of a theory-laden description, within the PA framework, of the process whereby we go from a literal semantic schema to a metaphorical one. Here, we forgo even attempting to offer a possible solution.

A third question pertains to the impact that this type of research can have, for instance, in teaching language. Broadly speaking, the PA framework provides insight into the nature of words: they are interconnections of sound, meaning and syntax, and there is value in teaching and learning them as such. Specifically, for the Spanish verbs we have discussed, the semantic schemas we have identified lend themselves to be used as criteria for organizing the verbs into lexical fields, which may help in teaching and learning vocabulary.

Knowledge of non-productive morphological schemas may prove helpful in comprehension, as may the knowledge of the possible phonological changes undergone by the base during incorporation. In turn, knowledge of productive morphological schemas may prove valuable both in comprehension and in production.

The generalizations concerning syllabification and stress patterns may prove useful in teaching and learning pronunciation, while the regularities in meaning composition identified in Section 5.2 can help learners predict the meaning of verbs they encounter for the first time.

Finally, a combined description of these verbs, based on (1) the semantic schemas they conform to and (2) their syntactic schemas and thematic roles of the subject and object NPs, can provide learners with valuable help in constructing sentences around them.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the analysis of a corpus made up of Spanish causative verbs formed with the prefix *en-*, within the theoretical and analytical framework of PA. This has allowed us to offer a unified description of the corpus, as the theory organically integrates the semantic, syntactic and phonological components of language, and the interactions between them through interfaces.

The derivational morpheme *en-* thus appears as an interface rule that links structures from the three components in several morphological schemas, only two of which seem to be productive in modern Spanish.

As we saw, the causative morpheme *en-* is vastly polysemous, even if we take into account that it only occurs in two productive schemas. This makes it difficult to establish its meaning beyond pointing out that it always involves a causative structure serving as a frame for the meaning of the derived verb, which results from its combination with a nominal or adjectival base. We insist on the fact that the causative meaning seems to be associated with the entire morphological schema, rather than with the individual morpheme.

We have found that the base of the verb not only contributes content to its semantic structure, but that it also carries semantic roles (Theme, Goal, etc.). We take this as evidence for the claim that, while part of the phonological component ignores morphosyntactic boundaries, these are quite visible to the semantics, which is able to make an argument out of a piece of structure and assign thematic roles to it, even if this piece of structure is embedded in the role-assigning predicate (verb) itself.

Furthermore, we have set out a hypothesis to explain the production of redundancies, or lack thereof, depending on the semantic arguments instantiated in the syntax, based on two constraints of the syntax-semantics interface, which appear to be, to some extent, violable. However, independent evidence for these constraints is necessary.

Although we have identified some regularities, the matter of modelling or even predicting the meaning of the verb from the possible combinations, as well as the problem of accounting for idiosyncratic and figurative meanings (beyond describing them as non-canonical mappings between components), must be left unaddressed.

School of Foreign Languages University of Havana, Cuba
19 de Mayo #14 bt. Ayestarán and Amézaga Plaza de la Revolución
Havana Cuba ewong@flex.uh.cu

NOTES

¹ See, for instance, Ray Jackendoff, *Semantic Structures* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1990), *The Architecture of the Language Faculty* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1997), and *Foundations of Language* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2002).

² Sometimes the mapping bypasses one or two of the components. For instance, [_{VP} V (NP)] is a piece of syntactic structure without links to either phonology or semantics. Interjections are pieces of phonological structure linked to a semantic structure, but without links to the syntax. For more examples, see Ray Jackendoff, *Foundations of Language*; 'A Parallel Architecture perspective on language, processing', *Brain Research*, 1146 (2007), 2–22, and 'Genesis of a theory of language: From thematic roles (source) to the Parallel Architecture (goal) (Sort of an intellectual memoir)', talk given at Yale University, spring 2013 <<https://ase.tufts.edu/cogstud/jackendoff/papers/GenesisofPA.pdf>>.

³ See, for instance, Geert Booij and Jenny Audring, 'Construction Morphology and the Parallel Architecture of Grammar', *Cognitive Science*, 41 (Suppl.2) (2017), 277–302 (special issue on the occasion of the Rumelhart prize for Ray Jackendoff); and Ray Jackendoff and Jenny Audring, 'Relational Morphology in the Parallel Architecture'. To appear in *The Oxford Handbook of Morphological Theory*, ed. by J. Audring & F. Masini (forthcoming).

⁴ Jackendoff, *Foundations of Language*, p. 369.

⁵ Jackendoff, *Semantic Structures*, p. 104.

⁶ See, for instance, the classic George Lakoff & Mark Johnson, *Metaphors We Live By* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980).

⁷ Jackendoff, *Semantic Structures*.

⁸ On this distinction between the generative and relational functions of morphological schemas, see Booij & Audring, 'Construction Morphology', p. 4, and Jackendoff & Audring, 'Relational Morphology in the Parallel Architecture', p. 11.

⁹ Booij & Audring, 'Construction Morphology'.

¹⁰ Here, the double underlining marks primary stress, and single underlining marks secondary stress.

¹¹ See, for instance, Manuel Leonetti, 'Specificity and Differential Object Marking in Spanish', *Catalan Journal of Linguistics*, 3 (2004), 75–114.

¹² Jackendoff, 'Genesis of a theory of language'.

¹³ For a discussion of preference rules in Conceptual Semantics, see Jackendoff, *Semantic Structures*, pp. 35–37.

¹⁴ R. Marín and L. McNally, 'Inchoativity, Change of State, and Telicity: Evidence from Spanish Reflexive Psychological Verbs', *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, 29 (2011), 467–502 (p. 469).

APPENDIX: SAMPLE OF 130 SPANISH VERBS DERIVED WITH EN-

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
1	<i>embachar</i>	'to put sheep in a pen, for shearing'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive
2	<i>embaldosar</i>	'to cover the floor with tiles'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
3	<i>embalsamar</i>	'to embalm'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>con</i>
4	<i>embalsar</i>	'to store water in a pool'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.4	Standard transitive
5	<i>embanastar</i>	'to put in a basket or baskets'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1 1.a.ii.2 1.a.ii.3	Standard transitive
6	<i>embanderar</i>	'to adorn with flags'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
7	<i>embarcar</i>	'to load onto a ship, plane, train' (in Spanish, things are loaded <i>into</i> a ship)	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1 1.a.ii.2	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>en</i>
8	<i>embarrar</i>	'to cover with mud or other such substance'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>de</i>
9	<i>embarrilar</i>	'to put in a barrel or barrels'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.2 1.a.ii.4 1.a.ii.5	Standard transitive
10	<i>embaular</i>	'to put something in a chest or trunk'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive
11	<i>embazar</i>	'to dye something dun'	Property	3	4.a.i	Standard transitive
12	<i>embotellar</i>	'to put in bottles'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.5	Standard transitive
13	<i>enaceitar</i>	'to put oil on something'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
14	<i>enacerar</i>	'to make something resemble steel'	Property	1	4.b	Standard transitive
15	<i>enaguachar</i>	'to water the earth excessively'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive
16	<i>enaguar</i>	'to water the earth excessively'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive
17	<i>enaguazar</i>	'to water the earth excessively'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive
18	<i>enalbardar</i>	'to put packsaddles on a horse'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
19	<i>enalmagrar</i>	'to cover with ochre'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
20	<i>enamorar</i>	'to make someone fall in love (with someone)'	Mental State	1	9.a.i 9.a.ii	Standard transitive (DOM) Transitive + PP- <i>con</i>
21	<i>enangostar</i>	'to make narrow'	Property	3	4.a.i	Standard transitive
22	<i>enarcar</i>	'to arch'	Shape	1	5.a	Standard transitive
23	<i>enarenar</i>	'to cover with sand'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
24	<i>enastar</i>	'to put a haft on a tool'	Theme	1	1.c.i	Standard transitive
25	<i>enastilar</i>	'to put a haft on a tool'	Theme	1	1.c.i	Standard transitive
26	<i>encabestrar</i>	'to lead with a halter, especially a bull'	Instrument	1	3.b	Standard transitive
27	<i>encabronar</i>	'to piss someone off' (in Cuban usage)	Mental State	3	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
28	<i>encabuyar</i>	'to tie rope around something'	Theme	1	1.d.i.1	Standard transitive
29	<i>encadenar</i>	'to attach someone with chains'	Instrument	1	1.c.iii	Transitive (DOM) + PP- <i>a</i>
30	<i>encajetillar</i>	'to put (cigarettes) in packs'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.2	Standard transitive
31	<i>encajonar</i>	'to put something in a drawer'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive
32	<i>encalabozar</i>	'to put someone in a jail cell'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
33	<i>encalar</i>	'to cover with lime'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
34	<i>encallejonar</i>	'to make something go down an alley'	Path	1	3.a	Standard transitive
35	<i>encalmar</i>	'to calm someone'	Mental State	1	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
36	<i>encamar</i>	'to put someone in bed'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
37	<i>encambronar</i>	'to surround a field with buckthorns or brambles'	Theme	1	1.d.iii	Standard transitive
38	<i>encaminar</i>	'to make something go along a path'	Path	1	3.a	Standard transitive
39	<i>encamisar</i>	'to put a shirt on someone'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
40	<i>encanalar</i>	'to make water go through a channel or canal'	Path	1	3.a	Standard transitive
41	<i>encanijar</i>	'to make weak and rickety'	Property	3	4.a.i	Standard transitive
42	<i>encantarar</i>	'to put ballots in a box'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.2	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>en</i>
43	<i>encanutar</i>	'to make something resemble a tube'	Shape	1	5.b	Standard transitive
44	<i>encañar</i>	'to make water go through a pipe'	Path	1	3.a	Standard transitive
45	<i>encaperuzar</i>	'to put a hood on someone'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
46	<i>encapsular</i>	'to put in a capsule'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive
47	<i>encarar</i>	'to bring two things face to face'	Theme	1	6.b	Double transitive
48	<i>encarcelar</i>	'to put someone in prison'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
49	<i>encarecer</i>	'to make more expensive'	Property	2	4.a.i	Standard transitive
50	<i>encarñar</i>	'to make someone fond of something'	Mental State	1	9.a.ii	Transitive (DOM) + PP- <i>con</i>
51	<i>encarnar</i>	'to make something resemble flesh'	Property	1	4.b	Standard transitive
52	<i>encarpetar</i>	'to put papers in folders'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.3	Standard transitive
53	<i>encarrilar</i>	'to make something go along a lane'	Path	1	3.a	Standard transitive
54	<i>encartonar</i>	'to cover with pieces of cardboard'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
55	<i>encascabelar</i>	'to adorn with bells'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
56	<i>encasillar</i>	'to put in pigeonholes'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.3	Standard transitive
57	<i>encasquetar</i>	'to put a hat on someone'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Transitive + PP- <i>a</i>
58	<i>encauchar</i>	'to cover with rubber'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
59	<i>enceguecer</i>	'to blind'	Property	2	4.a.i	Standard transitive (DOM)
60	<i>encelar</i>	'to make someone jealous'	Mental State	1	9.a.i	Standard transitive (DOM)

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
61	<i>encenizar</i>	'to cover with ash'	Theme	5	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
62	<i>encepar</i>	'to put in a clamp'	Place	1	1.c.ii	Standard transitive
63	<i>encerar</i>	'to cover with wax'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
64	<i>encestar</i>	'to put in a basket'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1 1.a.ii.2 1.a.ii.3	Standard transitive
65	<i>enchapar</i>	'to cover with veneers'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
66	<i>enchuecar</i>	'to bend, warp, twist'	Shape	3	5.a	Standard transitive
67	<i>encolerizar</i>	'to enrage someone'	Mental State	5	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
68	<i>encorachar</i>	'to put in a leather sack, especially merchandise for transportation'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.2	Standard transitive
69	<i>encorajar</i>	'to give someone courage'	Mental State	1	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
70	<i>encorajinar</i>	'to make someone furious'	Mental State	1	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
71	<i>encorar</i>	'to cover with leather'	Theme	1	1.d.i.1	Standard transitive
72	<i>encorbatar</i>	'to put a tie on someone'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
73	<i>encorchar</i>	'to cork a bottle'	Theme	1	1.a.i.2	Standard transitive
74	<i>encordar</i>	'to tie string around something'	Theme	1	1.d.i.1	Standard transitive
75	<i>encordelar</i>	'to tie cord around something'	Theme	1	1.d.i.1	Standard transitive
76	<i>encordonar</i>	'to tie cord or string around something'	Theme	1	1.d.i.2	Standard transitive
77	<i>encorsetar</i>	'to put a corset on someone'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
78	<i>encostalar</i>	'to put in a sack or sacks'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.3	Standard transitive
79	<i>encovar</i>	'to put in a cave or similar location'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>en</i>
80	<i>encubertar</i>	'to cover with cloths or the like'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>con</i>
81	<i>encuitar</i>	'to trouble someone'	Mental State	1	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
82	<i>endemoniar</i>	'to put demons inside someone'	Theme	1	1.a.i.2	Standard transitive (DOM)
83	<i>endentar</i>	'to make teeth / cogs on something, like a wheel'	Shape	1	5.a	Standard transitive
84	<i>enderezar</i>	'to straighten'	Position	3	2.a	Standard transitive
85	<i>endiablar</i>	'to put demons inside someone'	Theme	1	1.a.i.2	Standard transitive (DOM)
86	<i>endiosar</i>	'to make someone resemble a god in status and treatment'	Property	1	4.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
87	<i>endrogar</i>	'to administer drugs to someone'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
88	<i>endulzar</i>	'to sweeten, soften'	Property	3	4.a.i 4.a.ii	Standard transitive Transitive + PP-con
89	<i>endurecer</i>	'to harden'	Property	2	4.a.i	Standard transitive
90	<i>enfangar</i>	'to cover with mud'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
91	<i>enfardar</i>	'to make up into a bale'	Object	1	7.a	Standard transitive
92	<i>enfardelar</i>	'to make up into a bale'	Object	1	7.a	Standard transitive
93	<i>enfebrecer</i>	'to cause to have a fever'	Physical State	4	9.c	Standard transitive (DOM)
94	<i>enfervorecer</i>	'to make someone passionate or enthusiastic about something'	Mental State	4	9.a.ii	Transitive (DOM) + PP-con
95	<i>enfervorizar</i>	'to make someone passionate or enthusiastic about something'	Mental State	5	9.a.ii	Transitive (DOM) + PP-con
96	<i>enfeudar</i>	'to give someone a fiefdom'	Theme	1	8.a	Standard transitive (DOM)
97	<i>enfielar</i>	'to calibrate scales to the needle'	Position	1	2.a	Standard transitive
98	<i>enflorar</i>	'to adorn with flowers'	Theme	1	1.b.i.2	Standard transitive
99	<i>enfocar</i>	'to focus, to direct a light at an object'	Theme	1	6.a	Standard transitive
100	<i>enfrentar</i>	'to bring two things face to face'	Theme	1	6.b	Double transitive
101	<i>enfriar</i>	'to chill, cool, make cold'	Property	3	4.a.i 4.a.ii	Standard transitive

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
102	<i>enfurecer</i>	'to anger someone'	Mental State	4	4.a.i	Standard transitive (DOM)
103	<i>enfuriar</i>	'to anger someone'	Mental State	1	4.a.i	Standard transitive (DOM)
104	<i>enganchar</i>	'to attach with a hook'	Instrument	1	1.c.iii	Transitive + PP- <i>a</i>
105	<i>engarabatar</i>	'to make something resemble a hook or grapnel'	Shape	1	5.b	Standard transitive
106	<i>engavetar</i>	'to put in a drawer'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.1	Standard transitive
107	<i>engavillar</i>	'to make the ripe grain up in sheaves'	Object	1	7.b	Standard transitive
108	<i>engordar</i>	'to fatten'	Property	3	4.a.i	Standard transitive (also intransitive, 'to gain weight')
109	<i>engoznar</i>	'to attach with a hinge'	Instrument	1	1.c.iii	Transitive + PP- <i>a</i>
110	<i>engrandar</i>	'to enlarge'	Property	3	4.a.i	Standard transitive
111	<i>engrasar</i>	'to cover with oil or the like'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>con</i>
112	<i>enguatar</i>	'to pad'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive
113	<i>enhastiar</i>	'to bore someone intensely'	Mental State	1	9.b	Standard transitive (DOM)
114	<i>enhielar</i>	'to mix something with bile'	Theme	1	1.a.i.1	Standard transitive
115	<i>enlatar</i>	'to put in a can or cans'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.3 1.a.ii.5	Standard transitive
116	<i>enlazar</i>	'to attach with a bow'	Theme	1	1.d.ii.1	Standard transitive
117	<i>enlegajar</i>	'to tie papers up into a stack'	Object	1	7.a	Standard transitive
118	<i>enlejiar</i>	'to dip something in bleach'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.6	Standard transitive
119	<i>enlodar</i>	'to get something dirty with mud'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
120	<i>enlodazar</i>	'to get something dirty with mud'	Theme	1	1.b.i.3	Standard transitive
121	<i>enlustreecer</i>	'to make shiny, glossy'	Property	4	4.a.i	Standard transitive
122	<i>enmantar</i>	'to cover with a blanket'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive

No.	Verb	English Gloss	Base is	Morph. Schema	Semantic Schema	Syntactic Schema
123	<i>enmaromar</i>	'to attach with a special kind of rope'	Instrument	1	1.c.iii	Transitive + PP- <i>a</i>
124	<i>enmascarar</i>	'to cover someone's face with a mask'	Theme	1	1.b.i.1	Standard transitive (DOM)
125	<i>enorgullecer</i>	'to make someone proud'	Mental State	4	9.a.i	Standard transitive (DOM)
126	<i>enquiciar</i>	'to fix a door or window to a jamb'	Place	1	1.c.ii	Standard transitive
127	<i>enramar</i>	'to put branches somewhere, for decoration or for shade'	Theme	1	1.e.i.1	Standard transitive
128	<i>enrarecer</i>	'to make a gas rarer (less dense)'	Property	2	4.a.i	Standard transitive
129	<i>enrayar</i>	'to put spokes on a wheel'	Theme	1	1.c.i	Standard transitive
130	<i>enterrar</i>	'to bury'	Goal	1	1.a.ii.6	Standard transitive Transitive + PP- <i>en</i>