



European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) response to the proposed ASTRO's framework for radiopharmaceutical therapy curriculum development for trainees

Rudi Dierckx¹ · Ken Herrmann² · Roland Hustinx³ · Michael Lassmann⁴ · Wolfgang Wadsak^{5,6} · Jolanta Kunikowska⁷ 

Published online: 17 October 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022, corrected publication 2022

The American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) and the ASTRO board of directors approved in the fall of 2021 a new framework for radiopharmaceutical therapy curriculum development for trainees, which was published by Ana P. Kiess et al. [1]. Being members of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) Board, we want to comment officially on behalf of the EANM.

Although the paper by Kiess et al. may have its merits at trying to fill in a gap in workforce and related expertise appearing in a North American context in nuclear medicine, we think that this proposal may not be the ideal solution. In this regard, it may be worthwhile to describe the history and framework of radiopharmaceutical therapy in more detail, to better understand which pitfalls for radiation oncologists and radiotherapists, as well as for the patients, may linger

in a simplified proposition not taking into account all ins and outs.

Kiess et al. start by stating that in 2017, the ASTRO board of directors prioritized radiopharmaceutical therapy as a leading area for new therapeutic development. However, radiopharmaceutical therapy in the context of the specialty of nuclear medicine is not so recent as it actually has already a history of more than 70 years [2].

Starting with iodine-131 therapies for hyperthyroidism and thyroid cancer as early as in the 1940's, a lot of therapies using radionuclides and radiopharmaceuticals have been introduced in nuclear medicine:

- Radiation synovectomy using Erbium-169, Rhenium-186, or Yttrium-90;
- [¹³¹I]mIBG therapy for children suffering from neuroblastoma;
- Therapies for liver cancer using at first [¹³¹I]NaI, then ¹⁸⁸Re-labelled lipiodol and finally commercially available ⁹⁰Y- or ¹⁶⁶Ho- labelled microspheres;
- Radioimmunotherapy with Bexxar (([¹³¹I]Tositumomab) or Zevalin ([⁹⁰Y]Y-Ibritumomab tiuxetan);
- Bone palliation using [¹⁵³Sm]Sm-EDTMP, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-EDTMP, [^{186/188}Re]Re-HEDP, [⁸⁹Sr]SrCl₂, and [²²³Ra]RaCl₂;
- ¹⁷⁷Lu-labelled somatostatin analogues for neuro-endocrine tumours (e.g. [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-DOTATATE).

These (and more) are all examples that go back for decades already. As illustrated, both oncological and non-oncological indications are considered, using different radiopharmaceuticals and radionuclides, in addition to “classical” beta-emitters nowadays also including alpha-emitters [3–5].

Over all these years, research development both in terms of the radiopharmaceuticals and the radionuclides used was boosted and clinical care using radiopharmaceutical therapies in general was accommodated primarily by nuclear

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Theragnostic

✉ Jolanta Kunikowska
jolanta.kunikowska@wum.edu.pl

¹ Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

² Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Duisburg-Essen, and German Cancer Consortium (DKTK)-University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany

³ Division of Nuclear Medicine and Oncological Imaging, University Hospital of Liège, Belgium and GIGA-CRC In Vivo Imaging, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

⁴ Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

⁵ Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Biomedical Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

⁶ Center for Biomarker Research in Medicine (CBmed GmbH), Graz, Austria

⁷ Nuclear Medicine Department, Medical University of Warsaw, ul. Banacha 1 a, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

medicine physicians and their colleagues as radiochemists, radiopharmacists, radiobiologists, medical physicists, and others, mostly in close collaboration with the referring physicians such as endocrinologists, paediatricians, rheumatologists, urologists, and medical oncologists. In particular, highly interdisciplinary research such as, e.g. with urology was the key to successful development of novel radiopharmaceuticals and their clinical application to patient treatment.

The therapy stratification, follow-up, and prediction are performed by nuclear medicine physicians within the framework of theranostics using the twin radiopharmaceuticals tailored for imaging. To optimize the metabolic information obtained using dedicated SPECT or PET systems, hybrid imaging nowadays allows for a one-stop shop for the patient by adding CT or MRI capabilities, often in close collaboration with radiologists for the anatomical reporting. Major steps and progress in detection technology, software analysis, and quantification methodology in the last decades and especially in the last years all had a major impact on the quality, accuracy, and understanding of the results of imaging in the setting of diagnosis and therapy using radiopharmaceuticals.

What Kiess et al. [1] rightfully have detected is a breakthrough over the last decade in terms of a better understanding of molecular pathways in oncology, with a translation by pharmaceutical companies into new precision therapies such as immuno-therapy and, because of growing clinical evidence, progressively being better reimbursed by health authorities, resulting in a boost for improved patient treatment. In its slipstream, a growth in commercial availability and dissemination of radiopharmaceuticals helps to answer the rapidly growing clinical needs for precision medicine by offering added value imaging and related therapy.

The validation of [^{177}Lu]Lu-PSMA compounds for prostate cancer therapy, for example, follows and connects with the related imaging using, e.g. [^{68}Ga]Ga-PSMA-11 and [^{18}F]PSMA-1007 heralding the appearance of the new kid on the block in terms of a new clinical indication. The results of VISION trials established a new clinical standard [6]. Accordingly, the latest EU and ASCO GU update guidelines strongly recommend offering [^{177}Lu]Lu-PSMA treatment to pre-treated mCRPC patients with one or more metastatic lesions, highly expressing PSMA (exceeding the uptake in the liver) on the PET/CT scan using diagnostically radiolabelled PSMA compounds [7].

In this regard, the EANM organizes a multidisciplinary, so-called, FOCUS 5 meeting in 2023 — ‘by the expert for the expert’. The aim is to critically assess the latest developments in molecular hybrid imaging and now especially related systemic radiopharmaceutical therapy in prostate cancer, to reach a multidisciplinary consensus on the current state-of-the-art and to generate expert recommendations on

how to guide the field towards establishing clinical impact in line with the theranostics concept.

The specialty of nuclear medicine uses unsealed radioactive sources for diagnosis and therapy, whereas radiotherapy makes use of sealed sources or external irradiation. Both modalities are a completely different ballgame, especially taking into account the dynamics and kinetics of the systemically administered radiopharmaceuticals and the related theranostic imaging. Particularly, since in radiopharmaceutical therapy, most treatments are administered systemically and because radiobiology of EBRT cannot be extrapolated to RPT, there is a need for specific radiobiology of radiopharmaceutical therapy [8, 9].

Not surprisingly, the training of nuclear medicine in Europe encompasses in general 5 years, similar to the present training in radiation oncology. Considering the existing training program in radiation oncology and time already devoted to radiopharmaceutical therapy in the classical nuclear medicine training program, it may be a major challenge for the radiation oncology program to accommodate the time necessary for the training in radiopharmaceutical therapies, even omitting teaching and training on the related imaging.

It provides some hope for the future that Kiess et al. [1] — on behalf of ASTRO — reflect in their conclusions that collaboration with other specialties, especially the ones at the core of radiopharmaceutical therapies, i.e. nuclear medicine, represented by the SNMMI in the USA, should be considered [10]. Especially since what primarily is at stake is the quality and safety of radiopharmaceutical therapy for the patient.

In Europe, the EANM, representing 40 member states, has the advantage of a sufficient and expert dedicated and specifically trained workforce to accommodate the medical breakthroughs and growing clinical needs for radiopharmaceutical therapy and its related imaging. We think that this may have been due to a fundamentally different choice in Europe in general as compared to the USA for keeping nuclear medicine an independent specialty, rather than transforming the imaging branch into an additional certification within the radiology curriculum, leaving the intrinsically related radiopharmaceutical therapy orphanage.

This different position is fully reflected by the European Training Requirements published by the NM section of the UEMS (<https://uems.eanm.org/committees/education-syllabus/syllabus/>). Radiology as a specialty focuses more on highly specialized regional morphological examinations and anatomically image-guided interventions, rather than on a holistic approach of molecular pathways and related theranostics. It is a long way for radiology from thinking in terms of molecular pathways, physiopathology, and radiopharmaceutical therapies, while the same accounts for radiation oncology in terms of the theranostics concept, related

molecular imaging, and the use of unsealed sources of radioactivity, especially in a dynamic perspective.

This does not mean that radiology and radiation therapy do not share much in common with nuclear medicine, reflected in previous times by the common denominator of organizational clusters on radiation sciences. On the other hand, it also implies that convergence needs much communication, reflection, and understanding. In this regard, the interest of the patient and the quality of the clinical service provided is at the core of what every medical professional society needs to focus on and offer.

Hence, in Europe, the EANM wants to secure the quality of the growing molecular imaging and therapy needs through quality standards, teaching, and accreditation. In order to achieve these goals, the EANM aims at establishing EU wide collaborations with the respective European scientific organization such as EAU, ESMO, and, last but not least, ESTRO. While the US context provides its own challenges, we hope — as intended — that this letter adds to the discussion also in the USA for multidisciplinary collaboration and the right and necessary competences in addition to qualification.

Declarations

Research involving human participants and/or animals This manuscript does not contain proprietary research involving neither humans nor animals.

Informed consent This manuscript does not contain proprietary human data, accordingly an informed consent is not applicable.

Ethical statement This editorial falls outside of the scope of ethical concerns regarding experimentation with humans or animals.

Consent for publication All authors gave their written consent for publication.

Competing interests KH reports personal fees from Bayer, personal fees and other from Sofie Biosciences, personal fees from SIRTEX, non-financial support from ABX, personal fees from Adacap, personal fees from Curium, personal fees from Endocyte, grants and personal fees from BTG, personal fees from IPSEN, personal fees from Siemens Healthineers, personal fees from GE Healthcare, personal fees from Amgen, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from ymabs, personal fees from Aktis Oncology, personal fees from Theragnostics, personal fees from Pharma15, personal fees from Debiopharm, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Janssen, outside the submitted work.

ML has received research grants by IPSEN Pharma and Nordic Nanovector.

WW has received research grants from ITM S.E., Scintomics, EZAG, Novo Nordisk (all institutional fees), consultancy fees from GE Healthcare (personal fees) and is a part-time employee of CBmed GmbH, Graz, Austria, and a founder and co-owner of MINUTE medical GmbH, Vienna, Austria.

JK reports consulting fees from Telix; participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board and Advisory Board from Novartis (personal fees), unrestricted grant from Janssen.

The remaining authors have no relevant conflict of interest to declare with regard to this comment.

References

1. Kiess AP, Hobbs RF, Bednarz B, Knox SJ, Meredith R, Escorcía FE. ASTRO's framework for radiopharmaceutical therapy curriculum development for trainees. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2022;113(4):719–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2022.03.018>.
2. Goldsmith SJ. Targeted radionuclide therapy: a historical and personal review. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2020;50:87–97. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2019.07.006>.
3. Rowe SP, Pomper MG. Molecular imaging in oncology: current impact and future directions. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2022;72(4):333–52. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21713>.
4. Bodei L, Herrmann K, Schöder H, Scott AM, Lewis JS. Radiotheranostics in oncology: current challenges and emerging opportunities. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2022;19(8):534–50. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-022-00652-y>.
5. Herrmann K, Schwaiger M, Lewis JS, Solomon SB, McNeil BJ, Baumann M, et al. Radiotheranostics: a roadmap for future development. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(3):e146–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(19\)30821-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30821-6).
6. O Sartor, J de Bono, KN Chi, K Fizazi, K Herrmann, et al for the VISION Investigators¹⁷⁷Lutetium—PSMA-617 for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385. 1091–1103. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2107322>.
7. EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Amsterdam 2022. ISBN 978–94–92671–16–5.
8. Aerts A, Eberlein U, Holm S, Hustinx R, Konijnenberg M, Strigari L, et al. EANM position paper on the role of radiobiology in nuclear medicine. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2021;48:3365–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05345-9>.
9. Pouget JP, Konijnenberg M, Eberlein U, Glatting G, Gabina PM, Herrmann K et al, EANM position paper on advancing radiobiology for shaping the future of nuclear medicine. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2022; 2022 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05934-2>.
10. Herrmann K, Giovanella L, Santos A, Gear J, Kiratli PO, Kurth J, et al. Joint EANM, SNMMI and IAEA enabling guide: how to set up a theranostics centre. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2022;49:2300–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05785-x>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.