

# Article

# Assessment of the Knowledge and Awareness of Leptospirosis among Households, Farmers, and Livestock Keepers in Unguja Island, Tanzania: A Cross-Sectional Study



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

Gerald Dickson Mlowe<sup>1,\*</sup>, Olivier Kambere Kavulikirwa<sup>2,3,4,5,6</sup>, Isaac Makundi <sup>7</sup>, Abdul Selemani Katakweba<sup>8,9</sup>, Robert Machang'u<sup>10</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine and Public Health, Sokoine University of Agriculture,
- P. O. Box 3021, Morogoro, Tanzania
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Liège, Belgium
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Montréal School of Public Health (ESPUM), Canada
- <sup>4</sup> Groupe de Recherche en Épidémiologie des Zoonoses et Santé Publique (GREZOSP), Canada
- <sup>5</sup> Centre de Recherche en Santé Publique (CReSP), Canada
- <sup>6</sup> Faculty of Veterinary medicine, Catholic University of Graben in Butembo (UCG), Democratic Republic of the Congo
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Microbiology, Parasitology and Biotechnology, Sokoine University of Agriculture, P. O. Box 3019, Morogoro, Tanzania
  - Institute of Pest Management, Sokoine University of Agriculture, P. O. Box 3110 Morogoro, Tanzania
- African Centre of Excellence for Innovative Rodent Pest Management and Biosensor Technology Development (ACE II IRPM & BTD) at the Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania.
- <sup>10</sup> ST Francis University College of Health and Allied Sciences, P.O. Box 175, Ifakara-Morogoro, Tanzania
- \* Correspondence: geraldmlowe@gmail.com

Abstract: Limited understanding exists concerning leptospirosis in Zanzibar. The objective of this 24 study is to evaluate the degree of knowledge and awareness of leptospirosis within the urban and 25 peri-urban populations of Unguja. A cross-sectional study was conducted utilizing semi-structured 26 questionnaires from January to April 2022. Two hundred respondents were randomly selected (130 27 males and 70 females) aged between 18 and 89 years. Descriptive analysis was employed to assess 28 the main trends in knowledge and awareness, and  $\chi^2$  analysis was utilized to determine associations 29 between demographic characteristics with respondents' knowledge and awareness. The majority of 30 respondents (64%) lacked awareness of leptospirosis' etiology, but a significant proportion of re-31 spondents had a favorable attitude (68.6%) towards leptospirosis compared to their average 32 knowledge and awareness (35%) and practices (29.3%). Nonetheless, the livestock keeper, farmers, 33 fishermen, and healthcare providers had low levels of knowledge and awareness. The findings also 34 demonstrated that males had a strong association with occupational physical activities, while edu-35 cational level was associated with preventive practices. Living in urban or peri-urban areas was 36 significantly linked with the respondents' practices. The study's outcomes demonstrated low levels 37 of community knowledge and awareness regarding leptospirosis' etiology, mode of transmission, 38 and symptoms among livestock keepers, farmers, fishermen, and healthcare providers. Although 39 most respondents had a favorable attitude, their low level of knowledge and poor practices indicate 40 that supplementing a positive attitude with enhanced knowledge and awareness is necessary to 41 promote individual engagement in preventive measures. 42

Keywords: Leptospirosis; Awareness; Knowledge, Zoonosis; Health Risk; Prevention

43 44

45

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Leptospirosis is a bacterial zoonotic disease caused the spirochete bacteria of the genus 48

Leptospira. The disease has been identified as a global public health problem in animals 49 and humans in different areas in the world [1]. Globally, yearly death cases of about 58,900 50 are reported., It is estimated that between 300 000 and 500 000 severe cases occur with a 51 mortality rate of up to 30% [2]. Moreover, [3] has reported an incidence of 100 cases per 52 100 000 people suffering from leptospirosis. According to [1], acute human leptospirosis 53 has been recorded in 18 African nations. The level of knowledge and awareness of the 54 disease is low among the general public and health care providers [4]. The signs and 55 symptoms of the disease vary with the host and in animals include jaundice, hemoglobi-56 nuria, renal damage with a high mortality in lambs and kids and milk drop syndrome. 57 Also, Leptospira localized in the uterus and oviducts may result in stillbirths, abortions, 58 neonatal and infertility [5]. In humans, the illness is characterized by high fever, headache, 59 jaundice, chills, vomiting, muscle pains and red eyes [6] 60

In Tanzania, leptospirosis is a neglected public health problem, and both animals and 62 humans are at high risk of contracting the disease. The bacterium is transmitted by ro-63 dents, shrews, and other small mammals to humans and animals through contact with 64 water, soil and food contaminated with urine of infected rodents, meat and other bodily 65 fluids or via broken skin or mucous membrane or bite from infected animals [4]. About 66 70% of Tanzanians are engaged in farming activities, livestock keeping and fishing activ-67 ities thus at high risk of getting leptospirosis [4]. Moreover, other people such as miners, 68 butchers, dairy workers, sewer workers, veterinarians, people who happen to drink un-69 treated contaminated river water as well as people who eat rodents are at high risk of 70 contracting the disease [1]. Tanzania has 33.9 million cattle, 24.5 million goats and 8.5 mil-71 lion sheep, 3.2 million pigs and 87.7 million chickens [7]. Zanzibar has 270 998 cattle, 111 72 623 goats, 934 sheep, 2209 pigs and 3.8 million chickens [7] and 8095 dogs [8]. In recent 73 years, Sub-Saharan African countries, including Tanzania mainland have experienced pe-74 riodic outbreaks of human and animal leptospirosis in many regions, the most recent be-75 ing human leptospirosis in Ruangwa, Lindi [9]. Other regions such as Morogoro have rec-76 orded a prevalence of (10.8%–13%) [10] in humans. Kilimanjaro experienced a prevalence 77 of (9–20%) [11] and Katavi 29.96% [12]. Other researcher in Tanzania have documented 78 leptospirosis in both wild and domestic animals [10,13,14]. Prevalences of 22.9% in ro-79 dents [15], 30.37% in cattle [12], 41% in pigs, 38% in goats and sheep 38% [10]. In a recent 80 study, the overall seropositivity of leptospirosis in the urban and peri-urban has been re-81 ported to be 9.67% in rodents, 14.57% in cattle, 10.01% in goats, 31.25% in sheep and 82 26.25% in dogs [16]. However, in Zanzibar there was scarce information on community 83 awareness or knowledge of causative agents, transmission, clinical signs and control, as 84 well as inadequate diagnostic tools for leptospirosis, Thus, the disease is underreported 85 or go unnoticed, there is only one study that has reported the prevalence of leptospirosis 86 as being less than 1% in patients at Mnazi Mmoja Hospital in Zanzibar [17]. No study has 87 reported on the level of knowledge, attitude, awareness, perceptions and control practices 88 of animal leptospirosis in the Island. This study aimed to address that information gap 89 regarding this disease. 90

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Description of the study area

The study was conducted in Unguja, Zanzibar. Unguja (1666 km<sup>2</sup>) and Pemba (988 93 km<sup>2</sup>) are the two largest islands in Zanzibar. Bigger Unguja has population of 896 721 [18] 94 with an annual population growth rate of 2.8%. The major economic activities in Zanzibar 95 are agriculture, tourism and fishing, agriculture being the mainstay of Zanzibar's economy with a contribution to the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) estimated at 26.9% 97

46

61

[7]. Six districts were selected in this study, then were further divided into urban (Ma-98 gharibi A and Mjini) and peri-urban (Kati, kusini, kaskazini A and Kaskazini B)., The Sites 99 were spread across the entire island to ensure territorial representation of the sample.

#### 2.2. Study design and sampling strategy

This study employed a cross-sectional study design to investigate the research ques-103 tion of interest. Eligible participants for this study were consenting individuals between 104 the ages of 18 and 89 years, who resided in the study area, while those who expressed 105 their unwillingness to participate were excluded. The study area comprised six selected 106 districts, namely Peri-urban (Kusini, Kaskazini A, Kaskazini B, and Kati), urban (Mjini), 107 and Magharibi A. The total population size of this area was 689,816 individuals [18]. To 108 determine an appropriate sample size for the study, Slovin's equation was used with a 109 95% confidence level [19], which yielded an estimated sample size of 200 respondents. 110 This was calculated using the formula n=N/(1 + Ne2), where n represents the estimated 111 sample size, N denotes the population size, and e represents the acceptable error, which was set at 5% (0.05).

## 2.3. Data collection

The targeted population consisted of individuals employed in animal-related occu-116 pations, specifically farmers, livestock keepers, fishermen, and other similar professions. 117 The data collection process involved the utilization of a semi-structured questionnaire to 118 obtain information pertaining to the community's awareness, knowledge, attitudes, and 119 practices concerning leptospirosis in domestic animals and rodents. Verbal interviews 120 were conducted for illiterate respondents to ensure the acquisition of relevant data. Prior 121 to administering the Swahili translated structured questionnaire, each participant was 122 presented with a consent form (as included in the Appendix) to indicate their willingness 123 to participate in the study. Demographic information, including age, sex, educational 124 level, occupation, and location, was collected alongside data related to etiology, transmis-125 sion, clinical signs, practices, and owner knowledge regarding animal and human lepto-126 spirosis. Household data collection encompassed ownership of livestock, agricultural fea-127 tures such as animal types and quantities present in the surrounding area, crop variety, 128 the presence and diversity of rodents, frequency of rodent sightings inside the house, ev-129 idence of rodent damage to stored food, rodent consumption by individuals, seasonal var-130 iations in rodent diversity and abundance, and rodent control practices. Additionally, 131 questions were posed concerning the physical characteristics of the compounds, including 132 the building material of the house, the source of drinking/bathing/sanitation water, and 133 the likelihood of flooding.

#### 2.6. Data analysis

The present study utilized Microsoft Excel Window 2007 as a spreadsheet to store the 137 data, which was subsequently analyzed through the application of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0. The Chi-square test was employed as the analytical 139 tool to determine the existence of statistically significant differences (p-value of  $\leq 0.05$ ) in 140 relation to the respondents' knowledge and awareness of leptospirosis, with particular attention given to their demographic characteristics. Additionally, descriptive data anal-142 ysis such as means, frequencies and proportions were also conducted to enhance the understanding of the research findings. 144

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Demographic characteristics of the respondents

The study involved interviewing a total of 200 participants, with 67.5% and 32.5% 148 representing individuals hailing from peri-urban and urban regions, respectively. Among 149 the 200 respondents, 65% (130) were identified as male, whereas 35% (70) were female. 150

100 101

102

112 113

114

115

134 135

136

- 138
- 141
- 143

145

146

The average age of the participants was established as 38.4 years, with a notable prepon-151 derance of respondents aged between 28 to 37 years (36%). With regards to educational 152 attainment, the majority of respondents reported a secondary level education (61%), and 153 the primary occupation of the participants was primarily identified as farming (35.5%), as 154 evidenced in Table 1. 155

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study respondents.

Chara	cteristics	Frequency	Percent
Sav	Male	130	65.0
Sex	Female	70	35.0
	18-27	40	20.0
	28-37	72	36.0
Age	38-47	40	20.0
	48-57	28	14.0
	58_and_ above	20	10.0
Location	Peri-urban	135	67.5
Location	Urban	65	32.5
	Farmer	71	35.5
	Self-Employed	45	22.5
Occuration	Employed	35	17.5
Occupation	Student	11	5.5
	Livestock-keeper	23	11.5
	Fishermen	15	7.5
	Primary school	46	23.0
Education Level	Secondary school	122	61.0
	College or University	26	13.0
	Others	6	3.0

#### 3.2. General knowledge regarding leptospirosis

Out of the of 200 participants, the survey results revealed that 64% of respondents 159 (n=176) were unaware of the underlying causes of leptospirosis, while the remaining 160 36.0% (n=72) displayed a level of awareness regarding the etiological agents of the disease. 161 The most frequently reported symptoms of leptospirosis among the participants were 162 high fever (33.0%, n=66), headache (21.0%, n=42) and muscle aches (13.5%, n=27), as 163 demonstrated in Table 2. Furthermore, a significant proportion of the respondents identi-164 fied contact with water contaminated with urine or animal tissue (36.5%, n=73) and con-165 sumption of food tainted with urine or animal tissue (31.5%, n=63) as modes of transmis-166 sion for the disease.

Table 2. General knowledge about leptospirosis disease (Homa ya Mgunda).

Char	Characteristics		
Knowledge on etiology	viral-disease	44	22.0
	bacterial-disease	72	36.0
	protozoa-disease	56	28.0
	fungal-disease	26	13.0
	Genetic-disease	2	1.0
Knowledge on Transmission	dge on Transmission contact with water contaminated with urine/animal tissue		36.5

156

157 158

167

168

	contact food contaminated with	63	31.5
	urine/animal tissue		
	contact with soil contaminated with	31	15.5
	urine/animal tissue	51	15.5
	broken skin/mucous membrane	2	1.0
	bite from infected animal	31	15.5
	high fever	66	33.0
	Headache	42	21.0
Knowledge on symptoms of	Chills	26	13.0
Leptospirosis	muscle aches	27	13.5
	Vomiting	13	6.5
	Jaundice	26	13.0

#### 3.3. Attitude and practices regarding leptospirosis

The findings revealed that a significant proportion of the respondents agreed with 172 the need for treatment of drinking water at the household (65.0%, n=130), while the ma-173 jority disagreed with the practice of eating rodents (83.5%, n=167). Furthermore, a major-174 ity of the participants agreed with the proposition that leptospirosis could be transmitted 175 from animals to humans through the urine of infected animals (55%, n=110), and that ro-176 dents and other animals serve as carriers of the bacteria (64.5%, n=129). In addition, a high 177 proportion of the respondents agreed that certain occupational groups, including farmers, 178 sewer workers, slaughterhouse and veterinary workers, animal caretakers, fish workers, 179 mine workers, and dairy farmers, are at high risk of exposure to the disease (75%, n=150). 180 Notably, the study found that awareness of leptospirosis was statistically significant ( $p \le 1$ ) 181 0.05) with respect to the respondents' attitudes. 182

Table 3. Attitude regarding leptospirosis.

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent	P-value
	Strongly disagree	11	5.5	
Drinking water at this household	Disagree	19	9.5	
Drinking water at this household treated?	Moderate	40	20.0	
treated?	Agree	91	45.5	
	Strongly agree	39	19.5	
	Strongly disagree	100	50.0	0.003
	Disagree	67	33.5	
Do people eat rodents?	Moderate	6	3.0	
	Agree	14	7.0	
	Strongly agree	13	6.5	
	Strongly disagree	1	0.5	0.004
Leptospirosis can be transmitted from	Disagree	3	1.5	
animal to human through the urine of	Moderate	86	43.0	
infected animal	Agree	89	44.5	
	Strongly agree	21	10.5	
	Disagree	2	1.0	
Rodents, domestic and wild animals are	Moderate	69	34.5	
carriers of the bacteria	Agree	113	56.5	
	Strongly agree	16	8.0	
	Strongly disagree	2	1.0	
Farmers, sewer workers, slaughterhouse,	Disagree	2	1.0	
veterinary and animal caretakers, fish	Moderate	46	23.0	
workers, mine workers and dairy	Agree	126	63.0	

 $5 \ of \ 15$ 

170 171

farmers are at risk of exposure to leptospirosis	strongly agree	24	12.0	

Strongly agree and agree are compiled together as agree and strongly disagree and disagree are 184

# compiled as disagreed.

#### 3.4. Awareness of leptospirosis according to the age group of respondents

Regarding the level of knowledge about leptospirosis among different age groups, 187 results indicated that the age group ranging from 28 to 37 years exhibited a superior un-188 derstanding of leptospirosis in comparison to the age groups spanning 38 to 47 years, 48 189 to 57 years, 58 years and above, and 18 to 27 years, respectively. However, it is noteworthy 190 that the awareness of the disease across age groups did not demonstrate statistical signif-191 icance (p > 0.05), possibly indicating an overall unfamiliarity with the disease. Addition-192 ally, the age groups below 58 years demonstrated a greater awareness of the causative 193 agents, transmission, and clinical symptoms of leptospirosis in comparison to the age 194 group above 58 years, as evidenced by Table 4. 195

Table 4. Awareness of leptospirosis according to the Age group of respondents.

	correct answer (frequency and percentage)							
Knowledge leptosj		18-27, n=46	28-37, n=66	38-47, n=40	48- 57, n=28	58 and above, n=20	Chi-square	P-Value
Knowledge on etiology Leptospirosis disease is a?	bacterial- disease	13(28.3)	28(42.4)	14(35)	11(39.3)	6(30)	11.1	0.805
	Contact with water contaminated with urine/animal tissue	20(43.5)	20(30.3)	15(37.5)	8(28.6)	10(50)		
Knowledge on transmission How does a person get leptospirosis?	Contact food contaminated with urine/animal tissue Contact with	10(21.7)	24(36.4)	17(42.5)	5(17.9)	7(35)	22.7	0.121
	soil contaminated with urine/animal tissue	9(19.6)	12(18.2)	1(2.5)	8(28.6)	1(5)		
Knowledge on clinical symptoms,	High fever Headache	9(19.6) 13(28.3)	19(28.8) 16(24.2)	18(45) 7(17.5)	13(46.4) 4(14.3)	7(35) 2(10)	20.2	0.445
what are the symptoms of Leptospirosis?	Muscle aches	6(13)	10(15.2)	4(10)	3(10.7)	4(20)		

#### 3.5. Awareness of leptospirosis according to locations

It was found that a greater percentage of respondents residing in peri-urban areas 199 (28%) had awareness of leptospirosis (Homa ya Mgunda) compared to those living in urban areas (24%). This disparity may be attributed to the high population of respondents 201 in peri-urban areas and their exposure to risk factors associated with the disease. However, the knowledge pertaining to the transmission and causative agents of leptospirosis 203 was not found to be statistically significant (p > 0.05). Interestingly, respondents from peri-urban areas exhibited a greater awareness of the clinical symptoms associated with 205

197

198

185

186

leptospirosis as compared to their urban counterparts. The difference in knowledge levels 206 between these two locations was found to be statistically significant (p < 0.05), as depicted 207 in Table 5. 208

		Correct an	swer (frequen	cy and pe	rcentage)
Knowledge regardin	Peri-urban area, n=135	Urban area, n=65	Chi- square	P-value	
Knowledge on etiology Leptospirosis disease is a?	Bacterial-disease	53(39.3)	19(29.2)	3.173	0.529
	Contact with water contaminated with urine/animal tissue	50(37)	23(35.4)		
Knowledge on transmission How does a person get leptospirosis?	Contact food contaminated with urine/animal tissue Contact with soil	46(34)	17(26.2)	5.437	0.245
	contaminated with urine/animal tissue	21(15.6)	10(15.4)		
Knowledge on clinical	High fever	56(41.5)	10(15.4)	14.713	0.012
symptoms, what are the symptoms of Leptospirosis?	Headache	23(17)	19(29.2)		

Table 5. Awareness on leptospirosis according to locations.

# 3.6. Awareness of leptospirosis according to occupation status

Fishermen exhibited a relatively higher level of awareness (30.2%) regarding leptospirosis, followed by farmers (27.6%), self-employed individuals (26.4%), students (26%), livestock keepers (25%), and employed individuals (24.9%), respectively. The proportions 214 of awareness were observed to be relatively similar across the different occupations, sug-215 gesting no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) in the awareness levels of leptospi-216 rosis across the various occupational groups, as presented in Table 6. 217

Table 6. Awareness on leptospirosis according to occupation.

	correct answer (frequency and percentage)								
Knowledge Leptos	0 0	Farmer, n=71	Self- employed, n=45	Employed	Livestock keeper, n=20	Fishermen, n=18	Student, n=11	Chi- square	P- value
Knowledge on etiology Leptospirosis disease is a?	Bacterial- disease	24(33.8)	20(44.4)	12(34.3)	6(30)	8(44.4)	2(18.2)	28.804	0.092
	Contact with water contaminate d with urine/animal tissue	23(32.4)	19(42.2)	10(28.6)	6(30)	12(66.7)	3(27.3)		
Knowledge on transmission How does a person get leptospirosis?	Contact food contaminate d with urine/animal tissue Contact with	29(40.8)	12(26.7)	10(28.6)	5(25)	2(11.1)	5(45.5)	23.223	0.278
	soil contaminate d with urine/animal tissue	10(14.1)	7(15.6)	7(20)	4(20)	2(11.1)	1(9.1)		
Knowledge on clinical symptoms,	High fever Headache	29(40.8) 13(18.3)	9(20) 8(17.8)	7(20) 10(28.6)	6(30) 6(30)	10(55.6) 2(11.1)	5(45.5) 3(27.3)	27.246	0.344
what are the	Muscle aches	9(12.7)	8(17.8)	5(14.3)	2(10)	2(11.1)	1(9.1)		

7 of 15

210 211

209

212

213

symptoms of			
Leptospirosis			
?			

#### 3.7 Awareness of leptospirosis according to educational level

The study outcomes indicate that respondents who attained college or university-221 level education demonstrated a relatively higher level of knowledge (42.3%) concerning 222 leptospirosis as compared to their counterparts with lower educational levels: primary (26.7%) and secondary education (26.4%), respectively. The proportions of knowledge were observed to be relatively similar across the different educational levels, implying a lack of statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) in the awareness levels of leptospirosis across various educational groups, as presented in Table 7. These findings suggest a gen-227 eral low level of knowledge and awareness of leptospirosis across different educational levels. 229

Table 7. Awareness of leptospirosis according to educational level.

				C 11		
Knowledge rega	Knowledge regarding leptospirosis		Secondary school, n=122	College or university, n=26	Chi-square	P-value
Knowledge on etiology Leptospirosis disease is a?	Bacterial-disease	12(26.1)	46(37.7)	11(42.3)	12.211	0.429
Knowledge on	Contact with water contaminated with urine/animal tissue	19(41.3)	42(34.4)	10(38.5)		
transmission How does a person get	Contact food contaminated with urine/animal tissue	14(30.4)	38(31.1)	8(30.8)	3.784	0.987
leptospirosis?	Contact with soil contaminated with urine/animal tissue	6(13)	21(17.2)	4(15.4)		
Knowledge on	High fever	24(52.2)	33(27)	8(30.8)	15.186	0.438
clinical symptoms, what are the	Headache	6(13)	27(22.1)	7(26.9)		
symptoms of leptospirosis?	Muscle aches	5(10.9)	19(15.6)	2(7.7)		

3.8 Awareness of leptospirosis according to sex

The findings of this study indicate that the male participants (26.9%) exhibited a 233 higher level of awareness towards leptospirosis in comparison to their female counter-234 parts (26.3%). Furthermore, the former group demonstrated a statistically significant in-235 crease in their comprehension of the transmission of the disease (p < 0.05), as evidenced 236 by Table 8 which presents the data on leptospirosis awareness categorized by gender. 237

Table 8. Awareness of leptospirosis according to gender.

	arding to leptospirosis	correct answer (frequency and percentage)				
Knowledge reg	Male, n=130	Female, n=70	Chi-square	P-value		
Knowledge on etiology Leptospirosis disease is a	Bacterial-disease	51(39.2)	21(30)	3.91	0.418	
Knowledge on	Contact with water contaminated with urine/animal tissue	36(27.7)	37(52.9)			
transmission How does a person get leptospirosis?	Contact food contaminated with urine/animal tissue	49(37.7)	14(20)	15.782	0.003	
	Contact with soil contaminated with urine/animal tissue	19(14.6)	12(17.1)			
Knowledge on clinical	High fever	45(34.6)	21(30)			
symptoms, what are the	Headache	28(21.5)	14(20)	1.075	0.956	

238

231

232

223 224

219

220

225 226

228

symptoms of Muscle aches 17(13.1) 10(14.3)				
	Muscle aches	17(13.1)	10(14.3)	

# 3.9 Awareness of practices regarding leptospirosis

The practice of intensive or zero-grazing was observed to be prevalent in Unguja is-241 land, with a significant proportion of respondents (51%) reporting the utilization of teth-242 ered grazing system. The majority of the animals (94.5%) were aged between 1 to 5 years, 243 and cattle were predominantly kept within the compound (47%). Approximately three 244quarters of the animals (75%) were born in Zanzibar, except for sheep which were im-245 ported from the mainland, with a majority (86.5%) being locally bred. Respondents re-246 ported encountering rodents in their houses at varying frequencies, with most indicating 247 sightings less than once a week (30.5%), more than once a week (28.5%), or on a daily basis 248 (27.5%). A majority of the respondents utilized piped water (71.5%) as their primary water 249 source, and the majority reported infrequent or no treatment (40%) of their drinking wa-250 ter. Metal sheets were commonly used as the roofing material, while floors and walls were 251 typically constructed using cement (90.5%). Most of the respondents (96%) reported im-252 plementing some form of rodent control measures in their households, including the use 253 of chemical rat poisons (39%) and biological controls (38.5%) such as dogs and cats. Re-254 spondents reported sightings of rodents in both the wet and dry seasons, with the majority 255 (59.5%) reporting the presence of rodents throughout the year. 256

Table 9. General awareness of practices regarding to leptospirosis. Frequency Percent Cattle 94 47.0Goat 54 27.0

Type of animal kept	GOal	54	27.0
	Sheep	11	5.5
	Dogs	13	6.5
Breed	Local	173	86.5
	Improved	27	13.5
Sex	Male	102	51.0
	Female	98	49.0
Age	1-5 years	189	94.5
	6 years and above	11	5.5
A	Born in Zanzibar	150	75.0
Animal origin	Imported from Mainland	50	25.0
Deserves	Yes	43	21.5
Pregnant	No	157	78.5
	1st trimester	26	13.0
Channa - 6	2nd trimester	16	8.0
Stage of pregnancy	3rd trimester	1	0.5
	None	157	78.5
	Tethered	102	51.0
Grazing system	Intensive	47	23.5
	Semi-intensive	50	25.0
	Piped water into home	143	71.5
Source of drinking water	Public/communal well	35	17.5
-	Stream moving water directly	11	5.5
	Always	63	31.5
	Often	57	28.5
Drinking water treated	Infrequently	67	33.5
	Never	13	6.5
I I and in twenty d	Boiling	72	36.0
How is treated	Adding disinfectant	70	35.0
<b>F</b> 1 1'	Yes	23	11.5
Flooding	No	177	88.5
House roofing	Thatch	12	6.0
	Tiles	4	2.0
	Metal	181	90.5

239

240

	Cement	181	90.5
Floor wall and material	mud or manure	12	6.0
	wood stone	6	3.0
	Paddy	16	8.0
	Cassava	10	5.0
	Maize	11	5.5
	Coconut	25	12.5
Crops grown	Spice	7	3.5
	Banana	22	11.0
	Mango	10	5.0
	Sweet potato	9	4.5
	Others	55	27.5
	Everyday	55	27.5
Evidence of rodents	More than once a week	57	28.5
Evidence of rodents	Less than once a week	61	30.5
	Never	27	13.5
D 1 1 1 1	Yes	31	15.5
People eat rodents	No	169	84.5
Rodents control	Yes	192	96.0
Rodents control	No	8	4.0
Type of rodents control	Mechanical eg traps	42	21.0
	Chemical eg poisons	78	39.0
	Biological eg keeping predators	77	38.5
Rodents carcasses	Leave them where they die	8	4.0
	Throw them in the bush	75	37.5
	Burn	22	11.0
	Bury	38	19.0
	Feed to other animals	38	19.0
	Consume	13	6.5
	Many	119	59.5
Rodents seen different	Few	65	32.5
seasons	None	3	1.5
	Don't know	13	6.5

# 4. Discussion

This was the first study to be conducted in Unguja island that aimed at assessing the 260 community knowledge and awareness regarding leptospirosis. Our findings show, a gen-261 erally low knowledge and awareness of leptospirosis among livestock keepers, farmers, 262 fishermen and health care providers, these findings are similar to what was previously 263 reported by [10]. A large number of the study participants were not aware of leptospirosis, 264 only few reported having heard or being aware of the etiology of the disease (36%). These 265 results are comparable to those reported from a study carried out in Malaysia which found 266 that only 43% were aware of leptospirosis [20]. Otherwise, our study showed that a small 267 percentage of participants were knowledgeable of leptospirosis and got the information 268 from district extension officer and para-veterinarians. This may probably be due to poor 269 coverage of veterinary services, lack of health education and information concerning the 270 disease, especially awareness through different media such as television, newspapers and 271 radio station in the island [4] 272

Respondents mentioned as symptoms, high fever (33.0%), headache (21.0%) and 274 muscle aches (13.5%), and many of them were not able to describe the symptoms of the 275 disease. This situation may be explained by under-recognition of the disease in the island 276 [21] and the resemblance of its symptoms with Malaria. Moreover, 36.5% of the respond-277 ents mentioned contact with water and with food (31.5%) contaminated with urine/animal 278 tissue as being a risk factor for getting leptospirosis in the island. In urban area, most roads 279 contained stagnant water filled with dirt water, increasing the risk of contracting the dis-280 ease but many people were not aware. 281

258

259

282

293

294

295

296

297 298

307

313

The results show that the respondents had good attitude (68.6%) compared to 283 knowledge and awareness (35%) in average as well as practices (29.3%). This may imply 284 that having good attitude is not enough to prevent the disease or change peoples' behav-285 iour. Therefore, satisfactory attitude should be complimented with awareness and 286 knowledge, to ensure the individual practices intervened with control measure [22]. Edu-287 cation level had significant relation with preventive practices, implying that those with 288 college or university education level would have better knowledge of leptospirosis control 289 than those who were with primary education level (p=0.048). In fact, educated individual 290 are capable to interpret and digest the risk factors associated with the disease compared 291 to those with lower level of education [4] 292

A large number of participants reported seeing many rodents and their droppings inside and around their houses on a regular basis. Evidence of rodents near the house and peridomestic have been reported by [23] as the risk factor for human and animal *leptospira* exposure.

Moreover, some community members are unaware of leptospirosis, even though oth-299 ers, over the age of 27, were more knowledgeable about the etiology, symptoms and mode 300 of transmission of disease than the respondents under the age of 27. Additionally, some 301 of the para-veterinary professionals and health workers who were interviewed agreed 302 that the community does not know about the disease, even the Swahili translation name 303 called Homa ya Mgunda was not known. This result is similar to the one conducted in 304 Eastern Tanzania [24] which found a quite similar low level of awareness of leptospirosis 305 in the community. 306

Farmers, Livestock keeper and fishermen proved to be the occupational groups that308is most at risk of contracting leptospirosis, with proportion of 35.5%, 11.5% and 7.5% re-309spectively. This finding is consistent with the results of a study conducted in Tanga which310found that farmers, meat inspector, livestock and abattoirs were most at risk of contracting311the disease [25]312

The results showed a significant association between gender versus practices and at-314 titude. The majority of the respondents in both urban and peri-urban settings were male, 315 they had good practices and attitude score compared to female. This situation may be 316 explained by the fact that occupational activities included in the study (i.e fishing, live-317 stock keeping, agricultural activities, sewers and abattoirs workers) are practiced mostly 318 by males because they are outdoor ussually practiced by men. Furthermore, most of the 319 women's time is spent indoor, thus reducing their risk of contracting disease compared to 320 males [26]. This study echoes the study by [27], where the number of males with leptospi-321 rosis was high compared to that of females, reflecting occupational exposure in male dom-322 inated activities. However, this study was in contrast with the one conducted in Malaysia, 323 which reported that female had good attitude than male because females were more con-324 cerned with daily hygiene than males [28,29] 325

In this study, 86.5% of the respondents mentioned rat sighting in the compounds, 327 rodents dropping on top of shelves where food is stored, in barns where animal feeds or 328 grains stored and peridomestic, therefore most of the people in the island (64.5%), had 329 knowledge that rats play important role in disease transmission to humans but they did 330 not know exactly what disease the rat carry. Most of the respondents were not familiar 331 with the term "Leptospirosis" or" Homa ya Mgunda". However, they were familiar with 332 plague disease (Ugonjwa wa Tauni) due its publicity in different media. This finding is 333 similar with that or other studies [30,31] 334

335

343

364

374

The rodent's species prevalence of 9.67% reported by [16] imply that rat carry the 336 pathogen and passes it via their urine to humans and animals pose a huge risk of the 337 disease. In the Island, majority of the respondents mentioned cattle (47%) and goats (27%) 338 as animals kept in their compounds and they apply zero grazing and tethering systems. 339 Animal indirect exposure through feed or pasture contaminated with urine of infected 340 animal or drinking contaminated water. This agrees with the study by [32], who found an 341 association between cattle contact and people. 342

Some respondents mentioned tethering method (51%) as the commonly grazing 344 practice in Zanzibar, and 86.5% respondents indicated that indigenous cattle (zebu 345 breeds) are kept by grazing practices. Most of these domestic animals were tethered close 346 to crops such as sweet potatoes, cassava, grazing pasture and banana, probably due to 347 shortage of land, in peri-urban areas. Many farmers preferred to move to intensive system, 348 probably due to shortage of forage and legumes plants [33]. Therefore, there is no pure 349 pastoral system in Zanzibar and food vendors and consumers are at high risk of contract-350 ing leptospirosis due to close contact with domestic animals and rodents within their com-351 pounds. All cats were more commonly found in the environment, especially in urban area, 352 which poses the high risk of spreading the disease via their urine. Pets are kept in homes, 353 including dogs and cats. Respondents (6.5%) mentioned dogs as companion animals and 354 for security purposes and for hunting in peri-urban settings. Moreover, low percentage of 355 respondents recognized pigs, pets and other animals (20.5%) as the source of leptospirosis. 356 These domestic animals were raised closely to the human settlement, where the animal 357 feed was not protected, risking being contaminated with the rodent's droppings and 358 urine. In Tanzania mainland, people practice pastoral systems, where hundreds of domes-359 tic animals can be vaccinated at once. In contrast, animals in Zanzibar are scattered in 360 small holdings around villages, where vaccinating, requires a huge effort to put together 361 a big herd [34]. Subsequently, only a small percentage of the animal's population are vac-362 cinated against leptospirosis [35] 363

Lastly, the growing population and urbanization in Unguja forces farmers and live-365 stock keepers to shift to more intensive ways of farming, probably due to shortage of graz-366 ing rangeland, in order to maximize the productivity of their land. A bulk of the respond-367 ents (71.5%) mentioned piped water as their source of drinking water, which was not 368 treated, hence posing a risk of leptospirosis. Considering that livestock rearing plays an 369 important role in both household income and nutritional status in urban and peri-urban 370 communities [36], it is important to implement management practices such as rat control 371 to prevent animals from getting into contact with contaminated water sources. Also, there 372 is a dire need to avail treatment and vaccination to ensure animal productivity 373

## 5. Conclusions

Overal, our study provides valuable insights into the prevalent agricultural practices 375 and housing conditions in Unguja island. Intensive or zero-grazing was observed to be a 376 commonly adopted practice among the respondents, with the tethered grazing system 377 being the most frequently utilized approach. The majority of the animals were relatively 378 young, with cattle primarily kept within the compound. Additionally, we found that ro-379 dents were a frequent occurrence in households, with a majority of respondents imple-380 menting various control measures to manage their presence. The utilization of piped wa-381 ter was widespread among the respondents, with a significant proportion reporting infre-382 quent or no treatment of their drinking water. These findings highlight the need for con-383 tinued research and intervention efforts to improve animal health and hygiene, as well as 384 housing and water quality standards in the region. 385

doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2011.11-0176.

	ogy, A.S.K., G.D.M. and I.M.; investigation, G.D.M., A.S.K., I.M., R.M. and O.K.K.; Writing-original draft preparation, G.D.M. and O.K.K.; writing—review and editing, I.M., R.M., G.D.M., O.K.K. and	387 388 389 390		
	Management and Biosensor Technology Development (ACE II IRPM & BTD) at the Institute of Pest	391 392 393		
	ethical protocols, which were duly sanctioned by Sokoine University of Agriculture (Ref. No. SUA/ADM/R.1/8/779 and Ref. No. DPRT/SUA/R/186/F.7), and further authorized by the Office of the Second Vice President of Zanzibar (Ref. No. OMPR/M.95/C.6/2/VOL.XVIII/187) to conduct the study within the geographic boundaries of Zanzibar. Prior to the commencement of data collection, a consent form was employed to solicit the voluntary participation of the respondents, in line with	394 395 396 397 398 399 400		
	Data Availability Statement: The original data generated by this study is available on reasonable	401 402 403		
	by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical sup-	404 405 406		
	Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.	407		
Referenc	es	408		
		409 410		
		411 412		
3. WH land, <b>2011</b> .		413 414		
		415 416		
5. Ellis	s, W.A. Animal Leptospirosis. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2015, 387, 99–137.	417		
6. WH	. WHO Human Leptospirosis: Guidance for Diagnosis, Surveillance and Control; World Heal. Organ. Switzerland, 2003.			
	7. NBS National Sample Census of Agriculture 2019/20, Key Findings Report for Crops and Livestock Sectors and Fish Farm- ing.Dar Es Salaam. Tanzania: <i>Natl. Bur. Stat. (NBS). [https://www.nbs.go.tz &gt; Tak. &gt; Agric. &gt;PDF)</i> <b>2021</b> .			
8. Zan <b>2021</b> , p.192		421 422		
		423 424		
S.R. Lepto	spira Serovars for Diagnosis of Leptospirosis in Humans and Animals in Africa: Common Leptospira Isolates and Reser-	425 426 427		
		428 429		

13.Kessy, M.J.; Machang'u, R.S. A Microbiological and Serological Study of Leptospirosis among Pigs in the Morogoro Munici-<br/>pality , Tanzania. *Trop Anim Heal. Prod* 2010, 42, 523–530, doi:10.1007/s11250-009-9455-z.434435

14. Machang'u, R.S.; Mgode, G.; Mpanduji, D. Leptospirosis in Animals and Humans in Selected Areas of Tanzania. *Belgian J. Zool.* 436 **1997**, 127 *Suppl*, 97–104. 437

15.Katakweba, A.S. Small Mammals in Fenced Houses as Source of Leptospirosis to Livestock Pets Animals and Humans in<br/>Morogoro Municipality , Tanzania. Tanzania Vet. Assoc. Proc. 2013, 36, 83–88.438439

16.Mlowe, G. D., Katakweba, A., Makundi, I. & Machang'u, R. Seropositivity of Leptospira in Rodents and Domestic Animals in<br/>Zanzibar, Tanzania. (*Unpublished*). 2022.440441

17.Ali, M.A.; James, O.C.; Mohamed, A.A.; Joachim, A.; Mubi, M.; Omodior, O. Etiologic Agents of Fever of Unknown Origin442Among Patients Attending Mnazi Mmoja Hospital, Zanzibar. J. Community Health 2020, 45, 1073–1080, doi:10.1007/s10900-020-00832-443w.444

10				
18.	NBS (National Bureau of statistics	) Tanzania in Figures 2012. Ministry	y of Finance, Tanzania. 2013.	445

19. Tejada, J.J.; Punzalan, J.R.B. On the Misuse of Slovin 's Formula. 2012, 61, 129–136.

 Nozmi, N.; Samsudin, S.; Sukeri, S.; Shafei, M.N. Low Levels of Knowledge , Attitudes and Preventive Practices on Leptospirosis among a Rural Community in Hulu Langat District , Selangor , Malaysia. 2018, doi:10.3390/ijerph15040693.

21. Motto, S.K.; Shirima, G.M.; de Clare Bronsvoort, B.M.; Cook, E.A.J. Epidemiology of Leptospirosis in Tanzania: A Review of the Current Status, Serogroup Diversity and Reservoirs. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2021**, *15*, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009918. 450

Joseph Arbiol, Pedcris M. Orencio, November Romena, H.N.; Yabe, Y.T. and M. Knowledge , Attitude and Practices towards
 Leptospirosis among Lakeshore Communities Of. *Agriculture* 2016, 1–12, doi:10.3390/agriculture6020018.

Halliday, J.E.B.; Knobel, D.L.; Allan, K.J.; Bronsvoort, B.M.D.C.; Handel, I.; Agwanda, B.; Cutler, S.J.; Olack, B.; Ahmed, A.;
Hartskeerl, R.A.; et al. Urban Leptospirosis in Africa : A Cross-Sectional Survey of Leptospira Infection in Rodents in the Kibera
Urban Settlement , Nairobi , Kenya. Am. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2013, 89, 1095–1102, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.13-0415.

24. Chipwaza, B.; Mugasa, J.P.; Mayumana, I.; Amuri, M.; Makungu, C.; Gwakisa, P.S. Community Knowledge and Attitudes and 456 Health Workers ' Practices Regarding Non-Malaria Febrile Illnesses in Eastern Tanzania. **2014**, *8*, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002896. 457

Swai, E.S.; Schoonman, L. A Survey of Zoonotic Diseases in Trade Cattle Slaughtered at Tanga City Abattoir: A Cause of Public
 Health Concern. Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed. 2012, 2, 55–60, doi:10.1016/S2221-1691(11)60190-1.

26.Sadiki Suleiman Kakomo, J.S.N.& E.M.M. Investigation on Community Awareness and Level of Contamination with Gastro-<br/>intestinal Parasites on Fruits and Vegetables Sold at Selected Markets in Zanzibar. 2022.460461461

Goris, M.G.A.; Leeflang, M.M.G.; Loden, M.; Wagenaar, J.F.P.; Klatser, P.R.; Hartskeerl, R.A.; Boer, K.R. Prospective Evaluation
 of Three Rapid Diagnostic Tests for Diagnosis of Human Leptospirosis. 2013, 7, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002290.

28. Haake, D.A. Levett, P.N. *HHS Public Access*; Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. Author: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2015; ISBN 464 9783662450598. 465

29. Maryam, S.; Bakar, A.; Rahman, H.A. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Leptospirosis among Undergraduate Students in University Putra Malaysia. **2018**, *14*, 104–111. 467

Ricardo, T.; Bergero, L.C.; Bulgarella, E.P.; Previtali, M.A. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) Regarding Leptospirosis
 among Residents of Riverside Settlements of Santa Fe, Argentina. 2018, 1–19.

Abiayi, E.A.; Inabo, H.I.; Jatau, E.D.; Makinde, A.A.; Sar, T.T.; Ugbe, D.A.; Kumbish, P.R.; Okewole, P.A. Knowledge, Attitudes
 Risk Factors and Practices (KARP) That Favor Leptospira Infection among Abattoir Workers in North Central Nigeria. *Asian J. Epidemiol.* 2015, *8*, 104–113, doi:10.3923/aje.2015.104.113.

Maze, M.J.; Cash-Goldwasser, S.; Rubach, M.P.; Biggs, H.M.; Galloway, R.L.; Sharples, K.J.; Allan, K.J.; Halliday, J.E.B.;
 Cleaveland, S.; Shand, M.C.; et al. Risk Factors for Human Acute Leptospirosis in Northern Tanzania. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2018, 12, 474
 1–22, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006372.

Akil, J.M.; Bryant, M.J.; Jiddawi, N.S. A Preliminary Investigation Into the Use of Edible Fishery By-Products as Sources of Nutrients for Fish and Livestock Feeds on Zanzibar, Tanzania. West. Indian Ocean 2007, 6, N0.1, 57–63.

Caroline Rank, Andy Gibson, Fran Taylor- Brown, Dr Waridi, Tom Berry, Dr Ramadan, Siobhan Brade, Elizabeth Knowles,
 478
 Alex Hatch, Andrew Hagner, W.D. Royal Veterinary College Undergraduate Research Team Zanzibar Report of Findings. 2009.
 479

35.Mgode, G.F.; Mhamphi, G.G.; Massawe, A.W.; Machang'u, R.S. Leptospira Seropositivity in Humans, Livestock and Wild480Animals in a Semi-Arid Area of Tanzania. Pathogens 2021, 10, 1–12, doi:10.3390/pathogens10060696.481

Mdoe, N.S.Y. Livestock and Agriculture Development in Zanzibar, Post-Tsetse Eradication: A Follow-up Socio-Economic
 482
 Study. Int. At. Energy Agency, Vienna 2003, 72.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 486