Check for updates



Eosinophils in Health and Disease: A State-of-the-Art Review

Michael E. Wechsler, MD; Ariel Munitz, PhD; Steven J. Ackerman, PhD; Matthew G. Drake, MD; David J. Jackson, MD; Andrew J. Wardlaw, MD; Stephanie K. Dougan, PhD; Sergejs Berdnikovs, PhD; Florence Schleich, PhD; Andrea Matucci, MD; Pascal Chanez, MD; Charlene M. Prazma, PhD; Peter Howarth, DM; Peter F. Weller, MD; and Peter A. Merkel, MD, MPH

Abstract

Eosinophils play a homeostatic role in the body's immune responses. These cells are involved in combating some parasitic, bacterial, and viral infections and certain cancers and have pathologic roles in diseases including asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps, eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders, and hypereosinophilic syndromes. Treatment of eosinophilic diseases has traditionally been through nonspecific eosinophil attenuation by use of glucocorticoids. However, several novel biologic therapies targeting eosinophil maturation factors, such as interleukin (IL)-5 and the IL-5 receptor or IL-4/IL-13, have recently been approved for clinical use. Despite the success of biologic therapies, some patients with eosinophilic inflammatory disease may not achieve adequate symptom control, underlining the need to further investigate the contribution of patient characteristics, such as comorbidities and other processes, in driving ongoing disease activity. New research has shown that eosinophils are also involved in several homeostatic processes, including metabolism, tissue remodeling and development, neuronal regulation, epithelial and microbiome regulation, and immunoregulation, indicating that these cells may play a crucial role in metabolic regulation and organ function in healthy humans. Consequently, further investigation is needed into the homeostatic roles of eosinophils and eosinophil-mediated processes across different tissues and their varied microenvironments. Such work may provide important insights into the role of eosinophils not only under disease conditions but also in health. This narrative review synthesizes relevant publications retrieved from PubMed informed by author expertise to provide new insights into the diverse roles of eosinophils in health and disease, with particular emphasis on the implications for current and future development of eosinophil-targeted therapies.

© 2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
■ Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96(10):2694-2707

From the Department of Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO (M.E.W.); the Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel (A.Mu.); the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, College of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago (S.J.A); the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Oregon

Affiliations continued at the end of this article.

osinophils are white blood cells that are involved in a diverse set of cellular processes in most vertebrates but are best known for their role in combating parasitic infection.¹ In diseases such as asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP), eosinophilic gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, and systemic hypereosinophilic diseases including eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA) and hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES), granule proteins and chemical mediators produced by eosinophils may contribute to tissue damage, repair, remodeling, and disease persistence.² Interleukin (IL)-5 is one of the cytokines involved in the proliferation, maturation, activation, and recruitment of eosinophils.³ Two other cytokines involved in type 2 (T2) immune responses characterized by eosinophilia are IL-4 and IL-13, which are also implicated in tissue eosinophil recruitment.⁴ Consequently, these cytokines are targets for therapeutic interventions.

It is now recognized that eosinophils contribute to healthy homeostasis. However, knowledge gaps remain, including the need

Mayo Clin Proc.
Cotober 2021;96(10):2694-2707
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.04.025

www.mayoclinicproceedings.org
© 2021 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). for further characterization of eosinophil subtypes, the identification of new markers of eosinophil activation, and objective measures of clinically meaningful effects on eosinophil-mediated disease outcomes. Many findings in animal models also require validation in humans.

The basic biology of eosinophils has been reviewed extensively elsewhere.^{5,6} This narrative review, employing a selective search of the literature using PubMed for articles published by December 1, 2020, summarizes findings of a literature search informed by author expert opinion, with a focus on the current understanding of the roles of eosinophils in health and disease. In addition, research priorities that may aid in the development and refinement of therapeutics for eosinophilic inflammatory diseases are highlighted.

THE ROLE OF EOSINOPHILS IN HEALTH

Eosinophil Membrane Receptors and Granule Proteins

Eosinophils have receptors for a range of cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules that allow them to participate in inflammatory responses and homeostasis; Fc receptors for adaptive immune system interaction; and pattern recognition receptors for the identification of pathogens in innate immune responses (Table 1).^{1,7} In response to stimuli, eosinophils may release a range of granule proteins, including major basic proteins (MBPs) 1 and 2, eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), eosinophil peroxidase (EPX), eosinophilderived neurotoxin (EDN), cytokines, and cytosolic Charcot-Leyden crystal protein/ galectin-10 (CLC/Gal-10).^{6,8} Granule proteins can be released by piecemeal degranulation, in which specific granule proteins are released in cytoplasmic vesicles or by cell membrane rupturing⁹; alternatively, in the eosinophil cytolytic mode of degranulation, eosinophils release clusters of cell-free intact granules and CLC protein and generate eosinophil extracellular traps (EETs), consisting of nuclear DNA fibers.8 Eosinophils may also form EETs with mitochondrial DNA and eosinophil granule proteins.6

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

- Eosinophils are involved in a diverse range of processes. Human data suggest that eosinophils aid in body homeostasis; contribute to defense against parasitic, bacterial, and viral infections; are involved in cancer immunology; and have a pathologic role in eosinophilic diseases.
- Eosinophil-targeted therapies have been approved for the treatment of eosinophilic diseases, although there is a need to better understand their range of impact, factors that influence this, and their long-term safety.
- Eosinophils represent a significant therapeutic target, but unanswered questions concerning eosinophil biology still remain.

Eosinophils and their secretory products are implicated in numerous effector functions during the immune response to pathogens (Figure 1; Table 1).⁶ In vitro, eosinophilderived MBP activates basophils, mast cells, and neutrophils, whereas EDN activates human dendritic cells.⁶ CLC/Gal-10 crystals also promote T2 immune responses in mice.¹⁰ Eosinophils and the granule proteins MBP, EPX, and ECP have traditionally been considered to have antiparasitic functions, although evidence in humans is surprisingly limited and may be dependent on the specific parasite studied and experimental model used.^{1,6,11} In addition, EETs together with MBP and ECP are implicated in the clearance of bacteria, and eosinophils may also have a role in antiviral responses (discussed later).¹²

Eosinophils and their secretory products may also play other essential roles. These include metabolism, fat deposition, and glucose homeostasis; tissue remodeling and development; liver and muscle repair; neuronal regulation; epithelial and microbiome regulation; and immunoregulation, including immunologic fitness in old age (Figure 2A).^{1,13-15} However, whereas eosinophils appear to be involved in a wide range of physiologic processes, the majority of these observations come from studies conducted in mice, and further investigation is required to determine whether eosinophils and specific eosinophil subtypes play similar roles in

TABLE 1.	TABLE 1. Eosinophil Products and Receptors ^{1.7}										
Cytokine											
and				D							
growth			1.5.5.1	Pattern							
receptors	chemoattractant	Adhesion recentors	LIPIO mediator receptors	recognition	FcB	Inhibitory receptors		Granule content			
Тесеріогз	-				TCIX		Content				
IL-2R	CCRI	LFAI (CDIIa-CDI8)	PAF-R	TLRI	FCaR	Siglec-8/Siglec-f	LTC4	Cationic proteins: MBP, ECP, EDN, EPX			
IL-3Rα	CCR3	CR3 (CD11a-CD18)	DP2 PG-R (CRTH2)	TLR2	FCγRII	PIR-B	15-HETE	Cytokines: IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10,			
IL-4R	CCR4	CR4 (CD11a-CD18)	DPI PG-R	TLR3	FCeRII	Siglec-7	PAF	IL-12, IL-13, IFNγ, GM-CSF, TGFβ, TNFa			
IL-5Rα	CCR5	VLA4 (CD49d-CD29)	EP4 PG-R	TLR4	FCERI	CD300a		Growth factors: CCL3, CCL5, CCL7,			
IL-9R	CCR6	CD44	EP2 PG-R	TLR5		CD300f		CCL8, CCL13, CXCL1, CXCL10,			
IL-IOR	CCR8	CD62L	LTB4R	TLR6				CXCL12 Enzymes: acid phosphatase,			
IL-13R	CCR9	PSGLI	CysLTIR	TLR7				collagenase, arylsulfatase B, catalase, ECP,			
IL-16R	CXCR2	CD34	CysLT2R	TLR9				EDN, EPX nonspecific esterases			
(CD4)	CXCR3		P2Y2R	TLR10							
IL-17R	CXCR4			NODI							
IL-23R	FPRI			NOD2							
IL-27R	C3aR			RIG-1							
IL-31R	C5aR			RAGE							
KIT											
IFN ₇ R											
TGEBR											
GMRa											
2											

ECP, eosinophil cationic protein (ribonuclease 3); EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (ribonuclease 2); EPX, eosinophil peroxidase; FPR, formyl peptide receptor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; GMR, GM-CSF receptor; GPR, G protein receptor; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; IFN, interferon; IL, interfeukin; ILT, inhibitory leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptors; LFA, lymphocyte function—associated antigen; LIR, leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptors; Cys)LT, (cysteinyl) leukotriene; MBP, major basic protein; NOD, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein; PAF, platelet-activating factor; PG, prostaglandin; PIR, paired Ig-like receptor; PSGL, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end products; TGF, tissue growth factor; TLR, toll-like receptor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; Tx, thromboxane.





humans. Indeed, patients treated with eosinophil-targeted therapies have so far not demonstrated any observable disruption of normal homeostatic processes.

Characterizing Eosinophil Subtypes: The Contributions of Heterogeneity and Plasticity

It has been proposed that eosinophils may be classified into different phenotypes, reflecting their potential roles in tissue processes and immune responses; those suggested include progenitor, short-lived circulatory, and steady-state/resident eosinophils and the proposed E1 and E2 subtypes.^{2,3} Resident eosinophils are thought to contribute to tissue homeostasis in the lungs, adipose tissue, and GI tract,¹⁶ whereas the E1 and E2 subtypes are both associated with inflammatory responses typically in interstitial and epithelial environments.² However, because macrophages and possibly other granulocytes (including eosinophils) can interconvert between different states,¹⁷ it is currently unknown whether these groupings represent distinct eosinophil subtypes or rather a continuum of activation states. Evidence suggests that eosinophil type and function depend on the tissue microenvironment; factors associated with differential changes in eosinophil type include organ location, morphogenetic activity of the tissue (ie, steady state or

developing/remodeling), location within tissue, and immune microenvironment (eg, immune neutral or predominantly T1/T17 or T2 immune signaling).² Further work is required to determine the drivers of eosinophil subtypes and functions and their responses to eosinophil-targeted therapies.

EOSINOPHILS IN DISEASE

Eosinophils are implicated in a range of pathologic conditions (Figure 2B), and elevated blood counts should prompt further evaluation for eosinophilic disease.¹ The upper limit of normal for blood eosinophil counts in a general population is considered to be 400 to 450 cells/ μ L (to convert to cells × 10⁹/L, multiply by 0.001), but with adjustment for factors that cause an increase in blood eosinophil counts, the normal, adult healthy range is appreciated to be lower at 30 to 330 cells/ μ L (median, 120 cells/ μ L in men and 100 cells/ μ L in women).¹⁸

Pharmacologic Agents Targeting Eosinophils Whereas glucocorticoids have historically been used to treat eosinophilic inflammatory diseases, several novel therapies have been introduced in recent years that target eosinophils directly or indirectly through the suppression of T2 inflammatory factors (Table 2).^{1,19-38} These include therapies



against immunoglobulin (Ig) E (omalizumab; inhibiting IgE attenuates mast cell degranulation and the release of IL-5²⁵), IL-5 (mepolizumab, reslizumab) and the IL-5 receptor (benralizumab; inhibiting IL-5 and its receptor attenuates eosinophil development, activation, and survival³⁹), and IL-4R α (dupilumab; inhibiting IL-4 and IL-13 attenuates B-cell

TABLE 2. Eosinophil-Targeted Biologics Currently Approved and in Development ^{1.19-38}									
Drug	Target	Approved indications	Indications in development (stage) ^a						
Omalizumab	lgE	Moderate to severe asthma CRSwNP (European Union)	_						
Mepolizumab	IL-5	Severe eosinophilic asthma EGPA (United States) HES (United States)	CRSwNP (phase 3) Eosinophilic COPD (phase 3) EoE (phase 2)						
Reslizumab	IL-5	Severe eosinophilic asthma	HES (phase 2) EoE (phase 3)						
Benralizumab	IL-5Rα	Severe eosinophilic asthma	CRSwNP (phase 3) Eosinophilic COPD (phase 3) EGPA (phase 3) HES (phase 3) EoE (phase 3) Eosinophilic gastritis/gastroenteritis (phase 2/3)						
Dupilumab	IL-4Ra	Moderate to severe eosinophilic asthma CRSwNP	ABPA (phase 3) Eosinophilic COPD (phase 3) EoE (phase 2)						
RPC4046	IL-13	None	EoE (phase 2)						
AK002	Siglec-8	None	EoE (phase 2/3) Eosinophilic gastritis/gastroenteritis (phase 2)						
Dexpramipexole	Unknown	None	Severe eosinophilic asthma (phase 2) CRSwNP (phase 2) HES (phase 3)						

ABPA, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis; CRSwNP, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; EGPA, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; HES, hypereosinophilic syndrome; IL, interleukin.

^aBased on active studies listed on ClinicalTrials.gov.

IgE class switching, T2 cell maturation, and eosinophil recruitment and degranulation^{4,25}).¹⁹⁻²³ Also under development are AK002, which targets siglec-8 (inhibiting mast cell degranulation, eosinophil recruitment, and inflammatory mediators³⁸); RPC4046, targeting IL-13 (inhibiting IL-13 attenuates B-cell IgE class switching, T2 cell maturation, and eosinophil recruitment^{4,25}); and dexapramipexole, for which the mechanism of action is unknown.¹

Eosinophil-targeting therapies have demonstrated a favorable safety profile vs placebo across a range of eosinophilic inflammatory diseases, with no evidence of an increased incidence of infection or malignant neoplasms.^{19-22,24,27} In addition, longterm results in patients with asthma treated with anti–IL-5 treatments for up to 4.5 years are consistent with the results of shorter randomized clinical trials.^{40,41} The results of short-term antibody depletion of IL-5 in mice and primates as well as studies of mouse models in which eosinophils are ablated also do not appear to indicate adverse effects on health or significant differences to wild-type mice in responses to pathogens.⁴²⁻⁴⁶ Efficacy data for eosinophiltargeting therapies in specific diseases are discussed in greater detail in the following.

Eosinophilic Asthma

Asthma is an inflammatory airway disease frequently characterized by airway eosinophilia.⁴⁷ Eosinophils are implicated in several pathologic processes including epithelial damage, smooth muscle hypertrophy, neural plasticity, and impaired tissue repair processes, promoting chronic airway remodeling and airflow obstruction.^{3,14} In addition, elevated blood eosinophil counts are positively correlated with increased disease severity, worse disease control, and increased risk of severe exacerbations.^{48,49}

Most patients achieve adequate symptom control with inhaled glucocorticoid-based treatment.⁴⁷ However, a proportion of patients continue to experience persistent and severe disease despite adherence to inhaled glucocorticoid-containing treatment regimens, leading to frequent exacerbations requiring treatment with oral glucocorticoids.47 In patients with severe eosinophilic asthma, mepolizumab, reslizumab, benralizumab, and dupilumab have been shown to reduce exacerbation frequency and symptom burden and to improve quality of life, with similar results for omalizumab in patients with allergic asthma.¹⁹⁻²³ This highlights the importance of eosinophils in the disease. In addition, T2 eosinophilic inflammation, typically identified by sputum counts of 2% and higher and/or blood counts of 150 cells/µL and higher, is an established predictor of asthma exacerbation risk and responsiveness to glucocorticoid and eosinophil-targeted therapy. 48,50,51

Future Research. Despite the success of biologic therapies, a subset of patients with severe eosinophilic asthma continue to have uncontrolled symptoms and exacerbations.¹⁹⁻²² Although this may partly relate to the presence of nonasthma comorbidities,⁵² further investigation of the processes driving ongoing disease activity in these patients may help elucidate new mechanisms beyond T2 signaling in asthma. Accordingly, renewed attention should be given to how airway tissue-resident and circulating eosinophils differ and how the local airway tissue environment influences eosinophil phenotype and function. Studies have suggested that tissue-resident eosinophils differ in their responsiveness to IL-5 stimulation, surface marker expression, and effector functions and may account for the persistence of tissue eosinophilia despite treatment with anti-IL-5 agents.¹⁶ It would also be of interest to characterize both blood and sputum eosinophils, including activation statuses, and gene array profiles in patients who are either responders or nonresponders to eosinophil-targeted treatment. A retrospective analysis (n=508) found that patients with both systemic eosinophilia (>400 cells/µL) and airway eosinophilia (\geq 3%) were more likely to have worse lung functions, symptoms, and quality of life evaluations vs those with only sputum or systemic eosinophilia,⁵³ possibly as a result of distinct disease mechanisms. Further clarification of the mechanisms involved may lead to novel therapies to address existing unmet needs.

Finally, the development of improved clinical biomarkers to better predict a patient's response to treatment is essential. Both CLC/Gal-10 and MBP-1 in induced sputum are candidate biomarkers of eosinophilic airway inflammation,⁵⁴ and EPX has been investigated as a biomarker of eosinophil activation in induced sputum.⁵⁵ However, ECP and EDN levels in blood do not appear to have an advantage over blood eosinophil counts alone in predicting responses to treatment with anti–IL-5 agents in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma.⁵⁶

Other Chronic Respiratory Disorders

The role of eosinophils in several other chronic respiratory disorders including CRSwNP, allergic fungal airway disease, and chronic idiopathic eosinophilic pneumonia is still under investigation. Eosinophils have also been identified as drivers of exacerbations in a subset of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),⁵¹ and the presence of eosinophilia in COPD is a biomarker of beneficial responses to inhaled glucocorticoids.⁵¹

Research is now underway to assess the potential benefit of eosinophil-targeted therapy for chronic respiratory disorders (Table 2). Both omalizumab and dupilumab have been shown to have beneficial effects in patients with CRSwNP,^{24,25} as has mepolizumab.²⁶ Similarly, a post hoc analysis of data from the MENSA trial in patients with asthma indicated that individuals with sensitization to particular fungal allergens had more pronounced reductions in the rate of exacerbations than those sensitized to aeroallergen or both fungal allergens and aeroallergens, suggesting that mepolizumab may be beneficial for patients with eosinophilic fungal airway disease.⁵⁷ In addition, a case series of 20 patients with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis provided evidence mepolizumab is associated with that

reductions in the use of oral glucocorticoids and the rate of exacerbations and improvements in asthma control and quality of life.⁵⁸ In eosinophilic COPD, both mepolizumab and benralizumab have been demonstrated to reduce rates of exacerbations,^{27,28} although for benralizumab only among patients with 3 or more exacerbations in the previous year or receiving triple therapy. Results from a retrospective study of mepolizumab have suggested that it may reduce relapses and lesions in patients with chronic idiopathic eosinophilic pneumonia.⁵⁹

Future Research. A common feature in many airway diseases is the excessive production of mucus. Although CLC/Gal-10 protein functions intracellularly in eosinophil cationic ribonuclease secretion and granulogenesis,⁶⁰ recent data have implicated extracellular CLC/Gal-10 crystals, in addition to EPX, in the formation and maintenance of mucus plugs.^{10,61} In addition, the concentration of CLC/Gal-10 in nasal secretions appears predictive of glucocorticoid responses in patients with CRSwNP,⁶² although further determination of the utility of CLC/ Gal-10 as a biomarker in clinical practice and target for treating mucus plugs is required. In the case of fungal infections, data are required on the in vitro effects of eosinophils on fungi and vice versa, especially Aspergillus fumigatus, and the extent to which eosinophils are protective or tissue damaging.

Hypereosinophilic Disorders

Both EGPA and HES are rare diseases characterized by tissue and blood eosinophilia and eosinophil-driven multisystem organ damage and dysfunction.^{63,64} The variable nature of these diseases and their clinical overlap can make diagnosis challenging.^{63,65} Most patients with EGPA^{64,65} experience sinusitis, asthma, and pulmonary infiltrates, with neuropathy and vasculitis also common,^{64,65} whereas HES can have broad organ involvement that produces hematologic, dermatologic, pulmonary, cardiovascular, and neurologic manifestations and, without asthma, vasculitis or antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody positivity.⁶³ Subtypes of HES include myeloproliferative, lymphoproliferative, familial, and idiopathic HES.⁶³

As with many eosinophilic inflammatory diseases, systemic administration of glucocorticoids has historically been the mainstay of EGPA and HES treatment.^{63,64} However, studies showing the efficacy of treatment with anti-IL-5 agents in these diseases, while reducing the patient's exposure to glucocorticoid-related adverse effects, represent a significant breakthrough for patients with EGPA and HES. In the phase 3 MIRRA study, patients with EGPA receiving mepolizumab had significantly more weeks in remission and lower use of oral glucocorticoids vs placebo.²⁹ A post hoc analysis of the MIRRA study also concluded that 78% to 87% of patients with EGPA experienced some clinical benefit with mepolizumab with regard to time in remission, use of oral glucocorticoids, and disease relapses compared with 32% to 53% with placebo.⁶⁶ Similarly, in an open-label pilot study, benralizumab reduced EGPA exacerbations and permitted oral glucocorticoid dose reductions.³⁰ In patients with HES, mepolizumab decreased disease flares by 50% and reduced blood eosinophil counts vs placebo in a phase 3 study,³¹ whereas a phase 2 study with benralizumab demonstrated that 90% of patients achieved a reduction of 50% or more in absolute eosinophil count after 3 months vs 30% of patients receiving placebo.³² Additional placebo-controlled trials are also underway to investigate the effects of reslizumab and benralizumab in both diseases.¹ Overall, these results highlight the central role of eosinophils in EGPA and HES.

Future Research. The identification of clinically useful biomarkers to aid in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment decisions in EGPA and HES is required, as is additional investigation into factors affecting their clinical presentation.¹ In particular, cardiac disease and thrombophilia can be serious complications in HES,⁶³ although they do not affect all patients. In addition, one form of EGPA is highly eosinophilic without clear evidence of vasculitis, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody negative, and difficult to distinguish

from HES, whereas another form is associated with vasculitis and has features similar to granulomatosis with polyangiitis.⁶⁷ Consequently, some patients with EGPA may benefit more from treatment with broader immunosuppressive therapy that also targets non-T2 pathways or anti–IL-5 therapy. Placebo-controlled studies of rituximab and the comparative efficacy of treatment strategies in different disease subtypes are ongoing. Therefore, insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying clinical manifestations and variability in disease presentation between patients would be valuable.

Eosinophilic Disorders of the GI Tract

Eosinophilic inflammation can also be a driving factor in a variety of eosinophilic GI disorders that can have varying disease presentations dependent on the affected area of the GI tract.⁶⁸ Such diseases include eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE), eosinophilic gastroenteritis, and eosinophilic colitis, manifestations also present in EGPA and HES.^{63,64}

In 2 separate trials of mepolizumab and reslizumab in EoE, esophagus eosinophil counts were reduced but reductions in symptoms were not significantly different from those seen in patients treated with placebo.^{33,34} This may be due to a range of factors including the lack of agreed regulatory end points for EoE when these trials were conducted, the variability in disease assessments between clinical trial centers, the potential importance of noneosinophil cells such as mast cells in GI disease, and the continued persistence of esophageal eosinophils at the diagnostic threshold of 15 cells or more per high-power field in approximately 50% of patients.^{33,34} More recently, dupilumab, RPC4046, and AK002 have shown promise in treating EoE and reducing dysphagia and esophageal intraepithelial eosinophil counts vs placebo, although further work is needed to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of these approaches in EoE.³⁵⁻³⁷ In eosinophilic gastritis and duodenitis, a phase 2 trial has demonstrated that AK002 vs placebo reduced GI eosinophil counts and improved symptoms.³⁸

Future Research. Outstanding questions, including the role of eosinophil infiltration

into GI tissues, the effect of eosinophil degranulation, and the specific pathogenic mechanisms contributing to eosinophilic GI diseases, remain. Further insights into these processes may lead to improved treatment options. In addition, some patients with eosinophil-associated GI symptoms do not have eosinophilia in the GI mucosa despite peripheral eosinophilia (unpublished data, Wardlaw, December 2020), suggesting that these patients may have a pathologic process different from that of patients with GI mucosal eosinophilia, although the reasons for this remain to be elucidated. Finally, the development of biomarkers to indicate active disease would be clinically useful.

Tumor Immunology

Although our current understanding is limited, available data suggest a pleiotropic role for eosinophils in the tumor microenvironment.^{69,70} Eosinophils have been found in several tumor types with differing implications for overall survival;⁶⁹ in patients with melanoma and breast, colorectal, soft tissue, and gastric cancers, high expression of siglec-8/EPX/ CLC-Gal-10 is associated with improved overall survival, whereas high expression of these biomarkers in lung and ovarian cancer is associated with diminished survival.⁶⁹ Absolute blood eosinophil counts are also positively correlated with metastatic melanoma and Hodgkin lymphoma survival.⁷⁰ Early animal studies have suggested that eosinophils may mediate tumor rejection by normalizing tumor vessels and enhancing infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells and that eosinophil survival may be prolonged in the tumor microenvironment despite reductions in blood and bone marrow eosinophils by anti-IL-5 antibodies.^{71,72} IL-5 is rarely present in tumors, and alternative eosinophil survival pathways (such as granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor) may be implicated instead.⁷³ In addition, mouse models of hepatocellular carcinoma and breast cancer demonstrated that eosinophil-mediated tumor control is dependent on tumor cell expression of the alarmin IL-33, whereas in lung metastasis, IL-33 promotes tumor growth by inhibiting cytolytic natural killer cells.^{70,74}

Future Research. It is important to improve our understanding of the presence and function of eosinophils within different tumor types, the role of heterogeneous stromal microenvironments in driving eosinophil responses, and whether systemic eosinophil suppression with anti-IL-5 agents alters long-term cancer risk. Current evidence for mepolizumab and reslizumab suggests no increased risk of cancer when these drugs were used for up to 4.5 years.^{40,41} However, as tumor types may have variable latencies, further investigation is needed. New therapies designed to selectively activate or to reprogram eosinophils to tumor-destructive phenotypes may also be valid approaches.

Antiviral Responses

Several lines of evidence suggest that eosinophils contribute to antiviral immune responses.¹² Human eosinophils are involved in viral clearance,^{12,75} an effect that may be defective in patients with asthma and may contribute to virus-induced asthma exacerbations.^{12,75} In addition, several eosinophil proteins, the ribonucleases EDN (ribonuclease 2) and ECP (ribonuclease 3), have antiviral properties that attenuate respiratory syncytial virus titers in vitro, and eosinophilderived nitric oxide acts against parainfluenza virus.¹² Further indirect evidence of an antiviral role for eosinophils in humans was found in a study of patients with mild experimentally induced rhinovirus 16 infections.⁷⁶ In these patients, pretreatment with mepolizumab reduced eosinophil activation and continuing treatment resulted in an increase in rhinovirus titers alongside decreased eosinophil counts.⁷⁶ The clinical significance of increased viral titers in the setting of anti-IL-5-mediated eosinophil depletion remains unclear because these agents also reduce asthma exacerbations overall, and their use is not associated with increased severity of infections from respiratory viruses. However, evidence also suggests that eosinophils may have an indirect effect in modifying toll-like receptor 7 expression by bronchial epithelial cells, reducing innate immune responses to viral infection.77

Future Research. The role of eosinophils in antiviral responses and whether patients receiving antieosinophil therapies have increased susceptibility to viruses remain to be elucidated. Most recently, there has been interest in the role of eosinophils in COVID-19, although alterations in peripheral eosinophil counts are likely to be indicative of overall disease status and have not been causally implicated in viral control. In addition, asthma does not appear to be a risk factor for severe COVID-19.⁷⁸ None-theless, additional studies are needed to uncover the interplay of eosinophils with coronavirus and other viral infections.

THE FUTURE OF EOSINOPHIL RESEARCH

Future investigations of the basic science of eosinophils are likely to provide valuable insights to help refine diagnostic and management strategies for patients with eosinophilic inflammatory diseases. Defining specific homeostatic and pathogenic eosinophil subtypes and signals in local tissue environments in addition to organ-specific disease markers may lead to novel targeted therapies. The identification of novel biomarkers to help identify those patients most likely to respond to treatment is also a key area for future research. In addition, the use of anti-IL-5/IL-5R and IL-4/IL-13-targeted therapeutics may also provide additional insights into the roles of eosinophils in disease, with the effect of complete eosinophil depletion yet to be determined. Finally, the potential use of bispecific antibodies against multiple T2 targets may allow further disease improvements in patients with eosinophilic disease.79

Although animal models have provided evidence of potential homeostatic roles for eosinophils in human health, there remains a need to better understand how results from animal studies translate into humans. Currently, differences in progenitor origin, granule ultrastructure and content, surface receptors, mechanism of activation, secretion, and degranulation between eosinophils in humans and eosinophils in other species may limit the translatability of animal models, specifically mice to humans.⁸⁰ This is particularly important when examining the potential role of eosinophil granule proteins and receptors as markers of disease as proteins such as CLC/Gal-10 are abundant in human eosinophils but absent in mice.⁶ Improved animal models (eg, humanized) and other research tools may help advance understanding of the unique multifunctionality of eosinophils and their potential subtypes.

CONCLUSION

Eosinophils are involved in a diverse set of biologic processes, contributing to both normal physiologic homeostasis and disease pathology. There remains a continuing need to further investigate the homeostatic roles of eosinophils and eosinophil-mediated processes across different tissues as this is likely to provide further important insights into their actions under disease conditions. Such advances in understanding the pathophysiologic mechanisms of these diseases may enable improved diagnosis and ultimately lead to the development of novel therapeutics for eosinophilic inflammatory diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Editorial support (in the form of writing assistance, including preparation of the draft manuscript under the direction and guidance of the authors, collating and incorporating authors' comments for each draft, assembling tables and figures, grammatical editing, and referencing) was provided by Laura Gardner, PhD, CMPP, at Fishawack Indicia Ltd, United Kingdom, part of Fishawack Health, and was funded by GlaxoSmithKline.

Abbreviations and Acronyms: ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; CLC/Gal-10, Charcot-Leyden crystal protein/galectin-10; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRSwNP, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin; EET, eosinophil extracellular trap; EGPA, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; EPX, eosinophil peroxidase; GI, gastrointestinal; HES, hypereosinophilic syndrome; Ig, immunoglobulin; IL, interleukin; MBP, major basic protein; T2, type 2

Affiliations (Continued from the first page of this article.): Health and Science University, Portland (M.G.D.); Guy's Severe Asthma Centre, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS

Trust, London, United Kingdom (D.J.J.); Asthma UK Centre, School of Immunology & Microbial Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom (D.J.J.); Institute for Lung Health, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom (A.J.W.); the Department of Cancer Immunology and Virology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA (S.K.D.); the Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine. Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL (S.B.); the Department of Respiratory Medicine, CHU Liege, GIGA I(3), Research Group, University of Liege, Belgium (F.S.); the Immunoallergology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, University of Florence, Florence, Italy (A.Ma.); the Department of Respiratory Diseases, C2VN INSERM INRAE Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France (P.C.); the Respiratory Therapeutic Area, GSK, Research Triangle Park, NC (C.M.P.); the Respiratory Medical Franchise, GSK, Brentford, United Kingdom (P.H.); the Division of Allergy and Inflammation, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (P.F.W.); and the Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, and Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (P.A.M.).

Potential Competing Interests: M.E.W. has received grants and personal fees from Novartis and Sanofi; personal fees from Regeneron, Genentech, Sentien, Restorbio, Equillium, Genzyme, and Cohero Health; grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support from Teva, Boehringer Ingelheim, and AstraZeneca; and grants and personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), outside the submitted work. A.Mu. is a consultant/advisory board member for GSK, Augmanity Nano Ltd, Electra-TAU, and AstraZeneca. S.J.A. is a consultant for GSK; is a co-founder, chief scientific officer, and board member of and consultant for EnteroTrack, LLC and holds equity in it; is entitled to a share of royalties from the University of Illinois at Chicago/ University of Colorado in conjunction with licensing of intellectual property to EnteroTrack, LLC; and is a patent holder of a patent issued to Find Therapeutics and 3 patents licensed to EnteroTrack, LLC. M.G.D. is a consultant for GSK. D.J.J. has received personal fees from Novartis, Sanofi, Teva, Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, and AstraZeneca. A.J.W. has received honoraria from AstraZeneca, GSK, and Pulmocide for advisory boards and research grants from Pulmocide and owns stocks/shares in GSK. S.K.D. has received research funding from Novartis, Eli Lilly, and Bristol-Myers Squibb and is a co-founder and member of the scientific advisory board for Kojin Therapeutics. S.B. reports no conflicts of interest. F.S. has received speaker's fees from Teva, AstraZeneca, Chiesi, Novartis, and GSK and consultancy fees from AstraZeneca, Chiesi, GSK, and Novartis. A.Ma. has received speaker's fees from AstraZeneca, Novartis, and GSK and honoraria for attending advisory board meetings with Sanofi, AstraZeneca, GSK, Novartis, and Chiesi. P.C. has acted as a consultant for Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, ALK, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Teva, Chiesi, Sanofi, and SNCF; participated in advisory board meetings for Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Circadia, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Teva, Chiesi, and Sanofi; has received lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Teva, Chiesi, Boston Scientific, and ALK; and has received industry-sponsored grants from Roche, Boston Scientific, Boehringer Ingelheim, Centocor, GSK, Astra-Zeneca, ALK, Novartis, Teva, and Chiesi. C.M.P. and P.H. are

employees of GSK and own stocks/shares. P.F.W. has acted as a consultant for GSK and has served on Data and Safety Monitoring Boards for AstraZeneca. P.A.M. has acted as a consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, ChemoCentryx, CSL Behring, Forbius, Genentech/Roche, Genzyme/Sanofi, GSK, InflaRx, Insmed, Janssen, Kiniksa, Kyverna, Magenta, Novartis, Pfizer, Sparrow, Takeda, and Talaris and has received research support from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, ChemoCentryx, Forbius, Genentech/Roche, Genzyme/Sanofi, GSK, and InflaRx.

Correspondence: Address to Michael E. Wechsler, MD, National Jewish Health, 1400 Jackson St, Denver, CO 80206 (wechslerm@NJHealth.org).

ORCID

Michael E. Wechsler: (1) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3505-2946; Peter F. Weller: (1) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9580-560X

REFERENCES

- Klion AD, Ackerman SJ, Bochner BS. Contributions of eosinophils to human health and disease. *Annu Rev Pathol.* 2020;15: 179-209.
- Abdala-Valencia H, Coden ME, Chiarella SE, et al. Shaping eosinophil identity in the tissue contexts of development, homeostasis, and disease. J Leukoc Biol. 2018;104(1):95-108.
- **3.** McBrien CN, Menzies-Gow A. The biology of eosinophils and their role in asthma. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2017;4:93.
- Robinson D, Humbert M, Buhl R, et al. Revisiting type 2—high and type 2—low airway inflammation in asthma: current knowledge and therapeutic implications. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2017;47(2): 161-175.
- Klion A. Recent advances in understanding eosinophil biology. F1000Res. 2017;6:1084.
- Acharya KR, Ackerman SJ. Eosinophil granule proteins: form and function. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(25):17406-17415.
- Loktionov A. Eosinophils in the gastrointestinal tract and their role in the pathogenesis of major colorectal disorders. World | Gastroenterol. 2019;25(27):3503-3526.
- Ueki S, Tokunaga T, Melo RCN, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystal formation is closely associated with eosinophil extracellular trap cell death. *Blood.* 2018;132(20):2183-2187.
- Melo RC, Weller PF. Piecemeal degranulation in human eosinophils: a distinct secretion mechanism underlying inflammatory responses. *Histol Histopathol.* 2010;25(10): 1341-1354.
- Persson EK, Verstraete K, Heyndrickx I, et al. Protein crystallization promotes type 2 immunity and is reversible by antibody treatment. Science. 2019;364(6442):eaaw4295.
- Ackerman SJ, Kephart GM, Francis H, Awadzi K, Gleich GJ, Ottesen EA. Eosinophil degranulation. An immunologic determinant in the pathogenesis of the Mazzotti reaction in human onchocerciasis. J Immunol. 1990;144(10):3961-3969.
- Flores-Torres AS, Salinas-Carmona MC, Salinas E, Rosas-Taraco AG. Eosinophils and respiratory viruses. Virol Immunol. 2019;32(5):198-207.
- Brigger D, Riether C, van Brummelen R, et al. Eosinophils regulate adipose tissue inflammation and sustain physical and immunological fitness in old age. *Nat Metab.* 2020;2(8):688-702.
- Drake MG, Lebold KM, Roth-Carter QR, et al. Eosinophil and airway nerve interactions in asthma. J Leukoc Biol. 2018; 104(1):61-67.
- **15.** Weller PF, Spencer LA. Functions of tissue-resident eosinophils. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2017;17(12):746-760.

- Mesnil C, Raulier S, Paulissen G, et al. Lung-resident eosinophils represent a distinct regulatory eosinophil subset. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(9):3279-3295.
- Lavin Y, Winter D, Blecher-Gonen R, et al. Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local microenvironment. *Cell*. 2014;159(6):1312-1326.
- Hartl S, Breyer MK, Burghuber OC, et al. Blood eosinophil count in the general population: typical values and potential confounders. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;55(5):1901874.
- Castro M, Corren J, Pavord ID, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(26):2486-2496.
- Castro M, Zangrilli J, Wechsler ME, et al. Reslizumab for inadequately controlled asthma with elevated blood eosinophil counts: results from two multicentre, parallel, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials [erratum appears in Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3(4):e15]. Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3(5):355-366.
- FitzGerald JM, Bleecker ER, Nair P, et al. Benralizumab, an anti- —interleukin-5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, as add-on treatment for patients with severe, uncontrolled, eosinophilic asthma (CALIMA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016;388(10056):2128-2141.
- Ortega HG, Liu MC, Pavord ID, et al. Mepolizumab treatment in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma [erratum appears in N Engl J Med. 2015;372(18):1777]. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(13): 1198-1207.
- 23. Humbert M, Beasley R, Ayres J, et al. Benefits of omalizumab as add-on therapy in patients with severe persistent asthma who are inadequately controlled despite best available therapy (GINA 2002 step 4 treatment): INNOVATE. Allergy. 2005; 60(3):309-316.
- 24. Bachert C, Han JK, Desrosiers M, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in patients with severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (LIBERTY NP SINUS-24 and LIBERTY NP SINUS-52): results from two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trials [erratum appears in *Lancet.* 2019;394(10209):1618]. *Lancet.* 2019;394(10209):1638-1650.
- Gevaert P, Omachi TA, Corren J, et al. Efficacy and safety of omalizumab in nasal polyposis: 2 randomized phase 3 trials [erratum appears in J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(1):416]. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;146(3):595-605.
- Hopkins C, Bachert C, Fokkens W, et al. Add-on mepolizumab for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps: SYNAPSE study. Eur Respir J. 2020;56(suppl 64):4616.
- Pavord ID, Chanez P, Criner GJ, et al. Mepolizumab for eosinophilic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(17):1613-1629.
- Criner GJ, Celli BR, Singh D, et al. Predicting response to benralizumab in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: analyses of GALATHEA and TERRANOVA studies. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(2):158-170.
- Wechsler ME, Akuthota P, Jayne D, et al. Mepolizumab or placebo for eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(20):1921-1932.
- Guntur VP, Manka L, Denson JL, et al. Benralizumab as a steroidsparing treatment option in eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9(3):1186-1193.e1.
- Roufosse F, Kahn JE, Rothenberg ME, et al. Efficacy and safety of mepolizumab in hypereosinophilic syndrome: a phase III, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020; 146(6):1397-1405.
- Kuang FL, Legrand F, Makiya M, et al. Benralizumab for PDGFRA-negative hypereosinophilic syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(14):1336-1346.
- 33. Straumann A, Conus S, Grzonka P, et al. Anti—interleukin-5 antibody treatment (mepolizumab) in active eosinophilic oesophagitis: a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Gut.* 2010;59(1):21-30.

- 34. Spergel JM, Rothenberg ME, Collins MH, et al. Reslizumab in children and adolescents with eosinophilic esophagitis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;129(2):456-463. 463.e1-3.
- Hirano I, Dellon ES, Hamilton JD, et al. Efficacy of dupilumab in a phase 2 randomized trial of adults with active eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2020;158(1):111-122.e10.
- Hirano I, Collins MH, Assouline-Dayan Y, et al. RPC4046, a monoclonal antibody against IL13, reduces histologic and endoscopic activity in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;156(3):592-603.e10.
- Hirano I, Peterson K, Murray J, et al. AK002, an anti-siglec-8 antibody, depletes tissue eosinophils and improves dysphagia symptoms in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;145(2, suppl):AB167.
- Dellon ES, Peterson KA, Murray JA, et al. Anti-siglec-8 antibody for eosinophilic gastritis and duodenitis. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(17):1624-1634.
- Pelaia C, Paoletti G, Puggioni F, et al. Interleukin-5 in the pathophysiology of severe asthma. Front Physiol. 2019;10:1514.
- Khatri S, Moore W, Gibson PG, et al. Assessment of the longterm safety of mepolizumab and durability of clinical response in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;143(5):1742-1751.e7.
- Murphy K, Jacobs J, Bjermer L, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of reslizumab in patients with eosinophilic asthma [erratum appears in J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2018;6(3):1095]. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2017;5(6):1572-1581.e3.
- Fabre V, Beiting DP, Bliss SK, et al. Eosinophil deficiency compromises parasite survival in chronic nematode infection. *J Immunol.* 2009;182(3):1577-1583.
- Kung TT, Stelts DM, Zurcher JA, et al. Involvement of IL-5 in a murine model of allergic pulmonary inflammation: prophylactic and therapeutic effect of an anti–IL-5 antibody. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 1995;13(3):360-365.
- Mauser PJ, Pitman AM, Fernandez X, et al. Effects of an antibody to interleukin-5 in a monkey model of asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995;152(2):467-472.
- 45. O'Connell AE, Hess JA, Santiago GA, et al. Major basic protein from eosinophils and myeloperoxidase from neutrophils are required for protective immunity to Strongyloides stercoralis in mice. *Infect Immun.* 2011;79(7):2770-2778.
- Swartz JM, Dyer KD, Cheever AW, et al. Schistosoma mansoni infection in eosinophil lineage—ablated mice. *Blood.* 2006; 108(7):2420-2427.
- 47. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma [erratum appears in *Eur Respir J.* 2014;43(4):1216]. *Eur Respir J.* 2014;43(2):343-373.
- Yancey SW, Keene ON, Albers FC, et al. Biomarkers for severe eosinophilic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017;140(6): 1509-1518.
- Price DB, Rigazio A, Campbell JD, et al. Blood eosinophil count and prospective annual asthma disease burden: a UK cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2015;3(11):849-858.
- 50. Szefler SJ, Wenzel S, Brown R, et al. Asthma outcomes: biomarkers. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;129(3, suppl):S9-S23.
- Pavord ID. Blood eosinophil-directed management of airway disease. The past, present, and future. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;202(5):637-639.
- Harvey ES, Langton D, Katelaris C, et al. Mepolizumab effectiveness and identification of super-responders in severe asthma. *Eur Respir J.* 2020;55(5):1902420.
- Schleich FN, Chevremont A, Paulus V, et al. Importance of concomitant local and systemic eosinophilia in uncontrolled asthma. *Eur Respir J.* 2014;44(1):97-108.
- Nyenhuis SM, Alumkal P, Du J, Maybruck BT, Vinicky M, Ackerman SJ. Charcot-Leyden crystal protein/galectin-10 is a surrogate biomarker of eosinophilic airway inflammation in asthma. *Biomark Med.* 2019;13(9):715-724.

- Mukherjee M, Aleman Paramo F, Kjarsgaard M, et al. Weightadjusted intravenous reslizumab in severe asthma with inadequate response to fixed-dose subcutaneous mepolizumab. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018;197(1):38-46.
- Howarth P, Quirce S, Papi A, et al. Eosinophil-derived neurotoxin and clinical outcomes with mepolizumab in severe eosinophilic asthma. *Allergy*. 2020;75(8):2085-2088.
- Wardlaw A, Howarth PH, Israel E, et al. Fungal sensitization and its relationship to mepolizumab response in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2020; 50(7):869-872.
- Schleich F, Vaia ES, Pilette C, et al. Mepolizumab for allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: report of 20 cases from the Belgian Severe Asthma Registry and review of the literature. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(7): 2412-2413.e2.
- Brenard E, Pilette C, Dahlqvist C, et al. Real-life study of mepolizumab in idiopathic chronic eosinophilic pneumonia. *Lung.* 2020;198(2):355-360.
- Grozdanovic MM, Doyle CB, Liu L, et al. Charcot-Leyden crystal protein/galectin-10 interacts with cationic ribonucleases and is required for eosinophil granulogenesis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020;146(2):377-389.e10.
- Dunican EM, Elicker BM, Gierada DS, et al. Mucus plugs in patients with asthma linked to eosinophilia and airflow obstruction. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(3):997-1009.
- 62. Wu D, Yan B, Wang Y, Zhang L, Wang C. Charcot-Leyden crystal concentration in nasal secretions predicts clinical response to glucocorticoids in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;144(1):345-348.e8.
- **63.** Curtis C, Ogbogu P. Hypereosinophilic syndrome. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2016;50(2):240-251.
- Furuta S, Iwamoto T, Nakajima H. Update on eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. *Allergol Int.* 2019;68(4):430-436.
- Gioffredi A, Maritati F, Oliva E, Buzio C. Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis: an overview. Front Immunol. 2014;5: 549.
- 66. Steinfeld J, Bradford ES, Brown J, et al. Evaluation of clinical benefit from treatment with mepolizumab for patients with eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;143(6):2170-2177.
- Latorre M, Novelli F, Baldini C, et al. Different disease subtypes in allergic eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA). *Eur Respir J.* 2013;42(suppl):1797.
- Egan M, Furuta GT. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases beyond eosinophilic esophagitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2018;121(2):162-167.
- **69.** Varricchi G, Galdiero MR, Loffredo S, et al. Eosinophils: the unsung heroes in cancer? *Oncoimmunology*. 2018;7(2): e1393134.
- Grisaru-Tal S, Itan M, Klion AD, Munitz A. A new dawn for eosinophils in the tumour microenvironment. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(10):594-607.
- Reichman H, Itan M, Rozenberg P, et al. Activated eosinophils exert antitumorigenic activities in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2019;7(3):388-400.
- 72. Carretero R, Sektioglu IM, Garbi N, Salgado OC, Beckhove P, Hämmerling GJ. Eosinophils orchestrate cancer rejection by normalizing tumor vessels and enhancing infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells [erratum appears in Nat Immunol. 2016;17(2):214]. Nat Immunol. 2015;16(6):609-617.
- Dougan M, Dranoff G, Dougan SK. GM-CSF, IL-3, and IL-5 family of cytokines: regulators of inflammation. *Immunity*. 2019; 50(4):796-811.
- Schuijs MJ, Png S, Richard AC, et al. ILC2-driven innate immune checkpoint mechanism antagonizes NK cell antimetastatic function in the lung. *Nat Immunol.* 2020;21(9):998-1009.

- Sabogal Piñeros YS, Bal SM, Dijkhuis A, et al. Eosinophils capture viruses, a capacity that is defective in asthma. Allergy. 2019;74(10):1898-1909.
- 76. Sabogal Piñeros YS, Bal SM, van de Pol MA, et al. Anti—IL-5 in mild asthma alters rhinovirus-induced macrophage, B-cell, and neutrophil responses (MATERIAL). A placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;199(4):508-517.
- Hatchwell L, Collison A, Girkin J, et al. Toll-like receptor 7 govems interferon and inflammatory responses to rhinovirus and is suppressed by IL-5—induced lung eosinophilia. *Thorax*. 2015; 70(9):854-861.
- 78. Broadhurst R, Peterson R, Wisnivesky JP, et al. Asthma in COVID-19 hospitalizations: an overestimated risk factor? Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2020; 17(12):1645-1648.
- Godar M, Deswarte K, Vergote K, et al. A bispecific antibody strategy to target multiple type 2 cytokines in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;142(4):1185-1193.e4.
- Lee JJ, Jacobsen EA, Ochkur SI, et al. Human versus mouse eosinophils: "that which we call an eosinophil, by any other name would stain as red". J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 130(3):572-584.