# 1 Validating genomic prediction for nitrogen efficiency index and its composition

2 traits of Holstein cows in early lactation

3 Y. Chen<sup>1\*</sup>, H. Atashi<sup>1,2</sup>, R. R. Mota<sup>3</sup>, C. Grelet<sup>4</sup>, S. Vanderick<sup>1</sup>, H. Hu<sup>1</sup>, GplusE Consortium<sup>+</sup>,

- 4 and N. Gengler<sup>1</sup>
- 5

6 <sup>1</sup> TERRA Teaching and Research Center, University of Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech

- 7 (ULiège-GxABT), 5030 Gembloux, Belgium
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Science, Shiraz University, 71441-65186 Shiraz, Iran
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding, Bowie, 20716 MD, United States of America

<sup>4</sup> Walloon Agricultural Research Center (CRA-W), 5030 Gembloux, Belgium

11

<sup>+</sup>List of authors within the GplusE consortium: Mark Crowe, Alan Fahey, Fiona Carter, Elizabeth 12 Matthews, Andreia Santoro, Colin Byrne, Pauline Rudd, Roisin O'Flaherty, Sinead Hallinan, 13 Claire Wathes, Mazdak Salavati, Zhangrui Cheng, Ali Fouladi, Geoff Pollott, Dirk Werling, 14 Beatriz Sanz Bernardo, Conrad Ferris, Alistair Wylie, Matt Bell, Mieke Vaneetvelde, Kristof 15 16 Hermans, Miel Hostens, Geert Opsomer, Sander Moerman, Jenne De Koster, Hannes Bogaert, Jan Vandepitte, Leila Vandevelde, Bonny Vanranst, Klaus Ingvartsen, Martin Tang Sorensen, Johanna 17 Hoglund, Susanne Dahl, Soren Ostergaard, Janne Rothmann, Mogens Krogh, Else Meyer, Leslie 18 Foldager, Charlotte Gaillard, Jehan Ettema, Tine Rousing, Torben Larsen, Victor H. Silva de 19 Oliveira, Cinzia Marchitelli, Federica Signorelli, Francesco Napolitano, Bianca Moioli, 20 Alessandra Crisà, Luca Buttazzoni, Jennifer McClure, Daragh Matthews, Francis Kearney, 21 Andrew Cromie, Matt McClure, Shujun Zhang, Xing Chen, Huanchun Chen, Junlong Zhao, Liguo 22

Yang, Guohua Hua, Chen Tan, Guiqiang Wang, Michel Bonneau, Marlène Sciarretta, Armin
Pearn, Arnold Evertson, Linda Kosten, Anders Fogh, Thomas Andersen, Matthew Lucy, Chris
Elsik, Gavin Conant, Jerry Taylor, Deborah Triant, Nicolas Gengler, Michel Georges, Frederic
Colinet, Marilou Ramos Pamplona, Hedi Hammami, Catherine Bastin, Haruko Takeda, Aurelie
Laine, Anne-Sophie Van Laere, Rodrigo Mota, Saeid Naderi Darbagshahi, Frederic Dehareng,
Clement Grelet, Amelie Vanlierde, Eric Froidmont, Frank Becker, Martin Schulze, Sergio Palma
Vera.

30

31	*Corresponding Author:	Yansen Chen
32		Passage des Déportés, 2
33		B-5030 Gembloux
34		Belgium
35		+32/81/62 23 58 (office)
36		yansen.chen@uliege.be (e-mail)

### 37 Abstract

Nitrogen (N) use efficiency (NUE) is an economically important trait for dairy cows. 38 Recently, we proposed a new N efficiency index (NEI), that simultaneously considers both 39 40 NUE and N pollution. This study aimed to validate the genomic prediction for NEI and its composition traits and investigate the relationship between SNP effects estimated directly 41 from NEI and indirectly from its composition traits. The NEI composition included genomic 42 estimated breeding value of N intake (NINT), milk true protein N (MTPN), and milk urea 43 N yield. The edited data were 132,899 records on 52,064 cows distributed in 773 herds. The 44 45 pedigree contained 122,368 animals. Genotypic data of 566,294 SNP was available for 4,514 individuals. A total of 4,413 cows (including 181 genotyped) and 56 bulls (including 32 46 genotyped) were selected as the validation populations. The linear regression method was 47 48 used to validate the genomic prediction of NEI and its composition traits using best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) and single-step genomic BLUP (ssGBLUP). The mean 49 theoretical accuracies of validation populations obtained from ssGBLUP were higher than 50 51 those obtained from BLUP for both NEI and its composition traits, ranging from 0.57 (MTPN) to 0.72 (NINT). The highest mean prediction accuracies for NEI and its 52 composition traits were observed for the genotyped cows estimated under ssGBLUP, 53 ranging from 0.48 (MTPN) to 0.66 (NINT). Furthermore, the SNP effects estimated from 54 NEI composition traits, multiplied by the relative weight were the same as those estimated 55 directly from NEI. This study preliminary showed that genomic prediction can be used for 56 NEI, however, we acknowledge the need for further validation of this result in a larger 57 dataset. Moreover, the SNP effects of NEI can be indirectly calculated by using the SNP 58

- 59 effects estimated from its composition traits. This study provided a basis for adding genomic
- 60 information to establish NEI as part of future routine genomic evaluation programs.
- 61 **Keywords:** nitrogen use efficiency, SNP effect, mid-infrared spectra

62

# Introduction

Livestock production contributes to 18% of the global human-induced greenhouse gas 63 emissions in the form of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide (Moran and Wall, 2011). 64 The main sources of nitrous oxide production in cattle are deposited urine and manure (Rochette 65 et al., 2014), primarily due to excessive protein content in their feed. Protein constitutes the 66 costliest component of indoor dairy cow feed, and protein loss reduces farm profitability. Thus, 67 68 improving the nitrogen (N) use efficiency (NUE) of dairy cows has the potential to enhance the profitability of dairy herds while reducing N pollution (Chen et al., 2021). Management and 69 breeding systems aimed at increasing NUE in dairy cows primarily focus on reducing urinary 70 N through proxies such as milk urea concentration (MU) or N (MUN) (Kauffman and St-Pierre, 71 2001; Spek et al., 2013; Bobbo et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2023). Researchers in New Zealand have 72 recently investigated the potential consequences of using MUN in genetic selection programs 73 to reduce N pollution in grazing dairy cows (Correa-Luna et al., 2021; Marshall et al., 2021, 74 2022). Marshall et al. (2021, 2022) demonstrated that selection for low MUN breeding values 75 76 can reduce urine N in cattle, while Correa-Luna et al. (2021) reported the opposite findings. In the Walloon Region of Belgium, nearly all cattle graze on pasture from April to September 77 (Soyeurt et al., 2022), and farmers seek dairy cows that are best suited for grazing to minimize 78 79 feed production costs (Lefèvre et al., 2022). However, the oversupply of N for dairy cows is not solely responsible for urinary N, but the imbalance between dietary N and energy in the 80 grazed pasture (Kebreab et al., 2002). 81

A recent large international collaboration revealed that predicting N excretion in dairy cows requires the inclusion of N intake (**NINT**) and MUN to more accurately predict N pollution, with the need for region-specific models (Bougouin et al., 2022). We proposed a novel N efficiency index (**NEI**) that combines the estimated breeding values (**EBV**) of NINT, milk true protein N (**MTPN**), and MUN yield (**MUNY**) through a selection index, considering

both NUE and N pollution simultaneously (Chen et al., 2022). MUNY is proportional to urinary 87 N excretion (Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, 2015). The NEI aims to maintain a 88 constant NINT, increase MTPN, and decrease MUNY through genetic selection (Chen et al., 89 2022). However, the index was developed without incorporating genomic information. 90 Genomic information is valuable for selecting low-heritability traits, and is widely employed 91 in animal breeding programs. Currently, the single-step genomic best linear unbiased prediction 92 (ssGBLUP) is the most popular method for genetic evaluations of populations with both 93 genotyped and non-genotyped animals (Bermann et al., 2022). 94

To the best of our knowledge, genomic prediction is currently performed solely for 95 individual traits and not for indices. Results from genome-wide association analysis of NEI and 96 its composition indicated that NEI may reflect the interactions of its component traits (Chen et 97 al., 2023). The objectives of this study were to validate the genomic prediction of NEI and its 98 99 composition traits, and investigate the relationship between the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) effects estimated directly from NEI and indirectly from its composition 100 101 traits. This approach aims to verify whether NEI can be genomically predicted directly and 102 whether the index reflects its composition at the SNP level.

103

# **Materials and Methods**

104 The study framework is shown in Figure 1.

105 *Data* 

Phenotypic Data. As an exploratory study, the data between 2012 and 2019 were selected from the official milk recording database in the Walloon Region of Belgium. During this study's data collection period, Holstein cows primarily grazed from April to September each year, while their diet consisted of a total mixed ration (TMR, silage dominated) for the rest of the year. The milk samples were analyzed by mid-infrared (MIR) spectrometry (commercial instruments from FOSS) to assess milk composition (including MU) and generate MIR spectra. All milk

MIR spectra were standardized according to the method described by Grelet et al. (2015). The 112 3 features including NINT, MTPN, and MUNY were used in this study. These features were 113 divided into 2 classes: primiparous and multiparous (2 to 5 lactations) denoted as NINT1, 114 MTPN1, MUNY1, NINT2+, MTPN2+, and MUNY2+ (six traits in total). The formulas used 115 to compute MTPN and MUNY were as follows: MTPN = [ (Milk yield × Protein percent / 6.38) 116 - MUNY] and MUNY = [(Milk urea concentration / 2.14) × Milk yield] (WHO and FAO, 117 2011). The NINT of each cow was predicted using the equation developed by Grelet et al. 118 (2020). The determination coefficients and root mean square errors of validation for the NINT 119 equation were 0.71 and 0.07 kg/d, respectively. 120

121 The data editing procedure used for all the included traits was the same as explained by 122 Chen et al. (2022). Briefly, all records were restricted to the first 50 days in milk (DIM) because of the predicament of the NINT model. The NINT model was built based on data from the first 123 50 DIM only. For NINT, two criteria were considered in the filtering procedure: 1) the 124 standardized Mahalanobis distance of the new predicted MIR spectra and the calibration dataset 125 is  $\leq$  3; 2) the predicted value of NINT was restricted within the range of  $\pm$ 3 standard deviations 126 of the mean. Finally, 132,899 records, observed between 2012 and 2019 from 52,064 cows in 127 773 herds, were kept. The pedigree related to the dataset comprised 122,368 animals. 128

129 Genotypic data. The genotype data of 4,514 animals included in the pedigree were extracted from the cattle genetic evaluation system in the Walloon Region of Belgium. The used chip 130 versions were BovineSNP50 K v1 to v3 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The SNPs common 131 132 between all three chips were kept. Non-mapped SNPs, SNP located on sex chromosomes, and triallelic SNPs were excluded. A minimum GenCall Score of 0.15 and a minimum GenTrain 133 Score of 0.55 were used to keep SNP (Wilmot et al., 2022). Then, genotypes were imputed to 134 a high density (HD) SNP array using FImpute V2.2 software (Sargolzaei et al., 2014). The 135 SNPs with Mendelian conflicts, and those with minor allele frequency less than 5% were 136

excluded. The difference between observed heterozygosity and that expected under HardyWeinberg equilibrium was estimated, and if the difference was greater than 0.15, the SNP was
excluded (Wiggans et al., 2009). Finally, 566,294 out of 730,539 SNPs, distributed on 29 *Bos taurus* autosomes, were kept.

141 (Co)variance Component Estimation

A six-trait (six traits; 3 features, 2 parity classes) repeatability model was used to estimate 142 the variance components. For more detailed information on the model, refer to Chen et al. 143 (2022). In summary, the model incorporated fixed effects such as herd-year-season of calving, 144 145 standardized DIM and its quadratic term, and standardized calving age with constant, linear, and quadratic regression (nested within parities). Random effects included non-genetic cow 146 effects, non-genetic cow effects specific to parity (for multiparous traits only), additive animal 147 genetic effects, and residual effects. To calculate the relationship between animals, either a 148 single (H) or pedigree-based (A) relationship matrix was employed. The H matrix combined 149 the A and genomic (G)-based relationship matrices. The inverse of H, as defined by Aguilar et 150 al. (2010) is as follows: 151

152 
$$\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{G}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where **A** is the numerator relationship matrix for all animals included in the pedigree;  $A_{22}$  is the numerator relationship matrix for genotyped animals; **G** is the genomic relationship matrix obtained using the function described by VanRaden (2008). In addition, the inverse of all matrices considers the coefficient of inbreeding between individuals (Lourenco et al., 2020).

157 Computations were performed using the BLUPF90 family of programs (Misztal et al., 158 2014). The (co)variance components for NINT, MTPN, and MUNY were estimated by Gibbs 159 sampling using the models described by Chen et al. (2022). Posterior means of (co)variances, 160 heritabilities (**h**<sup>2</sup>), repeatabilities, genetic and phenotypic correlations were obtained using a single chain of 130,000 iterations after a burn-in of 10,000 replicates. The formulas used to calculate the later four parameters have been previously described by Chen et al. (2021). Posterior convergence and approximated standard errors of (co)variances and four parameters were analyzed by POSTGIBBS90 (version 3.14). Repeatability, in this context, refers to within the six traits. For the traits in multiparous, repeatability was equal to the sum of the variances of the 3 random effects (non-genetic cow + non-genetic cow × parity + additive animal genetic) divided by the sum of the variances of all random effects.

## 168 Estimated Breeding Values and Nitrogen Efficiency Index

By using the estimated genetic variance components, the EBV and genomic EBV (GEBV) 169 170 were estimated for the six studied traits through best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) and ssGBLUP, respectively. Two scenarios were considered for estimating the (co)variance 171 components: one with both pedigree, phenotypic, and genotypic data, and the other with only 172 pedigree and phenotypic data. The EBV and GEBV for the six studied traits were estimated 173 using the BLUPF90 program (version 1.71) with the same model used for (co)variance 174 175 components estimation (Chen et al., 2022). The reliabilities (REL) of EBV and GEBV for the studied traits based upon the whole data were calculated by the formula: 176

177 
$$\operatorname{REL}_{j} = 1 - \frac{SE_{j}^{2}}{\sigma_{uj}^{2}(1+f)}$$

where REL<sub>*j*</sub> is the reliability of *j* trait, SE<sub>*j*</sub> is the standard error of prediction for *j* trait retrieved from the inverse of the left-hand side matrix of the mixed model equations,  $\sigma_{uj}^2$  is the additive genetic variance, and *f* is the mean inbreeding coefficient for all animals included in the pedigree.

The calculation methods of the NEI and its reliability were the same as those described by Chen et al. (2022). In brief, the NEI was defined as a combination of the EBV or GEBV of NINT, MTPN, and MUNY through a selection index. The index weights (**a**) of the six studied traits were calculated by selection responses, which assumed that the genetic selection responses for NINT, MTPN, and MUNY were 0, 1, and -1, respectively. This assumption allows genetic selection for NEI to maintain NINT while increasing MTPN and decreasing MUNY. The REL of NEI was estimated using the approach described in VanRaden et al. (2018):

$$\mathbf{REL}_{\mathbf{NEI}} = \frac{\mathbf{wG}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{aG}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{a}'}$$

where  $\text{REL}_{\text{NEI}}$  was the REL of NEI, w was obtained by multiplying the elements of **a** by the square root of reliability for the EBV or GEBV of the six studied traits,  $G_C$  was the genetic correlation matrix between the six studied traits.

### 194 Nitrogen Efficiency Index and its Composition Traits Validation

195 The theoretical accuracies of NEI and its composition traits in the whole dataset were 196 calculated and were considered as the maximum accuracy of genetic selection in this dataset. 197 The theoretical accuracy is equal to the square root of REL for NEI and each trait.

To assess the prediction accuracy of the genomic prediction in young animals, a linear 198 regression-based method developed by Legarra and Reverter (2018) was used. The basic step 199 of the linear regression method involves calculating the evaluation metrics by regressing the 200 breeding value of the partial dataset according to the breeding value of the whole dataset. The 201 data from 2017 to 2019 were set as missing values (n=38,906) and called the partial dataset. 202 203 Since the whole dataset was small, only the records of the last three years were removed (2012 204 to 2019). Both variance components and breeding values need to be estimated again in the partial dataset. 205

The validation population (called the focal individuals) consisted of cows and bulls (Figure 1). Cows (n=4,413) born after 2015 were used as the focal individuals (without phenotypes in the partial dataset), of which 181 cows were genotyped. We verified the cows with and without

genotypic data, separately. In the case of the genotyped cows, BLUP validation was also 209 performed to show whether differences in results could be caused by different validation 210 populations. Bulls (n=55) were selected as the validation population under two criteria, of 211 which 35 bulls were genotyped. The first criterion was used on bulls which only have daughters 212 born after 2015 with records of six traits. The second criterion was used on those bulls with at 213 least 5 daughters or at least 1 genotyped daughter. These 55 bulls altogether have 695 daughters 214 215 with 872 records in this dataset. Since a small number of bulls met both the above criteria, bulls with and without genotypes were put together. 216

Four following metrics were used to measure prediction validation results in this study.

The population bias  $(\mu_{wp})$  was expected to be 0 if the evaluation was unbiased, which was defined as the following:

220

$$\mu_{wp} = \overline{\hat{u}_p} - \overline{\hat{u}_w}$$

where  $\overline{\hat{u}_p}$  and  $\overline{\hat{u}_w}$  were average (G)EBV of focal individuals in the partial and whole datasets, respectively.

The dispersion  $(b_{wp})$  was expected to be 1 if the evaluation showed no dispersion, which was defined as the following:

225

$$b_{wp} = \frac{cov(\hat{u}_p, \hat{u}_w)}{var(\hat{u_p})}$$

where  $\hat{u}_p$  and  $\hat{u}_w$  were EBV (or GEBV) of focal individuals in the partial and whole datasets, respectively.

The prediction accuracy  $(\hat{acc})$  was expected to be 1 if the evaluation was perfect. The predictive accuracy of the breeding values of focal individuals in the partial dataset was defined as the following:

231 
$$\widehat{acc} = \sqrt{\frac{cov(\hat{u}_p, \hat{u}_w)}{(1 - \overline{f})var(\hat{u}_p)}}$$

where  $\overline{f}$  was the average inbreeding coefficient of the focal individuals in the partial and whole datasets, respectively. Other parameters were the same as described above.

The relative increased accuracy with the phenotype ( $inc_{phen}$ ; Bermann et al., 2021) is expected to be low value, which means more stability between the partial and whole datasets. The  $inc_{phen}$  was defined below:

237 
$$inc_{phen} = \frac{\widehat{acc}_w - \widehat{acc}_p}{\widehat{acc}_p} = \frac{1}{cor(\hat{u}_p, \hat{u}_w)} - 1$$

where  $\hat{acc}_w$  and  $\hat{acc}_p$  were accuracy in the whole and partial datasets, respectively. Other elements were the same as described above.

## 240 Relationship between Nitrogen Efficiency Index and its composition traits

The Pearson correlation coefficients between the direct SNP effects estimated from NEI and indirect SNP effects estimated from its composition traits were estimated. The SNP effect  $(\hat{a})$  for the NEI and its composition traits were estimated using the POSTGSF90 software (version 1.73; Aguilar et al., 2014). The formula for the  $\hat{a}$  is the same as that described by Wang et al. (2012) but without iteration. The formula of  $\hat{a}$  was as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}_{g}' [\mathbf{Z}_{g} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}_{g}']^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{u}}$$

where  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I}$ , means that the weight for all SNPs is 1;  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{g}}$  was an incidence matrix of genotype for each SNP;  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  was a vector of GEBV of each trait for genotyped animals (n=4,514) in the whole dataset.

The SNP effects for the six studied traits were multiplied by **a** (relative weight) to calculate the SNP effects of NEI\_hat. Then, the Pearson correlations between the SNP effects of NEI\_hat and the SNP effects estimated directly from NEI were calculated.

253 **Results and Discussion** 

#### 254 Genetic Parameter

The mean,  $h^2$ , and repeatability for the six studied traits are shown in Table 1. The average 255 NUE, calculated as the mean MTPN divided by mean NINT, was lower for primiparous 256 (30.95%) than for multiparous (34.69%). This difference could be partly attributed to the more 257 developed rumen in multiparous cows. The average NUE values were within the normal range 258 reported by previous studies (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2018; Spanghero and Kowalski, 2021). 259 The  $h^2$  and repeatability for the six traits ranged from 0.10 to 0.14, and 0.40 to 0.67, 260 respectively. These results are similar to our previous findings without using genotypic data 261 (Chen et al., 2022). 262

Table 2 shows the genetic and phenotypic correlations among the six studied traits. The 263 same feature in primiparous and multiparous showed high genetic correlations, ranging from 264 0.85 to 0.89. The NINT showed medium positive genetic correlations with MTPN (0.45 to 265 266 0.53), but low negative genetic correlations with MUNY (-0.08 to -0.20). These results suggest that NINT may have a greater genetic influence on MTPN, but less on MUNY. Medium 267 positive genetic correlations were found between MTPN and MUNY (0.39 to 0.56). Our recent 268 269 study (Chen et al., 2023) indicated that the genomic regions affecting MTPN and MUNY were not the same, supporting our hypothesis of simultaneous increases in MTPN and decreases in 270 MUNY through genetic selection. Phenotypic correlations were generally lower than genetic 271 correlations. Overall, the genetic and phenotypic correlations among the six traits are consistent 272 with our previous study (Chen et al., 2022). However, lower genetic correlations were estimated 273 between NINT and MUNY when genotypic data were used. 274

## 275 Validated Nitrogen Efficiency Index and its Composition Traits

The mean theoretical accuracies of focal individuals from ssGBLUP were higher than those from BLUP for both NEI and its composition traits (except MTPN2+ and MUNY2+ were the same) (Table 3), which is consistent with Cesarani et al. (2021). The higher theoretical
accuracy of ssGBLUP is attributed to its smaller prediction error.

The validated results of the genomic prediction conducted for NEI and its composition traits in genotyped cows, non-genotyped cows, and bulls through BLUP and ssGBLUP are presented in Table 4.

First, the results of BLUP and ssGBLUP were compared. The estimated  $\mu_{wp}$  (nearly 0) 283 and  $b_{wp}$  (nearly 1) for the NEI and its composition traits of BLUP and ssGBLUP in genotyped 284 cows indicate that bias and dispersion for genomic prediction between BLUP and ssGBLUP 285 are similar. Similar results for the NEI and its composition traits were found for non-genotyped 286 cows and bulls, except for the  $b_{wp}$  of bulls. Gao et al. (2021) observed similar results for feed 287 intake in pigs when comparing BLUP and ssGBLUP, even though they used more genotyped 288 289 animals than non-genotyped animals. As expected, the  $\widehat{acc}$  of the NEI and its composition traits estimated by ssGBLUP in genotyped cows were higher than that estimated by BLUP, ranging 290 291 from 0.48 to 0.66. The H relationship matrix, used in the ssGBLUP, may explain this finding (Cesarani et al., 2021). Even for non-genotyped animals, the  $\hat{acc}$  of the NEI and its composition 292 traits estimated by ssGBLUP were slightly higher than that estimated by BLUP, ranging from 293 0.36 to 0.55. Some of the genotyped animals in the dataset are related to the non-genotyped 294 animals which might partially explain this result. The  $\hat{acc}$  of NEI and its composition traits 295 estimated by ssGBLUP in bulls were higher than that estimated by BLUP, ranging from 0.39 296 to 0.56. Similarly, multiple studies have shown that the accuracy of ssGBLUP is higher than 297 BLUP (Bermann et al., 2021; Cesarani et al., 2021). The *inc<sub>phen</sub>* of the NEI and its composition 298 traits in genotyped cows and bulls estimated by ssGBLUP were lower than that estimated by 299 300 BLUP, which is in line with Bermann et al. (2021) study on chicken mortality. However, the inc<sub>phen</sub> of NEI and its composition traits in non-genotyped animals estimated by ssGBLUP 301

and BLUP were similar, except for NINT. This suggests that when making genomic predictionsfor non-phenotyped cows, providing their own genotypic data is a good predictor.

On the other hand, the obtained results were compared between genotyped cows, non-304 genotyped cows, and bulls. The  $\mu_{wp}$  of NINT and MTPN estimated by ssGBLUP (or BLUP) 305 for genotyped cows, non-genotyped cows, and bulls were similar, while the  $\mu_{wp}$  of MUNY and 306 NEI estimated by ssGBLUP (or BLUP) for genotyped cows and bulls were higher than that 307 308 estimated for non-genotyped cows. The  $b_{wp}$  of NEI and its composition traits estimated by ssGBLUP (or BLUP) were similar between genotyped and non-genotyped cows. However, the 309  $b_{wp}$  of NEI and its composition traits estimated by ssGBLUP (or BLUP) in bulls were worse 310 than in cows. The  $\widehat{acc}$  of the NEI and its composition traits estimated by ssGBLUP in 311 genotyped cows were higher than that in non-genotyped cows and bulls, which can be a 312 consequence of using extra information available (SNP data) for genotyped cows. This is an 313 advantage of using genomic selection for low heritability traits (Parker Gaddis et al., 2014). 314 However, the  $\hat{acc}$  of the NEI and its composition traits estimated by BLUP in genotyped cows 315 and bulls (after removing genotypic data) were lower than that in non-genotyped cows, which 316 can be attributed to the smaller number of cows in the genotyped group (n = 181) and bulls 317 (n=55) compared with non-genotyped (n = 4,232). The  $inc_{phen}$  of NEI and its composition 318 traits estimated by ssGBLUP (or BLUP) ranged from 17.43% (32.14%) to 31.42% (76.17%) in 319 320 genotyped cows, from 23.14% (28.06%) to 57.38% (60.44%) in non-genotyped cows, and from 27.93% (52.27%) to 65.73% (86.07%) in bulls. 321

In short, these findings showed that genomic information is beneficial for genomic predictions for NEI and its composition traits. The highest mean prediction accuracies for NEI and its composition traits were estimated for genotyped cows using ssGBLUP. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that even for non-genotyped and non-phenotyped animals in the partial

dataset, the prediction accuracy of genomic prediction for NEI was nearly the theoreticalaccuracy by ssGBLUP (0.52 vs 0.58).

#### 328 Relationship between Nitrogen Efficiency Index and its Composition Traits

Figure 2 shows the 566,294 SNPs effects values of the NEI, ranging from -0.003 to 0.003. The Pearson correlation between SNP effects estimated directly from NEI and indirectly from its composition traits was 1 with an intercept of 0 (Figure 2), which indicates that indirect genomic prediction can be performed on the NEI index.

Over the past few decades, the breeding goal of dairy cattle has gradually shifted from 333 334 increasing milk production to balanced breeding (Miglior et al., 2017), which has resulted in more traits being added to the breeding system. With increasing the number of traits in a 335 breeding program, breeders usually classify the traits to generate an index, and then combine 336 them to generate a total index for breeding. Our results showed that the SNP effects estimated 337 directly from the generated index are completely consistent with those estimated from its 338 339 composition traits (Figure 2). This implies that if SNP effects of the total index are obtained, it becomes more convenient to perform genomic prediction of the total index on young bulls. 340 Indirect genomic prediction offers the advantage of reducing the computational cost of large-341 342 scale genomic selection (Tsuruta et al., 2021), thus facilitating the implementation of genomic prediction at the national level. 343

Another potential advantage of indirect genomic prediction of NEI is the potential reduction in prediction error. There are potential errors in the calculation of breeding values for each of the six examined traits, which may lead to a reduction in the accuracy of NEI. The potential improvement in the accuracy of the NEI can be achieved by reducing the number of steps in its calculation. However, it is important to note that the theoretical accuracy of indirect genomic predictions of NEI needs further review, as conducted by Garcia et al. (2022) for postweaning weight gain. Furthermore, the effectiveness of indirect genomic prediction for indicesshould be validated on more indices to ensure its applicability.

#### 352 Limitations and Perspectives

The results of this study also require several points of attention. First, the NEI is a proxy 353 354 for the NUE of dairy cattle, therefore, it is not identical to the genetic merit of NUE. Moreover, 355 there were some prediction errors in the NEI calculation process, such as the prediction models of the MIR-based phenotypes and the estimation of relevant GEBV. To address these issues, 356 two approaches (data edited) were adopted to mitigate potential problems. Additional reference 357 358 phenotypes are expected to be added for improving the prediction models of the MIR-based phenotypes. Furthermore, Tiplady et al. (2022) recently reported that genetic correlations 359 between directly measured and MIR-predicted fatty acid and protein fractions were typically 360 high. This suggests that MIR-based phenotypes represent a valid estimation of genetic 361 contributions to the directly measured traits. The estimation of relevant GEBV will become 362 363 accurate with an increasing number of genotyped animals.

This study should be considered an exploration study, also based on a limited dataset. This 364 implies that before constructing a national-level NEI combining genomic information and its 365 integration into a global breeding goal additional work will be required. Although the mean 366 prediction accuracies (0.52-0.59) of NEI in focal individuals from ssGBLUP are not very high, 367 they are not too far from mean theoretical accuracies (0.58-0.66). The average reliability (square 368 of theoretical accuracy) of the bulls (n = 736) was 0.68 when establishing NEI without genomic 369 information (Chen et al., 2022), indicating that NEI can still perform genomic predictions when 370 371 sufficient records are available, especially when combined with genomic information.

The small number of records extracted from the official milk recording database is the main reason for the low accuracy obtained. Especially, NINT data used was still limited to the first 50 DIM because the currently available MIR prediction equation is established using only

data from the first 50 DIM. However, extending it to the entire lactation period is planned when relevant reference data are available. This will be our focus as the next step, which should also increase the reliability of NEI because we could use MIR-predicted phenotypes across the whole lactation. Another possible way to increase the reliability of NEI is to increase the number of genotyped animals. The number of cows genotyped has been increasing rapidly in recent years (Wiggans and Carrillo, 2022), not only in the USA but also to a lesser degree in the Walloon Region of Belgium.

To implement the routine use of NEI, its integration into a broader breeding goal would be crucial. In the context of the Walloon genetic evaluation system, which defines sub-indexes (Vanderick et al., 2022), utilizing NEI as an N efficiency sub-index or part of a broader efficiency sub-index would be relevant. This approach could serve as a starting point for breeding efforts aimed at improving NUE in cattle.

387

## Conclusions

This study demonstrated that genomic prediction can be successfully applied to the NEI, 388 389 providing valuable insights into NUE in dairy cattle. Even when animals in the partial dataset lacked both genotypic and phenotypic data, the genomic prediction for NEI achieved a mean 390 prediction accuracy close to the theoretical accuracy by ssGBLUP (0.52 vs 0.58). Moreover, 391 392 we explored the potential benefits of both direct and indirect genomic prediction for the NEI index, which could prove advantageous for larger datasets at the national level. This study also 393 confirmed that NEI effectively reflects reflect its composition at the SNP level. However, it is 394 important to acknowledge that further validation in a larger dataset is needed to bolster the 395 credibility of our findings. By adding genomic information to the establishment of NEI, this 396 study lays the groundwork for future routine genomic evaluation programs. The integration of 397 NEI into such programs holds promise for enhancing N efficiency breeding efforts in dairy 398

cattle, thus promoting more sustainable and environmentally responsible practices in the dairyindustry.

401

# Supplementary Materials

- 402 The R code for calculating the NEI and its reliability with example data can be found on
  403 GitHub (https://github.com/Yansen0515/GP\_NEI)
- 404

## Acknowledgments

405 The China Scholarship Council (Beijing) is acknowledged for funding the PhD project of Yansen Chen and Hongqing Hu. The authors would like to thank the European Union's Seventh 406 Framework Program for funding the GplusE project under grant agreement nº 613689. The 407 views expressed in this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not 408 necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission. The authors acknowledge the 409 support of the Walloon Government (Service Public de Wallonie - Direction Générale 410 Opérationnelle Agriculture, Ressources Naturelles et Environnement, SPW-DGARNE; Namur, 411 Belgium) and the use of the computation resources of the University of Liège – Gembloux 412 Agro-Bio Tech (Gembloux, Belgium) provided by the technical platform Calcul et 413 Modélisation Informatique (CAMI) of the TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, partly 414 supported by the National Fund for Scientific Research (F.R.S.-FNRS, Brussels, Belgium) 415 under Grants No. T.0095.19 (PDR "DEEPSELECT") and J.0174.18 (CDR "PREDICT-2"). The 416 authors have not stated any conflicts of interest. 417

- 418
- 419

## References

Aguilar, I., I. Misztal, D.L. Johnson, A. Legarra, S. Tsuruta, and T.J. Lawlor. 2010. Hot topic:
A unified approach to utilize phenotypic, full pedigree, and genomic information for

422 genetic evaluation of Holstein final score. J. Dairy Sci. 93:743–752.
423 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2730.

- Aguilar, I., I. Misztal, S. Tsuruta, A. Legarra, and H. Wang. 2014. PREGSF90–POSTGSF90: 424 Computational tools for the implementation of single-step genomic selection and 425 genome-wide association with ungenotyped individuals in BLUPF90 programs. 426 Proceedings of the 10th world congress of genetics applied to livestock production, 427 BC, Vancouver, Canada. Accessed August 21, 2022. 428 http://www.ainfo.inia.uy/digital/bitstream/item/15445/1/Aguilar-et-al.-2014.-429
- 430 <u>WCGALP.pdf</u>
- Bermann, M., A. Cesarani, I. Misztal, and D. Lourenco. 2022. Past, present, and future
  developments in single-step genomic models. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 21:673–685.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2022.2053366.
- Bermann, M., A. Legarra, M.K. Hollifield, Y. Masuda, D. Lourenco, and I. Misztal. 2021.
  Validation of single-step GBLUP genomic predictions from threshold models using the
  linear regression method: An application in chicken mortality. J. Anim. Breed. Genet.
  138:4–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12507.
- Bobbo, T., M. Penasa, A. Rossoni, and M. Cassandro. 2020. Short communication: Genetic
  aspects of milk urea nitrogen and new indicators of nitrogen efficiency in dairy cows. J.

440 Dairy Sci. 103:9207–9212. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18445.

- Bougouin, A., A. Hristov, J. Dijkstra, M.J. Aguerre, S. Ahvenjärvi, C. Arndt, A. Bannink, A.R.
- 442 Bayat, C. Benchaar, T. Boland, W.E. Brown, L.A. Crompton, F. Dehareng, I. Dufrasne,
- 443 M. Eugène, E. Froidmont, S. van Gastelen, P.C. Garnsworthy, A. Halmemies-Beauchet-
- 444 Filleau, S. Herremans, P. Huhtanen, M. Johansen, A. Kidane, M. Kreuzer, B. Kuhla, F.
- 445 Lessire, P. Lund, E.M.K. Minnée, C. Muñoz, M. Niu, P. Nozière, D. Pacheco, E.
- 446 Prestløkken, C.K. Reynolds, A. Schwarm, J.W. Spek, M. Terranova, A. Vanhatalo, M.A.

Wattiaux, M.R. Weisbjerg, D.R. Yáñez-Ruiz, Z. Yu, and E. Kebreab. 2022. Prediction of
nitrogen excretion from data on dairy cows fed a wide range of diets compiled in an
intercontinental database: A meta-analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 105:7462–7481.
https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-20885.

- 451 Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., R.J. Dewhurst, L. Cheng, A.R.J. Cabrita, A.J.M. Fonseca, P. Nozière,
- D. Makowski, H. Fouillet, and I. Ortigues-Marty. 2018. Nitrogen isotopic fractionation
  as a biomarker for nitrogen use efficiency in ruminants: A meta-analysis. Animal
  12:1827–1837. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117003391.
- 455 Cesarani, A., A. Garcia, J. Hidalgo, L. Degano, D. Vicario, N.P.P. Macciotta, and D. Lourenco.
  456 2021. Genomic information allows for more accurate breeding values for milkability in
  457 dual-purpose Italian Simmental cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 104:5719–5727.
  458 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-19838.
- Chen, Y., H. Atashi, C. Grelet, R.R. Mota, S. Vanderick, H., Hu, GplusE Consortium, and N.
  Gengler. 2023. Genome-wide association study and functional annotation analyses for
  nitrogen efficiency index and its composition traits in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 106:3397–
  3410. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-22351.
- Chen, Y., H. Atashi, C. Grelet, S. Vanderick, H. Hu, and N. Gengler. 2022. Defining a nitrogen
  efficiency index in Holstein cows and assessing its potential impact on the breeding
  program of bulls. J. Dairy Sci. 105: 7575-7587. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21681.

Chen, Y., S. Vanderick, R.R. Mota, C. Grelet, GplusE Consortium, and N. Gengler. 2021.
Estimation of genetic parameters for predicted nitrogen use efficiency and losses in early
lactation of Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 104:4412-4423.
https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18849.

21

- 470 Correa-Luna, M., D. Donaghy, P. Kemp, M. Schutz, and N. López-Villalobos. 2021. Nitrogen
- 471 use efficiency and excretion in grazing cows with high and low milk urea nitrogen

breeding values. Sustainability 13:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179827.

- 473 Gao, H., G. Su, J. Jensen, P. Madsen, O.F. Christensen, B. Ask, B.G. Poulsen, T. Ostersen, and
- B. Nielsen. 2021. Genetic parameters and genomic prediction for feed intake recorded at
- the group and individual level in different production systems for growing pigs. Genet.

476 Sel. Evol. 53:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-021-00624-3.

- Garcia, A., I. Aguilar, A. Legarra, S. Tsuruta, I. Misztal, and D. Lourenco. 2022. Theoretical
  accuracy for indirect predictions based on SNP effects from single-step GBLUP. Genet.
- 479 Sel. Evol. 54:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-022-00752-4.
- Grelet, C., J.A. Fernández Pierna, P. Dardenne, V. Baeten, and F. Dehareng. 2015.
  Standardization of milk mid-infrared spectra from a European dairy network. J. Dairy
  Sci. 98:2150–2160. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8764.
- 483 Grelet, C., E. Froidmont, L. Foldager, M. Salavati, M. Hostens, C.P. Ferris, K.L. Ingvartsen,
- 484 M.A. Crowe, M.T. Sorensen, J.A. Fernandez Pierna, A. Vanlierde, N. Gengler, GplusE
- 485 Consortium, and F. Dehareng. 2020. Potential of milk mid-infrared spectra to predict
- 486 nitrogen use efficiency of individual dairy cows in early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 103:4435–
- 487 4445. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17910.
- Kauffman, A.J., and N.R. St-Pierre. 2001. The relationship of milk urea nitrogen to urine
  nitrogen excretion in Holstein and Jersey cows. J. Dairy Sci. 84:2284–2294.
  https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74675-9.
- Kebreab, E., J. France, J.A.N. Mills, R. Allison, and J. Dijkstra. 2002. A dynamic model of N
  metabolism in the lactating dairy cow and an assessment of impact of N excretion on the
  environment. J. Anim. Sci. 80:248–259. https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.801248x.

- Lefèvre, A., V. Decruyenaere, and E. Froidmont. 2022. Transition towards more robust cows
  on Walloon dairy farms for a better use of forage resources. Dair'Innov 2022 congress,
  Namur, Belgium.
- Legarra, A., and A. Reverter. 2018. Semi-parametric estimates of population accuracy and bias
  of predictions of breeding values and future phenotypes using the LR method. Genet. Sel.
- 499 Evol. 50:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-018-0426-6.
- Lourenco, D., A. Legarra, S. Tsuruta, Y. Masuda, I. Aguilar, and I. Misztal. 2020. Single-step
  genomic evaluations from theory to practice: using SNP chips and sequence data in
  blupf90. Genes (Basel). 11:1–32. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11070790.
- Ma, L., H. Luo, L.F. Brito, Y. Chang, Z. Chen, W. Lou, F. Zhang, L. Wang, G. Guo, and Y.
  Wang. 2023. Estimation of genetic parameters and single-step genome-wide association
  studies for milk urea nitrogen in Holstein cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 106:352–363.
  https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-21857.
- Marshall, C.J., M.R. Beck, K. Garrett, G.K. Barrell, O. Al-Marashdeh, and P. Gregorini. 2021.
  Nitrogen balance of dairy cows divergent for milk urea nitrogen consuming either
  plantain or perennial ryegrass. Animals 11:1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082464.
- 510 Marshall, C.J., M.R. Beck, K. Garrett, G.K. Barrell, O. Al-Marashdeh, and P. Gregorini. 2022.
- 511 Urine and fecal excretion patterns of dairy cows divergent for milk urea nitrogen breeding
- values consuming either a plantain or ryegrass diet. J. Dairy Sci. 105:4218–4236.
- 513 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21490.
- 514 Miglior, F., A. Fleming, F. Malchiodi, L.F. Brito, P. Martin, and C.F. Baes. 2017. A 100-Year
- 515 Review: Identification and genetic selection of economically important traits in dairy
- 516 cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 100:10251-10271. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-12968.

23

- 517 Misztal, I., S. Tsuruta, D. A. L. Lourenco, Y. Masuda, I. Aguilar, A. Legarra, and Z. Vitezica.
- 518 2014. Manual for BLUPF90 family programs. University of Georgia. Accessed Aug. 01,

519 2022. http://nce.ads.uga.edu/wiki/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=blupf90\_all7.pdf.

- Moran, D., and E. Wall. 2011. Livestock production and greenhouse gas emissions: Defining
  the problem and specifying solutions. Anim. Front. 1:19–25.
  https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2011-0012.
- Parker Gaddis, K.L., J.B. Cole, J.S. Clay, and C. Maltecca. 2014. Genomic selection for
  producer-recorded health event data in US dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 97:3190–3199.
  https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7543.
- 526 Rochette, P., M.H. Chantigny, N. Ziadi, D.A. Angers, G. Bélanger, É. Charbonneau, D.
- 527 Pellerin, C. Liang, and N. Bertrand. 2014. Soil nitrous oxide emissions after deposition
- of dairy cow excreta in eastern Canada. J. Environ. Qual. 43:829–841.
   https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2013.11.0474
- Sargolzaei, M., J.P. Chesnais, and F.S. Schenkel. 2014. A new approach for efficient genotype
  imputation using information from relatives. BMC Genom. 15:1-12.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-478.
- Soyeurt, H., C. Gerards, C. Nickmilder, J. Bindelle, S. Franceschini, F. Dehareng, D. Veselko, C.
  Bertozzi, N. Gengler, A. Marvuglia, A. Bayram, and A. Tedde. 2022. Prediction of indirect
  indicators of a grass-based diet by milk Fourier Transform mid-infrared spectroscopy to assess
  the feeding typologies of dairy farms. Animals 12:1-13. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12192663.
- Spanghero, M., and Z.M. Kowalski. 2021. Updating analysis of nitrogen balance experiments
  in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 104:7725–7737. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-19656.
- 539 Spek, J.W., J. Dijkstra, G. Van Duinkerken, and A. Bannink. 2013. A review of factors
  540 influencing milk urea concentration and its relationship with urinary urea excretion in

- 541 lactating dairy cattle. J. Agric. Sci. 151:407–423.
  542 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859612000561.
- Tiplady, K.M., T.J. Lopdell, R.G. Sherlock, T.J.J. Johnson, R.J. Spelman, B.L. Harris, S.R.
  Davis, M.D. Littlejohn, and D.J. Garrick. 2022. Comparison of the genetic characteristics
  of directly measured and Fourier-transform mid-infrared-predicted bovine milk fatty
  acids and proteins. J. Dairy Sci. In press. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-22089.
- Tsuruta, S., D.A.L. Lourenco, Y. Masuda, T.J. Lawlor, and I. Misztal. 2021. Reducing
  computational cost of large-scale genomic evaluation by using indirect genomic
  prediction. JDS Commun. 2:356–360. https://doi.org/10.3168/jdsc.2021-0097.
- Vanderick, S., R. R. Mota, K. Wijnrocs, and N. Gengler. 2022. Description of the genetic
  evaluation systems used in the Walloon Region of Belgium. Accessed November 21,
  2022. http://www.elinfo.be/indexEN.html.
- VanRaden, P.M. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. J. Dairy Sci.
  91:4414–4423. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0980.
- 555 VanRaden, P.M., J.B. Cole, and K.L. Parker Gaddis. 2018. Net merit as a measure of lifetime
- profit: 2018 revision. Accessed Aug. 01, 2022.
  https://www.aipl.arsusda.gov/reference/nmcalc-2018.htm.
- Wang, H., I. Misztal, I. Aguilar, A. Legarra, and W.M. Muir. 2012. Genome-wide association
  mapping including phenotypes from relatives without genotypes. Genet. Res. (Camb.).
  94:73–83. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672312000274.
- WHO and FAO (World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the
  United Nations). 2011. Codex Alimentarius: Milk and Milk Products. 2nd ed. WHO
  FAO, Rome, Italy.
- 564 Wiggans, G.R., and J.A. Carrillo. 2022. Genomic selection in United States dairy cattle. Front.
- 565 Genet. 13:1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.994466.

566	Wiggans, G.R., T.S. Sonstegard, P.M. VanRaden, L.K. Matukumalli, R.D. Schnabel, J.F.
567	Taylor, F.S. Schenkel, and C.P. van Tassell. 2009. Selection of single-nucleotide
568	polymorphisms and quality of genotypes used in genomic evaluation of dairy cattle in the
569	United States and Canada. J. Dairy Sci. 92:3431–3436. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-
570	<u>1758</u> .
571	Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. 2015. Milk Urea-N yield (MUNY) as a nutritional
572	and environmental management tool for the dairy industry. US Pat. No. 9,955,673 B1.

- 573 Wilmot, H., J. Bormann, H. Soyeurt, X. Hubin, G. Glorieux, P. Mayeres, C. Bertozzi, and N.
- 574 Gengler. 2022. Development of a genomic tool for breed assignment by comparison of
- 575 different classification models: Application to three local cattle breeds. J. Anim. Breed.
- 576 Genet. 139:40–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12643.

Parity class <sup>2</sup>	NINT (kg/d)	MTPN (kg/d)	MUNY (g/d)	
1 (n = 40,916)				
Mean	0.42	0.13	2.93	
$SD^3$	0.06	0.03	1.08	
Heritability	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.13\pm0.01$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	
Repeatability	$0.45 \pm 0.01$	$0.61\pm0.00$	$0.40\pm0.01$	
2+(n=91,938)				
Mean	0.49	0.17	3.74	
SD	0.07	0.04	1.56	
Heritability	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.10\pm0.01$	
Repeatability	$0.53 \pm 0.00$	$0.67\pm0.00$	$0.43 \pm 0.00$	

- **Table 1** Description statistics of the six studied traits<sup>1</sup> and their heritabilities and repeatabilities
- 578 in the whole dataset

579  $\frac{1}{NINT} - N$  intake; MTPN – Milk true protein N; MUNY – Milk urea N yield

<sup>2</sup>Parity class: based on the parity, the data were divided into 2 classes including primiparous
 (class 1) and multiparous (class 2+)

582 <sup>3</sup>SD: standard deviation

Traits <sup>1</sup>	NINT1	MTPN1	MUNY1	NINT2+	MTPN2+	MUNY2+	
NINT1		$0.48\pm0.04$	$\textbf{-0.20} \pm 0.05$	$0.87\pm0.02$	$0.50\pm0.04$	$\textbf{-0.16} \pm 0.05$	
MTPN1	$0.36\pm0.01$		$0.56\pm0.04$	$0.53\pm0.04$	$0.85\pm0.03$	$0.46\pm0.05$	
MUNY1	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.37\pm0.00^2$		$\textbf{-0.03} \pm 0.04$	$0.39\pm0.04$	$0.89\pm0.03$	
NINT2+	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.14\pm0.01$	$0.02\pm0.01$		$0.61\pm0.03$	$\textbf{-0.08} \pm 0.05$	
MTPN2+	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.20\pm0.01$	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.43\pm0.00$		$0.45\pm0.04$	
MUNY2+	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.16\pm0.01$	$0.14\pm0.00$	$0.41 \pm 0.00$		

583 Table 2. Genetic correlations (above the diagonal) and phenotypic correlations (below the584 diagonal) are among the six studied traits.

585  $\overline{}^{1}$ NINT1 - N intake in primiparous cows; MTPN1 – Milk true protein N in primiparous cows;

MUNY1 - Milk urea N yield in primiparous cows; NINT2+ - N intake in multiparous cows;
MTPN2+ - Milk true protein N in multiparous cows; MUNY2+ - Milk urea N yield in

588 multiparous cows

 $^{2}$ standard error is less than 0.005.

	NINT1	MTPN1	MUNY1	NINT2+	MTPN2+	MUNY2+	NEI	
Genotyped cows $(n=181)^2$								
BLUP	0.63	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.59	0.57	
ssGBLUP <sup>3</sup>	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.71	0.67	0.69	0.66	
Non-genotyped cows (n=4,232	2)							
BLUP	0.62	0.58	0.60	0.60	0.57	0.59	0.57	
ssGBLUP	0.63	0.59	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.59	0.58	
Bulls $(n=55)^4$								
BLUP	0.64	0.60	0.62	0.61	0.58	0.60	0.59	
ssGBLUP	0.70	0.66	0.68	0.68	0.64	0.67	0.65	

Table 3. The mean theoretical accuracies in the whole dataset for genotyped cows, non genotyped cows, and bulls of nitrogen efficiency index (NEI) and its composition traits<sup>1</sup>

592  $^{1}$ NINT1 - N intake in primiparous cows; MTPN1 – Milk true protein N in primiparous cows;

593 MUNY1 - Milk urea N yield in primiparous cows; NINT2+ - N intake in multiparous cows;

594 MTPN2+ - Milk true protein N in multiparous cows; MUNY2+ - Milk urea N yield in

595 multiparous cows

 $^{2}$ Ranges of standard deviation for genotype cows, non-genotyped cows, and bulls are 0.06 to

597 0.10, 0.05 to 0.06, and 0.03 to 0.05, respectively

<sup>3</sup>ssGBLUP - Single-step genomic BLUP

<sup>4</sup>35 of 55 bulls had genotype

		NINT1	MTPN1	MUNY1	NINT2+	MTPN2+	MUNY2+	NEI
Genotyped cov	ws (n=181)							
	bias	-0.01	0.00	-0.05	-0.01	0.00	-0.07	0.16
BLUP	dispersion	1.05	1.18	1.20	1.07	1.06	1.04	1.06
	accuracy	0.40	0.37	0.33	0.41	0.34	0.35	0.45
	$inc_{phen}(\%)^2$	76.17	38.91	60.02	64.92	45.54	58.42	32.14
	bias	-0.01	0.00	-0.07	-0.01	0.00	-0.11	0.14
ssGBLUP <sup>3</sup>	dispersion	1.06	0.97	1.13	0.99	0.93	0.99	1.02
	accuracy	0.63	0.48	0.49	0.66	0.51	0.50	0.59
	inc <sub>phen</sub> (%)	25.30	30.92	31.42	23.45	22.59	30.11	17.43
Non-genotype	d cows (n=4,	232)						
	bias	0.00	0.00	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	-0.03	0.01
BLUP	dispersion	1.08	1.14	1.10	1.15	1.09	0.96	1.03
	accuracy	0.47	0.43	0.33	0.50	0.39	0.35	0.48
	inc <sub>phen</sub> (%)	52.07	29.69	60.44	41.02	33.73	54.36	28.06
	bias	0.00	0.00	-0.04	-0.01	0.00	-0.05	0.00
ssGBLUP	dispersion	1.13	1.06	1.06	1.16	1.04	0.95	1.00
	accuracy	0.53	0.45	0.35	0.55	0.43	0.36	0.52
	inc <sub>phen</sub> (%)	35.42	28.62	57.38	27.88	27.27	52.26	23.14
Bulls $(n=55)^4$	-							

Table 4. Validated nitrogen efficiency index (NEI) and its composition traits<sup>1</sup> by linear
 regression

<sup>1</sup>NINT1 - N intake in primiparous cows; MTPN1 – Milk true protein N in primiparous cows;
 MUNY1 - Milk urea N yield in primiparous cows; NINT2+ - N intake in multiparous cows;

604 MTPN2+ - Milk true protein N in multiparous cows; MUNY2+ - Milk urea N yield in 605 multiparous cows

<sup>2</sup>inc<sub>phen</sub>(%) - Increase reliability when adding phenotypic

0.00

1.03

0.34

86.07

0.00

1.08

0.52

33.14

0.00

1.61

0.32

56.43

0.00

1.14

0.41

50.23

-0.09

0.89

0.33

78.54

-0.11

1.02

0.42

47.04

-0.01

1.20

0.39

55.29

-0.01

1.14

0.56

27.93

0.00

1.32

0.31

52.27

0.00

0.99

0.42

49.98

-0.11

0.68

0.33

78.70

-0.14

0.67

0.39

65.37

0.10

0.88

0.43

55.47

0.06

0.99

0.52

30.71

<sup>3</sup>ssGBLUP - Single-step genomic BLUP

bias

bias

dispersion

accuracy

 $inc_{phen}(\%)$ 

dispersion

accuracy

inc<sub>phen</sub>(%)

BLUP

ssGBLUP

<sup>4</sup>35 of 55 bulls had genotype



- 610 Figure 1. Workflow of validating genomic prediction for nitrogen efficiency index and its
- 611 composition traits. NINT1 the N intake in primiparous cows, MTPN1 milk true protein N in
- primiparous cows, MUNY1 milk urea N yield in primiparous cows, NINT2+ N intake in
   multiparous cows, MTPN2+ milk true protein N in multiparous cows, and MUNY2+ milk
- 613 multiparous cows, MTPN2+ milk true p
  614 urea N yield in multiparous cows.



615

**Figure 2.** Pearson correlation between SNPs effect (n = 566,294) is estimated directly from the nitrogen efficiency index (NEI) and indirectly from its composition traits (NEI-hat). Its composition traits included N intake, milk true protein N, milk urea N yield in primiparous cows, and N intake, milk true protein N, milk urea N yield in multiparous cows (six traits in total).