

PREVIEW EGU General Assembly 2023 © Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Predicting interactions between three neighbor open-loop Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage (ATES) systems in two overlaying aquifers in Brussels (Belgium)

**Alain Dassargues**<sup>1</sup>, Caroline De Paoli<sup>2</sup>, Philippe Orban<sup>1</sup>, Mathieu Agniel<sup>3</sup>, Estelle Petitclerc<sup>4</sup>, and Thierry Duren<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Liege, Urban & Environmental Engineering Unit, Hydrogeology & Environmental Geology, LIEGE, Belgium (alain.dassargues@uliege.be)

<sup>2</sup>Master Student in Geological Engineering, University of Liège, now at Hydrogeology & Environmental Geology, Urban & Environmental Engineering Unit, University of Liège, LIEGE, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Water Department, Brussels Environment, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Geothermal Energy, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Geological Survey of Belgium, 1000 Brussels, Belgium
<sup>5</sup>ARTESIA Ltd. Hydrogeology & Environment, Liège Science Park, 4031 Liège, Belgium

Shallow open-loop Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage (ATES) systems have been adopted by three large adjacent buildings in the centre of Brussels. The doublets of pumping and reinjection wells of two administrative buildings are located in a shallow aquifer made of Cenozoic mixed sandy and silty sublayers and operations started in 2014 and 2017. A third ATES system located in the underlying deep aquifer made of Palaeozoic fractured phyllites and quartzites, was started recently (2020) to provide the needed heating and cooling power to a large multi-service building. Groundwater levels variations in these two aquifer systems are different and pumping tests performed in the upper aquifer system have shown no impact on the groundwater levels in the Palaeozoic bedrock aquifer. After being calibrated on groundwater flow conditions in both aquifers, a 3D hydrogeological model using Feflow© was developed to simulate the cumulative effect of the three geothermal installations in the two exploited aquifers.

In terms of heat interactions, a previous model has shown how the thermal imbalance of the ATES system started in 2014 was jeopardising the thermal state of the upper aquifer (Bulté *et al.* 2021). Here, interactions with the third ATES system located in the deep aquifer are studied and modelled with different operational scenarios. Even though hydraulic interactions between the two aquifers are very limited, heat exchanges occur between the two aquifers, through an aquitard formed by low permeability Cretaceous base deposits and the weathered top of the bedrock.

The simulation results show that despite the unbalanced ATES system affecting mainly the shallow groundwater conditions, an adjacent but deeper ATES system can operate without significant interactions. Acquisition of additional measured data (i.e., piezometric heads, groundwater temperatures, detailed pumping, injection flow rate, etc.) will be crucial to improve the reliability of the simulated results for different operational scenarios. This will be particularly useful for the

future management of the three ATES systems in order to avoid losses in both efficiency and durability.

This work was mainly conducted in the frame of the Master thesis of Caroline De Paoli. This was done with the partial support of the MUSE project—Managing Urban Shallow geothermal Energy. MUSE has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 731166 under the terms of GeoERA program—ERA-NET Cofund Action.

Bulté, M.; Duren, T.; Bouhon, O.; Petitclerc, E.; Agniel, M.; Dassargues, A. Numerical modeling of the interference of thermally unbalanced Aquifer Thermal Energy Storage systems in Brussels (Belgium). *Energies* **2021**, 14, 6241. Special Issue on Geothermal Systems, https://doi.org/10.3390/en14196241