

Combinatorics on words and generating Dirichlet series of automatic sequences

Jean-Paul Allouche¹[0000-0002-9060-0784], Jeffrey Shallit²[0000-0003-1197-3820],
and Manon Stipulanti³[0000-0002-2805-2465]

¹ CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Sorbonne, Paris, France

`jean-paul.allouche@imj-prg.fr`

² School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada

`shallit@uwaterloo.ca`

³ Department of Mathematics, ULiège, Liège, Belgium

`m.stipulanti@uliege.be`

Abstract. Generating series are crucial in enumerative combinatorics, analytic combinatorics, and combinatorics on words. Though it might seem at first view that generating Dirichlet series are less used in these fields than ordinary and exponential generating series, there are many notable papers where they play a fundamental role, as can be seen in particular in the work of Flajolet and several of his co-authors. In this paper, we study Dirichlet series of integers with missing digits or blocks of digits in some integer base b ; i.e., where the summation ranges over the integers whose expansions form some language strictly included in the set of all words over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ that do not begin with a 0. We show how to unify and extend results proved by Nathanson in 2021 and by Köhler and Spilker in 2009. En route, we encounter several sequences from Sloane’s On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, as well as some famous b -automatic sequences or b -regular sequences. We also consider a specific sequence that is not b -regular.

Keywords: Combinatorics on words · Generating Dirichlet series · Automatic sequences · Missing digits · Restricted words.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R15 (primary), 05A15, 11B85, 11M41, 40A05 (secondary)

1 Introduction

Combinatorics (and in particular combinatorics on words) frequently uses generating functions. The point of generating functions is to “synthesize” or “concentrate” the properties of the sequence of its coefficients in a single function. There are three main types of generating functions associated with a sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$:

★ the ordinary generating function: $\sum_{n \geq 0} s_n x^n$;

- ★ the exponential generating function: $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s_n}{n!} x^n$;
- ★ the Dirichlet generating function: $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s_n}{n^z}$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that these series can be considered either as “formal” series or as complex (or real) functions only defined in some *ad hoc* domain. An excellent source for generating functions is Wilf’s book [54] (also available online). At first glance, it might seem that ordinary and exponential generating series are more commonly used in (enumerative) combinatorics, while Dirichlet series are more used in number theory. However, this impression is certainly biased due, in particular, to the most famous such series, namely the Riemann zeta function $\zeta : z \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} 1/n^z$. To get easily convinced that generating Dirichlet series are *also* used in combinatorics, it suffices to mention the strong link between ordinary generating functions and generating Dirichlet functions through the Mellin transform (see, for example, the paper of Wintner [55], who cites the 1859 pioneering paper of Riemann⁴), or the many papers of the *virtuosi* Flajolet and co-authors, who make use of the Mellin transform. In particular one can consult the “bible” by Flajolet and Sedgewick [25], from which we do not resist quoting the following lines:

[...] It is possible to go much further as first shown by De Bruijn, Knuth, and Rice in a landmark paper [12], which also constitutes a historic application of Mellin transforms in analytic combinatorics. (We refer to this paper for historical context and references.)

Playing around with harmonic series and Dirichlet series with “missing terms” in the summation range, we revisited the 1914 paper of Kempner [38], where he studied the series $\sum' 1/n$; see Theorem 1 presented below. Here \sum' means that the summation ranges over integers with no occurrence of the digit 9 in their base-10-expansion. The *abscissa of convergence* (whose definition is recalled in Section 1.2) of the Dirichlet series $\sum' 1/n^z$ is less than or equal to 1. This abscissa of convergence is worth determining precisely, not only for its own sake, but also because it is related to the singularities of the series. Actually, it is natural to look at series with a more general flavor. Following this, we came across two papers: namely, a 2009 paper by Köhler and Spilker [40], and a 2021 paper by Nathanson [44], where the abscissa of convergence of “restricted” Dirichlet series was determined. Here, “restricted” means that integers having some digits or some blocks of digits in their b -ary expansion are excluded from the summation range.

Within the topic of restricted Dirichlet series, our contribution is threefold. First, it appears that a classical result (Lemma 1) about the abscissa of convergence of Dirichlet series used in [40] provides a (shorter?) proof of results in [44]. Our first contribution is thus to uncover and give credit to Lemma 1, and provide the alternative proof of the results in [44] in Section 2. Second, it

⁴ See a transcription at <https://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/zeta.pdf>.

turns out that results of Köhler and Spilker [40] can be extended to generalize those of Nathanson [44]. So, in Section 3, we prove results giving the abscissa of convergence of some specific restricted Dirichlet series (see Theorems 4, 5 and 6 below). In these cases, the abscissa of convergence is equal to $\log_b \lambda$, where λ is the dominant root of a certain polynomial with integer coefficients, and b is the base of the considered numeration system. The examples taken in Section 3 illustrate how Lemma 1 can be used beyond Nathanson's considerations on the one hand, and Köhler and Spilker's on the other: in all cases, the key is to *count* words of a prescribed form. In addition, our examples appear in Sloane's On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [50]. In Section 4, we provide yet a different method and show how to recover our results from the general study of the asymptotics of summatory functions of so-called b -regular sequences (see Section 1.1 for definitions). Moreover, with Theorem 9, we provide a general statement on the abscissa of convergence of Dirichlet series associated with languages having automatic characteristic sequences (see again Section 1.1 for definitions). In Section 5, we go beyond this setting and, as a third contribution, we show how our initial method can be applied to some non-regular Dirichlet series. We finish the paper with Section 6 where we conclude and expose some related open problems.

As a final comment to this introduction, we would also like to add that related work is contained in the papers [5,3,10,13,14,15,19,22,36,37,43], in the papers of Janjić cited at the beginning of Section 3, and the paper of Noonan and Zeilberger [45].

1.1 Notation and definitions

We let \mathbb{N} denote the set of non-negative integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. A subset Y of a set X is called *proper* if $Y \neq X$. We let $|X|$ denote the *cardinality* of the finite set X . (Also note that we will use the notation $|x|$ to denote the absolute value of the real number x . There should be no confusion between the two uses of $|\cdot|$.)

Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Its *summatory function* is the sequence $(A(n))_{n \geq 1}$ of its *partial sums* defined by $A(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ for each $n \geq 1$. (Following the usual convention for a summation over an empty set of indices, we set $A(0) = 0$.) We also warn the reader that most sequences in this text will be indexed starting at 1 (except b -ary expansions as mentioned below).

An *alphabet* is a finite set. A (finite) *word* over an alphabet Σ is a finite sequence of letters from Σ . The *length* of a word is the number of letters it is made of. The *empty word* is the only word of length 0. For all $n \geq 0$, we let Σ^n denote the set of all length- n words over Σ . We let Σ^* denote the set of words over Σ (including the empty word). It is equipped with the concatenation of words, which makes it a monoid (called the *free monoid* on Σ).

Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. If the expansion of an integer n in the base- b numeration system is $n = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} w_j b^j$, with $w_j \in [0, b-1] = \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $w_k \neq 0$, then the *base- b or b -ary representation* of n , written $\text{rep}_b(n)$, is the word $\text{rep}_b(n) = w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_0$ over the alphabet $[0, b-1]$ (we warn the reader

to pay attention to the order of indices and to the fact that they end at 0). The base- b representation of 0 is the empty word. A sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is *b-automatic* if its *b-kernel* $\{(s(b^e n + r))_n \mid e \geq 0, r \in [0, b^k - 1]\}$ is finite. For more on these sequences, see, for example, the books [7,26,29]. As automatic sequences take only finitely many values, Allouche and Shallit introduced generalizations to infinite alphabets, called *b-regular sequences* [6]: a sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is *b-regular* if there exist vectors V, W and matrices M_0, \dots, M_{b-1} such that, if $\text{rep}_b(n) = w_k w_{k-1} \dots w_0$, then $s(n) = VM_{w_k} \dots M_{w_0} W$ for all $n \geq 0$. The set of vectors V, W and matrices M_0, \dots, M_{b-1} form a *linear representation* of $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

For two sequences $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}, (v_n)_{n \geq 0}$, we recall the notation $u_n \sim v_n$, which means that there exists an integer n_0 such that $v_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq n_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_n}{v_n} = 1$. We also write $u_n = \Theta(v_n)$ if there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 v_n \leq u_n \leq c_2 v_n$ for all sufficiently large n . Similarly, we write $u_n = O(v_n)$ if there exists a positive constant c such that $u_n \leq c v_n$ for all sufficiently large n . If a sequence of real numbers $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a recurrence relation of the form $u_{n+k} = c_{k-1} u_{n+k-1} + \dots + c_0 u_n$ for some real coefficients c_0, \dots, c_{k-1} and having characteristic polynomial $\chi(x) = x^k - c_{k-1} x^{k-1} - \dots - c_1 x - c_0$, then $u_n = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i(n) \alpha_i^n$ where

- 1) the real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are the distinct roots of $\chi(x)$ with respective multiplicity m_1, \dots, m_r ;
- 2) for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, P_i is a polynomial of degree (strictly) less than m_i whose coefficients are determined by the initial conditions satisfied by $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

See the general reference [27, Chapter 7].

1.2 Dirichlet series, abscissa of convergence, and restrictions

Given a sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of real numbers, its associated *Dirichlet (generating) series* is defined by

$$F_s(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s_n}{n^z}, \text{ where } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is well known that either there exists a real number r_0 such that $F_s(z)$ converges for all z with $\Re(z) > r_0$ and diverges for all z with $\Re(z) < r_0$, or $F_s(z)$ converges everywhere, or else $F_s(z)$ converges nowhere. The real number r_0 is called the *abscissa of convergence* of the series $F_s(z)$. If $F_s(z)$ does not converge anywhere (resp., converges everywhere), we set $r_0 = +\infty$ (resp., $r_0 = -\infty$). The *abscissa of absolute convergence* of the series $F_s(z)$ is defined in a similar way when absolute convergence of $F_s(z)$ is considered instead.

Example 1. The most famous example of Dirichlet series is when all coefficients $s_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$: the series is the *Riemann zeta function*. Its abscissas of convergence and of absolute convergence are both equal to $+1$. Another well-studied example is when $s_n = (-1)^n$ for all $n \geq 1$: the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n / n^z$ is equal to 0, while its abscissa of absolute convergence is $+1$ (this is well known, see, e.g., [42, p. 10]).

In what follows, we consider Dirichlet series in which the summation range is restricted or limited to some integers sharing a similar property. Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer and let L be a language over the alphabet $[0, b - 1]$, i.e., a set L of words on $[0, b - 1]$. We define the *restricted* Dirichlet series $F_L(z)$ by

$$F_L(z) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ \text{rep}_b(n) \in L}} \frac{1}{n^z},$$

i.e., the series $F_s(z)$ where, for all $n \geq 1$, the coefficient s_n is 1 or 0 depending on whether $\text{rep}_b(n)$ belongs to L or not. In the sequel, the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called the *characteristic sequence* of the language L in base b .

At the beginning of the 20th century, Kempner [38] considered the set of positive integers whose base-10 representation contains no 9.

Theorem 1 (Kempner, [38]). *Consider the language $L_K = [0, 8]^*$ over the alphabet $[0, 9]$. Then the restricted harmonic series $F_{L_K}(1)$ converges.*

Remark 1. This result has now become classical, but it might seem strange since the “whole” series $\sum_{n \geq 1} 1/n$ diverges. Actually it is not hard to get convinced that “many” integers are omitted in the restricted series (think, for example, of all the integers belonging to the interval $[9 \cdot (10)^k, (10)^{k+1} - 1]$ for a fixed k).

Of course Kempner’s result implies that the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_K}(z)$ is less than or equal to 1. It is then natural to try to determine the (exact) abscissa of convergence of this series and similar ones.

2 A shorter proof of Nathanson’s result using Köhler and Spilker’s method

In their 2009 paper, Köhler and Spilker studied the abscissa of convergence of some restricted Dirichlet series. For this particular restriction, they considered base- b representations that avoid some specific set of digits.

Theorem 2 (Köhler and Spilker, [40, Satz 2]). *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. Consider a non-empty subset D of $[0, b - 1]$ with $D \neq \{0\}$. Then the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{D^*}(z)$ is equal to $\frac{\log |D|}{\log b}$.*

In particular, when the set D in Theorem 2 contains all but one element, we obtain a refinement of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. Consider the set $D = [0, b - 1] \setminus \{a\}$ where $a \in [1, b - 1]$. Then the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{D^*}(z)$ is equal to $\log_b(b - 1)$.*

In 2021, Nathanson independently considered a different kind of restriction on Dirichlet series [44]. For an integer base $b \geq 2$, the digits in a given position in the base- b representations are constrained according to certain rules. Depending on the position, the rules may vary; this is a fundamental difference between Köhler and Spilker's work and Nathanson's.

Theorem 3 (Nathanson, [44, Theorem 1]). *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. For all $i \geq 0$, consider a proper subset D_i of $[0, b-1]$ and let \mathcal{D} denote the sequence $(D_i)_{i \geq 0}$. Define the language*

$$L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})} = \{w_n w_{n-1} \cdots w_0 : w_n \neq 0 \text{ and } w_i \notin D_i, \forall i \in [0, n]\}.$$

Suppose furthermore that the set $\mathcal{M} = \{m \geq 1 : D_{m-1} \neq [1, b-1]\}$ is infinite and that there exist non-negative real numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{b-1}$ such that for all $m \geq 1$ and for all $\ell \in [0, b-1]$, the limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m} |\{i \in [0, m-1] : |D_i| = \ell\}|$$

exists and is equal to α_ℓ . Then the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}}(z)$ has abscissa of convergence

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{\log b} \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_\ell \log(b-\ell).$$

Observe that Theorem 3 clearly implies Theorem 2. However, we give a shorter proof of Theorem 3 using the proof and methods from Theorem 2. As Köhler and Spilker did, we require [40, Lemma 1], which is (partially) recalled below and for which Köhler and Spilker give a standard reference, namely [52, p. 292].

Lemma 1. *Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence. Assume that the series $A(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ of partial sums is positive and tends to infinity. Then the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series $F_s(z)$ is*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(n)}{\log n}.$$

Proof (of Theorem 3). First of all, the hypothesis means that, for all $\ell \in [0, b-1]$,

$$|\{i \in [0, m-1] : |D_i| = \ell\}| = m\alpha_\ell + o_\ell(m), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{o_\ell(m)}{m} = 0. \quad (2)$$

As already mentioned, our strategy to prove the statement is inspired by the proof of Theorem 2 and makes use of Lemma 1. To that aim, we define the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ to be the characteristic sequence of the language $L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}$ in base

b and the sequence $(A(n))_{n \geq 1}$ by $A(n) = |\{m \in [1, n] : \text{rep}_b(m) \in L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}\}|$ for all $n \geq 1$. Note that the n th partial sum of the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is $A(n)$. Therefore, using the notation from Lemma 1, we have $F_s(z) = F_{L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}}(z)$. To prove that the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}}(z)$ is equal to $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}}$, it suffices, by Lemma 1, to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(n)}{\log n} = \Theta_{\mathcal{D}}. \quad (3)$$

To evaluate the quantity $A(n)$ for large enough n , we define k by $b^{k-1} \leq n < b^k$, i.e., $k = \lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log b} \rfloor + 1$. Of course, we have

$$A(b^{k-1}) \leq A(n) \leq A(b^k). \quad (4)$$

We divide the rest of the proof into two steps. First, we prove that the lim sup in (3) is bounded above by $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}}$. Second, we build up an increasing sequence of integers such that the corresponding lim sup is bounded below by $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Step 1: First, we prove that the lim sup in (3) is at most $\Theta_{\mathcal{D}}$. We note that $\text{rep}_b(b^j) = 10^j$ for all $j \geq 0$. For $j \geq 1$, all integers in the interval $[b^{j-1}, b^j - 1]$ are represented by words of length j over the alphabet $[0, b - 1]$. Hence $A(b^j - 1) - A(b^{j-1} - 1)$ can be bounded from above by the number of words of length j on $[0, b - 1]$ such that their i -th digits belong to $[0, b - 1] \setminus D_i$, i.e.,

$$A(b^j - 1) - A(b^{j-1} - 1) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b - |D_i|).$$

Thus, if we set $A(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(b^k) &\leq A(b^k - 1) + 1 \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k (A(b^j - 1) - A(b^{j-1} - 1)) \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (b - |D_i|) \leq 1 + k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (b - |D_i|). \end{aligned}$$

By (1), we obtain

$$A(b^k) \leq 1 + k \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b - \ell)^{\alpha_{\ell} k + o_{\ell}(k)} \leq 1 + k b^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} |o_{\ell}(k)|} \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b - \ell)^{\alpha_{\ell} k}. \quad (5)$$

Putting (4) and (5) together gives

$$\log A(n) \leq 1 + \log k + (k \log b) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \frac{|o_{\ell}(k)|}{k} + \frac{1}{\log b} \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_{\ell} \log(b - \ell) \right).$$

Since $k = \lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log b} \rfloor + 1$ implies that $k \log b \sim \log n$ when n goes to infinity, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(n)}{\log n} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \frac{|o_\ell(k)|}{k} + \frac{1}{\log b} \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_\ell \log(b - \ell) \right) = \Theta_{\mathcal{D}},$$

where the last equality follows using (2). This ends the first step. \blacksquare

Step 2: Now we prove that there exists an increasing sequence $(m_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of integers such that $\limsup_{m_t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(m_t)}{\log m_t} \geq \Theta_{\mathcal{D}}$. In fact, we will use the sequence $(m_t)_{t \geq 0}$ consisting of the elements of \mathcal{M} in increasing order (recall that \mathcal{M} is defined as in Theorem 3 above and that \mathcal{M} is infinite). In short, for all $t \geq 0$, m_t is the $(t + 1)$ st element of \mathcal{M} .

First, for each $t \geq 0$, we consider the set of integers in $[b^{m_t-1}, b^{m_t} - 1]$, whose base- b representations have length (exactly) m_t and are such that their i th digit is not in D_i , i.e., the set

$$\{n \geq 1 : n \in [b^{m_t-1}, b^{m_t} - 1] \text{ and } \text{rep}_b(n) \in L_{\text{forb}(\mathcal{D})}\}.$$

We let P_{m_t} denote the cardinality of this set. Observe that $A(b^{m_t}) \geq P_{m_t}$, so in order to bound the lim sup above, we will evaluate P_{m_t} in the sequel. Since base- b representations do not start with 0, we have

$$P_{m_t} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{m_t-1} (b - |D_i|), & \text{if } 0 \in D_{m_t-1}; \\ \left(\frac{b - |D_{m_t-1}| - 1}{b - |D_{m_t-1}|} \right) \prod_{i=0}^{m_t-1} (b - |D_i|), & \text{if } 0 \notin D_{m_t-1}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

(Note that, if $0 \notin D_{m_t-1}$, then there are $b - |D_{m_t-1}| - 1$ possible choices for the digit in position $m_t - 1$, as leading zeroes are forbidden.) Following [44], we distinguish two cases to evaluate P_{m_t} , depending on the cardinality $|D_{m_t-1}|$.

Case 1: If $0 \leq |D_{m_t-1}| \leq b - 2$, then

$$\frac{b - |D_{m_t-1}| - 1}{b - |D_{m_t-1}|} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and thus

$$P_{m_t} \geq \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=0}^{m_t-1} (b - |D_i|) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b - \ell)^{\alpha_\ell m_t + o_\ell(m_t)}.$$

where we used (1) in the last equality.

Case 2: If $|D_{m_t-1}| = b - 1$, then $0 \in D_{m_t-1}$ (recall that $m_t \in \mathcal{M}$). Thus, using the first equality in Eq. (6), we have

$$P_{m_t} = \prod_{i=0}^{m_t-1} (b - |D_i|) = \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b - \ell)^{\alpha_\ell m_t + o_\ell(m_t)}$$

by using (1) again.

Hence, in both cases, we obtain

$$P_{m_t} \geq \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b-\ell)^{\alpha_\ell m_t + o_\ell(m_t)} \geq \frac{1}{2} b^{-\sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} |o_\ell(m_t)|} \prod_{\ell=0}^{b-1} (b-\ell)^{\alpha_\ell m_t},$$

since (distinguishing the cases $o_\ell(m_t) \geq 0$ and $o_\ell(m_t) < 0$)

$$o_\ell(m_t) \log(b-\ell) \geq -|o_\ell(m_t)| \log b.$$

This in turn yields

$$\log A(b^{m_t}) \geq \log P_{m_t} \geq -\log 2 - \log b \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} |o_\ell(m_t)| + m_t \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_\ell \log(b-\ell),$$

and thus

$$\frac{\log A(b^{m_t})}{\log b^{m_t}} \geq \frac{\log P_{m_t}}{m_t \log b} \geq -\frac{\log 2}{m_t \log b} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \frac{|o_\ell(m_t)|}{m_t} + \frac{1}{\log b} \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_\ell \log(b-\ell).$$

Finally, by using (2), we obtain

$$\limsup_{m_t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(b^{m_t})}{\log b^{m_t}} \geq \frac{1}{\log b} \sum_{\ell=0}^{b-1} \alpha_\ell \log(b-\ell) = \Theta_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

This finishes up the two steps of the proof. The proof is now complete. \square

3 Opening the door to a myriad of cases

In this section, we illustrate with various practical examples how Köhler and Spilker's strategy using Lemma 1 may open the way to generalizations of Theorems 2 and 3 (note that none of these results may be applied to the cases considered in Section 3). We focus on words that periodically avoid certain blocks and the key is to *count* them. Counting words having a prescribed structure is notably done in several papers of Janjić [31,32,33,34,35]. An important paper on the subject is, of course, the 1999 Noonan and Zeilberger paper [45], that describes and implements the ‘‘Goulden-Jackson cluster method’’.

We start with a lemma that will prove useful.

Lemma 2. *Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers and let $(A(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be its summatory function, i.e., $A(n) = \sum_{i=1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$. Suppose that there exist an integer $b \geq 2$ and a real $\lambda > 1$ such that $A(b^k) = \lambda^{k(1+o(1))}$ when k goes to infinity. Then, $\frac{\log A(n)}{\log n} \sim \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}$ when n goes to infinity.*

Note that the assumption in the previous lemma is equivalent to saying that $\log A(b^k) = k(1 + o(1)) \log \lambda$, i.e., $\log A(b^k) \sim k \log \lambda$.

Proof (of Lemma 2). For an integer $n \geq 1$, let k be such that $b^{k-1} \leq n < b^k$ (i.e., $k-1 = \lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log b} \rfloor$). We have $k \sim \frac{\log n}{\log b}$ when n goes to infinity. Since $A(b^{k-1}) \leq A(n) \leq A(b^k)$, we have

$$\frac{\log A(b^{k-1})}{\log n} \leq \frac{\log A(n)}{\log n} \leq \frac{\log A(b^k)}{\log n}$$

for $n \geq 2$. By assumption both $\log A(b^k)$ and $\log A(b^{k-1})$ behave like $k \log \lambda$, thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(b^{k-1})}{\log n} = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(b^k)}{\log n} = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}.$$

Hence, the squeeze theorem finally gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(n)}{\log n} = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}$, as desired. \square

3.1 All-distinct-letter blocks

We play with a specific example in base 10 where representations periodically avoid two blocks that do not share a letter. More specifically, define L_1 to be the language of all the words $w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_0$ over $[0, 9]$ such that $w_k \neq 0$ and, for all $i \in [0, k-1]$,

$$w_{i+1} w_i \neq \begin{cases} 12, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 89, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

Observe that L_1 contains base-10 representations that periodically avoid the blocks 12 and 89. Note that the blocks 12 and 89 do not have a letter in common. Also note that if words in L_1 contain the block 12 (resp., 89), then it must occur at an odd (resp., even) position; it can also be the case that they do not contain either block.

The goal of this section is to determine the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_1}(z)$. To this aim, for all $n \geq 0$, we let v_n denote the cardinality of the sub-language $L_1 \cap [0, 9]^n$, i.e., v_n gives the number of length- n words in L_1 . One can also compute the first few elements of the sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by hand and obtain 1, 9, 89, 881, 8721. Entering them in Sloane's On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), we find the related sequences [50, A072256] and [50, A138288]. In the description of the first, we read that the n th term gives the number of "01-avoiding words of length n over the alphabet $[0, 9]$ which do not end in 0". Quite clearly, by reversing the reading order, it also gives the number of length- n words over $[0, 9]$ not starting with 0 and avoiding the pattern 10. If we denote the latter sequence by $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$, Sloane's OEIS provides a recurrence relation given by $x_0 = 1$, $x_1 = 9$, and, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$x_{n+2} = 10x_{n+1} - x_n. \tag{7}$$

We now establish a bijection between the language L_1 and that containing words satisfying the condition described above. We start off with the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let X_1 be the set of words over the alphabet $[0, 9]$ containing at least one of the blocks 12, 89 and such that every block 12 (resp., 89) necessarily occurs at even (resp., odd) positions. Let X_2 be the set of words over the alphabet $[0, 9]$ containing the block 12. Define the map $f : [0, 9]^* \rightarrow [0, 9]^*$ that sends a word to that obtained by replacing all occurrences of the blocks 89 (resp., 12) in odd positions by the block 12 (resp., 89). Then the map $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2, u \mapsto f(u)$ is a bijection.*

Proof. First, note that for all $u \in X_1$ we have $f_1(u) \in X_2$. Indeed, if u contains a block 12 occurring at an even position, then 12 is clearly a factor of $f_1(u)$, so $f_1(u) \in X_2$. Otherwise, u necessarily contains a block 89 at an odd position and similarly, $f_1(u) \in X_2$.

Now consider the map $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1, v \mapsto f(v)$. Proving that $f_2(X_2) \subseteq X_1$ follows the same lines as above. Now observe that we have $f_2(f_1(u)) = u$ for all $u \in X_1$ and $f_1(f_2(v)) = v$ for all $v \in X_2$. \square

Using Proposition 1, complementing the languages, and getting rid of words starting with 0, we link our sequence of interest and that of Sloane's.

Corollary 2. *For all $n \geq 0$, we have $v_n = x_n$.*

Corollary 2 and the work in [40, §3] imply the next result. Indeed, in that section, Köhler and Spilker considered the language of base- b representations avoiding a fixed block of digits and determine the abscissa of convergence of the corresponding restricted Dirichlet series.

Theorem 4. *Consider the dominant root $\lambda = 5 + 2\sqrt{6}$ of the characteristic polynomial $P(x) = x^2 - 10x + 1$ of the recurrence in (7). Then the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_1}(z)$ is $\frac{\log \lambda}{\log 10}$.*

An alternative approach to computing the number v_n of length- n words in L_1 uses the Goulden-Jackson cluster method; see, for example, [45]. This general technique provides an algorithm to determine the generating function for the number of length- n words over a finite alphabet that avoid some given finite set of patterns. At first glance L_1 is not defined in terms of a finite list of avoided patterns (since it depends on the parity of the position), but we can nevertheless resort to the following trick: expand the alphabet from $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ to an alphabet of twice the size, containing both primed and unprimed digits. Define d_n to be the number of length- n words over this larger alphabet that avoid ab and $a'b'$ for $a, b \in [0, 9]$ and also $1'2$ and $89'$. Then the Goulden-Jackson cluster method, as implemented in Maple by the DAVID.IAN package, demonstrates that $\sum_{n \geq 0} d_n x^n = (1 + 10x - x^2)/(1 - 10x + x^2)$. Here d_n counts the number of words that avoid 12 at even positions and 89 at odd positions (if the last digit is primed), plus the number of words that avoid 12 at odd positions and 89 at even positions (if the last digit is not primed). The two summands are clearly in bijection with each other, and it now follows that $(d_{n+1} - d_n)/2$ is equal to v_{n+1} .

3.2 Blocks sharing letters

We now turn to the case where base-10 representations periodically avoid two blocks sharing common letters. It is a little trickier to handle and is *a priori* neither a consequence of Köhler and Spilker's results [40] nor Nathanson's [44]. As in the previous section, we define L_2 to be the language of all words $w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_0$ over $[0, 9]$ such that $w_k \neq 0$ and, for all $i \in [0, k-1]$,

$$w_{i+1} w_i \neq \begin{cases} 12, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 21, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

and also define L'_2 to be the same language without the condition $w_k \neq 0$. Observe that L_2 contains base-10 representations that periodically avoid the blocks 12 and 21.

Similarly, we want to determine the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_2}(z)$. So, for all $n \geq 0$, we let v_n denote the cardinality of the sub-language $L_2 \cap [0, 9]^n$ and, for all $n \geq 1$, we also define the sets

$$S_n = \{w_{n-1} \cdots w_0 \in L_2 : w_{n-1} \neq 2 \text{ if } n \text{ is even and } w_{n-1} \neq 1 \text{ otherwise}\},$$

$$P_n = \{w_{n-1} \cdots w_0 \in L_2 : w_{n-1} = 2 \text{ if } n \text{ is even and } w_{n-1} = 1 \text{ otherwise}\},$$

$$Q_n = \{w_{n-1} \cdots w_0 \in L'_2 : w_{n-1} = 0\}.$$

We have $|S_1| = 8$, $|P_1| = 1 = |Q_1|$, and

$$|S_{n+1}| = 8(|S_n| + |P_n| + |Q_n|),$$

$$|P_{n+1}| = |S_n| + |Q_n|,$$

$$|Q_{n+1}| = |S_n| + |P_n| + |Q_n|,$$

for all $n \geq 1$. For example, let us explain the first equality. To build up a word in S_{n+1} , we may prepend a letter $a \in [0, 9]$ to a word w of length n , according to one of the next three cases: if $w \in S_n$ (resp., P_n ; resp., Q_n), then $a \in [0, 9]$ except 0, and either 1 or 2, depending on the parity; this gives 8 possibilities.

As we have $(v_n)_{n \geq 1} = (|S_n| + |P_n|)_{n \geq 1}$, the first few values of $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are

$$1, 9, 89, 882, 8739, 86589, 857952, 8500869, 84229389, 834572322.$$

In contrast with the previous section, this sequence does not *directly* belong to the OEIS. A fortunate error made by the authors nevertheless led them to the sequence [50, A322054]. For all $n \geq 0$, its n th term gives the number of “decimal strings of length n that do not contain a specific string aa (where a is a fixed single digit)”. If we denote the latter by $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$, the OEIS provides us with a recurrence relation given by $x_0 = 1$, $x_1 = 10$, and

$$x_{n+2} = 9(x_{n+1} + x_n) \tag{8}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Its first few terms are

$$1, 10, 99, 981, 9720, 96309, 954261, 9455130, 93684519.$$

We will establish that the sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ can be obtained as the first difference of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$. We start with a result analogous to Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. *Let Y_1 be the set of words over the alphabet $[0, 9]$ containing at least one of the blocks 12, 21 and such that every block 12 (resp., 21) necessarily occurs at even (resp., odd) positions. Let Y_2 be the set of words over the alphabet $[0, 9]$ containing the block aa , for a fixed letter $a \in [0, 9]$. Define the map $f_a : [0, 9]^* \rightarrow [0, 9]^*$ that sends every word to a word obtained by replacing every 1 (resp., 2) in an even (resp., odd) position by the letter a and every letter a in an even (resp., odd) position by the letter 1 (resp., 2). Then the map $f_{a,1} : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2, u \mapsto f_a(u)$ is a bijection. Furthermore, if the letter a is non-zero, then $v_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. The proof of the first part of the statement follows the same lines as that of Proposition 1 by considering the map $f_{a,2} : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1, v \mapsto f_a(v)$ instead. Let us prove the second part. The number of decimal length- $(n+1)$ words avoiding aa , which is x_{n+1} , is equal to the sum of the number of decimal length- n words avoiding aa with the number of decimal length- $(n+1)$ words avoiding aa and not starting with 0. The first number is x_n by definition and the second is v_{n+1} by the first part of the statement. Indeed, the first part of the statement implies that the number of length- n words in Y_1 is equal to the number of decimal length- n words containing aa . Now complementing the two languages, getting rid of words starting with 0 and since $a \neq 0$, we obtain $x_{n+1} = v_{n+1} + x_n$, as desired. \square

Theorem 5. *Consider the dominant root $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}(3 + \sqrt{13})$ of the characteristic polynomial $P(x) = x^2 - 9x - 9$ of the recurrence in (8). Then the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_2}(z)$ is $\frac{\log \lambda}{\log 10}$.*

Proof. Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the characteristic sequence of L_2 in base $b = 10$ and let $A(n)$ denote the summatory function of the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$, i.e., $A(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$. By Lemma 1, the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_2}(z)$ is governed by the asymptotics of $(\log A(n)/\log n)_{n \geq 0}$. Observe that the behavior of $A((10)^n)$ is determined by that of x_n : indeed, $A((10)^n)$ counts the integers m with $1 \leq m \leq (10)^n$ having a base-10 representation in L_2 , so $v_1 + \dots + v_n + 1 = x_n$ by Proposition 2. Now note that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies $x_n \sim C\lambda^n$ when n goes to infinity for some positive constant C (we even have $C \approx 1.0084$; recall the end of Section 1.1). So Lemma 2 implies that the abscissa of convergence of $F_{L_2}(z)$ is $\frac{\log \lambda}{\log 10}$. \square

We can also count the number of words in L_2 using the Goulden-Jackson cluster method, using the same idea as in Section 3.1. Define d_n to be the number of length- n words over the larger alphabet $\{a, b' \mid 0 \leq a, b \leq 9\}$ that avoid ab and $a'b'$ for $a, b \in [0, 9]$ and also $1'2$ and $21'$. Then, the Goulden-Jackson cluster method, as implemented in Maple by the DAVID.IAN package, demonstrates that $\sum_{n \geq 0} d_n x^n = (1 + 11x + 9x^2)/(1 - 9x - 9x^2)$. It now follows that $(d_{n+1} - d_n)/2$ is equal to v_{n+1} .

3.3 To infinity and beyond!

Other results, involving in particular several sequences in the OEIS, can be worked out using similar methods, but also by using the method in [45]. Here, we give examples that do not use [45]. For example, inspired by the previous section, we fix two integers $b, k \geq 2$ and we define a sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ analogous to $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ from Section 3.2: we set $y_n = b^n$ for all $n \in [0, k-1]$ and, for all $n \geq k$, we define

$$y_n = (b-1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k y_{n-i}. \quad (9)$$

Proposition 3. *The sequence $(y_{n+1}-y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ counts the number of length- $(n+1)$ words over $[0, b-1]$ that do not start with 0 and that avoid the k -th power a^k , for some fixed letter $a \in [0, b-1]$.*

Proof. For all $m \geq 0$, y_m gives the number of length- m words over $[0, b-1]$ that do not contain the k th power a^k , so $y_{n+1} - y_n$ gives the expected number. \square

Example 2. For all $k \geq 2$, the sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ corresponding to $b = 2$ gives the k -bonacci numbers: indeed, binary k -bonacci words avoid the block 1^k . For $k = 2$ and $b = 10$, we again find the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by Equation (8). Other cases are displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Depending on the values of the parameters k and b , the entry number in [50] of the sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is given (sometimes, one needs to crop the first few terms).

k	b	entry number of $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in [50]
2	3	A028859, A155020
2	4	A125145
2	5	A086347
2	6	A180033
2	7	A180167
2	10	A322054
3	3	A119826
3	4	A282310

We study the characteristic polynomial associated with the sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Recall that a real number is *Pisot* if it is an algebraic integer larger than 1 whose (other) algebraic conjugates have modulus less than 1.

Proposition 4. *The characteristic polynomial $P(x) = x^k - (b-1) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^i$ of the recurrence in (9) has a dominant root that is a Pisot number and belongs to the interval $(1, b)$.*

Proof. From the proof of [11, Theorem 2 (p. 254)], we deduce that the polynomial $P(x)$ has one real root β with modulus larger than 1 and all other roots with modulus less than 1. Next, observe that $P(1) = 1 - k(b-1) < 0$ and $P(b) = b^k - (b-1)\frac{b^k-1}{b-1} = 1 > 0$, so $\beta \in (1, b)$. All in all, this proves the claim. \square

Let us come back to our Dirichlet series of interest.

Theorem 6. *Let L_3 be the set of words over $[0, b-1]$ that do not start with 0 and that avoid the k -th power a^k , for some fixed letter $a \in [0, b-1]$. Let λ be the dominant root of the characteristic polynomial of the recurrence in (9). Then the abscissa of convergence of the series $F_{L_3}(z)$ is equal to $\frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}$.*

Proof (Sketch). The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5. By Proposition 4, the growth rate of the sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is λ^n . We conclude by using Lemmas 1 and 2 together with Proposition 3. \square

4 Alternative approaches

As indicated in Lemma 1, the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series $F_s(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n/n^z$ can be deduced from the asymptotic behavior of the summatory function $A(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$. For the case of a b -automatic (or b -regular) sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$, an abundant literature studies this behavior: we will restrict ourselves to cite one of the papers of Dumont and Thomas [21], the chapter of Drmota and Grabner [20] (in [9]), and the paper of Heuberger and Krenn [30]. In this section, we will take advantage of the fact that the sequences considered so far are automatic. Nevertheless, as will be seen in Section 5 (with Proposition 5), our previous method can be applied outside the scope of b -regular sequences.

Before giving alternative proofs of the main results in Section 3, we show how the following powerful result by Drmota and Grabner handles the simple case of avoiding a single letter.

Theorem 7 (Drmota and Grabner, [9, Theorem 9.2.15]). *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a b -regular sequence whose linear representation is given by the vectors V, W and the matrices M_0, \dots, M_{b-1} . Write $M_{s,b} = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} M_i$ and assume that $M_{s,b}$ has a unique eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ of maximal modulus and that λ has algebraic multiplicity 1. Assume further that $\lambda > \max_i \|M_i\|$ for some matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let μ be the modulus of the second largest eigenvalue. Then there exists a periodic continuous function Φ such that*

$$\sum_{i < n} s_i = n^{\log_b \lambda} \Phi(\log_b n) + O(n^{\log_b \mu}) + O(\log n).$$

We rephrase Corollary 1.

Corollary 3. *Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer and let a be a non-zero letter in $[1, b-1]$. Let L_4 be the language over $[0, b-1]$ of base- b representations that avoid the letter a . Then the abscissa of convergence of the series $F_{L_4}(z)$ is equal to $\log_b(b-1)$.*

Proof (Sketch). We use the notation of Theorem 7. Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the characteristic sequence of L_4 in base b . By definition, we have $s_{bn+c} = s_n$ for all $c \neq a$ and $s_{bn+a} = 0$. The sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is b -regular with $M_c = 1$ for all $c \neq a$ and $M_a = 0$. Thus $\lambda = b - 1$. Using Lemma 1 and Theorem 7, the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series $F_{L_4}(z)$ is $\log_b(b - 1)$. \square

We now provide other methods to prove Theorem 4.

Proof (Alternative proofs of Theorem 4). Consider the language L_1 from Section 3.1 and its characteristic sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in base 10, whose first few terms are

$$1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1.$$

We have $|L_1 \cap [0, 9]^n| = \sum_{i=10^{n-1}}^{10^n-1} s_i$. The sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is 10-automatic: a deterministic finite automaton with output (DFAO) reading base-10 representations (starting with the least significant digit) and outputting the sequence is given in Fig. 1. Note that the computations in this section are done using the *Mathematica* package INTEGERSEQUENCES [47,48] written by Rowland. In fact,




Fig. 1. The sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is generated by the base-10 DFAO (it reads base-10 representations with least significant digit first)

the b -kernel of a b -automatic sequence is in bijection with the set of states in some b -automaton that generates it with the property that leading zeroes do not affect the output [7, Theorem 6.6.2]. In our case, the 10-kernel of $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ contains the four sequences

$$\begin{aligned} (s_n)_{n \geq 0} &= 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, \\ (s_{10n})_{n \geq 0} &= 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, \\ (s_{10n+2})_{n \geq 0} &= 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, \\ (s_{100n+90})_{n \geq 0} &= 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and the zero-sequence $(s_{100n+12})_{n \geq 0} = 0, 0, 0, \dots$. The 4×4 matrix whose columns are built on the terms corresponding to $n \in \{0, 1, 8, 12\}$ in each sequence has a non-zero determinant. Consequently, the \mathbb{Q} -vector space generated

by the 10-kernel of $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is finitely generated by the four linearly independent sequences $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(s_{10n})_{n \geq 0}$, $(s_{10n+2})_{n \geq 0}$, and $(s_{100n+90})_{n \geq 0}$. Using the 10-automaton from Fig. 1, one builds up a linear representation (V, M_0, \dots, M_9, W) of $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ viewed as a 10-regular sequence. The sum matrix $M_{s,10} = M_0 + \dots + M_9$ has eigenvalues $\pm(5 + 2\sqrt{6})$ and $\pm(5 - 2\sqrt{6})$ (each with multiplicity 1) and does not fulfill the condition of Theorem 7. Here, we are at a crossroad: either we stick to base 10 and use a different result, or we change base.

In the first case, Heuberger and Krenn's more general result [30, Theorem A] shows that the growth of the main term of $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ is $n^{\log_{10} \alpha}$ with $\alpha = 5 + 2\sqrt{6}$. (Note that we take the liberty not to recall [30, Theorem A] in full as its statement is quite lengthy.) Taking the logarithm and applying Lemma 1 thus gives Theorem 4.

In the second case, we use the following trick: it is well-known that a sequence is b -automatic if and only if it is b^ℓ -automatic for all $\ell \geq 1$ (see, for instance, [7, Theorem 6.6.4]). In our case, the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is thus 100-automatic. One can build a base-100 DFAO generating $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ (it has three states) as well as a linear representation $(V', M'_0, \dots, M'_{99}, W')$ of $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ viewed as a 100-regular sequence. The sum matrix $M_{s,100} = M'_0 + \dots + M'_{99}$ has eigenvalues $\beta = 49 + 20\sqrt{6}$ and $49 - 20\sqrt{6}$. As $\alpha^2 = \beta$, the combination of Theorem 7 and Lemma 1 (in base 100) also gives Theorem 4. \square

A reasoning similar to what precedes can be conducted to obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 5. Let us now consider yet a different example. We let L_5 denote the language of all words $w_k w_{k-1} \dots w_0$ over $[0, 9]$ such that $w_k \neq 0$ and, for all $i \in [0, k - 1]$,

$$w_{i+1} w_i \neq \begin{cases} 12 \text{ or } 89, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 89, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The first values of $(|L_5 \cap [0, 9]^n|)_{n \geq 0}$ are 1, 9, 88, 872, 8534, 84566, 827622, which does not seem to be in the OEIS. Computing further values of the latter sequence seems difficult with a classical computer set-up. Following either approach (Theorem 7 with base 100 or [30, Theorem 1] with base 10) combined with Lemma 1 yields the following result.

Theorem 8. *Let $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(97 + \sqrt{9401})}$. Then the abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L_5}(z)$ is $\frac{\log \lambda}{\log 10}$.*

As already mentioned, the languages studied in this section have a characteristic sequence (in base b) that is b -automatic. Similarly, given a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, its characteristic sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by $s_n = 1$ if $n \in S$, $s_n = 0$ otherwise. When the latter is automatic, we obtain the following general result about the abscissa of convergence of the corresponding Dirichlet series.

Theorem 9. *Let S be a non-empty subset of integers such that its characteristic sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is b -automatic for some integer $b \geq 2$. Let L_S denote the*

language of b -representations of elements of S , i.e., $L_S = \{\text{rep}_b(n) \mid n \in S\}$. Then the abscissa of convergence of the corresponding Dirichlet series $F_{L_S}(z)$ is 0, 1 or a real number $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $s = \frac{\log r}{\log b}$ for some integer $r > 1$. In particular, r and s can be explicitly computed. Furthermore, s is always the quotient of two logarithms of integers.

Proof. Let $(A(n))_{n \geq 0}$ denote the summatory function of the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Combining the statements of [16, Theorems 11 and 12] (for which we take the letter $a = 1$), the summatory function $(A(n))_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies one of the following cases:

- 1) $A(n) = \Theta(n)$;
- 2) $A(n) = \Theta(n^s (\log n)^p)$ for some real $s \in (0, 1)$ and some integer $p \geq 0$;
- 3) $A(n) \sim c \left(\frac{\log n}{\log b}\right)^p$ for some rational $c > 0$ and some integer $p \geq 0$.

Indeed, cases called (i) and (ii) in [16, Theorem 11] lead to the first case above. The last two cases are handled with [16, Theorem 12] (note that, as we assume S to be non-empty, the letter $a = 1$ appears in $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$, so we may discard case called (iii) in [16, Theorem 12]). Now Lemma 1 implies that the abscissa of convergence of $F_{L_S}(z)$ is 1, $s \in (0, 1)$, or 0 depending on the case. Now we refine the second case. Indeed, examining the proof of case (ii) in [16, Theorem 12] shows that $s = \frac{\log r}{\log b} \in (0, 1)$, where $r > 1$ is the maximum modulus among all eigenvalues of some explicit matrix related to $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$. \square

Example 3. We obtain an alternative proof of Corollaries 1 and 3 for $b \geq 3$ since, in this case, $r = b - 1$ (also see [16, Example 5, p. 189] for $b = 3$).

If we consider the regular language L_{P_2} of base-2 representations of powers of 2, then the corresponding summatory function satisfies the third item in the proof of Theorem 9 with $b = 2$ and $p = 1$ [16, Example 3, p. 189]. The abscissa of convergence of the corresponding Dirichlet series $F_{L_{P_2}}(z)$ is then equal to 0.

We finish this section with the following observation on continuation of Dirichlet series. Let $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a b -regular sequence whose linear representation is given by the vectors V, W and the matrices M_0, \dots, M_{b-1} . Write $M_{s,b} = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} M_i$. Then one of the main results⁵ in [4] asserts that the Dirichlet series $F_s(z)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with *candidate* poles located at

$$z_{n,\ell}(\gamma) = \frac{\log \gamma}{\log b} - \ell + i \frac{2\pi n}{\log b}$$

for all integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \geq 1$ and for each eigenvalue γ of the sum matrix $M_{s,b}$ (note that \log is the complex branch of the logarithm). Proving that $z_{n,\ell}(\gamma)$ is actually a pole might turn out to be complicated. However, in the following case, we are in power to say more. Assume furthermore that $M_{s,b}$ is a primitive integer matrix and that the sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ takes non-negative values. If we

⁵ In [4], the result is proved explicitly for b -automatic sequences and only stated for b -regular sequences. Also see [17, Theorem 3.1].

let $\rho = \rho(M_{a,b})$ be the spectral radius of $M_{s,b}$, then the reasoning exposed in [18, Section 2] tells us that $\frac{\log \rho}{\log b}$ is a simple pole.

We may apply this observation to the examples of the present section.

Example 4. Considering the characteristic sequence $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of the language L_1 from Section 3.1 viewed as a 100-regular sequence, the corresponding matrix $M_{s,100}$ has integer coefficients, is primitive, and has eigenvalues $\beta = 49 + 20\sqrt{6}$ and $49 - 20\sqrt{6}$. In particular, $F_{L_1}(z)$ has

$$\frac{\log \rho(M_{s,100})}{\log 100} = \frac{\log \beta}{\log 100} = \frac{\log(5 + 2\sqrt{6})}{\log 10}$$

as a simple pole. We leave as an open problem to characterize the other poles of $F_{L_1}(z)$. In addition, we ask: what are the poles of meromorphic continuations of Dirichlet series associated with block-avoiding binary automatic sequences? The Dirichlet series having as coefficients the (± 1) -Thue–Morse sequence has no poles at all [2], while the Dirichlet series having as coefficients the $\{0, 1\}$ -Thue–Morse sequence has a single pole at 1 which is simple (like the Riemann zeta function): namely, if $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the $\{0, 1\}$ -Thue–Morse sequence, then $(1 - 2t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the (± 1) -Thue–Morse sequence.

5 An unusual example

In this section, we consider a last example which, as we will see, does not fit into the setting of b -regular sequences. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_n)_{n \geq 0} = 01101001 \cdots$ be the 2-automatic Thue–Morse sequence. We say that an integer n is *evil* if $t_n = 0$. A non-evil number is called *odious*. The first few evil numbers are

$$0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20;$$

see also [50, A000069, A001969]. Both sequences are 2-regular [8, 49].

Let L_J be the set of binary words of the form $w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_0$ with the property that there is no factor 10 where the 0 appears in an evil position i for some $0 \leq i \leq k$. (Note that leading zeroes are allowed.) For example, $10111 \notin L_J$ because the word 10 appears as $w_4 w_3$ and 3 is evil.

Let us find a recurrence for the number $u_n = |L_J \cap \{0, 1\}^n|$ of length- n words in L_J . Here the Goulden–Jackson cluster method does not seem to be applicable.

Lemma 3. *We have $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = 2$, $u_2 = 3$, and for all $n \geq 3$,*

$$u_n = \begin{cases} 2u_{n-1}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = 1; \\ u_{n-1} + u_{n-3}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = t_{n-3} = 0; \\ u_{n-1} + u_{n-2}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = 0 \text{ and } t_{n-3} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Proof. For $n \geq 0$, let $L_{J,n} = L_J \cap \{0, 1\}^n$ denote the set of all words $w_{n-1} \cdots w_0$ having no factor 10 where the 0 appears in an evil position, so that $u_n = |L_{J,n}|$. Suppose $n \geq 3$.

Case 1: Assume that $t_{n-2} = 1$. Then $L_{J,n} = 0L_{J,n-1} \cup 1L_{J,n-1}$, because appending either 0 or 1 to a word in $L_{J,n-1}$ cannot introduce a forbidden word. This gives the first equality in (10).

Case 2: Assume that $t_{n-2} = t_{n-3} = 0$. Then $L_{J,n} = 0L_{J,n-1} \cup 111L_{J,n-3}$. The first term in (10) arises because appending a 0 to a word in $L_{J,n-1}$ cannot introduce a forbidden word, and the second term arises because the first three symbols of a word in $L_{J,n}$ cannot be 100, 101, or 110. This gives the second equality in (10).

Case 3: Assume that $t_{n-2} = 0$ and $t_{n-3} = 1$. Then $L_{J,n} = 0L_{J,n-1} \cup 11L_{J,n-2}$. The situation is like the previous case, except now the first two symbols of a word in $L_{J,n}$ cannot be 10. This ends the proof. \square

The first few values of the sequence $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ from Lemma 3 are given in Table 2.

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
u_n	1	2	3	6	12	18	36	54	72	144	288	432	576	1152	1728	3456	6912	10368	20736	31104	41472

Table 2. The number u_n of length- n words in L_J , i.e., words with the property that there is no factor 10 where the 0 appears in an evil position.

We expect u_n to behave roughly like α^n , for some α with $1 < \alpha < 2$, because forbidding 10 with 0 in an evil position is more or less like forbidding 10 “half the time”. This intuition is confirmed in the following result.

Theorem 10. *There are constants c_1, c_2 such that $n^{c_1} \alpha^n \leq u_n \leq n^{c_2} \alpha^n$, where $\alpha = \sqrt[6]{24} \approx 1.69838$.*

To prove this, we require the next lemma.

Lemma 4. *For $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$u_n = \begin{cases} 2u_{n-1}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = 1; \\ \frac{4}{3}u_{n-1}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = t_{n-3} = 0; \\ \frac{3}{2}u_{n-1}, & \text{if } t_{n-2} = 0 \text{ and } t_{n-3} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . The base cases are left to the reader.

Case 1: If $t_{n-2} = 1$, then the first equality in (11) follows immediately from (10).

Case 2: Assume that $t_{n-2} = t_{n-3} = 0$. Since \mathbf{t} avoids cubes, we must have $t_{n-4} = 1$. Then (10) gives $u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-3}$ and $u_{n-1} = u_{n-2} + u_{n-3}$, and the induction hypothesis gives $u_{n-2} = 2u_{n-3}$. Adding these last two equations and canceling u_{n-2} gives $u_{n-1} = 3u_{n-3}$. The second equality in (11) now follows.

Case 3: Assume that $t_{n-2} = 0$ and $t_{n-3} = 1$. Then (10) gives $u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2}$ and the induction hypothesis gives $u_{n-1} = 2u_{n-2}$. The result now follows. \square

Proof (of Theorem 10). For a block (or pattern) $p \in \{0,1\}^*$ define $e_p(n)$ to be the number of (possibly overlapping) occurrences of p in $\mathbf{t}[0..n-1]$. A simple induction, using (11), now gives

$$u_n = 2^{e_1(n-1)+2e_{00}(n-1)-e_{10}(n-1)} 3^{1+e_{10}(n-1)-e_{00}(n-1)} \quad (12)$$

for $n \geq 2$. For example, if $t_{n-2} = t_{n-3} = 0$, then $\mathbf{t}[0..n-2] = \mathbf{t}[0..n-4]00$, so $e_1(n-1) = e_1(n-2)$, $e_{10}(n-1) = e_{10}(n-2)$, and $e_{00}(n-1) = e_{00}(n-2) + 1$. This together with the induction hypothesis and the second equality in (11) yields Equation (12). The other two cases can be handled in a similar fashion.

We now claim that

$$e_1(n) = n/2 + O(1), \quad (13)$$

$$e_{00}(n) = n/6 + O(\log n), \quad (14)$$

$$e_{10}(n) = n/3 + O(\log n). \quad (15)$$

Eq. (13) is trivial. To see Eq. (14), an easy induction shows that

$$e_{00}(2^i) = \frac{2^i - 3 - (-1)^i}{6},$$

for all $i \geq 1$ and furthermore that the number of 00's in the length- 2^i prefix of the complement $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ is $\frac{2^{i-1} + (-1)^i}{3}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Write n in binary as $\sum_{i=0}^k w_i 2^i$; then from our estimates we see that $e_{00}(n)$ is $\sum_{i=0}^k (w_i \frac{2^i}{6} + O(1))$, and the estimate follows from the fact that $k \leq \log_2 n$. A similar proof works for e_{10} to establish Eq. (15).

These estimates now suffice to prove the claim of Theorem 10. \square

Define L'_J to be the subset of L_J that contains binary words not starting with 0. Define $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ to be the characteristic sequence of L'_J in base 2. The following observation particularly shows that one cannot use the alternative methods of Section 4 to study the behavior of the summatory function of the sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Proposition 5. *The sequences $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are not 2-regular.*

Proof. Writing $u_n = 2^{x_n} 3^{y_n}$, we see from Equation (12) that $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are both 2-regular sequences, but $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not (as it grows too quickly; see [7, Theorem 16.3.1]).

On the other hand, the sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not 2-automatic. Consider those n with base-2 representation of the form 101^i for some $i \geq 0$, i.e., $n = 3 \cdot 2^i - 1$. Then $v_n = 1$ if and only if i is odious, i.e., $t_i = 1$. By a well-known theorem ([7, Theorem 5.5.2]), if $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is 2-automatic, then $(v_{3 \cdot 2^i - 1})_{i \geq 0}$ is ultimately periodic. But $v_{3 \cdot 2^i - 1} = t_i$, and $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i \geq 0}$ cannot be ultimately periodic because it is overlap-free. As $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ takes finitely many values, it cannot be 2-regular either. This ends the proof. \square

Nevertheless, we can handle the behavior of the summatory function of $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and thus the abscissa of convergence of the corresponding Dirichlet series for the language L'_J , as follows.

Corollary 4. *Let $\alpha = \sqrt[6]{24}$. The abscissa of convergence of the restricted Dirichlet series $F_{L'_J}(z)$ is $\frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}$.*

Proof. For all $n \geq 0$, we let $A(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} v_i$. By Theorem 10, since leading zeroes are allowed in L_J , we have $n^{c_1} \alpha^n \leq A(2^n - 1) \leq n^{c_2} \alpha^n$. Now we may apply Lemma 2 first, then Lemma 1 to obtain the statement. \square

6 Conclusion

We start this conclusion by noting that the sequences of coefficients of the Dirichlet series studied in [40,44] are binary automatic sequences, as well as those presented in Sections 3 and 4. They are in fact related to languages whose characteristic sequences are automatic. It is worth briefly explaining why *automatic* Dirichlet series are interesting. Recall from Section 1.1 that a sequence $(s_n)_n$ is b -automatic for some $b \geq 2$ if its b -kernel is finite. This finiteness implies equalities between subsequences of the b -kernel, which in turn yield properties (e.g., functional properties) of the corresponding Dirichlet series, hence of the Dirichlet series we started from. A similar conclusion on Dirichlet series might be drawn in the case of b -regular sequences. This observation has been used in different contexts (see, e.g., [1,4,2,17,18,23,24,53] and [46, Section 4.2.6]). (We note that in [1] particular completely multiplicative functions are considered, which are b -regular as noted in [20], while [23] focuses on sum-of-digits functions, which form a typical example of b -regular sequences [7], and [2,53] concern the Thue–Morse sequence). The Dirichlet series we are interested in here are related to languages where some patterns are avoided, an active research topic in combinatorics on words. Another class of Dirichlet series related to combinatorics on words that has been studied consists of Dirichlet series with Sturmian coefficients (see, e.g., [41,51]). A class of Dirichlet series more general than the Sturmian series was studied in [39].

In this paper, we have chosen to give various methods and examples to examine the abscissa of convergence of particular Dirichlet series. All our examples are related to counting words with missing digits or having some prescribed structure belonging to some language L . In the first part of the paper (up to Section 4), the Dirichlet series $F_L(z)$ turns out to have automatic coefficients and we present a range of methods to handle this case, as well as a general statement with Theorem 9. Nonetheless, the methods presented in Section 4, although powerful, do not cover the case of non-regular Dirichlet series. This is the topic of Section 5 where we exhibit a language L whose characteristic sequence $(s_L(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is not b -regular. There, we use the power of Lemma 1 that states that the abscissa of convergence of $F_L(z)$ is given by the growth of the summatory function of $(s_L(n))_{n \geq 0}$. The key to obtain the abscissa of convergence is therefore to *count* the words in L (as we did in Section 3). We mention that, to do this, other

approaches than ours can be used (we think, e.g., of [28]), and we have already mentioned the “Goulden-Jackson cluster method” and the paper [45].

From the various results of the paper, we observe that the abscissas of convergence of our Dirichlet series all involve the quotient of the logarithms of two integers. We thus leave the following as an open problem: for which sequences $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$, does the corresponding Dirichlet series $F_s(z)$ have an abscissa of convergence that is the quotient of the logarithms of two integers? Similarly, do the cases we have described here call for a general theorem and how general such a theorem can be? We have also mentioned, in Example 4, an open problem related to [23].

Acknowledgments

Manon Stipulanti is an FNRS Research Associate supported by the Research grant 1.C.104.24F. Jeffrey Shallit’s research was supported by NSERC grants 2018-04118 and 2024-03725.

We thank France Gheeraert, Daniel Krenn, Eric Rowland, and Pierre Stas for useful discussions when this work was in its early stages.

We also thank the anonymous referees whose careful reading helped improving the paper, especially for enriching Section 4 (starting from Theorem 9), and for correcting an error in our application of the Goulden-Jackson method.

References

1. Alkauskas, G.: Dirichlet series associated with strongly q -multiplicative functions. *Ramanujan J.* **8**(1), 13–21 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RAMA.0000027195.05101.2d>, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RAMA.0000027195.05101.2d>
2. Allouche, J.-P., Cohen, H.: Dirichlet series and curious infinite products. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **17**(6) (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/17.6.531>, <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/17.6.531>
3. Allouche, J.-P., Hu, Y., Morin, C.: Ellipsephic harmonic series revisited. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **173**(2), 461–470 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-024-01448-5>, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-024-01448-5>
4. Allouche, J.-P., Mendès France, M., Peyrière, J.: Automatic Dirichlet series. *J. Number Theory* **81**(2), 359–373 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1999.2487>, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1999.2487>
5. Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J., Skordev, G.: Self-generating sets, integers with missing blocks, and substitutions. *Discrete Math.* **292**(1-3), 1–15 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2004.12.004>
6. Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J.: The ring of k -regular sequences. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **98**(2), 163–197 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(92\)90001-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(92)90001-V), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(92\)90001-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(92)90001-V)
7. Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J.: Automatic sequences. Theory, Applications, Generalizations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511546563>

8. Allouche, J.-P., Shallit, J.: The ring of k -regular sequences. II. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **307**(1), 3–29 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975\(03\)00090-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(03)00090-2), [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975\(03\)00090-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(03)00090-2)
9. Berthé, V., Rigo, M. (eds.): *Combinatorics, automata and number theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 135. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511777653>
10. Birmajer, D., Gil, J.B., Weiner, M.D.: On the enumeration of restricted words over a finite alphabet. *J. Integer Seq.* **19**(1), Article 16.1.3, 16 (2016), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL19/Gil/gil6.html>
11. Brauer, A.: On algebraic equations with all but one root in the interior of the unit circle. *Math. Nachr.* **4**, 250–257 (1951). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.3210040123>
12. de Bruijn, N.G., Knuth, D.E., Rice, S.O.: The average height of planted plane trees. In: *Graph theory and computing*, pp. 15–22. Academic Press, New York (1972)
13. Burnol, J.-F.: Digamma function and general fischer series in the theory of kempner sums. *Expositiones Mathematicae* **42**(6), 125604 (2024). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2024.125604>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0723086924000719>
14. Burnol, J.-F.: Measures for the summation of Irwin series (2024), preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.09083>
15. Burnol, J.-F.: Sur l’asymptotique des sommes de Kempner pour de grandes bases (2024), preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.01957>
16. Cobham, A.: Uniform tag sequences. *Math. Systems Theory* **6**, 164–192 (1972). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01706087>, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01706087>
17. Coons, M.: (Non)automaticity of number theoretic functions. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **22**(2), 339–352 (2010), http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2010__22_2_339_0
18. Coons, M., Lind, J.: Radial asymptotics of generating functions of k -regular sequences. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **110**(3), 528–534 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972724000480>, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972724000480>
19. Cumberbatch, J.: Digitally restricted sets and the Goldbach conjecture: An exceptional set result (2024), preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.07921>
20. Drmota, M., Grabner, P.J.: Analysis of digital functions and applications. In: *Combinatorics, automata and number theory*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 135, pp. 452–504. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2010)
21. Dumont, J.-M., Thomas, A.: Systèmes de numération et fonctions fractales relatifs aux substitutions. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **65**(2), 153–169 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(89\)90041-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(89)90041-8)
22. Ekhad, S.B., Zeilberger, D.: Counting clean words according to the number of their clean neighbors. *ACM Commun. Comput. Algebra* **57**(1), 5–9 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3610377.3610379>
23. Everlove, C.: Dirichlet series associated to sum-of-digits functions. *Int. J. Number Theory* **18**(4), 777–798 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042122500415>, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042122500415>
24. Flajolet, P., Grabner, P., Kirschenhofer, P., Prodinger, H., Tichy, R.F.: Mellin transforms and asymptotics: digital sums. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **123**(2), 291–314 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(92\)00065-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(92)00065-Y), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975\(92\)00065-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(92)00065-Y)
25. Flajolet, P., Sedgewick, R.: *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801655>

26. Fogg, N.P.: Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1794. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1007/b13861>, edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel
27. Graham, R.L., Knuth, D.E., Patashnik, O.: Concrete mathematics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, second edn. (1994), a foundation for computer science
28. Guibas, L.J., Odlyzko, A.M.: String overlaps, pattern matching, and nontransitive games. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **30**(2), 183–208 (1981). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(81\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(81)90005-4), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(81\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(81)90005-4)
29. von Haeseler, F.: Automatic sequences, De Gruyter Expositions in Math., vol. 36. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110197969>
30. Heuberger, C., Krenn, D.: Asymptotic analysis of regular sequences. *Algorithmica* **82**(3), 429–508 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00453-019-00631-3>
31. Janjić, M.: On linear recurrence equations arising from compositions of positive integers. *J. Integer Seq.* **18**(4), Article 15.4.7, 14 (2015), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL18/Janjic/janjic63.html>
32. Janjić, M.: Binomial coefficients and enumeration of restricted words. *J. Integer Seq.* **19**(7), Article 16.7.3, 18 (2016), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL19/Janjic/janjic73.html>
33. Janjić, M.: Some formulas for numbers of restricted words. *J. Integer Seq.* **20**(6), Art. 17.6.5, 10 (2017), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL20/Janjic/janjic83.html>
34. Janjić, M.: Pascal matrices and restricted words. *J. Integer Seq.* **21**(5), Art. 18.5.2, 13 (2018), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL21/Janjic2/janjic103.html>
35. Janjić, M.: Words and linear recurrences. *J. Integer Seq.* **21**(1), Art. 18.1.4, 17 (2018), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL21/Janjic/janjic93.html>
36. Kärki, T., Lacroix, A., Rigo, M.: On the recognizability of self-generating sets. In: Mathematical foundations of computer science 2009, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 5734, pp. 525–536. Springer, Berlin (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03816-7_45
37. Kärki, T., Lacroix, A., Rigo, M.: On the recognizability of self-generating sets. *J. Integer Seq.* **13**(2), Article 10.2.2, 18 (2010), <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL13/Rigo/rigo6.html>
38. Kempner, A.J.: A curious convergent series. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **21**(2), 48–50 (1914). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2972074>
39. Knill, O., Lesieutre, J.: Analytic continuation of Dirichlet series with almost periodic coefficients. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **6**(1), 237–255 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-010-0064-7>, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-010-0064-7>
40. Köhler, G., Spilker, J.: Dirichlet-Reihen zu Kempners merkwürdiger konvergenter Reihe. *Math. Semesterber.* **56**(2), 187–199 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00591-009-0059-5>
41. Kwon, D.: A one-parameter family of Dirichlet series whose coefficients are Sturmian words. *J. Number Theory* **147**, 824–835 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.08.018>, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.08.018>
42. Mandelbrojt, S.: Dirichlet Series. Principles and Methods. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht (1972)

43. Mukherjee, R., Sarkar, N.: A short note on a curious convergent series. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **14**(9), Paper No. 2150158 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557121501588>
44. Nathanson, M.B.: Dirichlet series of integers with missing digits. *J. Number Theory* **222**, 30–37 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.10.002>
45. Noonan, J., Zeilberger, D.: The Goulden-Jackson cluster method: Extensions, applications and implementations. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **5**(4-5), 355–377 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236199908808197>
46. Queffélec, H., Queffélec, M.: Diophantine approximation and Dirichlet series, *Texts and Readings in Mathematics*, vol. 80. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi; Springer, Singapore, second edn. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-9351-2>, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-9351-2>
47. Rowland, E.: INTEGERSEQUENCES software package, <https://github.com/ericrowland/IntegerSequences>
48. Rowland, E.: INTEGERSEQUENCES: a package for computing with k -regular sequences. In: *Mathematical software – ICMS 2018*. 6th international conference, South Bend, IN, USA, July 24–27, 2018. Proceedings, pp. 414–421. Cham: Springer (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96418-8_49
49. Shallit, J.: *The logical approach to automatic sequences: exploring combinatorics on words with Walnut*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 482. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2023)
50. Sloane, N.J.A., et al.: *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <https://oeis.org>
51. Sourmelidis, A.: On the meromorphic continuation of Beatty zeta-functions and Sturmian Dirichlet series. *J. Number Theory* **194**, 303–318 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2018.07.009>, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2018.07.009>
52. Titchmarsh, E.C.: *The theory of functions*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, second edn. (1939)
53. Tóth, L.: Linear combinations of Dirichlet series associated with the Thue-Morse sequence. *Integers* **22**, Paper No. A98, 9 (2022)
54. Wilf, H.S.: *Generatingfunctionology*. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, third edn. (2006), <https://web.archive.org/web/20230322192727/https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/DownldGF.html>
55. Wintner, A.: On Riemann’s reduction of Dirichlet series to power series. *Amer. J. Math.* **69**, 769–789 (1947). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2371798>