

Informed POMDP: Leveraging Additional Information in Model-Based RL Gaspard Lambrechts, Adrien Bolland and Damien Ernst



# 1. Informed POMDP

While partial observability at execution time is a realistic assumption, assuming the same partial observability at training time is too pessimistic.

### Informed POMDP

Formally, an informed POMDP  $\widetilde{P}$  is defined as  $\widetilde{P} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}, T, R, \widetilde{I}, \widetilde{O}, P, \gamma)$ ,

- ▶ State  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- $\blacktriangleright$  Action  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- ▶ Information  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- $\triangleright$  Observation  $o \in \mathcal{O}$ ,
- $\blacktriangleright$  Transition distribution  $T(s' \mid s, a),$  $\blacktriangleright$  Initialization distribution  $P(s_0)$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  Discount factor  $\gamma \in [0,1[$ .

 $NB: o$  is conditionally independent of s given i.

A history-dependent policy  $\eta: \mathcal{H} \to \Delta(\mathcal{A})$  is a mapping from histories to probability measures over the action space, and is optimal when it maximizes the return,



#### Execution POMDP

The underlying **execution POMDP**  $P$  of the informed POMDP  $P$  is defined as  $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}, T, R, O, P, \gamma)$ , where  $O(o|s) = \int_I O(o|i)I(i|s) di$ .

The **history** at time t is defined as  $h_t = (o_0, a_0, \ldots, o_t) \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the set of histories of arbitrary length.

Theorem (Sufficiency of Recurrent Predictive Sufficient Statistics) In an informed POMDP  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ , a statistic  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{Z}$  is sufficient for the optimal control, i.e.,  $\max_q J(g \circ f) = \max_\eta J(\eta)$ , if it is,

(i) recurrent  $f(h') = u(f(h), a, o'), \forall h' = (h, a, o'),$ 

(ii) predictive sufficient  $p(r, i'|h, a) = p(r, i'|f(h), a)$ ,  $\forall (h, a, r, i')$ .

$$
J(\eta) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathcal{P},\eta} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t, a_t) \right]. \tag{1}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Reward function  $r = R(s, a)$ ,

 $\blacktriangleright$  Information distribution  $I(i | s)$ ,

 $\triangleright$  Observation distribution  $O(o | i)$ ,

The **RL** objective is to find an optimal policy for the execution **POMDP** using interaction samples  $(\bm{i_0}, o_0, a_0, r_0, \ldots, \bm{i_t}, o_t)$  from the **informed POMDP**.

Note that the statistic  $z$  is no longer deterministically updated to  $z'$  given  $a$  and  $o'$ , instead we have  $z \sim f_{\theta}(\cdot | h)$ , which is induced by  $u_{\theta}$  and  $q_{\theta}^{e}$  $\overset{e}{\theta} \cdot$ 

## 2. Learning Sufficient Statistics

If a statistic from the history is recurrent and predictive of the reward and information given the action, it is sufficient for the optimal control.

We consider policies that compute a **statistic from the history**  $z = f(h)$ , before outputting the action distribution  $\eta(a|h) = g(a|f(h))$ , denoted  $\eta = g \circ f$ .

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n a \\
 c\n \end{array}
$$

This statistic needs to contain all relevant information from the history to act optimally.

The learning curves of the Uninformed Dreamer and the Informed Dreamer are given below for some illustrative (cherry-picked) environments.

- ▶ Generalize theorem to stochastic  $z \sim f_{\theta}(\cdot|h)$  to better support the world model.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Study conditions on the information *i* for the convergence speed to improve.
- ▶ Study robustness and generalization of the informed world model.



In practice, we jointly maximize the sufficiency objective and the RL objective, using a parametrized history-dependent policy  $\eta_{\theta,\phi} = g_{\phi} \circ f_{\theta}$ ,



# 3. Informed Dreamer

Learning a sufficient statistic using the reward and information still provides a world model from which latent trajectories can be sampled.

### Informed World Model

The informed world model writes,

$$
\hat{e} \sim q_{\theta}^{p}(\cdot | z, a),
$$
\n
$$
\hat{r} \sim q_{\theta}^{r}(\cdot | z, \hat{e}),
$$
\n
$$
\hat{i}' \sim q_{\theta}^{i}(\cdot | z, \hat{e}),
$$
\n
$$
e \sim q_{\theta}^{e}(\cdot | z, a, o'),
$$
\n
$$
z' = u_{\theta}(z, a, e).
$$

 $(prior, 4)$ ˆ), (reward decoder, 5) (information decoder, 6)  $(encoder, 7)$  $(recurrent, 8)$ .

where  $\hat{e}$  is the latent variable. The prior  $q_\theta^p$  $\frac{p}{\theta}$  and the decoders  $q_\theta^i$  $\overset{i}{\theta}$  and  $q_\theta^r$  $\frac{r}{\theta}$  are jointly trained with the encoder to **maximize the likelihood [\(2\)](#page-0-0)** using the ELBO.



The latent representation  $\hat{e}$ , trained to minimize KL divergence in to  $e$  in expectation, encodes the whole dependence of  $r$ ,  $i'$  (and thus  $o'$ ) on the history.

 $\Rightarrow$  It allows sampling latent trajectoires without needing an observation decoder, but using its latent representation  $\hat{e} \sim q$  $\overline{p}$  $\theta^p(\cdot|z,a)$  in update (8).

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\sqrt{2} & \hat{v} \\
\hat{v} & \hat{r}\n\end{array}\n\quad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\frac{g_{\phi}}{\hat{v}} & \hat{v} \\
\hat{v} & \hat{r}\n\end{array}\n\quad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\hat{v} & a\n\end{array}\n\quad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\hat{v} & \hat{v} \\
\hat{v} & \hat{v}\n\end{array}
$$





Under mild assumptions, those sufficiency conditions can be satisfied (i) by design (e.g., using an RNN  $f_{\theta}$ ) and (ii) by maximising the following **variational objective**,

0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 Time steps (M)

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Conclusion

#### Take-Home Message

▶ It is easy and useful to exploit additional information when available at training.  $\blacktriangleright$  If *i* is designed carefully, recurrently learning  $p(r, i'|h, a)$  provides a sufficient statistic. ▶ It also provides an informed world model.

#### Future Works