Laboratory of Environmental Health (Incubating), School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (TG, QL, ZW, GL); and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (TG, YBZ, AP).

Address for Correspondence: Gang Liu, PhD (email: liugang026@ hust.edu.cn) or An Pan, PhD (email: panan@hust.edu.cn), School of Public Health, Tongji Medical Colleage, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 13 Hangkong Rd, Wuhan 430030, China.

Authors' Contributions: Study concept and design: TG, GL, AP; data acquisition: GL; data analysis/interpretation: TG, Y-BZ, QL, ZW, GL; supervision or mentorship: GL, AP. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and agrees to be personally accountable for the individual's own contributions and to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work, even one in which the author was not directly involved, are appropriately investigated, and resolved, including with documentation in the literature if appropriate.

**Support:** GL was funded by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (82073554), the Hubei Province Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (2021CFA048), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2021GCRC076). AP was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81930124, 82021005) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2021GCRC075). TG is funded by grants from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2021M691129). Funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare they have no other relevant financial interests.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to all UK Biobank participants and all involved in building the UK Biobank study.

**Data Sharing:** This research was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 68307. The UK Biobank data are available on application to the UK Biobank (www.ukbiobank. ac.uk/).

**Peer Review:** Received September 7, 2021. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from a Statistics/Methods Editor, an Associate Editor, and the Editor-in-Chief. Accepted in revised form January 21, 2022.

Publication Information: © 2022 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online March 26, 2022 with doi 10.1053/ j.ajkd.2022.02.018

# References

- GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10225):709-733.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, et al. Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's Strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation*. 2010;121(4): 586-613.
- Schrauben SJ, Hsu JY, Amaral S, Anderson AH, Feldman HI, Dember LM. Effect of kidney function on relationships between lifestyle behaviors and mortality or cardiovascular outcomes: a pooled cohort analysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32(3):663-675.
- Ricardo AC, Anderson CA, Yang W, et al. Healthy lifestyle and risk of kidney disease progression, atherosclerotic events, and death in CKD: findings from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;65(3):412-424.
- Ricardo AC, Madero M, Yang W, et al. Adherence to a healthy lifestyle and all-cause mortality in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8(4):602-609.
- Palmer LJ. UK Biobank: bank on it. *Lancet.* 2007;369(9578): 1980-1982.

# The Modified CKiD Study Estimated GFR Equations for Children and Young Adults Under 25 Years of Age: Performance in a European Multicenter Cohort

0	Check for updates

#### To the Editor:

The CKiD creatinine- and cystatin C-based glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimating equations have recently been modified by incorporation of continuous age- and sex-dependent k values to yield less biased internal validation results in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients aged under 25 years.<sup>1</sup> Here we report an external validation of these CKiDU25 equations in the European Kidney

#### Young Adults (N = 1,816) **Children With Creatinine and** With Creatinine With Cystatin C Cystatin C Measured (N = 2,293) Measured (n = 1,816) Measured (n = 348) 11.9 (2.3-17.8) 20.0 (18.0-24.6) 18.9 (18.0-24.1) Age, y Female sex 949 (41%) 846 (47%) 144 (41%) Body mass index, kg/m<sup>2</sup> 18 (14-29) 21 (16-31) 22 (15-35) Body surface area, m<sup>2</sup> 1.29 (0.54-2.05) 1.68 (1.29-2.14) 1.73 (1.33-2.36) Plasma/serum creatinine, µmol/L 52 (19-155) 75 (41-191) 78 (38-196) Plasma/serum cystatin, mg/L 0.96 (0.61-2.72) 0.96 (0.62-2.39) mGFR, mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> 92 (31-141) 91 (30-134) 97 (28-169) mGFR <75 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> 503 (22%) 543 (30%) 95 (27%)

Table 1. Patient Characteristics of the Cohorts

Children defined as aged 2.0-17.9 years; young adults as 18.0-24.9 years. Continuous variables given as median (2.5 and 97.5 percentiles).

	Creatinine Equations				Cystatin C Equations			
	CKiDU25	CKD-EPI40	EKFC	LMR18	CKiDU25	CAPA	CKD-EPI <sub>cys</sub>	FAS
Children (n	= 2,293 for creati	nine equations and	d for cystatin C eq	uations)				
Bias, median	1.3 (0.6; 2.2)	-5.3 (-6.1; -4.5)	-1.6 (-2.4; -0.4)	-4.5 (-5.3; -3.7)	-12.8 (-13.8; -11.8)	-0.6 (-1.7; 0.3)	-2.5 (-3.3; -1.3)	-4.2 (-4.8; -3.4)
Precision, IQR	23.6	23.9	23.4	23.0	24.2	26.9	24.5	23.9
Accuracy, P <sub>30</sub>	83.8 (82.3; 85.3)	83.9 (82.4; 85.4)	85.2 (83.8; 86.7)	86.3 (84.9; 87.8)	82.6 (81.0; 84.1)	82.0 (80.4; 83.6)	84.3 (82.9; 85.8)	85.9 (84.5; 87.3)
P₃₀ difference	Reference	0.1 (-1.4; 1.6)	1.4 (0.1; 2.7)	2.5 (1.1; 3.8)	Reference	-0.6 (-2.4; 1.2)	1.8 (0.1; 3.4)	3.4 (1.9; 4.8)
Young adu	lts (n = 1,816 for c	reatinine equation	s and n = 348 for (	cystatin C equation	ns)			
Bias, median	2.1 (1.3; 2.9)	-1.7 (-2.8; -1.1)	2.5 (1.8; 3.1)	-2.6 (-3.5; -1.5)	-11.5 (-13.6; -8.6)	-0.2 (-1.9; 1.4)	2.0 (-0.6; 4.3)	3.7 (1.7; 5.3)
Precision, IQR	22.3	21.8	21.3	21.5	22.3	23.5	22.2	23.4
Accuracy, P <sub>30</sub>	82.8 (81.0; 84.5)	85.4 (83.7; 87.0)	84.0 (82.3; 85.7)	86.1 (84.5; 87.7)	83.9 (80.0; 87.8)	84.8 (81.0; 88.5)	83.3 (79.4;87.2)	84.2 (80.4; 88.0)
P <sub>30</sub> difference	Reference	2.6 (1.2; 4.0)	1.3 (-0.2; 2.7)	3.4 (1.9; 4.8)	Reference	0.9 (-3.6; 5.3)	-0.6 (-5.3; 4.1)	0.3 (-4.5; 5.0)

Table 2. Bias, Precision, and Accuracy of eGFR Equations in Children and Young Adults

Differences in P<sub>30</sub> were evaluated statistically using 95% Cls for paired proportions. Abbreviations and definitions: bias, median error eGFR – mGFR (given with 95% Cl); precision, IQR of eGFR – mGFR, expressed in mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; P<sub>30</sub>, accuracy expressed in percentage of GFR estimates within ±30% of mGFR (given with 95% Cl); IQR, interquartile range; CKiDU25, Chronic Kidney Disease in Children equation for individuals under 25 years; CKD-EPI40, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology equation based on creatinine values adjusted for individuals under 40 years<sup>3</sup>; EKFC, European Kidney Function Consortium equation<sup>7</sup>; LMR18, Lund-Malmö revised equation based on creatinine values adjusted for individuals under 40 years<sup>3</sup>; CKD-EPIcys, CKD-EPI cystatin C equation; FAS, Full Age Spectrum equation.<sup>5</sup>

Function Consortium (EKFC) multicenter cohort (Table 1, Item S1).<sup>2,3</sup> In this cohort of children and young adults, the vast majority have a measured GFR (mGFR)  $\geq$ 75 mL/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, thus resembling a setting where CKD is screened for. Comparisons were made with 3 creatinine and 3 cystatin C GFR equations (Items S2 and S3) applicable for the entire lifespan starting from 2 years of age,<sup>3-7</sup> including the adult cystatin C–based CKD-EPI equation,<sup>8</sup> shown to have an acceptable performance in children.<sup>2</sup> Plasma and renal clearance methods for mGFR were used as the reference test and plasma/serum creatinine and cystatin C assays were traceable to international standards (Item S1). Comparisons focused on bias, precision, and accuracy, with P<sub>30</sub> accuracy as the main performance metric.

For creatinine-based equations, the overall distribution of CKiDU25 eGFR followed mGFR more closely at higher levels of kidney function than did eGFRs calculated with CKD-EPI40, EKFC, or LMR18 (Fig S1). On the other hand, CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> yielded overestimations exceeding 50 mL/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> more often than the other creatinine-based equations (Fig S2). Overall, P<sub>30</sub> for CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> exceeded 80% in both children and young adults. In children, it was not different from CKD-EPI40, but was lower than for EKFC and LMR18 (Table 2). In young adults, it was not different from EKFC but was lower than for CKD-EPI40 and LMR18. In both age groups, the accuracy for CKi-DU25<sub>cr</sub> was lower in male than female patients (Table S1).

For cystatin C–based equations, CKiDU25 exhibited marked underestimation in both children and young adults, and in both male and female patients; the overall distribution of CKiDU25<sub>cys</sub> eGFR thus deviated markedly from the mGFR distribution (Table S1, Figs S3 and S4). Overall,  $P_{30}$  for CKiDU25<sub>cys</sub> exceeded 80% in children and young adults. In children, it was not different from CAPA, but was lower than for CKD-EPI and FAS (Table 2). In young adults,  $P_{30}$  was not different from the other cystatin C equations but results were hampered by statistical imprecision, as reflected by the wide confidence intervals.

For simplicity and to limit the number of comparisons, stratification by the eGFR threshold<sup>9</sup> 75 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> was limited to the best-performing equations (Table S2). CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> was more accurate than EKFC in patients with lower eGFR, whereas EKFC was more accurate in those with higher eGFR. These findings were consistent irrespective of whether eGFR based on CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> or EKFC was used for stratifying. CKiDU25<sub>cys</sub> was less accurate than FAS, and was negatively biased both below and above the eGFR threshold of 75 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.

A study limitation was that 44% and 68% of the children and young adults, respectively, were included in the development cohort for the EKFC equation, and 20% and 4.5% of the children and young adults, respectively, were included in the development cohort for the CAPA equation (see Item S1 for more details). This means that the performance estimates for these equations may be somewhat upwardly biased. Also, it was not possible to stratify results

by ethnic origin, as such data were not available; thus, the generalizability of the results to specific population groups is uncertain.

CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> is less suitable for automatic laboratory reporting than the height-independent lifespan equations, since height is often missing at the time of analysis. Another drawback is the potential for implausible changes in eGFR at the transition from  $CKiDU25_{cr}$  to an adult equation at age 25, which is avoided by using lifespan equations. For  $CKiDU25_{cys}$  a particular concern is the observed underestimation in both children and young adults, which may lead to inflation of false-positives when screening for CKD.

In conclusion, if the focus is on overall estimation accuracy in children and young adults in settings where the vast majority can be expected to have near-normal or normal GFR, then our results do not provide strong arguments for using any of the two CKiDU25 equations instead of lifespan equations. However, if the aim is to screen for and detect CKD, then including patient's height and using CKiDU25<sub>cr</sub> may be advantageous.

Ulf Nyman, MD, PhD, Jonas Björk, PhD, Ulla Berg, MD, PhD, Arend Bökenkamp, MD, PhD, Laurence Dubourg, MD, PhD, Karolien Goffin, MD, PhD, Anders Grubb, MD, PhD, Magnus Hansson, MD, PhD, Anders Larsson, MD, PhD, Karin Littmann, MD, PhD, Kajsa Åsling-Monemi, MD, PhD, Hans Pottel, PhD, and Pierre Delanaye, MD, PhD

### **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary File (PDF)

Figures S1-S4; Items S1-S3; Tables S1-S2.

### **Article Information**

Authors' Affiliations: Department of Translational Medicine, Division of Medical Radiology, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden (UN); Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University, Lund, Sweden (JB); Clinical Studies Sweden, Forum South, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden (JB); Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Division of Paediatrics, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Stockholm, Sweden (UB, KÅ); Emma Children's UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Hospital, Amsterdam Amsterdam, The Netherlands (AB); Néphrologie, Dialyse, Hypertension et Exploration Fonctionnelle Rénale, Groupement Hospitalier Edouard Herriot, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, and Laboratory of Tissue Biology and Therapeutic Engineering, UMR 5305 CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France (LD); Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Leuven, Division of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (KG); Department of Clinical Chemistry, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, Lund, Sweden (AG); Function area Clinical Chemistry, Karolinska University Laboratory, Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge and Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden (MH); Department of Medical Clinical Chemistry, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sciences. Sweden (AL); Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden and Medical Unit of Endocrinology, Theme Inflammation and Ageing, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (KL); Department of Public Health and

# AJKD

Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus Kulak Kortrijk, Kortrijk, Belgium (HP);Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Transplantation, University of Liège (ULg CHU), CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium (PD); and Department of Nephrology-Dialysis-Apheresis, Hopital Universitaire Caremeau, Nimes, France (PD).

Additional Information: UN, JB, UB, AB, LB, AG, HP, and PD are European Kidney Function Consortium (EKFC) Members.

Address for Correspondence: Ulf Nyman, MD, PhD, Department of Translational Medicine, Division of Medical Radiology, Lund University, SE-205 02 Malmö, Sweden (email: ulf.nyman@ bredband.net) or Jonas Björk, PhD, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Lund University, Box 117, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden (email: jonas.bjork@med.lu.se).

Authors' Contributions: Research idea and study design: UN and JB (equal contribution); data acquisition: UB, AB, LD, KG, AG, MH, AL, KL, KÅ; data analysis/interpretation: UN, JB, HP, PD; statistical analysis: JB, HP; supervision or mentorship: HP and PD (equal contribution). Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and agrees to be personally accountable for the individual's own contributions and to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work, even one in which the author was not directly involved, are appropriately investigated and resolved, including with documentation in the literature if appropriate.

**Support:** Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet; grant no. 2019 – 00198). The EKFC is supported by the European Renal Association. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, reporting, or the decision to submit for publication.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

**Peer Review:** Received June 1, 2021. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from a Statistics/Methods Editor, an Associate Editor, and the Editor-in-Chief. Accepted in revised form February 7, 2022.

Publication Information: © 2022 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online March 26, 2022 with doi 10.1053/ j.ajkd.2022.02.018

### References

- Pierce CB, Muñoz A, Ng DK, Warady BA, Furth SL, Schwartz GJ. Age- and sex-dependent clinical equations to estimate glomerular filtration rates in children and young adults with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2021;99(4):948-956.
- Björk J, Nyman U, Berg U, et al. Validation of standardized creatinine and cystatin C GFR estimating equations in a large multicentre European cohort of children. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2019;34(6):1087-1098.
- Björk J, Nyman U, Larsson A, Delanaye P, Pottel H. Estimation of the glomerular filtration rate in children and young adults using the CKD-EPI equation with age-adjusted creatinine values. *Kidney Int.* 2021;99(4):940-947.
- Grubb A, Horio M, Hansson LO, et al. Generation of a new cystatin C-based estimating equation for glomerular filtration rate by use of 7 assays standardized to the international calibrator. *Clin Chem.* 2014;60(7):974-986.
- Pottel H, Delanaye P, Schaeffner E, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate for the full age spectrum from serum creatinine and cystatin C. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2017;32(3):497-507.
- 6. Björk J, Nyman U, Delanaye P, et al. A novel method for creatinine adjustment makes the revised Lund-Malmö GFR

equation applicable in children. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2020;80(6):456-463.

- 7. Pottel H, Björk J, Courbebaisse M, et al. Development and validation of a modified full age spectrum creatinine-based equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate: a cross-sectional analysis of pooled data. *Ann Intern Med.* 2021;174(2):183-191.
- Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(1):20-29.
- Delanaye P, Jager KJ, Bokenkamp A, et al. CKD: a call for an age-adapted definition. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2019;30(10):1785-1805.

# Effect of Aspirin on CKD Progression in Older Adults: Secondary Analysis From the ASPREE Randomized Clinical Trial



To the Editor:

Aspirin is a commonly prescribed and "over-thecounter" therapy in older persons. While its use in the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) events is well established, <sup>1</sup> aspirin is not recommended for primary CVD prevention in adults aged 60 years or older.<sup>2</sup> Low-dose aspirin increases the risk of bleeding in older persons, <sup>3</sup> but whether it has any effect on kidney function is not clear.<sup>4,5</sup>

We sought to investigate the effect of low-dose aspirin on kidney function in healthy older persons enrolled in the Aspirin in Reducing Events in the Elderly (ASPREE) trial (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier, NCT01038583).<sup>6</sup> ASPREE was a large double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial designed to assess whether daily treatment with 100 mg of enteric-coated aspirin could extend the duration of life free of dementia and persistent physical disability.

The aim of the present study was to compare the trajectory of kidney measures, namely estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR), in participants randomized to aspirin treatment or placebo from the trial's commencement until its cessation.

In brief, 19,114 healthy community-dwelling individuals aged  $\geq$ 70 years (aged  $\geq$ 65 years for African American and Hispanic participants in the United States) were recruited in Australia and in the United States. Recruitment took place from March 2010 through December 2014, with annual assessments conducted from randomization until the intervention period ended in June 2017 (median follow-up, 4.7 years). Participants were randomly assigned to receive a 100 mg tablet of entericcoated aspirin or matching placebo daily in double-blind fashion. For this analysis, 7 participants with stage G5 chronic kidney disease<sup>7</sup> were omitted, as were 1,349 participants missing baseline kidney measures. Full details, including the ASPREE trial protocol and main results, are reported in detail elsewhere and in Item S1.<sup>6,8,9</sup>