How to Make Up One's Philosophy Master

The Lives of Plotinus and Pythagoras by Porphyry and Iamblichus

A. The body and its passions

<u>T1</u>

Πλωτῖνος ὁ καθ' ἡμᾶς γεγονὼς φιλόσοφος ἐώκει μὲν αἰσχυνομένῳ ὅτι ἐν σώματι εἴη. Ἀπὸ δὲ τῆς τοιαύτης διαθέσεως οὕτε περὶ τοῦ γένους αὐτοῦ διηγεῖσθαι ἠνείχετο οὕτε περὶ τῶν γονέων οὕτε περὶ τῆς πατρίδος. (Life of Plotinus, 1, 1-4)

Plotinus, the philosopher active during our own lifetime, gave the impression of being embarrassed* about having a body; he certainly could not stand talking about his race, his parents, or his original homeland. (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018) [* or "ashamed": αἰσχυνομένω]

<u>T2</u>

Κωλικῆ δὲ νόσῳ πολλάκις καταπονούμενος οὕτε κλυστῆρος ἀνέσχετο, οὐκ εἶναι πρὸς τοῦ πρεσβύτου λέγων ὑπομένειν τὰς τοιαύτας θεραπείας, οὕτε τὰς θηριακὰς ἀντιδότους λαβεῖν ὑπέμεινε, μηδὲ τῶν ἡμέρων ζώων τὰς ἐκ τοῦ σώματος τροφὰς προσίεσθαι λέγων. Λουτροῦ δὲ ἀπεχόμενος καὶ τρίψεσι καθ' ἑκάστην ἡμέραν χρώμενος ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκίας, ἐπειδὴ τοῦ λοιμοῦ ἐπιβρίσαντος συνέβη τοὺς τρίβοντας αὐτὸν ἀποθανεῖν, ἀμελήσας τῆς τοιαύτης θεραπείας κατ' ὀλίγον τὴν τοῦ κυνάγχου ἀγριότητα κατασκευαζομένην ἔσχε. (Life of Plotinus, 2, 1-10)

He often suffered from bowel trouble, but would not tolerate having an enema; he said it was not appropriate for an old man to undergo that sort of therapy. He would not agree to take medicines derived from wild animals either; he did not, he said, want to derive nourishment from the bodies even of domesticated animals. He stayed away from the baths, but used to be rubbed down daily at home until, during a severe outbreak of plague, the people who rubbed him down were among those who died. He gave up the treatment then, but soon afterwards became afflicted with terrible throat infections. (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018)

<u>T3</u>

^{*}Η πῶς οὐκ ὀρθὸν καὶ τὸν νομοθέτην συγχωρεῖν ταῦτα μὲν πάσχειν ἐκείνους δίκην ἀργίας καὶ τρυφῆς διδόντας, οἱ ἀποδεδειγμένων γυμνασίων αὐτοῖς [οἴδ'] ὑπ' ἀργίας καὶ τοῦ ζῆν μαλακῶς καὶ ἀνειμένως περιεῖδον ἑαυτοὺς ἀρνας καταπιανθέντας λύκων ἀρπαγὰς εἶναι; [...] οὐδὲ γὰρ κομίζεσθαι καρποὺς εὐχομένους ἀλλὰ γῆς ἐπιμελουμένους, οὐδέ γε ὑγιαίνειν μὴ ὑγείας ἐπιμελουμένους· οὐδ' ἀγανακτεῖν δέ, εἰ τοῖς φαύλοις πλείους γίνοιντο καρποὶ ἢ ὅλως αὐτοῖς γεωργοῦσιν εἴη ἄμεινον. (Enneads, III, 2 [47], 8, 21-26 & 38-42)

How could not it be right for a lawgiver to agree that they suffer this as paying the penalty for their laziness and indulgence, youths who, after being shown what exercises they should do, looked idly by as they became fattened lambs, the prey of wolves, as a result of their laziness and their soft and listless living? [...] For the law says that those who are brave, not those who pray, are to come out safe from wars. For it is not those who pray but those who take care of the land who harvest the fruits, nor do those remain healthy who do not take care of their health. And one should not also be

annoyed if the wicked get larger harvests or if things should go better in general for those who work their land more. (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018, slightly modified)

<u>T4</u>

πυκνὸν γὰρ ἦν αὐτῷ πρὸς ἄπαντας πανταχῆ πολλοὺς καὶ ὀλίγους τὸ τοιοῦτον ἀπόφθεγμα, χρησμῷ θεοῦ συμβουλευτικῷ ὅμοιον, ἐπιτομή τις ὡσπερεὶ καὶ ἀνακεφαλαίωσίς τις τῶν αὐτῷ δοκούντων· 'φυγαδευτέον πάσῃ μηχανῆ καὶ περικοπτέον πυρὶ καὶ σιδήρῳ καὶ μηχαναῖς παντοίαις ἀπὸ μὲν σώματος νόσον, ἀπὸ δὲ ψυχῆς ἀμαθίαν, κοιλίας δὲ πολυτέλειαν, πόλεως δὲ στάσιν, οἴκου δὲ διχοφροσύνην, ὁμοῦ δὲ πάντων ἀμετρίαν' (Life of Pythagoras, 34)

There was a pregnant saying, like the advice of an oracle, which summed up and epitomised his beliefs: he adressed it to everyone everywhere, both the few and the many. "These things are to be avoided by every means, eradicated by fire or iron or any other means: *disease from the body*, ignorance from the soul, luxury from the belly, faction from the city, division from the household, excess from everything." (transl. Clark 1989; my italics)

<u>T5</u>

πρῶτον μὲν οἶον θεμέλιος καὶ ὑποβάθρα τῆς καθάρσεως τὸ γνῶναι ἑαυτὸν ψυχὴν ὄντα ἐν ἀλλοτρίῳ πράγματι καὶ ἑτεροουσίῳ συνδεδεμένον. δεύτερον δὲ τὸ ἀπὸ τούτου ὁρμώμενον τοῦ πείσματος συνάγειν αὑτὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος καὶ τοῖς μὲν τόποις, πάντως γε μὴν ἀπαθῶς πρὸς αὐτὸ διατιθέμενον. (Sententiae, 32, 100-105)

For a start, it is as it were the foundation und underpinning of purification to recognise that one is a soul bound down in an alien entity of a quite distinct nature. In the second place, taking one's start from this conviction, one should gather oneself together from the body even, as it were, in a local sense, but at any rate adopting an attitude of complete disaffection with respect to the body. (transl. Dillon 2005)

<u>T6</u>

Καὶ οὐ παρὰ τὸν ἐξ ἀρχῆς τι θεσμὸν ἐπιτελεῖται ἐν τῷ τοιῷδε, ἵνα μεταστραφῶσιν οἱ θεοὶ κατὰ τὴν εἰς ὕστερον γιγνομένην ἱερουργίαν, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης καθόδου ἐπὶ τούτῳ κατέπεμψεν ὁ θεὸς τὰς ψυχάς, ἵνα πάλιν εἰς αὐτὸν ἐπανέλθωσιν. Οὕτε οὖν μεταβολή τις γίγνεται διὰ τῆς τοιαύτης ἀναγωγῆς οὕτε μάχονται αἱ κάθοδοι τῶν ψυχῶν καὶ αἱ ἄνοδοι. Ὅσπερ γὰρ καὶ ἐν τῷ παντὶ τῇ νοερῷ οὐσίῷ ἡ γένεσις καὶ τὸ πῶν τόδε συνήρτηται, οὕτω καὶ ἐν τῇ τῶν ψυχῶν διακοσμήσει τῇ περὶ γένεσιν αὐτῶν ἐπιμελείῷ συμφωνεῖ καὶ ἡ ἀπὸ γενέσεως λύσις. (On the Mysteries, VIII, 8)

And nothing in such a process [i.e. the ascent of the soul] is accomplished contrary to the ordinance laid down from the beginning, so that the gods should change their plans in virtue of some subsequently performed theurgic ceremony, but rather it is the case that from their first descent the god sent down the souls for this purpose, that they should return again to him. There is therefore no element of change of plan involved in such a process of ascent, nor is there any conflict between the descents of souls and their ascents. For even as, at the universal level, the realm of generation and this universe are dependant upon intellectual reality, so also in the dispensation of souls, liberation from the processes of generation is in harmony with the care bestowed upon their introduction into generation. (transl. Clarke *et al.* 2004)

B. Progression

[N]on solum ab animis humanis remouisse corpora bestiarum, uerum etiam sapientium animas ita uoluisse de corporeis nexibus liberari, ut corpus omne fugientes beatae apud patrem sine fine teneantur. (City of God, XIII, 19, 39-41 = fr. 300bF Smith)

[Porphyry] not only banned the bodies of beasts from union with human souls but also held that the souls of wise men were so completely released from the bonds of the body that they abandoned every sort of body and were preserved for ever happy in the Father's presence. (transl. Levine 1966)

<u>T8</u>

Οἶμαι τοίνυν καὶ τὰ τέλη διάφορα ὄντα καὶ τοὺς τρόπους τῆς καθόδου τῶν ψυχῶν ποιεῖν διαφέροντας. Ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ σωτηρία καὶ καθάρσει καὶ τελειότητι τῶν τῆδε κατιοῦσα ἄχραντον ποιεῖται καὶ τὴν κάθοδον· ἡ δὲ διὰ γυμνασίαν καὶ ἐπανόρθωσιν τῶν οἰκείων ἠθῶν ἐπιστρεφομένη περὶ τὰ σώματα οὐκ ἀπαθής ἐστι παντελῶς, οὐδὲ ἀφεῖται ἀπόλυτος καθ' ἑαυτήν· ἡ δὲ ἐπὶ δίκῃ καὶ κρίσει δεῦρο κατερχομένη συρομένῃ πως ἔοικε καὶ συνελαυνομένῃ. (Iamblichus' *De Anima*, fr. 29 Dillon)

Furthermore, I actually think that the purposes for which souls descend are different and that they thereby also cause differences in the manner of the descent. For the soul that descends for the salvation, purification, and perfection of this realm is immaculate in its descent. The soul, on the other hand, that directs itself about bodies for the exercise and correction of its own character is not entirely free of passions and was not sent away free in itself. The soul that comes down here for punishment and judgment seems somehow to be dragged and forced. (transl. Dillon 2002)

<u>T9</u>

Ά μέντοι ἡμῖν αὐτὸς ἀφ' ἑαυτοῦ ἐν ταῖς ὁμιλίαις πολλάκις διηγεῖτο, ἦν τοιαῦτα. Προσφοιτᾶν μὲν γὰρ τῇ τροφῷ καίπερ εἰς γραμματοδιδασκάλου ἀπιόντα ἄχρις ὀγδόου ἔτους ἀπὸ γενέσεως ὄντα καὶ τοὺς μαζοὺς γυμνοῦντα θηλάζειν προθυμεῖσθαι· ἀκούσαντα δέ ποτε ὅτι ἀτηρόν ἐστι παιδίον, ἀποσχέσθαι αἰδεσθέντα. Εἰκοστὸν δὲ καὶ ὄγδοον ἔτος αὐτὸν ἄγοντα ὁρμῆσαι ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν καὶ τοῖς τότε κατὰ τὴν Ἀλεξάνδρειαν εὐδοκιμοῦσι συσταθέντα κατιέναι ἐκ τῆς ἀκροάσεως αὐτῶν κατηφῆ καὶ λύπης πλήρη, ὡς καί τινι τῶν φίλων διηγεῖσθαι ὰ πάσχοι· τὸν δὲ συνέντα αὐτοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς τὸ βούλημα ἀπενέγκαι πρὸς Ἀμμώνιον, οὖ μηδέπω πεπείρατο. (Life of Plotinus, 3, 1-12)

He, however, often quite spontaneously offered information about himself when he was in company, such as the fact that he used to go to his nurse, bare her breasts, and ask to suckle even when he was 7 years old and going to school. He was, however, shamed into stopping when she once called him an obnoxious brat. He was attracted to philosophy at the age of 27, and went to the best regarded philosophers in Alexandria, but he came away from their lectures depressed and miserable. He told one of his friends what was wrong, and the friend, who understood what his soul was yearning for, took him off to hear Ammonius, whom he had not yet tried. (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018)

<u>T10</u>

δ δὲ ἀνετρέφετο εὐμορφότατός τε τῶν πώποτε ἱστορηθέντων καὶ θεοπρεπέστατος εὐτυχηθείς, ἀποθανόντος τε τοῦ πατρὸς σεμνότατος σωφρονέστατός τε ηὐξάνετο, κομιδῇ τε νέος ἔτι ὑπάρχων ἐντροπῆς πάσης καὶ αἰδοῦς ἠξιοῦτο ἤδη καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν πρεσβυτάτων, ὀφθείς τε καὶ φθεγξάμενος ἐπέστρεφε πάντας, καὶ ῷτινι οὖν προσβλέψας θαυμαστὸς ἐφαίνετο, ὥστε ὑπὸ τῶν πολλῶν εἰκότως βεβαιοῦσθαι τὸ θεοῦ παῖδα αὐτὸν εἶναι [...] ὡς δὲ δαίμων τις ἀγαθὸς ἐπιδημῶν τῇ Σάμῳ. (Life of Pythagoras, 9-10)

Pythagoras grew up surpassing in beauty all persons known to history, and in good fortune most worthy of a god. After his father's death he continued to grow in earnestness and self-control, and while still a very young man, full of courtesy and modesty, he was well thought of even by the eldest citizens. Everyone turned to look on seeing him or hearing his voice, and anyone he looked at was struck with admiration, so it was quite understandable that most people were convinced he was the son of a god. [...] It was as if a benevolent spirit had come to stay in Samos. (transl. Clark 1989)

C. Cult and the gods

<u>T11</u>

οὗτοι οἱ χαίροντες 'λοιβῆ τε κνίσῃ τε', δι' ὧν αὐτῶν τὸ πνευματικὸν καὶ σωματικὸν πιαίνεται. ζῆ γὰρ τοῦτο ἀτμοῖς καὶ ἀναθυμιάσεσι ποικίλως διὰ τῶν ποικίλων, καὶ δυναμοῦται ταῖς ἐκ τῶν αἰμάτων καὶ σαρκῶν κνίσαις. διὸ συνετὸς ἀνὴρ καὶ σώφρων εὐλαβηθήσεται τοιαύταις χρῆσθαι θυσίαις, δι' ὧν ἐπισπάσεται πρὸς ἑαυτὸν τοὺς τοιούτους· (On Abstinence, II, 42-43)

It is they [i.e. the *daimones*] who rejoice in the 'drink-offerings and smoking meat' on which their pneumatic part grows fat, for it lives on vapours and exhalations, in a complex fashion and from complex sources, and it draws power from the smoke that rises from blood and flesh. So an intelligent, temperate man will be wary of making sacrifices through which he will draw such beings to himself. (transl. Clark *et al.* 2013)

<u>T12</u>

ό μέν μὴ ἀπονείμας πᾶσι τὸ πρόσφορον καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἐπιβάλλουσαν τιμὴν ἕκαστον δεξιωσάμενος, ἀτελὴς ἀπέρχεται καὶ ἄμοιρος τῆς μετουσίας τῶν θεῶν (On the Mysteries, V, 21)

[A]nyone who fails to allot to all [gods] their due and welcome each of them with suitable honour will end up unsatisfied and deprived of any share with the gods. (transl. Clarke *et al.* 2004)

<u>T13</u>

Φιλοθύτου δὲ γεγονότος τοῦ Ἀμελίου καὶ τὰ ἱερὰ κατὰ νουμηνίαν καὶ τὰς ἑορτὰς ἐκπεριιόντος καί ποτε ἀξιοῦντος τὸν Πλωτῖνον σὺν αὐτῷ παραλαβεῖν ἔφη· «ἐκείνους δεῖ πρὸς ἐμὲ ἔρχεσθαι, οὐκ ἐμὲ πρὸς ἐκείνους.» (Life of Plotinus, 10, 33-36)

Amelius was fond of sacrifices, and used to busy himself with rites of the new moon, and rites to allay fears. He once tried to get Plotinus to participate with him, but Plotinus said: 'They must come to me, not I to them.' (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018)

T14

βούλομαι δὲ ἄνωθεν τὰς ἀρχὰς ὑποδεῖξαι τῆς τῶν θεῶν θρησκείας, ἃς προεστήσατο Πυθαγόρας τε καὶ οἱ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ ἄνδρες. ἅπαντα ὅσα περὶ τοῦ πράττειν ἡ μὴ πράττειν διορίζουσιν ἐστόχασται τῆς πρὸς τὸ θεῖον ὁμολογίας [...] ταῦτα δὲ οὐ ῥάδιον εἰδέναι, ἂν μή τις ἢ θεοῦ ἀκηκοότος ἢ θεοῦ ἀκούσῃ ἢ διὰ τέχνης θείας πορίζηται. διὸ καὶ περὶ τὴν μαντικὴν σπουδάζουσι· μόνη γὰρ αὕτη ἑρμηνεία τῆς παρὰ τῶν θεῶν διανοίας ἐστί. καὶ ὁμῶς δὲ τὴν αὐτῶν πραγματείαν ἀξίαν <<ἅν> τῷ δόξειεν εἶναι τῷ οἰομένῳ θεοὺς εἶναι, τοῖς δ' εὐήθειαν θάτερον τούτων καὶ ἀμφότερα. ἕστι δὲ καὶ τῶν ἀποταγμάτων τὰ πολλὰ ἐκ τελετῶν εἰσενηνεγμένα, διὰ τὸ οἴεσθαί τι εἶναι αὐτοὺς τὰ τοιαῦτα καὶ μὴ νομίζειν ἀλαζονείαν, ἀλλ' ἀπό τινος θεοῦ ἔχειν τὴν ἀρχήν. (Life of Pythagoras, 137-138)

I want now to return to the principles of worship of the gods which were established by Pythagoras and his successors. All their decisions about what to do or not to do aimed at being in accord with the divine. [...] But it is not easy to know what that is, unless you can find out by the god listening to you, or yourself listening to the god, or through some divine technique. This is why the Pythagoreans work at divination, for that is our only interpreter of the mind of the gods. One who believes in the gods will think this a proper concern of theirs; anyone who finds either conviction silly will think both are. Most of the prohibitions are derived from sacred rites, beacause the Pythagoreans think they mean something and are not inflated nonsense, but have their origin from a god. (transl. Clark 1989)

<u>T15</u>

ἀπὸ δὴ τούτων ἁπάντων δαιμονίως ἰᾶτο καὶ ἀπεκάθαιρε τὴν ψυχὴν καὶ ἀνεζωπύρει τὸ θεῖον ἐν αὐτῇ καὶ ἀπέσῳζε καὶ περιῆγεν ἐπὶ τὸ νοητὸν τὸ θεῖον ὅμμα, κρεῖττον ὂν σωθῆναι κατὰ τὸν Πλάτωνα μυρίων σαρκίνων ὀμμάτων. μόνῳ γὰρ αὐτῷ διαβλέψαντι καὶ οἶς προσῆκε βοηθήμασι τονωθέντι καὶ διαρθρωθέντι ἡ περὶ τῶν ὄντων ἀπάντων ἀλήθεια διορᾶται. πρὸς δὴ τοῦτο ἀναφέρων ἐποιεῖτο τὴν τῆς διανοίας κάθαρσιν, καὶ ἦν αὐτῷ τῆς παιδεύσεως ὁ τύπος τοιοῦτος καὶ πρὸς ταῦτα ἀποβλέπων. (Life of Pythagoras, 70)

Pythagoras, with supernatural power, healed all these, purified the soul and rekindled the divine spark in it, restored and redirected to the object of thought that divine eye whose security, as Plato says, is more important that of a thousand bodily eyes. Only to the one who sees with that eye, having strengthened and articulated it with the proper aids, is the true nature of things perceptible. His purification of the mind was directed to this, and this was the character and aim of his system of education. (transl. Clark 1989)

D. Conclusion(s): the good student

<u>T16</u>

παρατηρήσας εὐφυῶς τινα καὶ εὐκινήτως ἐν τῷ γυμνασίῳ σφαιρίζοντα τῶν φιλογυμναστούντων μὲν καὶ σωμασκούντων, πενήτων δ' ἀλλως καὶ ἀπορωτέρων, λογισάμενος ὅτι εὐπειθῆ ἕξει, εἰ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἕκπλεά τις αὐτῷ ἀμεριμνοῦντι παρέχοι, προσκαλεσάμενος μετὰ τὸ λουτρὸν τὸν νεανίαν ἐπηγγείλατο αὐτάρκη αὐτῷ ἐφόδια εἰς τὴν τῆς σωμασκίας ὑποτροφὴν καὶ ἐπιμέλειαν διηνεκῶς παρέξειν, εἰ διαδέξαιτο αὐτοῦ κατὰ βραχύ τε καὶ ἀπόνως ἐνδελεχῶς τε, ὥστε μὴ ἀθρόως φορτισθῆναι, μαθήματά τινα, ἁ παρὰ βαρβάρων μὲν ἐξέμαθεν αὐτὸς νέος ὤν, ἀπολείπει δ' αὐτὸν ταῦτα ἤδη διὰ τὸ γῆρας καὶ τὴν τούτου ἀμνημοσύνην. ὑποσχομένου δὲ τοῦ νεανίου καὶ τῆ τῶν ἐπιτηδείων ἐλπίδι ὑπομείναντος τὴν δι' ἀριθμῶν μάθησιν καὶ γεωμετρίας ἐνάγειν αὐτῷ

έπειρᾶτο, ἐπ' ἄβακος τὰς ἑκάστου ἀποδείξεις ποιούμενος, καὶ διδάσκων παντὸς σχήματος, ὅ ἐστι διαγράμματος, μισθὸν καὶ ἀντίπονον παρεῖχε τῷ νεανία τριώβολον. καὶ τοῦτο μέχρι πολλοῦ χρόνου διετέλεσε ποιῶν, φιλοτιμότατα μὲν καὶ σπουδαίως τάξει τε βελτίστῃ ἐμβιβάζων εἰς τὴν θεωρίαν, καθ' ἑκάστου δὲ σχήματος παράληψιν τριώβολον ἐπιδιδούς. ἐπεὶ δὲ ὁ νεανίας ὁδῷ τινι ἑμμελεῖ ἀγόμενος τῆς ἐκπρεπείας ήδη ἀντελαμβάνετο καὶ τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ ἀκολουθίας τῆς ἐν τοῖς μαθήμασι, συνιδὼν τὸ γινόμενον ὁ σοφὸς καὶ ὅτι οὐκ ἂν ἑκὼν ἔτι ἀποσταίη οὐδὲ ἀπόσχοιτο τῆς μαθήσεως, οὐδ' εἰ πάντα πάθοι, πενίαν ὑπετιμήσατο καὶ ἀπορίαν τῶν τριωβόλων. ἐκείνου δὲ εἰπόντος 'ἀλλὰ καὶ χωρὶς τούτων οἱός τἑ εἰμι μανθάνειν καὶ διαδέχεσθαί σου τὰ μαθήματα', ἐπήνεγκεν 'ἀλλ' οὐδ' αὐτὸς τὰ πρὸς τροφὴν ἐπιτήδεια ἔχω ἔτι οὐδ' εἰς ἑμαυτόν· δέον οὖν σχολάζειν εἰς πορισμὸν τῶν καθ' ἡμέραν ἀναγκαίων καὶ τῆς ἐφημέρου τροφῆς οὐ καλῶς ἔχει ἅβακι καὶ ἀνονήτοις ματαιοπονήμασιν ἑαυτὸν ἀντιπερισπᾶν'. ὥστε τὸν νεανίαν δυσαποσπάστως τοῦ συνείρειν τὴν θεωρίαν ἔχοντα 'καὶ ταῦτ' εἰπεῖν 'ἐγώ σοι λοιπὸν ποριῶ καὶ ἀντιπελαργήσω τρόπον τινά· κατὰ γὰρ ἕκαστον σχῆμα τριώβολον καὐτός σοι ἀντιπαρέξω'. (*Life of Pythagoras*, 21-24)

So he kept an eye on a gifted and well-coordinated ball-player at the gymnasium, one of those who were athletic and muscular but lacked financial ressources, reckoning that this man would be easy to persuade by the offer of a generous subsidy without trouble for himself. He called the young man over after his bath, and promised to keep him supplied with funds to maintain his athletic training, if he would learn – in instalments, painlessly, consistently, so as not to be overburdened – some teachings he himself had learnt from foreigners in his youth, but which were already escaping him through the forgetfulness of old age. The young man accepted, and persevered in the hope of maintenance, and Pythagoras set out to instill in him arithmetic and geometry. He demonstrated every point on a drawing-board, and paid the young man three obols per figure (geometrical figure, that is) in return for his trouble. He did this for some considerable time, introducing him to study with great enthusiasm and excellent method, still paying him three obols for each figure learnt. But when the young man, led down the right path, had some grasp of excellence and of delight and progress in learning, and Pythagoras saw what was happening, that he would not of his own choice abandon his studies – indeed that nothing could keep him from them – he pretended he was poor and could not afford the three obols. The young man said "I can learn, and receive your teachings, without that", and Pythagoras retorted "But I cannot afford the necessities of life even for myself, and when one has to work for one's daily needs and food it is quite wrong to be distracted by timewasting things like drawing-boards". The young man, reluctant to lose the thread of his studies, said "I will provide for you in future as you have done for me I will pay you back three obols per figure". (transl. Clark 1989)

<u>T17</u>

¹Ην δὲ καὶ Ῥογατιανὸς ἐκ τῆς συγκλήτου, ὃς εἰς τοσοῦτον ἀποστροφῆς τοῦ βίου τούτου προκεχωρήκει ὡς πάσης μὲν κτήσεως ἀποστῆναι, πάντα δὲ οἰκέτην ἀποπέμψασθαι, ἀποστῆναι δὲ καὶ τοῦ ἀξιώματος· καὶ πραίτωρ προιέναι μέλλων παρόντων τῶν ὑπηρετῶν μήτε προελθεῖν μήτε φροντίσαι τῆς λειτουργίας, ἀλλὰ μηδὲ οἰκίαν ἑαυτοῦ ἑλέσθαι κατοικεῖν, ἀλλὰ πρός τινας τῶν φίλων καὶ συνήθων φοιτῶντα ἐκεῖ τε δειπνεῖν κἀκεῖ καθεύδειν, σιτεῖσθαι δὲ παρὰ μίαν· [...] Τοῦτον ἀπεδέχετο ὁ Πλωτῖνος καὶ ἐν τοῖς μάλιστα ἐπαινῶν διετέλει εἰς ἀγαθὸν παράδειγμα τοῖς φιλοσοφοῦσι προβαλλόμενος. (Life of Plotinus, 31-40 & 44-46)

Another Senator was Rogantianus who came to reject this life to such an extent that he gave up his possessions, dismissed his slaves, and resigned his position. He was due to be inducted into the office of Praetor – the Lictors were even there. But he not only refused to go on, he resigned all public office. After he relinquished the management of his own household as well, he would dine

and sleep at the houses of various friends and acquaintances, only eating every other day. [...] Plotinus took him into his inner circle and was full of praise for him – eventually adducing him as a good example for philosophers. (transl. Gerson *et al.* 2018)

Some relevant readings:

BRISSON, L. *et al.*, *Porphyre. La Vie de Plotin*, Paris, Vrin, 1982-1992 (all articles from the 2 vol.). CLARK, E. G., "Philosophic Lives and the Philosophic Life: Porphyry and Iamblichus", *in* T. HÄGG & P. ROUSSEAU, *Greek Biography and Panegyric in Late Antiquity*, Berkeley, 2000, p. 29-51. DILLON, J. "Holy and not so holy: On the interpretation of late antique biography", *in* B. MCGING & J. MOSSMAN, *The limits of ancient biography*, Swansea, 2006, p. 155-167

EDWARDS, M. J., "Two Images of Pythagoras: Porphyry and Iamblichus" *in* H. J. BLUMENTHAL & E. G. CLARK, *The Divine Iamblichus: Philosopher and Man of Gods*, London, 1993, p. 159-172. MÄNNLEIN-ROBERT, I., "Biographie, Hagiographie, Autobiographie: Die *Vita Plotini* des Porphyrios", *in* T. KOBUSCH & M. ERLER, *Metaphysik und Religion: Zur Signatur des spätantiken Denkens*, München/Leipzig, 2002, p. 581-609.

MANSFELD, J., *Prolegomena: Questions to be settled before the study of an author, or a text*, Leiden/New York/Köln, 1994, p. 177-191.