Introduction

# Alternate bases where all rational numbers have periodic expansions.

Savinien Kreczman

8 June 2022

Introduction

Schmidt's work

Alternate bases

## Representations in base $\beta$

For a number  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , a sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $val_{\beta}((a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{\beta^i} = \alpha$  is called a  $\beta$ -representation of  $\alpha$ .

It is called the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $\alpha$  if furthermore  $\frac{1}{\beta^j} > \sum_{i=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{\beta^i} \forall j$ .

A way to obtain it : define

$$a_0 = 0, \rho^{(0)} = \alpha, a_{i+1} = \lfloor \beta \rho^{(i)} \rfloor, \rho^{(i+1)} = \{\beta \rho^{(i)}\} = T_{\beta}(\rho^{(i)}).$$

## Some basic properties

We have

$$\beta \alpha = \lfloor \beta \alpha \rfloor + \{ \beta \alpha \} = a_1 + \rho^{(1)}$$

$$\beta^2 \alpha = \beta a_1 + \lfloor \beta \rho^{(1)} \rfloor + \{ \beta \rho^{(1)} \} = \beta a_1 + a_2 + \rho^{(2)}$$
...
$$\beta^n \alpha = \beta^{n-1} a_1 + ... + \beta a_{n-1} + a_n + \rho^{(n)}$$

Hence  $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is indeed a representation of  $\alpha$  . We have

$$\rho^{(n)} = \beta^n (\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{\beta^i}),$$

$$\rho^{(n)} = val_{\beta}((a_{i+n})_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$$

and

$$\rho^{(n+1)} = \beta \rho^{(n)} - a_{n+1}.$$

### An example

Take  $\beta = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\alpha = 4/5$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} i & \sqrt{2}\rho^{(i-1)} & a_i & \rho^{(i)} \\ \hline 0 & & 4/5 \\ 1 & 4\sqrt{2}/5 \approx 1.13 & 1 & 4\sqrt{2}/5 - 1 \\ 2 & 8/5 - \sqrt{2} \approx 0.18 & 0 & 8/5 - \sqrt{2} \\ 3 & 8\sqrt{2}/5 - 2 \approx 0.26 & 0 & 8\sqrt{2}/5 - 2 \\ 4 & 16/5 - 2\sqrt{2} \approx 0.37 & 0 & 16/5 - 2\sqrt{2} \\ 5 & 16\sqrt{2}/5 - 4 \approx 0.53 & 0 & 16\sqrt{2}/5 - 4 \\ 6 & 32/5 - 4\sqrt{2} \approx 0.74 & 0 & 32/5 - 4\sqrt{2} \\ 7 & 32\sqrt{2}/5 - 8 \approx 1.05 & 1 & 32\sqrt{2}/5 - 9 \end{array}$$

and here we can prove that the  $\sqrt{2}$ -expansion of 4/5 is not periodic.

## Periodicity?

The following propositions hold:

#### **Proposition**

If  $\beta$  is an integer, all rational numbers have a periodic expansion.

#### Proposition

If  $\alpha$  has a periodic expansion, then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ .

#### Proposition (1)

If 1/q has a periodic expansion for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer.

Can we give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $\beta$  for all rational numbers to have a periodic expansion?

# Necessary condition : decomposition of remainders in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$

Let  $\beta$  have minimal polynomial  $x^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i$ . Any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  can be written as  $\alpha = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_i \beta^i$  with integers  $p_i$ . If q is fixed, there exists at most one such decomposition.

#### Lemma (1)

With the notations above, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique d-uple of integers  $(r_1^{(n)}, ..., r_d^{(n)})$  such that

$$\rho^{(n)}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{d} r_k^{(n)} \beta^{-k}.$$

## Necessary condition: link to Galois conjugates

Let  $\beta = \beta_1, ..., \beta_d$  be the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Let  $Per(\beta)$  be the set of real numbers in [0, 1] with ultimately periodic  $\beta$ -expansion.

#### Lemma (2)

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we have

$$\beta_m^n \left( \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_i \beta_m^i - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \beta_m^{-k} \right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^d r_k^{(n)} \beta_m^{-k}.$$

In particular, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \operatorname{Per}(\beta)$  and  $|\beta_m| > 1$ , we have

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \beta_m^{-k}.$$

Recall that a Pisot number is an algebraic integer whose Galois conjugates all have modulus < 1, and a Salem number is an algebraic integer whose Galois conjugates all have modulus  $\le$  1, with equality in at least one case.

#### Theorem (1)

If  $\beta > 1$  is a real number such that  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1[ \subset \operatorname{Per}(\beta), \text{ then } \beta \text{ is either a Pisot number or a Salem number.}$ 

#### Sufficient condition

#### Theorem (2)

If  $\beta$  is a Pisot number, then  $Per(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap [0, 1[$ .

#### Alternate bases

Expansions in an alternate base  $(\beta_0,...,\beta_{p-1})$  are obtained by alternating the transformations  $T_{\beta_0},...,T_{\beta_{p-1}}$  rather than iterating  $T_{\beta}$ :

$$\mathbf{a}_{-1} = \mathbf{0}, \varrho^{(0)} = \alpha, \mathbf{a}_{i} = \lfloor \beta_{i\%p} \varrho^{(i)} \rfloor, \varrho^{(i+1)} = \{\beta_{i\%p} \varrho^{(i)}\}$$

where i%p denotes i modulo p.

The value of a sequence of digits  $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{\beta_0 \beta_1 \dots \beta_{p-1} \beta_0 \dots \beta_{i\%p}},$$

with i + 1 factors in the denominator.

We wish to find necessary and sufficient conditions on  $\beta_0, ..., \beta_{p-1}$  for all rational numbers to have a periodic expansion, and we wish to adapt Schmidt's work if possible.

We let  $\delta = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \beta_i$  and  $\beta^{(i)}$  denotes the alternate base  $(\beta_i, ..., \beta_{p-1}, \beta_0, ..., \beta_{i-1})$ .

## Example

## Adapting Schmidt: First steps

Proving that  $\delta$  is an algebraic integer is substantially harder than in the p=1 case.

## Proposition (a twist on Charlier, Cisternino, Masáková, and Pelantová)

If for all  $i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$  there exists  $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and an ultimately periodic sequence  $a^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that evaluates to  $\frac{1}{q_i}$  in  $\beta^{(i)}$ , then  $\delta$  is an algebraic integer. If furthermore, for all  $i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$ ,  $a^{(i)}$  is in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $a^{(i)}_{np} \geq 1$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\delta) \forall i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$ .

In the following, we assume that  $\delta$  is an algebraic integer and that  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\delta) \forall i \in \{0,...,p-1\}.$ 

## Adapting Schmidt: grouping digits

Let  $f_{\underline{\beta}}(a_0,...,a_{p-1}) = a_0\beta_1...\beta_{p-1} + a_1\beta_2...\beta_{p-1} + ... + a_{p-1}$ . Then, let  $\eta_k(\alpha) = f(a_{kp}(\alpha),...,a_{kp+p-1}(\alpha))$ . We have

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_k(\alpha)}{\delta^{k+1}}.$$

We have  $\eta_k(\alpha) \in \text{Digits}(\beta)$ , where this last set is

$$f_{\beta}(\{0,...,\lfloor\beta_0\rfloor\}\times...\times\{0,...,\lfloor\beta_{p-1}\rfloor\})$$

Additionally, let  $\rho^{(n)}(\alpha) = (T_{\beta_{p-1}} \circ ... \circ T_{\beta_0})^n(\alpha)$ . We get

$$\rho^{(n)}(\alpha) = \delta^n(\alpha - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\eta_k(\alpha)}{\delta^{k+1}}) \text{ and } \rho^{(n+1)} = \delta\rho^{(n)} - \eta_n(\alpha).$$

Finally, let the minimal polynomial of  $\delta$  be  $x^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i$ .

Every number  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\delta)$  can be decomposed as

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_i \delta^i$$
. This decomposition is unique when  $q$  is fixed.

For a given  $\alpha$ , we consider this decomposition, choosing q to be the least common multiple of all the minimal q for  $\alpha$  and the members of  $\operatorname{Digits}(\beta)$ .

#### Lemma

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unique d-uple  $(r_1^{(n)}, ..., r_d^{(n)})$  of integers such that

$$\rho^{(n)}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{d} r_k^{(n)} \delta^{-k}.$$

Let  $\delta, ..., \delta_d$  be the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\delta$ , and let  $\psi_k$  be the isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Q}(\delta)$  to  $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k)$  that fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$  and maps  $\delta$  to  $\delta_k$ .

#### Lemma

For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $m \in \{1, ..., d\}$ , we have

$$\delta_m^n \left( \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_i \delta_m^i - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_m(\eta_k(\alpha)) \delta_m^{-k-1} \right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^d r_k^{(n)} \delta_m^{-k}.$$

In particular, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \operatorname{Per}(\underline{\beta})$  and  $|\delta_m| > 1$ ,

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_m(\eta_k(\alpha)) \delta_m^{-k-1}.$$

#### Theorem

If for all  $i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$  there exists  $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and an ultimately periodic sequence  $a^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that evaluates to  $\frac{1}{q_i}$  in  $\beta^{(i)}$ , and for all  $i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$ ,  $a^{(i)}$  is in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $a^{(i)}_{np} \geq 1$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , and if additionally we have  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1[\subset \operatorname{Per}(\underline{\beta}), \text{ then } \delta \text{ is a Pisot number or a Salem number and } \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\delta) \forall i \in \{1,...,d\}.$ 

#### Sufficient condition

#### Lemma

The representation  $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is periodic if and only if the representation  $(\eta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is periodic.

#### Theorem

If  $\delta$  is a Pisot number and  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\delta) \forall i \in \{0,...,p-1\}$ , then

$$\mathbb{Q}(\delta) \cap [0,1[\subset \cap_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Per}(\beta^{(i)}).$$

## Remarks and open questions

- Schmidt's method is not the fastest way to prove the results cited here.
- The case where  $\beta$  is a Salem number is still not well understood, even when p = 1.
- Is there any way to only use  $\beta$  rather than all the  $\beta^{(i)}$ ?

## Thank you for your attention!