





Maximum entanglement and absolute separability of symmetric states under unitary transformations

Eduardo Serrano Ensástiga<sup>1,2</sup>, Jérôme Denis<sup>1</sup> and John Martin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IPNAS, CESAM, University of Liège, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> Centro de Nanociencias y Nanotecnología, UNAM, Mexico

SciPost Phys. 15, 120 (2023)

# Outline of the talk

## Statement of the problem

- Concepts
- Qubit-qubit system
- Symmetric case

## 2 Results

- Symmetric 2-qubit system (Main results)
- Symmetric 3-qubit system (Numerical results)
- SAS witnesses for symmetric *N*-qubit systems

## Conclusions



Qubit B is completely determined [Correlation between A and B] Qubit B is independent of the result [No correlation between A and B]

Quantum Morocco 2023

# Entanglement of mixed states

## Separable states

 $\rho$  is separable if

$$\rho = \int_{\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes 2}} P(\boldsymbol{n}_1, \boldsymbol{n}_2) |\boldsymbol{n}_1\rangle |\boldsymbol{n}_2\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{n}_1 | \langle \boldsymbol{n}_2 | \, \mathrm{d}\Omega_1 \, \mathrm{d}\Omega_2 \, .$$



with  $P(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2) \geq 0$ . Otherwise is entangled.

**Negativity**: Measure of entanglement for qubit-qubit and qubit-qutrit, [Peres (1996)], [Horodecki et al (1996)]

 ${\cal N}$  is defined in terms of the negative eigenvalues  $\Lambda_k$  of  $\rho^{T_A}$ 

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) = -2\sum_{\Lambda_k < 0} \Lambda_k \,,$$

•  $\mathcal{N}(
ho_{sep})=0$  .

• Invariant under local unitary transformations.



Qubit B is independent of the result [No correlation between A and B]

Qubit B is completely determined [Correlation between A and B]

• • • • • • •

# Entanglement (Pure state case)

Not-invariant under global unitary transformations SU(4)



Global unitary transformation  

$$\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|,$$

$$U\rho U^{\dagger} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \lambda_k |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|,$$

Pure state  $\rho_{pure}$ 

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0,$$

$$\max_{U\in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}(U
ho_{\textit{pure}}U^{\dagger}) = 1\,,$$

Quantum Morocco 2023

Maximum entanglement and absolute separability

naa

# Entanglement (Maximally mixed state case)

Not-invariant under global unitary transformations SU(4)





$$ho_{\mathsf{MMS}} = U 
ho_{\mathsf{MMS}} U^{\dagger} = rac{1}{4} \mathbb{1} = rac{1}{4} \int_{S^2 \otimes S^2} |\mathbf{n}_1\rangle |\mathbf{n}_2
angle \langle \mathbf{n}_1 | \langle \mathbf{n}_2 | \, \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{n}_1 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{n}_2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{n}_2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{n}_2 \, \mathrm{d}^2 \, \mathrm{d$$

## MMS state $\rho_{MMS}$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1/4 \,,$$

$$\max_{U \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}(U\rho_{MMS}U^{\dagger}) = 0,$$

Maximum entanglement and absolute separability

596

### Question

For a state  $\rho$  with eigenspectrum  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \lambda_4$ , what is the maximum entanglement attained in its SU(4)-orbit?

• • = • • = •

### Question

For a state  $\rho$  with eigenspectrum  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \lambda_4$ , what is the maximum entanglement attained in its SU(4)-orbit?

Qubit-qubit system  $\mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes 2}$ 

 $\rho$ -spectrum:  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ 

$$\max_{U \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}\left(U\rho U^{\dagger}\right) = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\left(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{3}\right)^{2} + \left(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{4}\right)^{2} - \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{4}}\right),$$

Solved by Verstraete, Audenart & De Moor (2001).

Qubit-qutrit system  $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$ 

$$\rho - \text{spectrum:} \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6)$$
$$\max_{U \in SU(6)} \mathcal{N} \left( U \rho U^{\dagger} \right)$$

Open question. Only partial results (Mendonça, Marchiolli, Herdemann (2017))

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



# Statement of the problem

Bosons: BEC, spin-j system, multiphotons systems, etc.



### New question

For a symmetric qubit-qubit state  $\rho_S$ , what is the maximum entanglement achievable under a global unitary transformation  $U_S$  restricted in the symmetric subspace ?

Qubit-qubit system $\ {\cal H}_2^{\otimes 2}$	Symmetric 2-qubit system $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 2}$
$\rho$ -spectrum: ( $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ )	$\rho_S$ -spectrum: $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, 0)$
$\max_{\textit{U} \in \textit{SU}(4)} \mathcal{N} \left(\textit{U} \rho \textit{U}^{\dagger}\right)$	$\max_{U_{S}\in SU(3)} \mathcal{N}\left(U_{S}\rho_{S}U_{S}^{\dagger}\right)$
Qubit-qutrit system $\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\mathcal{H}_3$	Symmetric 3-qubit system $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3}$
$\rho$ -spectrum: $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6)$	$\rho_S$ -spectrum: $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, 0, 0)$
$\max_{\textit{U} \in \textit{SU}(6)} \mathcal{N} \left( \textit{U} \rho \textit{U}^{\dagger} \right)$	$\max_{U_{\mathcal{S}} \in SU(4)} \mathcal{N} \left( U_{\mathcal{S}} \rho_{\mathcal{S}} U_{\mathcal{S}}^{\dagger} \right)$

-

# Symmetric 2-qubit system

-

# Symmetric qubit-qubit system

Main result

#### Theorem [ESE, Martin (2023)]

Let  $\rho_{S} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\vee 2})$  with spectrum  $\tau_{1} \geq \tau_{2} \geq \tau_{3}$ . It holds that

$$\max_{U_{S}\in SU(3)} \mathcal{N}\left(U_{S}\rho_{S}U_{S}^{\dagger}\right) = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\tau_{1}^{2} + (\tau_{2} - \tau_{3})^{2}} - \tau_{2} - \tau_{3}\right).$$



# SAS states



Quantum Morocco 2023

# Applications

Symmetric qubit-qubit system at finite temperature

Hamiltonian: BEC [Ribeiro, Vidal, Mosseri (2007)], LMG model (1965)]

$$H = \gamma_x J_x^2 + \gamma_z J_z^2$$

Energy spectrum  $\epsilon_i$ ,

$$\left\{\gamma_x, \gamma_z, \gamma_x + \gamma_z\right\}$$

State at finite temperature T

$$\tau_k = \frac{e^{-\epsilon_{2s+2-k}/k_BT}}{Z}$$

### Condition of SAS states

$$\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}} \Leftrightarrow T \geqslant \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - 2\epsilon_3}{2k_B \ln 2} \,,$$



# Symmetric 3-qubit system

A B > < B</p>

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Symmetric 3-qubit system} \\ \mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3} \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3, \text{ numerical results} \end{array}$



## Conjecture 1

$$\begin{split} \max_{U_{S}\in SU(4)} \mathcal{N}\left(U_{S}\rho_{S}U_{S}^{\dagger}\right) &= \max_{\pi, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}} \mathcal{N}\left(\tilde{U}_{S}\rho_{S}^{\pi}\tilde{U}_{S}^{\dagger}\right),\\ \tilde{U}_{S} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n_{1x}n_{2y} & n_{1y}n_{3x} - n_{1x}n_{2x}n_{3y} & -n_{1x}n_{2x}n_{3x} - n_{1y}n_{3y} \\ n_{1x} & n_{1y}n_{2x} & -n_{1y}n_{2y}n_{3y} & -n_{1y}n_{2y}n_{3x} \\ 0 & n_{1y}n_{2y} & n_{1x}n_{3x} + n_{1y}n_{2x}n_{3y} & n_{1y}n_{2x}n_{3x} - n_{1x}n_{3y} \\ n_{1y} & -n_{1x}n_{2x} & n_{1x}n_{2y}n_{3y} & n_{1x}n_{2y}n_{3x} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

## Conjecture 2

• 
$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{S}^{2}) \leqslant \frac{5}{19} \Rightarrow \rho_{S} \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}$$

•Tr
$$(\rho_S^2) > \frac{7}{25} \Rightarrow \rho_S \notin \mathcal{A}_{sym}$$

個 ト イヨト イヨト

# $\begin{array}{l} Symmetric \ 3-qubit \ system \\ \mathcal{H}_2^{\vee 3} \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3 \end{array} \end{array}$

### Observation 1 (Almost tight)

If  $\rho_{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathcal{A}_{sym}$ , then

$$au_1 > \sqrt{3\, au_3 au_4} \quad ext{ and } \quad (3 au_1 - 2 au_2)^2 au_3 + 3( au_2^2 - au_3^2) au_4 > 9 au_3 au_4^2 \,.$$



(日本) (日本) (日本)

э

## SAS witnesses for symmetric *N*-qubit states Work on progress [ESE, Denis, Martin (2023)]

SAS-witness W [Bohnet-Waldraff, Giraud, Braun (2017)]

$$\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}} \ \text{ if } \ \mathsf{Tr}(\rho^2) \leqslant \frac{1}{N+1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2(2N+1)\binom{2N}{N} - (N+2)} \right) \,,$$

### SAS states

Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee N})$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{sym} \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \mathbf{n})$  such that

$$U
ho U^{\dagger} = \int_{S^2} P(U
ho U^{\dagger}; \mathbf{n}) |\mathbf{n}\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle \mathbf{n}|^{\otimes n} \,\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{n},$$

and

$$\min_{\substack{U \in SU(N+1)\\ \boldsymbol{n} \in S^2}} P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) \ge 0,$$

### Non-uniqueness of the P-function [Giraud, Braun, Braun (2008)]

$$P(\rho, \boldsymbol{n}) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{N} \sum_{\mu=-\sigma}^{\sigma} a_{\sigma\mu} Y_{\sigma\mu}(\boldsymbol{n}) + \sum_{\sigma=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=-\sigma}^{\sigma} a_{\sigma\mu} Y_{\sigma\mu}(\boldsymbol{n}),$$

$$P_{0, \text{ unique for } \rho} P_{0, \text{ arbitrary } a_{\sigma\mu}} Y_{\sigma\mu}(\boldsymbol{n}) = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{N} \sum_{\mu=-\sigma}^{\sigma} a_{\sigma\mu} Y_{\sigma\mu}(\boldsymbol{n}),$$

### Proposal

To consider  $P(\rho, \mathbf{n})$  such that i) They are covariant

$$P(U\rho U^{\dagger}, \mathbf{n}) = P(D(\mathsf{R})^{\dagger} U\rho U^{\dagger} D(\mathsf{R}), \mathbf{z}) = P(V\rho V^{\dagger}, \mathbf{z}).$$

ii) We built  $P(U\rho U^{\dagger}, \mathbf{n})$  that their explicit expressions depend only on (or can be approximated) the unistochastic matrices

$$B_{ij}=|U_{ij}|^2\,,$$

The two simplest cases

### i) $P = P_0$ [Denis, Davis, Mann, Martin (2023)]

Observation 1

$$\min_{\substack{U \in SU(N+1)\\ \boldsymbol{n} \in S^2}} P_0(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) = \min_{V \in SU(4)} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \rho V D V^{\dagger} \right]$$
$$\left( \rho_{jk} = \tau_j \delta_{jk}, D_{jk} = \sigma_j(\alpha) \delta_{jk} \right) = \min_{B \in \mathcal{U}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^T B \boldsymbol{\Delta} ,$$

B a unistochastic matrix,  $B \in U \subset B$ . **Observation 2** (Birkhoff's Theorem) Permutations matrices achieve extremal values of a convex function f(B)

$$\min_{B\in\mathcal{U}}\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}}B\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\min_{\Pi\in S_3}\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}}B\boldsymbol{\Delta}\,,$$



The two simplest cases

ii) 
$$P = P_0 + P'(\rho^{\otimes 2}, n)$$

Observation 1

$$\min_{\substack{U \in SU(N+1)\\ \boldsymbol{n} \in S^2}} P(U\rho U^{\dagger}; \boldsymbol{n}) \geq \min_{B \in \mathcal{U}} \tau^T B \boldsymbol{\Delta} + \sum_{L=1}^N a_L (\tau^T B \boldsymbol{t}_L)^2,$$

B a unistochastic matrix,  $B \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

Observation 2 (Birkhoff's Theorem Minimize over the bistochastic matrices )

$$\min_{B\in\mathcal{U}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}} B \boldsymbol{\Delta} + \sum_{L=1}^{N} a_{L} (\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}} B \boldsymbol{t}_{L})^{2} \geq \min_{B\in\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}} B \boldsymbol{\Delta} + \sum_{L=1}^{N} a_{L} (\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathsf{T}} B \boldsymbol{t}_{L})^{2},$$

SAS-witness  $W_2$  (Improvement of the SAS-witness W)

$$ho \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{sym}} \qquad \mathsf{if} \qquad \mathsf{Tr}(
ho^2) \leqslant rac{1}{N\!+\!1} \left( 1 - rac{1}{A_0(N\!+\!1)\sum_{k=1}^N rac{g_k^2}{A_k}} 
ight) \,.$$

## SAS witnesses for symmetric *N*-qubit states Work on progress [ESE, Denis, Martin]

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{W}_{1} \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_{2} \\ \rho \in \mathcal{A}_{sym} \text{ if } \tau_{1} \geqslant \tau_{2} \cdots \geqslant \tau_{N+1} \text{ fulfills} \\ & N = 2 \,, \\ & (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3})^{T} (-3, 1, 3) \geqslant 0 \,, \text{ or } \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{2}) \leqslant \frac{9}{26} \\ & N = 3 \,, \\ & (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{4})^{T} (-6, -1, 4, 4) \geqslant 0 \,, \text{ or } \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{2}) \leqslant \frac{179}{208} \\ & N = 4 \,, \\ & (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{4}, \tau_{5})^{T} (-10, -5, 1, 5, 10) \geqslant 0 \,, \text{ or } \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{2}) \leqslant \frac{5089}{25390} \,, \\ & \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \end{split}$$

## Comparison of SAS-witnesses in N = 3





Quantum Morocco 2023

Maximum entanglement and absolute separability

æ



## Future work

- Exhaustive study of the SAS witnesses
- Extend to extra terms of higher order  $P'(\rho^{\otimes k}, \boldsymbol{n})$

Maximum entanglement and absolute separability

000

Maximum entanglement (negativity) over the unitary orbit Symmetric *N*-qubit system  $\mathcal{H}_2^{\vee N}$  for N = 2, 3ESE and Martin (2023) SAS witnesses in symmetric N-qubit states ESE, Denis and Martin (Coming soon)

Thank you very much for your attention!

Maximum entanglement and absolute separability

伺い イヨト イヨト