From physical competencies to physical literacy: issues in changing an elementary school physical fitness assessment campaign

Brau Amélie 1 Dachet Dylan 3 Vercruysse Benoit 1, 2 Mouton Alexandre 4

¹Pedagogical Department, Physical Education, Haute Ecole Robert Schuman, Belgium 3Department of Educational Sciences, University of Liège, Belgium ²Faculty of Motor Sciences, University of Louvain, Belgium 4Department of Motor Sciences, Research Unit for a life-Course perspective on Health & Education, University of Liège, Belgium







AIESEP Word Congress, Gold Coast, 15-18 June 2022









Background



BELGIUM

11 million inhabitants

30,000 km²

10 Provinces















French speaking

290 000 inhabitants



1) BACKGROUND



- Sports Campaign since 1991
- 8 physical fitness tests
- Between October and November
- 5000 pupils (aged 10-11) from elementary schools
- +/- 210 schools (2/3 of all elementary schools)











Physical fitness evaluation in the Province of Luxembourd



2) PHYSICAL FITNESS EVALUATION IN THE PROVINCE OF LUXEMBOURG



Seat and reach Test









Modified Sit Up Test





20 m Sprint Test













Seated Medicine Ball Throw Test









2) PHYSICAL FITNESS EVALUATION IN THE PROVINCE OF LUXEMBOURG















Limitations of sports campaign evaluation



- Physical fitness assessment & performance tests
- The best participants receive medals
- No follow up between grade 5 and 6
- Tests not adapted to new education programs



Comparisons between previous and new education programs









From physical fitness assessment

8 Physical fitness tests



To Physical literacy assessment

Assessment of all domains of physical literacy

To new education programs

Pedagogical tool for PE teachers

Physical literacy

Motor and physical competencies Psycho-affective competencies









Is that possible to apply a physical literacy assessment tool, at school, for pupils who participated in the campaign?



Physical literacy assessment tool



Pilot Study

Application of an existing tool: Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy, Second Edition (CAPL-2) (Longmuir et al., 2018)

- Assessment of all 4 domains of physical literacy (Edwards et al., 2017)
- Scientific publications (Gunnell et al., 2018), (Longmuir et al., 2018), (Li et al., 2020)
- Available in French
- Detailed manual for CAPL-2 administration





30 Points

(Pedometer)

25 Points

5 Points

Motivation and Confidence

30 Points

(3 items)

7.5 Points

Competence

(3 items)

7.5 Points

Predilection

(3 items)

7.5 Points

Adequacy

(3 items) 7.5 Points

8 physical fitness tests



* CAMSA; Canadian Agility and Movement Skill Assessment

- * MVPA; Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity
- * PACER; Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run









Purpose

Methods

The first aim was to examine the reliability of the CAPL-2 and physical fitness tests.

The second aim was to explore links between CAPL-2 tests and 8 physical fitness tests

129 pupils in grade 5 and 6 (10-11 years old)

4 schools of the Province Luxembourg



4) PHYSICAL LITERACY ASSESSMENT TOOL







Pilot Study



Procedures

1st school visit: Questionnaire (external evaluator)

2nd school visit: CAMSA (external evaluator + PE teacher)

3rd school visit: Pedometers (external evaluator)





1) Internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha)

- 8 physical fitness tests
- CAMSA (agility and motor skills assessment)
- Knowledge/understanding questionnaire
- Motivation/confidence questionnaire

2) Correlation analysis



Pilot Study



Results

Internal Consistency: Cronbach's Alpha (α) 1)

- 8 physical fitness tests: very low (α = -0,34)
- CAMSA: low (α = 0,59) -
- Knowledge/understanding questionnaire: low (α = 0,60)
- Motivation/confidence questionnaire: good (α = 0,88)





2) Pearson's Correlation : Relationships between 8 physical fitness tests and tests from CAPL-2

		Modified sit up test	Seated Medicine ball throw test	Standing long jump test	Vertical jump test	20m sprint test	4*5m shuttle test	Seat and reach test	Cooper test
Mean Step Counts	r	0.38168	-0.00755	0.33892	0.36107	-0.44548	-0.40062	-0.12057	0.52877
	p	<.0001	0.9388	0.0004	0.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.2183	<.0001
Intrinsic Motivation	r	0 23798	-0.07824	0 21323	0 13837	-0 42597	-0 46963	0.00628	0 51576
	p	0.0131	0.4209	0.0267	0.1533	<.0001	<.0001	0.9486	<.0001
	r N	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108
Competence for PA	r	0.21565	0.07984	0.29410	0.37319	-0.56715	-0.46232	0.02188	0.46829
	р	0.0250	0.4115	0.0020	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.8222	<.0001
	N	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108
Knowledge/ Understanding	r	0.11704	0.21199	0.23633	0.19454	-0.17456	-0.34746	0.05571	0.19073
	р	0.2212	0.0255	0.0125	0.0408	0.0669	0.0002	0.5614	0.0449
	N	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	111
CAMSA timing	r	-0.02692	0.05864	0.10457	0.06269	-0.05826	-0.05369	-0.24771	0.03412
	р	0.7821	0.5466	0.2815	0.5192	0.5492	0.5811	0.0097	0.7259
	N	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108





r = correlation coefficient between (0,19 & 0,53) (-0,25 & -0,57)

Low correlation Moderate correlation (Schober et al., 2018) (Mukaka, 2012)





Discussion

CAPL2: reliability & characteristics

Motivation/confidence questionnaire: α= 0,88 good internal consistency (Terwee et al., 2007) Findings Gunnell et al., 2018 & Li et al., 2020 Characteristics:

4 subscales, with 3 items: poor to calculate Cronbach's α in each subscale.

Knowledge/understanding questionnaire: α = 0,60 low internal consistency Findings Li et al., 2020 Characteristics:

- Weighting of scores: 4 multiple choice questions + 1 text with fill in 6 missing words
- Cultural context influence
- Not adapted to Belgian physical education & health program

CAMSA (agility and motor skills assessment): $\alpha = 0,59$ low internal consistency Characteristics:

- Results do not respect the validated protocol (Longmuir et al., 2017)
- 1 practice trial + 1 measured trial, evaluation grid completed by 2 examinators
- Pupils' help









Pearson Correlation Coefficients

Moderate correlation coefficients:

CAPL-2:

- Mean step counts
- Intrinsic motivation
- Competence for PA



Physical fitness tests:

- Cooper test
- 20m sprint
- 4*5m shuttle test

Limits:

- Pedometers versus various intensity of PA
- Intrinsic motivation versus external motivation (PE teachers, parents)
- Physical and social environments
- Psycho-affective skills (enjoyment, engagement, self perception of emotions)
- Small sample n=129 (n=87 all evaluations)







Endurance

Short and high intensity activities





Difficulties encountered in school context

Knowledge/understanding questionnaire Content questionnaire limited Active daily behaviour: in line with the new PE&H program

 CAMSA: Agility and motor skills assessment Too many parameters to control for 1 teacher, Not designed for PE teachers











Concusion Perspectives



6] CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

1) Conclusion: Not possible to apply the CAPL-2 in Belgian school context Good reliability only in motivation and confidence questionnaire A few moderate correlations between CAPL-2 and 3 physical fitness tests Contextual/practical difficulties to implement the CAPL-2 in Belgian schools

2) Perspectives:

To explore database on physical fitness To involve PE teacher in physical literacy assessment To be focused on PE teacher's adherence and provide a pedagogical tool





REFERENCES

- Constructs : A Systematic Review of Empirical Findings. Sports Medicine, 48(3), 659-682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0817-9
- based on theory and factor analyses. BMC Public Health, 18(S2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5899-2
- Physical Literacy second edition (CAPL-2): The case of a Chinese population. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38(24), 2850-2857. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1803016
- and Health Science, 6(2), 231-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.11.004
- 18(S2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5902-y
- Schober, P., Boer, C., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation Coefficients. Anesthesia & ; Analgesia, 126(5), 1763-1768. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.000000000002864
- *Education*, 48(6), 1273-1296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2</u>
- proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 60(1), 34-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.03.012



Edwards, L. C., Bryant, A. S., Keegan, R. J., Morgan, K., Cooper, S. M., & Jones, A. M. (2017). 'Measuring' Physical Literacy and Related

Gunnell, K. E., Longmuir, P. E., Barnes, J. D., Belanger, K., & Tremblay, M. S. (2018). Refining the Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy

Li, M. H., Sum, R. K. W., Tremblay, M., Sit, C. H. P., Ha, A. S. C., & Wong, S. H. S. (2020). Cross-validation of the Canadian Assessment of

Longmuir, P. E., Boyer, C., Lloyd, M., Borghese, M. M., Knight, E., Saunders, T. J., Boiarskaia, E., Zhu, W., & Tremblay, M. S. (2017). Canadian Agility and Movement Skill Assessment (CAMSA) : Validity, objectivity, and reliability evidence for children 8–12 years of age. Journal of Sport

Longmuir, P. E., Gunnell, K. E., Barnes, J. D., Belanger, K., Leduc, G., Woodruff, S. J., & Tremblay, M. S. (2018). Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy Second Edition : a streamlined assessment of the capacity for physical activity among children 8 to 12 years of age. BMC Public Health,

Mukaka, M.M. Statistics corner: A guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. *Malawi Med. J.* 2012, 24, 69–71

Taber, K. S. (2017). The Use of Cronbach's Alpha When Developing and Reporting Research Instruments in Science Education. *Research in Science*

Terwee, C. B., Bot, S. D., de Boer, M. R., van der Windt, D. A., Knol, D. L., Dekker, J., Bouter, L. M., & de Vet, H. C. (2007). Quality criteria were

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Contact: amelie.brau@hers.be

O •••O ••O Wallonie - Bruxelles International.be









