SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Drift of a drowning victim in rivers: conceptualization and global sensitivity analysis under

idealized flow conditions

C. Delhez¹, N. Rivière², S. Erpicum¹, M. Pirotton¹, P. Archambeau¹, M. Arnst³, J. Bierens⁴ and B. Dewals¹

¹Hydraulics in Environmental and Civil Engineering (HECE), Research Unit Urban & Environmental Engineering (UEE), University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium.

²Univ Lyon, INSA Lyon, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, CNRS, LMFA, UMR 5509, 20 avenue Albert Einstein, F-69621 Villeurbane, France.

³Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Liège, 4000 Liège, Belgium.

⁴VU University Medical Centre, the Netherlands

Contents

А.	Cor	mplement to the description of the methodology	2
B.	Cor	mplements to model formulation	2
C.	Em	pirical anthropometric closures	4
С	2.1	Estimation of the frontal area	4
С	2.2	Estimation of the body initial volume	5
C.3		Estimation of lungs functional residual capacity (FRC)	6
С	2.4	Estimation of the total lung capacity (TLC)	6
D.	Stat	tistical distributions	7
E.	Convergence analysis in the most critical case		11
F.	. Bodies streamwise positions		12
G.	3. Sobol' index for grouped parameters without decomposition and for HF scenarios		13

A. Complement to the description of the methodology



Figure S1: Workflow of equations used in the model.

B. Complements to model formulation

Function $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{b})$ is parametrized as follows (Figure S2):

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{b}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \frac{z_{b} - b(x_{b}, y_{b})}{\varepsilon_{max}}}{\frac{z_{b} - b(x_{b}, y_{b})}{\varepsilon_{max}}} \frac{\varepsilon_{min}}{1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{min}}{\varepsilon_{max}}} & \text{if } b(x_{b}, y_{b}) + \varepsilon_{min} \le z_{b} \le b(x_{b}, y_{b}) + \varepsilon_{max} \\ 0 & \text{if } z_{b} \ge b(x_{b}, y_{b}) + \varepsilon_{max} \end{cases}$$
(S1)

It is assumed that when the distance between the bottom and the body centre of mass exceeds a threshold ε_{max} , the bottom friction ceases to influence the body motion. The value of ε_{max} differs from that of ε_{min} typically due to limbs hanging down.

The formulation of $\gamma(x_b)$ was selected so that the effects of bottom friction on the body motion become significant only when the body is located close to the bottom, i.e., when the elevation z_b of the body centroid is close to the bottom elevation b(x,y). This can be seen in Figure S2, which displays function

 γ (horizontal axis) as a function of the distance of the body centroid to the river bottom (vertical axis). The value of γ remains small except in the vicinity of the bottom.



Figure S2: Shape of function $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_b)$ as a function of the distance between the body centre of mass and the bottom, $z_b - b(x_b, y_b)$, scaled by the length ε_{max} .



Figure S3: Functional relationship between the ADD, α , and the degree η of influence of body decomposition on body volume.



Figure S4: Flow velocity profile over the flow depth.

C. Empirical anthropometric closures

C.1 Estimation of the frontal area

Among the existing empirical relations for determining the body surface area (Mosteller, 1987; Du Bois and Du Bois, 1989; Tanabe et al., 2000; Tikuisis et al., 2001), we opted for the most recent one Tikuisis et al. (2001):

$$BSA = 0.01281m_b^{0.44}h_b^{0.6}$$
 [m²] for men (S2)

$$BSA = 0.01474 m_b^{0.47} h_b^{0.55} \quad [m^2] \qquad \text{for women} \tag{S3}$$

with m_b in kg and h_b in cm.

Following Tanabe et al. (2000), the frontal area may be approximated by multiplying the body surface area by a projection factor f_p which depends on the body positioning and orientation (pitch, yaw and roll angles):

$$A_b = f_p \cdot BSA \quad [m^2] \tag{S4}$$

Based on the work of Tanabe et al. (2000), a plausible range of values of the projection factor is given by [0.16; 0.36].

C.2 Estimation of the body initial volume

Three cases were distinguished for the estimation of the body initial volume, as detailed hereafter.

• If $BMI < 29 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and $m_b < 85 \text{ kg}$:

$$V_b(0) = (0.992m_b + 0.701) \cdot 10^{-3} \quad [\text{m}^3]$$
(S5)

This formula is taken from a study by Liu et al. (2017), which is based on a sample of Chinese people (average mass of 65 kg, with a standard deviation of 7 kg). As such, Eq. (S5) is not adapted to taller people.

• If $BMI < 29 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and $m_b \ge 85 \text{ kg}$:

$$V_b(0) = BSA \cdot (51.44 \frac{m_b}{h_b} + 15.3) \quad [m^3]$$
 (S6)

This formula is taken from Sendroy and Collison (1965).

• If $BMI \ge 29 \text{ kg/m}^2$:

$$V_b(0) = BSA \cdot (51.44 \frac{m_b}{h_b} + 15.3) \cdot 1.04 \quad [m^3]$$
(S7)

This equation reflects the tendency of people with a higher BMI to be generally more fat than muscled $(\rho_{fat} = 900 \text{ kg/m}^3, \text{ while } \rho_{muscle} = 1100 \text{ kg/m}^3).$

C.3 Estimation of lungs functional residual capacity (FRC)

The lungs volume at FRC (in m³) was estimated here as a function of the gender, age *a* (in years), body height (in m) and BMI using results by Stocks and Quanjer (1995) and Abston et al. (2017) results:

$$\begin{cases} V_{hung,FRC} = (2.34h_b + 0.01 \cdot a - 1.09) \cdot \frac{(0.102BMI^2 - 7.4504BMI + 229.61)}{100} \cdot 10^{-3} & \text{for men} \\ V_{hung,FRC} = (2.24h_b + 0.001 \cdot a - 1) \cdot \frac{(0.102BMI^2 - 7.4504BMI + 229.61)}{100} \cdot 10^{-3} & \text{for women} \end{cases}$$

C.4 Estimation of the total lung capacity (TLC)

The total lung capacity (TLC, in m³) was approximated here using formulae developed by Stocks and Quanjer (1995) and Abston et al. (2017), which involve the body height h_b (in mà) and the BMI:

$$\begin{cases} TLC = (7.99h_b - 7.08) \cdot \frac{(0.0403BMI^2 - 3.1049BMI + 149.58)}{100} \cdot 10^{-3} & \text{for men} \\ TLC = (6.6h_b - 5.79) \cdot \frac{(0.0403BMI^2 - 3.1049BMI + 149.58)}{100} \cdot 10^{-3} & \text{for women} \end{cases}$$
(S9)

A first approximation for the value of parameter η_1 in Eq. (8) was obtained as follows:

$$\eta_1 \approx \frac{TLC - FRC}{FRC} \tag{S10}$$

D. Statistical distributions

The beta distribution is a family of continuous probability distributions defined on the interval [0; 1]. The shape of the distribution is controlled by two parameters α and β , which take positive values and appear as exponents of the random variable in the probability density function (McDonald and Xu, 1995):

$$PDF(x,\alpha,\beta) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha,\beta)}$$
(S11)

with x in the range [0; 1] and $B(\alpha, \beta)$ defined as:

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}$$
(S12)

with Γ the Gamma function.

Here, the distribution of a random variable *X* varying in an arbitrary range $[X_1; X_2]$ was related to the random variable *x* as follows:

$$X = (X_2 - X_1)x + X_1.$$
(S13)



Figure S5: Beta distributions assumed for body height h_b in Scenario "unknown body" for men ($\alpha = 5.8697$ and $\beta = 6.075$, in the range [1.5; 2.05] m) and for women ($\alpha = 3.976$ and $\beta = 5.965$, in the range [1.4; 1.9] m) in Scenarios UB-LF and UB-HF.



Figure S6: Beta distributions assumed for BMI for both genders ($\alpha = 3.0102$ and $\beta = 4.2628$, in the range [15; 40] kg/m²) in Scenarios UB-LF and UB-HF.



Figure S7: Cumulative distribution function and probability density function of a beta distribution for h_b of a victim with a mode of 1.85 m and a difference between the mode and the percentiles 10 and 90 of 0.025 m (Scenarios KB-LF and KB-HF).



Figure S8: Cumulative distribution function and probability density function of a beta distribution for the mass m_b of a victim with a mode of 73 kg and a difference between the mode and the percentiles 10 and 90 of 2.5 kg (Scenarios KB-LF and KB-HF).



Figure S9: Empirical cumulative density function (CDF) of observed drag coefficient of a human-like body obtained from laboratory experiments (in a hydraulic flume) involving reduced-scale dummies,

i.e., dummies which are about six times smaller than a typical human body (Delhez et al., 2021).



Figure S10: Beta distribution considered for $\alpha_1 / 2$, with parameters $\alpha = \beta = 1.8024$.

E. Convergence analysis in the most critical case



Figure S11: Sobol' index as a function of the number of runs for the case UB-HF

F. Bodies streamwise positions





G. Sobol' index for grouped parameters without decomposition and for HF scenarios

Figure S15: Without vertical motion, Scenario UB-HF



Figure S16: Without vertical motion, Scenario KB-HF



Figure S17: Without decomposition, Scenario UB-LF



Figure S18: Without decomposition, Scenario UB-HF



Figure S19: Without decomposition, Scenario KB-LF



Figure S20: Without decomposition, Scenario KB-HF



Figure S21: Classic drowning, Scenario UB-HF



Figure S22: Classic drowning, Scenario KB-HF

References

- Abston, E., Comellas, A., Reed, R.M., Kim, V., Wise, R.A., Brower, R., Fortis, S., Beichel, R., Bhatt, S., Zabner, J., Newell, J., Hoffman, E.A., Eberlein, M., 2017. Higher BMI is associated with higher expiratory airflow normalised for lung volume (FEF25–75/FVC) in COPD. BMJ Open Respiratory Research 4, e000231.
- Du Bois, D., Du Bois, E.F., 1989. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known. 1916. Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif.) 5, 303–311; discussion 312–313.
- Liu, X., Niu, J., Ran, L., Liu, T., 2017. Estimation of Human Body Volume (BV) from Anthropometric Measurements Based on Three-Dimensional (3D) Scan Technique. Aesthetic Plastic Surgery 41, 971–978.
- McDonald, J.B., Xu, Y.J., 1995. A generalization of the beta distribution with applications. Journal of Econometrics 66, 133–152.
- Mosteller, R.D., 1987. Simplified calculation of body-surface area. The New England Journal of Medicine 317, 1098.
- Sendroy, J., Collison, H.A., 1965. Determination of human body volume from height and weight. NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH INST BETHESDA MD.
- Stocks, J., Quanjer, P.H., 1995. Reference values for residual volume, functional residual capacity and total lung capacity. ATS Workshop on Lung Volume Measurements. Official Statement of The European Respiratory Society. European Respiratory Journal 8, 492–506.
- Tanabe, S., Narita, C., Ozeki, Y., Konishi, M., 2000. Effective radiation area of human body calculated by a numerical simulation. Energy and Buildings 32, 205–215.
- Tikuisis, P., Meunier, P., Jubenville, C., 2001. Human body surface area: measurement and prediction using three dimensional body scans. European Journal of Applied Physiology 85, 264–271.