ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with DNA barcoding and GC-MS to assess the quality and purity of saffron (*Crocus Sativus L.*)

Nadia Naim, Nabil Ennahli, Hanine Hafida, Rachid Lahlali, Abdessalem Tahiri, Marie Laure Fauconnier, Ilham Madani, Said Ennahli



PII: S0924-2031(22)00113-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vibspec.2022.103446

Reference: VIBSPE103446

To appear in: *Vibrational Spectroscopy*

Received date: 31 March 2022 Revised date: 16 September 2022 Accepted date: 25 September 2022

Please cite this article as: Nadia Naim, Nabil Ennahli, Hanine Hafida, Rachid Lahlali, Abdessalem Tahiri, Marie Laure Fauconnier, Ilham Madani and Said Ennahli, ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with DNA barcoding and GC-MS to assess the quality and purity of saffron (*Crocus Sativus L.*), *Vibrational Spectroscopy*, (2022) doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vibspec.2022.103446

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier.

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with DNA barcoding and GC-MS to assess the quality and purity of saffron (*Crocus Sativus L.*)

Nadia Naim^{a,c}, Nabil Ennahli^b, Hanine Hafida^b, Rachid Lahlali^c*, Abdessalem Tahiri^c, Marie Laure Fauconnier^d, Ilham Madani^a and Said Ennahli^c*

aFaculty of Sciences, Moulay Ismail University, PO Box 11201, Zitoune, Meknes, Morocco

bFaculty of Sciences and Technics, B523, Beni Mellal, Morocco

c National School of Agriculture (ENA), BO S/40, Meknes, 50000, Morocco

d Gembloux AgroBiotech, University of Liege, Gembloux, Belgium

*Corresponding authors: rlahlali@enameknes.ac.ma (R.L.); ennahlisaid@gmail.com (S.E.)

Abstract

Fourier transform infrared spectra of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) samples were acquired using attenuated total reflectance (ATR-FTIR). The main objective of the study was to determine the chemical composition of 11 samples of saffron collected from different areas in Morocco using the chemometric analysis of ATR-FTIR fingerprints and identifying the adulterated saffron among samples bought from local markets in different countries (Spain, Iran, and Morocco). The the authenticity and the purity of saffron samples was validated through a molecular analysis (DNA barcoding coupled to sequencing) and chromatographic analysis GC-MS. The results of ATR-FTIR showed vibration intensities of six distinct fingerprint regions displaying statistically significant differences. The spectrum of the sample from Timjicht (Taznakht) showed typical bands due to the vibration in 3000-2800 cm⁻¹ (the richest in carbohydrates, lipids, and amino acids) and 1800 to 1725 cm⁻¹ region (the richest in carbonyl and ester groups) and was classified a single subset in samples scatter plot. Then samples from Boulmane (S2), Ain Leuh (S3), Taliouine (S6), and Taznakht (S7-S8) were classified close to each other, which indicates the similarity in their vibration intensities mainly in the region of carbohydrates, lipids, amino acids, and esters. Similarities in terms of proteins and hydroxyl groups were revealed between the samples from El Mers (S11) and Taliouine (S1). Finally, the last subgroup contained samples from Ourika, Azilal and Ain Atia, which showed low composition in all components. Furthermore, to detect adulterated saffron from samples of unknown origin, a comparison of the ATR-FTIR spectra were carried out with spectra of pure saffron and results

showed that the peaks at 1706, 1732, and 1225 cm⁻¹ (linked to crocin which are present primarily in saffron) were absent in one sample (SI). Interestingly, the use of another plant species named *Arrhenatherum elatius* as materiel for saffron adulteration was confirmed by the molecular study (DNA barcoding) and chromatographic analysis GC-MS

Keywords: Saffron, ATR-FTIR, Phylogeny, Purity and authenticity, Sequencing analysis, GC-MS.

1. Introduction

Saffron, and more specifically the dried red stigma of the *Crocus sativus* L. flowers, is one of the most expensive spices in the world [1,2]. It is an important coloring, and aromatic food agent is also used for its medicinal and pharmacological properties (anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsant, antidepressant, and antitumor agents [3–5]. The rich and versatile composition in carbohydrates (12-15%), proteins (10-14%), total oils (5-9%), fiber (4-5%), ash (4%), and volatiles (0.3-0.8%) is what gives the saffron its unique characteristics [6].

It contains three main chemical components: the glycosyl esters of crocetin (crocins) belonging to the carotenoids family and responsible for the bright yellow-red dye of saffron stigmas, picrocrocin (glycoside of safranal) that is responsible for the bitter taste, however, the characteristic aroma of saffron is due to safranal (monoterpene aldehyde) [7–9]. Crocins ($C_{44}H_{64}O_{24}$) are water-soluble carotenoids that give saffron its distinct and unique color and are one of the few families of carotenoids found in nature that is freely soluble in water [10–12]. Picrocrocin (4 - (β – D - glucopyranosyloxy) -2,6,6 – trimethy 1 - 1- cyclohexene -1carboxaldehyde, $C_{16}H_{26}O_7$) is thought to be the foremost contributor to the bitter taste of saffron. According to Sánchez et al. [13], picrocrocin was only identified in the genus *Crocus*, the only edible species of which is *Crocus sativus*. Therefore, this compound can constitute a molecular marker of this spice, the taste of which can not be imitated by other spices and could be used to identify true saffron. With regard to the volatile fraction of saffron responsible for its

aroma, more than 90 compounds were identified, however, safranal $C_{10}H_{14}O$ was the major compound among all of them [8,14]. This compound is the result of picrocrocin conversion by either a two-step enzymatic / dehydration process involving the intermediate HTCC (4hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethyl-1-cyclohexen-1-carboxaldehyde) or a direct thermal degradation [13,15,16]. According to Himeno and Sano [17] and Iborra [18], safranal was detected in very few plants and it can be generated when certain carotenoids are subjected to a thermal process. Its concentration in saffron is generally between 0.1% and 0.6% [19].

Considering its limited production and cultivation that occurs in cold climatic conditions and high altitudes areas, in addition to the labor-intensive extraction process, saffron is a potential candidate for commercially motivated fraud [20], and it is among the most widely interrogated for purity and authenticity [13].

Various methods are used in the adulteration of saffron in order to obtain excessive profit with low-priced products, including mixing with less valuable species (turmeric), adding various plant materials (corn beard, *Calendila officinalis*, and safflower), and natural or artificial colorants. In addition, the weight of saffron is increased by immersing the fibers in oil honey, glycerin or wax [21,22]. Investigations of authentic and commercial saffron samples of different origins are very important because the biological activity and the pharmaceutical properties of plants are strongly dependent on their structure. Many techniques are used to verify the quality and authenticity of saffron. In addition to conventional methods that involve time-consuming, laborious, costly procedures and chemical reagents, there are new, accurate and fast analytical techniques for evaluating food quality attributes such as FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy), NIR (Near-infrared spectroscopy) [23,24]. Furthermore, to detect fraudulent samples and confirm their biological origin, DNA barcoding is useful for the authentication of saffron and remains widely used for the identification of plant species [25,26].

FT-IR spectroscopy has a strong potential in the analysis and quality control of foods because of its sensitivity, versatility, and speed [27,28]. It uses mid-infrared in ranges from 4000 cm⁻¹ to 400cm⁻¹. This tool is non-destructive with an easy sample preparation protocol and does not require any hazardous chemicals, and makes qualitative analysis faster and more reliable [29]. As with all spectroscopic techniques, FTIR can be used to identify compounds or to determine the composition of samples and, therefore, the assessment of the quality of foods and their authenticity [30,31]. Moreover, the FTIR spectra are complex and consist of many related variables (wavenumber) by sample, making visual analysis very difficult and complicated. For this, chemometric approaches are generally applied to simplify or reduce the number of

parameters with minimal loss of the total variance, this facilitating their interpretation [32]. Regarding saffron, FT-IR spectroscopy was first used for the characterization of crocins and related apocarotenoids [10]. This technique was also performed for authentication of saffron in terms of geographical origin [33] and for detecting saffron deterioration due to aging [34]. The FT-IR spectra of saffron were obtained and the vibrational fundamentals from the IR spectrum were analyzed and assigned according to the available literature. Furthermore, several methods developed for the investigation of adulteration of saffron with bulking agents of plant origin are based on molecular tools [35,36]. The use of DNA barcoding coupled with sequencing has demonstrated its potential for *Crocus* spp. differentiation [37] and authentication among saffron adulterants [38]. In addition, four primary genetic regions such as rbcL, matK, trnH-psbA and ITS were widely recognized as standard DNA barcode regions for plant species [39]. Moreover, , gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is among the well-established and widely used methods to identify food aroma compounds. This method is used to quantify safranal in a range of saffron samples [8,9,40–42], for the detection of adulterants [43], and especially for geographical discrimination of saffron samples [41,42]. Therefore, the present study aimed at studying the chemical composition of 11 saffron samples collected from different areas using the chemometric analysis of ATR-FTIR fingerprints and identifying the adulterated saffron among three samples bought from local markets from different countries (Spain, Iran, and Morocco). In addition, molecular analysis (DNA barcoding coupled to sequencing) and chromatographic analysis (GC-MS) were carried out to validate the authenticity of the saffron.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials

Eleven dried saffron samples were collected from different areas of the anti-Atlas (Taliouine, Timjicht, Siroua, Khouzama), the high Atlas (Ourika), and the Middle Atlas (Azilal, Ain leuh, Serghina, Ain Atia, and El Mers) (**Figure 1**). Moreover, three commercial samples of *Crocus sativus* were purchased from the local market from Spain (SE), Iran (SI), and from Morocco (SD).

2.2. FTIR-ATR Analysis

Ground and homogenized saffron samples were scanned in the wavelength range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ using FTIR spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, USA) and the characteristic peaks and their functional groups were detected. FTIR peak values were

recorded. Each analysis was repeated three times for spectrum confirmation. Furthermore, with the objective of verifying the authenticity of three samples acquired from the market, a comparison with pure saffron was carried out using ATR-FTIR spectroscopy.

On each spectrum obtained, a correction by attenuated total reflectance (ATR correction) was carried out automatically by the PERKIN ELMER software with a basic correction (baseline) in order to correct the multiplicative interferences. To show the difference in absorbance between different saffron samples, the corrected spectrum and the absorbance of the major peaks were plotted using Origin Pro software version 2019.

2.3. DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

DNA extraction was carried out on dry saffron stigmas using a modified CTAB procedure protocol [44]. The rbcL genes of the genomic DNA of the plant were amplified using two sets of universal primers rbcL a-f (5'ATG TCACCA CAA ACA GAG ACTAAAGC3') and rbcL a-R (5'GTA AAA TCA AGT CCA CCG CG 3') [45]. PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 µl using EnzimaGoTaq DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each PCR test consists of 5 µL (Buffer 5x), 0.25 µL Taq, 1 µL of forward Primer (10µM), 1 µL of reverse Primer (10 µM). The rest of the volume is completed with sterile distilled water. Amplifications were performed following conditions for 35 cycles (95°C for 4 min, 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 10 min). PCR products were then observed using 1.5% electrophoresis gel. Sequencing analysis was carried out based on the Sanger method. The sequences were then edited and aligned using BioEdit software (version 7.0.5.3), and similarity was checked in Genbank before being deposited, using the Blast program.

2.4. Volatile profile analysis

The volatile components analysis of saffron was performed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) using Agilent 7890A system (Wilmington, DE, USA) with mass selective detector 5975 Network MSD and coupled to an MPS automatic sampling system. Chromatographic separation was performed on HP-5MS capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.17 mm), and the following temperature program was used: 60 °C held for 3min, then increased to 210 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min, then held at 210 °C for 15min, then increased to 300°C at a rate of 10 °C/min, and finally held at 300 °C for 15 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 ml/min. For quantification, the results are presented as a percentage of the peak area considering a response factor of the fiber.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS V25 software (version 25, IBM SPSS Statistics 20, New York, NY, USA) on the basis of the integrated peak areas and the results obtained were expressed as the mean±standard deviation. A variance analysis was performed to check if there was a significant difference between samples from the studied areas. Duncan'multiple analysis was used to compare the mean of sample absorbance at a significance level of p<0.05. The principal component analysis (PCA) was performed p according to the correlation coefficients and the Varimax rotation method with Kaizer normalization was used in order to identify the most discriminating variables and the total inertia expressed by the model.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis FTIR combined with chemometrics of saffron samples of known origin

Infrared spectroscopy is an effective tool to detect different chemical components in food products [46,47]. So different varieties and different processed products would be distinguished by this tool. The FTIR spectrum was used to identify the functional group of active components based on the peak value in the infrared radiation region. It provides valuable information associated with the main secondary metabolites of saffron, namely crocins and picrocrocins, which can represent more than 50% of dried stigmas.

FTIR-ATR spectra of saffron samples are illustrated in **Figure 2** and their assignments are detailed in **Table 1**. The spectra were obtained in a range of 4000 to 400cm⁻¹ and six absorbance zones were identified: 3700-3000cm⁻¹, 3000-2800 cm⁻¹, 1800-1725 cm⁻¹, 1700-1500 cm⁻¹, 1500-1185 cm⁻¹ and 1185-900 cm⁻¹. According to the results obtained, all the spectra have a normal appearance compared to the spectrum of pure saffron [23,48]

The absorption band at 3350 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the stretching vibration of the O-H hydroxyl group. The 3000 - 2800 cm⁻¹ region shows two peaks due to asymmetrical and symmetrical stretch vibrations of methylene. The bands in the region of 1775 - 1725 cm⁻¹ are due to stretching of the carbonyl and ester groups (**Table 1**). The vibration of the carbonyl group (C=O) related to the crocin content in the saffron samples was observed at 1732 cm⁻¹ [49]. The bands at 1650 cm⁻¹were assigned to the -C=C group and conjugated C=O group (e.g. aldehydic C=O of picrocrocin). Another characteristic vibration of saffron, attributed to the C-O stretch of C(=O)-O in the crocin ester groups, is responsible for the band located at 1225 cm⁻¹ [48].

The bands in the 1500-1250cm⁻¹region, due to C=C and C-C stretch, are linked to the polyene chain present in the structure of crocetin, known as the main chromophore of saffron [50]. The 1200-700cm⁻¹region corresponds to sugars and polysaccharides, the absorption bands are mainly attributed to the stretching vibrations of the C-O group in saccharides. According to Ordoudi et al. [23], the region between 1175 and 1157 cm⁻¹ provides information on the extent of hydrolysis of the main oligosaccharides (gentiobiosis andnapolitanose) and/or polysaccahrides due to storage. Also, the bands at 1028 cm⁻¹ associated with the presence of glucose group, as well as intensities in the 1175-1157 cm⁻¹ region related to the breakdown of saffron deterioration.

The main vibration regions are shown separately in Figure 3 and the average areas obtained by integration are shown in **Table 2**. In the first vibration region (3700-3000 cm⁻¹), the samples showed significant differences (p<0.01) with a mean of 14.89 ± 5.31 . In the second vibration region (3000-2800 cm⁻¹) which exhibits two peaks due to asymmetric and symmetrical stretching vibrations of methylene, the sample S4 showed the highest absorbance with an average peak area of 7.6 \pm 0.10; indicates that the saffron from Timjicht (Taznakht) is rich in carbohydrates, lipids and amino acids (Figure 3), followed by S3 and S1 from Ain Leuh (Ifrane) and Askouan (Taliouine) with an average area of 6.50 ± 0.50 and 6.30 ± 2.85 , respectively. This finding is in accordance with the results of assays on secondary metabolites, particularly picrocrocins. Furthermore, the analysis of variance of integrated intensities corresponding to the absorption in this region showed significant differences among samples (p<0.05). In the region of 1800 to 1725 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the carbonyl and ester groups, the highest absorbance and peak area were recorded in sample S4 (Timjicht/Taznakht) followed by S1 and S2 from Taliouine and Serghina (Boulmane), respectively. The vibration of the carbonyl group (C=O) is related to the crocin content as confirmed by [49], using UV spectroscopy. For the bands corresponding to proteins (1700-1500 cm⁻¹ and 1500-1185 cm⁻¹),to sugars and polysaccahrides (1185-900 cm⁻¹), the samples with the highest absorbances and areas remain S4 and S1 from Timjicht (Taznakht) and Askouan (Taliouine) followed by S3 and S2 from Ain leuh and Serghina (Boulmane) respectively. Interestingly, the analysis of variance of integrated areas corresponding to the absorption in these regions showed significant differences.

Based on the areas calculated from the regions of vibrations, ATR-FTIR spectroscopy combined with principal component analysis (PCA) allows reducing the size of the data

(multitude of wavelengths) with minimal risk of loss of total variance. Furthermore, PCA is used for preliminary exploratory analysis to visualize the sample distribution and investigate possible patterns owing to saffron purity. In our study, only a principal component load greater than 0.7 was considered to be significant for each factor. The PCA revealed two components that express 95.86% of the variance (**Table 3**). The first component PC1 represented 53.13% of the total variance and was mainly explained by vibration regions attributed to carbohydrates, lipids, amino acids, carbonyl and ester groups, sugars and polysaccahrides. As for the second component PC2, it represented 42.73% of the total variance and corresponded to the absorbance region of proteins and the hydroxyl group.

The scatter plot was built on the basis of two principal components, PC1 and PC2 whose respective expressed variances are 53.13 and 42.73%. Four groups were revealed. The sample from Timjicht (S4) was classified as a single subset in the samples scatter plot, and then samples from Boulmane (S2), Ain Leuh (S3), Taliouine (S6), and Taznakht (S7-S8) were classified close to each other, which indicates the similarity in their vibration intensities mainly in the region of carbohydrates, lipids, amino acids and esters. Similarities in terms of proteins and hydroxyl groups were revealed between the samples from El Mers (S11) and Taliouine (S1). Finally, the last sub-group contained samples from Ourika, Azilal, and Ain Atia, which showed low composition in all components.

3.2. Determination of saffron fraud

3.2.1. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

To detect adulterated saffron from samples of unknown origin, a comparison of the ATR-FTIR spectra was carried out with spectra of pure saffron. Three samples were acquired from local markets: SD, SI, and SE, and their FTIR spectra were compared with the spectra of the pure saffron (S1 acquired from a producer in Askaoun/Taliouine). The results are presented in **Figure 5**.

As shown in **Figure 6**, FTIR spectra provide important information to differentiate between saffron and other plant material, although common characteristics can be found. The absorption bands at 1706, 1732 and 1225 cm⁻¹ are linked to crocin. These characteristic peaks are absent in SI which is assumed to be from Iran. The characteristic absorption band of -C=C group and conjugated C=O group (e.g. aldehydic C=O of picrocrocin) located at 1650 cm⁻¹ in saffron is shifted to 1654 cm⁻¹. This means that it is another plant material other than the *Crocus sativus*. Regarding the sample originating from Spain (SE), the FTIR spectra show the same appearance

as that of pure saffron (S1). The peak characterizing the saffron in the 2000-500 cm⁻¹ region and providing important information on secondary metabolites in particular crocins and picrocrocins are also present which demonstrates its authenticity.

For the last one supposed to originate from Morocco (SD), the FTIR spectra show some difference, especially at 1225 cm⁻¹. The 1200–700 cm⁻¹ range is mostly correlated with the presence of sugars and polysaccharides and the spectra in this region are different from that of pure saffron. This can lead to the conclusion that SD could be saffron mixed with another plant material.

3.3. DNA barcoding

To verify the aforementioned results, tests for species authentication were performed using the rbcl marker DNA barcoding method as described below. The phylogenetic relationships of the different samples are shown in **Figure 7**. The results showed that the 13 samples were identified as saffron plants, namely *Crocus sativus*; and only one was identified as *Arrhenatherum elatius* which is the sample 'SI' bought from the local market and supposedly from Iran. Phylogeny tree analysis (**Figure 7**) has shown that all the samples identified as saffron were clustered with the reference *Crocus sativus* (MN606017), while the sample that was not saffron was clustered with its reference *Arrhenatherum elatius* (MF596585). This confirms our results obtained by FTIR-ATR spectroscopy, which revealed that the spectrum of SI is different from that of *Crocus sativus*. **Table 4** shows the identity of the samples and their GenBank accession number and the percentage of similarity.

Currently, consumer awareness is growing on food safety, and they are increasingly interested in knowing the source, the origin, and the quality of the foods they eat. For this and in order to keep them informed regarding their diet and the type of food they buy from retailers, food traceability and validation have become key issues [51]. Saffron is one of the most expensive spices used in the food industry and it is highly regarded for its properties of taste, flavor, color, and health benefits. These valuable properties, combined with a limited production, increased its market value and induced various adulterations [20].

To detect fraudulent samples and confirm their biological origin, DNA barcoding is a useful tool for the authentication of saffron and remains widely used for the identification of plant species) [25]. In our study, the rbcL gene was used as a barcode gene for the identification of saffron. This approach has proven to be effective in determining the samples' origin and their

quality, detecting adulteration of substandard materials present in the food, and protecting the consumer from these unfair practices [52].

According to the results obtained, 13 samples, including 2 saffron bought respectively at the local market (SD) and at the supermarket (SE of Spain) are real saffron identical to the species *Crocus sativus* while the sample bought in the local market and supposed to be an Iranian origin and which turns out to be of another plant species named *Arrhenatherum elatius* used as adulterants with saffron. This DNA barcode approach is widely applicable because it is based on the genetic traceability of plant material using sequences from the DNA segment of the plastid genome found in each cell of a plant. Previous reports indicate that plant materials commonly used in the adulteration of saffron are corn beard, *Calendila officinalis, Carthamus tinctorius* (safflower), *Curcuma longa L.* (turmeric) [13,21,53], other illegitimate materials have been reported such us : *Buddleja officinalis, Gardenia jasminoides, Arnica montana,* petals of *Hemerocallis* spp, seeds of *Bixa Orellana* [36], and *Morhusalba, Pistaciavera, Triticum aestivum* and *Erichloa spp.* [52]. Finally, in this research, new plant material (*Arrhenatherum elatius*) was identified as an adulterant of saffron. To our knowledge, it was never reported by other authors.

3.4. Gaz chromatography –Mass spectrometry

In order to validate the results obtained by both the FTIR-ATR analysis and the molecular analysis, the volatile profile of the four samples was analyzed by GC-MS in order to detect the adulterated samples. The results are presented in Table 5. The results showed that S1 and SE samples contain several volatile compounds, which are naturally present in saffron. The terpenes were the most abundant in both samples, with monoterpenic aldehydes (such as Safranal) and monoterpenic ketones (such as isophorone, and 4-ketoisophorone) being the most represented groups, which are in line with reported data [8,54]. This finding confirmed our results obtained by FTIR and barcoding techniques.

Safranal is the most volatile component of saffron and constitutes about 60% of the volatile fraction [55]. Our study revealed that the content of safranal was 52.21% and 83.53% in S1 and SE, respectively. As for isophorone, their values were 15.41% and 2.14% in S1 and SE, respectively. The SD sample, whose FTIR spectrum was different from the normal spectrum of saffron, had a low level of safranal (10.17%). The results revealed the presence of other compounds, which are not naturally occurring in saffron, in particular Alpha-muurolene, Beta-bisabolene and Delta-Cadinene. These were inconsistent with molecular analysis results, which

showed that SD was a *Crocus sativus*. This demonstrates that barcoding DNA can be trusted alone as not a discriminating technique when saffron samples are blended with other plant species because PCR amplification is done from a molecule. Furthermore, the SI sample, which is not pure saffron as shown by both spectral and molecular analyses, exhibited a different volatile profile with the presence of strange compounds that not occurring naturally in saffron, in particular menthol (46.77%) and p-Menth-3-ene (2.21%). The SI sample had also low level of safranal (39.93%) and isophorone (1.48%). Thus, validating results obtained by FTIR analysis and barcoding DNA. The presence of safranal and isophorone in low content confirms that it is adulterated saffron.

4. Conclusion

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy is a non-destructive, rapid, and promising method for the assessment of the quality of saffron as well as detecting any potential adulteration. The ATR-FTIR spectra analysis revealed six fingerprint regions in which, all saffron samples showed statistically different vibrations intensity expected in 1800-1725 cm⁻¹. The sample of Timjicht (Taznakht) showed a typical difference, which exhibited the highest carbohydrates, lipids, amino acids, carbonyl and ester groups. ATR-FTIR analysis revealed its strong potential in the determination of saffron fraud compared with traditional methods, which are costly and time-consuming. Furthermore, the rbcL marker DNA barcoding method can remain indiscriminate in the case of a mixture of plant species and made it possible to identify *Arrhenatherum elatius* as an adulterant of saffron. Therefore, it was concluded that the FTIR analysis validated by the robust GC-MS analysis, gave more reliable results than the barcoding DNA in the detection of adulteration in saffron samples.

Acknowledgement

This study was financially supported by the Phytopathology Unit of ENA-Meknès. The FTIR analysis was performed in the Central Research Laboratory of the National school of Agriculture (ENA) of Meknes-Morocco, for which we are grateful. We are thankful to Mr. Radouane Nabil (ENA-Meknès, Phytopathology Unit) for his help with molecular analysis.

Declarations

Conflict of interest: There are no conflicts to declare

11

References

- [1] L. Cardone, D. Castronuovo, M. Perniola, N. Cicco, V. Candido, Saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.), the king of spices: An overview, Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 272 (2020) 109560.
- [2] M. Kafi, A.N. Kamili, A.M. Husaini, M. Ozturk, V. Altay, An expensive spice saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.): a case study from Kashmir, Iran, and Turkey, in: Glob. Perspect. Underutilized Crop., Springer, 2018: pp. 109–149.
- [3] H. Hosseinzadeh, V. Khosravan, Anticonvulsant effects of aqueous ana ethanolic extracts of Crocus sativus L stigmas in mice, (2002).
- [4] H. Hosseinzadeh, G.H. Karimi, M. Niapoor, Antidepressant effects of *Crocus sativus* stigma extracts and its constituents, crocin and safranal, in mice, J. Med. Plants. 3 (2004) 48–58.
- [5] A. Kyriakoudi, S.A. Ordoudi, M. Roldán-Medina, M.Z. Tsimidou, Saffron, a functional spice, Austin J Nutr. Food Sci. 3 (2015) 1059.
- [6] R. Kumar, V. Singh, K. Devi, M. Sharma, M.K. Singh, P.S. Ahuja, State of Art of Saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) Agronomy: A Comprehensive Review, Food Rev. Int. 25 (2008) 44–85. https://doi.org/10.1080/87559120802458503.
- [7] J.L. Rios, M.C. Recio, R.M. Giner, S. Manez, An update review of saffron and its active constituents, Phyther. Res. 10 (1996) 189–193.
- [8] C. Condurso, F. Cincotta, G. Tripodi, A. Verzera, Bioactive volatiles in Sicilian (South Italy) saffron: safranal and its related compounds, J. Essent. Oil Res. 29 (2017) 221–227. https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2016.1244115.
- [9] M. D'Auria, G. Mauriello, G.L. Rana, Volatile organic compounds from saffron, Flavour Fragr. J. 19 (2004) 17–23.
- [10] P.A. Tarantilis, A. Beljebbar, M. Manfait, M. Polissiou, FT-IR, FT-Raman spectroscopic study of carotenoids from saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) and some derivatives, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 54 (1998) 651–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-1425(98)00024-9.
- [11] M.A. Maggi, S. Bisti, C. Picco, Saffron: Chemical composition and neuroprotective activity, Molecules. 25 (2020) 5618.
- [12] M. Jose Bagur, G.L. Alonso Salinas, A.M. Jiménez-Monreal, S. Chaouqi, S. Llorens, M. Martínez-Tomé, G.L. Alonso, Saffron: An old medicinal plant and a potential novel functional food, Molecules. 23 (2017) 30.
- [13] A.M. Sánchez, L. Maggi, M. Carmona, G.L. Alonso, Authentication of Saffron Spice (*Crocus sativus* L.), in: 2011: pp. 309–331. https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2011-1081.ch022.
- [14] P.A. Tarantilis, G. Tsoupras, M. Polissiou, Determination of saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) components in crude plant extract using high-performance liquid chromatography-UV-visible photodiode-array detection-mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 699 (1995) 107–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9673(95)00044-N.
- [15] M. Carmona, A. Zalacain, G.L. Alonso, The chemical composition of saffron: color, taste and aroma, Bomarzo SL. (2006).

- [16] Y. Tong, X. Zhu, Y. Yan, R. Liu, F. Gong, L. Zhang, J. Hu, L. Fang, R. Wang, P. Wang, The influence of different drying methods on constituents and antioxidant activity of saffron from China, Int. J. Anal. Chem. 2015 (2015).
- [17] H. Himeno, K. Sano, Synthesis of Crocin, Picrocrocin and Safranal by Saffron Stigmalike Structures Proliferated in Vitro, Agric. Biol. Chem. 51 (1987) 2395–2400. https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1987.10868396.
- [18] J.L. Iborra, M.R. Castellar, M. Cánovas, A. Manjón, Analysis of a packed-bed reactor for hydrolysis of picrocrocin by immobilized β-glucosidase, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 15 (1993) 780–784. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-0229(93)90009-Q.
- [19] M.V. García-Rodríguez, H. López-Córcoles, G.L. Alonso, C.S. Pappas, M.G. Polissiou, P.A. Tarantilis, Comparative evaluation of an ISO 3632 method and an HPLC-DAD method for safranal quantity determination in saffron, Food Chem. 221 (2017) 838–843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.089.
- [20] R. Johnson, Food fraud and economically motivated adulteration of food and food ingredients, (2014).
- [21] S. Hagh-Nazari, N. Keifi, Saffron and various fraud manners in its production and trades, Acta Hortic. (2007) 411–416. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2007.739.54.
- [22] K. Heidarbeigi, S.S. Mohtasebi, A. Foroughirad, M. Ghasemi-Varnamkhasti, S. Rafiee, K. Rezaei, Detection of adulteration in saffron samples using electronic nose, Int. J. Food Prop. 18 (2015) 1391–1401.
- [23] S.A. Ordoudi, M. de los Mozos Pascual, M.Z. Tsimidou, On the quality control of traded saffron by means of transmission Fourier-transform mid-infrared (FT-MIR) spectroscopy and chemometrics, Food Chem. 150 (2014) 414–421.
- [24] S. Li, B. Xing, D. Lin, H. Yi, Q. Shao, Rapid detection of saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) Adulterated with lotus stamens and corn stigmas by near-infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, Ind. Crops Prod. 152 (2020) 112539.
- [25] W.J. Kress, Plant DNA barcodes: Applications today and in the future, J. Syst. Evol. 55 (2017) 291–307. https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12254.
- [26] N. Nehal, B. Choudhary, A. Nagpure, R.K. Gupta, DNA barcoding: A modern age tool for detection of adulteration in food, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 41 (2021) 767–791.
- [27] D.-W. Sun, Infrared spectroscopy for food quality analysis and control, Academic press, 2009.
- [28] F.R. Van de Voort, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy applied to food analysis, Food Res. Int. 25 (1992) 397–403.
- [29] A. Naumann, G. Heine, R. Rauber, Efficient discrimination of oat and pea roots by cluster analysis of Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, F. Crop. Res. 119 (2010) 78–84.
- [30] N. Cebi, O. Taylan, M. Abusurrah, O. Sagdic, Detection of Orange Essential Oil, Isopropyl Myristate, and Benzyl Alcohol in Lemon Essential Oil by FTIR Spectroscopy Combined with Chemometrics, Foods. 10 (2020) 27. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10010027.

- [31] R. Kaavya, R. Pandiselvam, M. Mohammed, R. Dakshayani, A. Kothakota, S. V Ramesh, D. Cozzolino, C. Ashokkumar, Application of infrared spectroscopy techniques for the assessment of quality and safety in spices: a review, Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 55 (2020) 593–611.
- [32] C.K. Muro, K.C. Doty, J. Bueno, L. Halámková, I.K. Lednev, Vibrational spectroscopy: recent developments to revolutionize forensic science, Anal. Chem. 87 (2015) 306–327.
- [33] E. Anastasaki, C. Kanakis, C. Pappas, L. Maggi, C.P. Del Campo, M. Carmona, G.L. Alonso, M.G. Polissiou, Differentiation of saffron from four countries by mid-infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 230 (2010) 571–577.
- [34] R. Consonni, S.A. Ordoudi, L.R. Cagliani, M. Tsiangali, M.Z. Tsimidou, On the traceability of commercial saffron samples using 1H-NMR and FT-IR metabolomics, Molecules. 21 (2016) 286.
- [35] A. Torelli, M. Marieschi, R. Bruni, Authentication of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) in different processed, retail products by means of SCAR markers, Food Control. 36 (2014) 126–131.
- [36] G. Soffritti, M. Busconi, R.A. Sánchez, J.-M. Thiercelin, M. Polissiou, M. Roldán, J.A. Fernández, Genetic and epigenetic approaches for the possible detection of adulteration and auto-adulteration in saffron (Crocus sativus L.) spice, Molecules. 21 (2016) 343.
- [37] A. Gismondi, F. Fanali, J.M.M. Labarga, M.G. Caiola, A. Canini, Crocus sativus L. genomics and different DNA barcode applications, Plant Syst. Evol. 299 (2013) 1859– 1863.
- [38] C. Villa, J. Costa, L. Meira, M.B.P.P. Oliveira, I. Mafra, Exploiting DNA mini-barcodes as molecular markers to authenticate saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.), Food Control. 65 (2016) 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.01.008.
- [39] X. Li, Y. Yang, R.J. Henry, M. Rossetto, Y. Wang, S. Chen, Plant DNA barcoding: from gene to genome, Biol. Rev. 90 (2015) 157–166.
- [40] M. Bononi, P. Milella, F. Tateo, Gas chromatography of safranal as preferable method for the commercial grading of saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.), Food Chem. 176 (2015) 17– 21.
- [41] I.K. Karabagias, M. Koutsoumpou, V. Liakou, S. Kontakos, M.G. Kontominas, Characterization and geographical discrimination of saffron from Greece, Spain, Iran, and Morocco based on volatile and bioactivity markers, using chemometrics, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 243 (2017) 1577–1591.
- [42] A.A. D'Archivio, L. Di Pietro, M.A. Maggi, L. Rossi, Optimization using chemometrics of HS-SPME/GC–MS profiling of saffron aroma and identification of geographical volatile markers, Eur. Food Res. Technol. 244 (2018) 1605–1613.
- [43] F. Di Donato, A.A. D'Archivio, M.A. Maggi, L. Rossi, Detection of plant-derived adulterants in saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) by HS-spme/GC-MS profiling of volatiles and chemometrics, Food Anal. Methods. 14 (2021) 784–796.
- [44] H. Li, J. Luo, J.-T. Wang, J. Hemphill, J. Gould, Erratum to: A rapid and high yielding DNA mini-prep for cotton (*Gossypium* spp.), Plant Mol. Biol. Report. 20 (2002) 203–

203. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02799439.

- [45] V.A. Parvathy, V.P. Swetha, T.E. Sheeja, B. Sasikumar, A two locus barcode for discriminating Piper nigrum from its related adulterant species, Indian J. Biotechnol. 17 (2018) 346–350.
- [46] R.M. Balabin, S. V Smirnov, Melamine detection by mid-and near-infrared (MIR/NIR) spectroscopy: a quick and sensitive method for dairy products analysis including liquid milk, infant formula, and milk powder, Talanta. 85 (2011) 562–568.
- [47] A.B. Snyder, C.F. Sweeney, L.E. Rodriguez-Saona, M.M. Giusti, Rapid authentication of concord juice concentration in a grape juice blend using Fourier-Transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometric analysis, Food Chem. 147 (2014) 295–301.
- [48] E.A. Petrakis, M.G. Polissiou, Assessing saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) adulteration with plant-derived adulterants by diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy coupled with chemometrics, Talanta. 162 (2017) 558–566.
- [49] F.Y. Lee, T.T. Htar, G.A. Akowuah, ATR-FTIR and spectrometric methods for the assay of crocin in commercial saffron spices (*Crocus savitus* L.), Int. J. Food Prop. 18 (2015) 1773–1783.
- [50] S. Varliklioz Er, H. Eksi-Kocak, H. Yetim, I.H. Boyaci, Novel spectroscopic method for determination and quantification of saffron adulteration, Food Anal. Methods. 10 (2017) 1547–1555.
- [51] N. Ahmed, D. Sangale, A. Tiknaik, B. Prakash, R. Hange, R. Sanil, S. Khan, G. Khedkar, Authentication of origin of meat species processed under various Indian culinary procedures using DNA barcoding, Food Control. 90 (2018) 259–265.
- [52] V. Khilare, A. Tiknaik, B. Prakash, B. Ughade, G. Korhale, D. Nalage, N. Ahmed, C. Khedkar, G. Khedkar, Multiple tests on saffron find new adulterant materials and reveal that Ist grade saffron is rare in the market, Food Chem. 272 (2019) 635–642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.089.
- [53] M. Marieschi, A. Torelli, R. Bruni, Quality control of saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.): development of SCAR markers for the detection of plant adulterants used as bulking agents, J. Agric. Food Chem. 60 (2012) 10998–11004.
- [54] R. Cozzolino, M. Stocchero, R. Perestrelo, J.S. Câmara, Comprehensive Evaluation of the Volatomic Fingerprint of Saffron from Campania towards Its Authenticity and Quality, Foods. 11 (2022) 366.
- [55] L. Maggi, M. Carmona, A. Zalacain, C.D. Kanakis, E. Anastasaki, P.A. Tarantilis, M.G. Polissiou, G.L. Alonso, Changes in saffron volatile profile according to its storage time, Food Res. Int. 43 (2010) 1329–1334. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2010.03.025.
- [56] R.H. Wilson, A.C. Smith, M. Kacuráková, P.K. Saunders, N. Wellner, K.W. Waldron, The mechanical properties and molecular dynamics of plant cell wall polysaccharides studied by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, Plant Physiol. 124 (2000) 397–406.

Figure captions



Figure 1. Map showing the location of sample location zones



Figure 2. FTIR spectra of saffron samples with absorbance value of $4000-500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$



Figure 3. Profils of the FTIR spectrum of different saddron samples: a-f refer to the following major vibration regions: 3700 -3000, 3000-2800, 1800-1725, 1700-1500, 1500-1185 and 1185-900 cm⁻¹



Figure 4. Scatter plots of saffron samples performed on average integrated areas of each fingerprint regions (3700–3000, 3000–2800, 1800–1725, 1700–1500, 1500–1185 and 1185–900 cm⁻¹) collected using ATR–FTIR spectra

Sonution



Figure 5. FTIR spectra of pure saffron (black line) and other samples acquired from the local market (SD, SE, and SI) over the spectral region 4000-400 cm⁻¹



Figure 6. FTIR spectra of pure saffron (black line) and other samples (Redline) acquired from the local market (SD, SE, and SI) over the spectral region 2000–500 cm⁻¹



Figure 7. Phylogenetic identification of saffron and its adulterant

Table	1.	Wavenumber	ranges	and	their	tentatively	dominating	chemical	compounds
summa	rizeo	d from Wilson	et al. (20	00),	Nauma	ann et al. (20	10) and Lee	et al. (2015)	[29,49,56].

Wavenumber range (cm ⁻¹)	Assignments to chemical- compound	Functional groups
3700-2996	Various	О–Н. N–Н
2996-2800	Lipids, carbohydrates	С-Н,

1800-1485	Proteins	Amid I + II
	Proteins, lipids.	CH2, CH3
1485-1185	phosphate	P= O.
1775-1725	Groups of carbonyls and	C=0
	esters	
1185-900	Cellulose, hemicelluloses.	С-О-С, С-О, С-С, С-Н
	sugars	4

Table 2. Integrated ATR spectra in the major absorption bands of saffron samples

Samples	Main vibration regions (wavenumbers cm ⁻¹)						
	3700-3000	3000- 2800	1800- 1725	1700-1500	1500-1185	1185-900	
S1	23.31±1.02 ^d	6.30±2.85 ^{de}	0.97 ± 0.42^{bc}	$4.41{\pm}1.70^{a}$	5.37±1.95 ^e	7.17±2.74 ^e	
S2	14.41 ± 1.49^{abc}	5.72±1.16 ^{de}	0.92 ± 0.16^{bc}	3.54±0.32 ^{abc}	$4.54{\pm}0.49^{de}$	5.45±0.44 ^{cde}	
S 3	15.86±0.90 ^{abc}	6.50±0.50 ^{de}	0.79±0.01 ^{ab}	3.76 ± 0.24^{bc}	$5.01{\pm}0.30^{de}$	6.28±0.33 ^{de}	
S4	19.84±0.37 ^{cd}	7.60±0.10 ^e	1.21 ± 0.07^{c}	4.59±0.18 ^c	5.72±0.09 ^e	7.57±0.10 ^e	
S 5	9.19±1.85 ^a	3.77±0.49 ^{abc}	0.56±0.12 ^a	2.51 ± 0.49^{a}	3.14±0.52 ^{abc}	4.07±0.62 ^{abc}	
S6	13.76±0.99 ^{abc}	5.02±0.24 ^{cd}	$0.82{\pm}0.17^{ab}$	3.25 ± 0.20^{ab}	$4.07{\pm}0.25^{cde}$	5.37±0.29 ^{cde}	
S7	16.29 ± 2.36^{dc}	$5.83{\pm}0.67^{de}$	$0.92{\pm}0.11^{bc}$	3.72 ± 0.47^{bc}	4.43±0.53 ^{cde}	5.82±0.72 ^{cde}	
S8	13.43±1.29 ^{abc}	5.79±0.39 ^{de}	0.92 ± 0.09^{bc}	3.16 ± 0.26^{ab}	3.96±0.31 ^{bcd}	5±0.39 ^{abc}	
S 9	9.46±1.26 ^{ab}	2.57±0.31 ^a	0.66 ± 0.08^{ab}	2.55 ± 0.0^{a}	2.65±0.37 ^a	3.37±0.58 ^a	
S10	$9.64{\pm}1.74^{ab}$	2.92±0.56 ^{ab}	0.66 ± 0.08^{ab}	2.49 ± 0.38^{a}	3.05 ± 0.46^{ab}	3.75±0.60 ^{ab}	
S11	18.58±1.66 ^{cd}	4.61±0.74 ^{bcd}	0.89 ± 0.16^{bc}	3.52±0.43 ^{abc}	4.03±0.55 ^{cde}	5.22±0.84 ^{bcd}	
Average	14.89±5.31	5.15±1.72	0.85±0.22	3.41±0.85	4.18±1.11	5.37±1.51	
Average square ANOVA	61.53**	7.25**	0.09	1.53*	2.83**	5.27**	

*, significant difference at the 0.05 level, **, significant difference at the 0.01 level. Data represent mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Values followed by different letters (a- b- c- d-e) in the same column are significantly different according to Duncan's test (p < 0.05)

Main vibration regions	Component				
_	PC1	PC2			
3700-3000 cm ⁻¹	0.443	0.892			
3000-2800 cm ⁻¹	0.902	0.409			
1800-1725 cm ⁻¹	0.727	0.555			
1700-1500 cm ⁻¹	0.684	0.724			
1500-1185 cm ⁻¹	0.788	0.595			
1185-900 cm ⁻¹	0.749	0.644			
Variance (%)	53.13	42.73			
Cumulative (%)	95	.86%			
Eigenvalues higher than 0.7 are m	entioned in bold	~			

Table 3. Principal component (PC) variation load factor in the studied saffron samples

-

Isolate	Identity	Accession number	Similarity (%)	
S1	Crocus sativus	MZ900897	99	
S2	Crocus sativus	MZ900898	99	
S3	Crocus sativus	MZ900899	99	
S4	Crocus sativus	MZ900900	99	
S5	Crocus sativus	MZ900901	99	
S6	Crocus sativus	MZ900902	99	
S7	Crocus sativus	MZ900903	99	
S8	Crocus sativus	MZ900904	99	
S9	Crocus sativus	MZ900905	99	
S10	Crocus sativus	MZ900906	99	
S11	Crocus sativus	MZ900907	99	
SD	Crocus sativus	MZ900908	99	
SE	Crocus sativus	MZ900909	99	

Table 4. Identified species and their accession number in the Genbank.

23

Sam	Compound	CAS	RT	RI	% Peak
ple		num		calcula	area
•		ber		ted	
S1	2(5H)-Furanone	497-	6.75	918	1.62
		23-4	2		
	2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-	9.57	1032	0.34
		76-7	5		
	Nonanal	124-	11.5	1106	0.43
		19-6	34		
	2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexa-1,4-dienecarbaldehyde	1623	11.6	1110	0.71
		76-	34		
		82-1			
	3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (isophorone)	78-	12.1	1130	15.41
		59-1	16		
	2,6,6-trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1,4-dione	1125-	12.7	1154	14.48
	(ketoisophorone)	21-9	22		
	2-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone	4883-	12.7	1156	0.29
		60-7	81		
	2-hydroxy-4,4,6-trimethylcyclohexa-2,5-dienone	2875	13.1	1170	0.28
		0-52-	45		
		9			
	2,6,6-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione	2054	13.3	1179	9.26
		7-99-	93		
		3			
	3,4-dimethylbenzaldehyde	5779-	13.7	1192	0.20
		95-3	57		
	2,6,6-trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1-	116-	14.2	1213	52.21
	carboxaldehyde (safranal)	26-7	75		
	4-methylene-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone	2054	14.6	1228	0.57
		8-00-	16		
		9			0.50
	2-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-ene-1,4-	3569	14.9	1240	0.50
	dione	2-98-	04		
		9	1 < 7	1010	0.04
	4-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone	1420	16./	1313	0.94
		3-59-	33		
		9	107	1200	1 70
	2,4,4-trimetnyl-3-carboxaldenyde-5-nydroxy-2,5-	3569	18./	1398	1.79
	cyclonexadien-1-one	2-95-	10		
	1 hudrowy 0 6 6 trimothed and 1 have 1	0 25 (0	10.2	1400	0.20
	4-nyuroxy-2,0,0-trimetnyicycionex-1-	3309	19.3	1428	0.30
	enecarbaidenyde	2-94- ~	57		
		5			

 Table 5. List of the identified volatile compounds in saffron samples

	Journal Pre-proof				24
					21
	2,6-Di-tert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone	719- 22-2	20.3 98	1474	0.16
	1-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-phenyl)	1403 5-34- 8	23.9 57	1645	0.51
SI	1-carboxaldehyde-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylene-3- cyclohexene	6910 12-	11.6 16	1109	1.16
	3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (isophorone)	38-3 78- 59-1	12.0	1125	1.48
	3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1,4-dione	1125- 21-9	12.5 87	1149	2.40
	Isomenthone	491- 07-6	12.8 69	1160	0.45
	2,6,6-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione	2054 7-99-	13.2 05	1172	2.91
	Menthol	3 1535 6-70-	13.4 16	1180	46.77
	dodecane	4 112- 40-3	13.9 63	1200	0.97
	2,6,6-trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1- carboxaldehyde (safranal)	116- 26-7	14.1 16	1206	39.93
	2-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-ene-1,4- dione	3569 2-98- 9	14.8 75	1239	0.62
	p-Menth-3-ene	500- 00-5	16.3 51	1297	2.21
	2,4,4-trimethyl-3-carboxaldehyde-5-hydroxy-2,5- cyclohexadien-1-one	3569 2-95-	18.6 45	1395	0.58
	4-hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1- enecarbaldehyde	6 3569 2-94- 5	19.3 39	1427	0.52
SD	3,7-dimethyldecane	1731 2-54- 8	11.4 57	1102	16.96
	1-carboxaldehyde-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylene-3- cyclohexene	6910 12- 58-5	11.5 93	1108	9.69
	2,6,6-trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1- carboxaldehyde (safranal)	116- 26-7	14.0 57	1204	10.17
	4-hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1- enecarbaldehyde	3569 2-94-	19.4 22	1431	24.03
	Alpha-muurolene	3 3198 3-22-	21.1 51	1508	15.70
	Beta-bisabolene	9 495- 61-4	21.2 74	1514	11.27

Delta-cadinene	483-	21.6	1532	12.18
3,7-dimethyldecane	1731	45	1102	0.365
	2-54- 8	58		
1-carboxaldehyde-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylene-3- cyclohexene	6910 12-	11.6 28	1110	2.011
	58-5	12.0	1126	2.1.4
3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (Isophorone)	78- 59-1	12.0 1	1126	2.14
3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1,4-dione	1125- 21-9	12.5 87	1149	3.025
2,6,6-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione	2054 7.00	13.2	1172	0.765
(Ketoisophorone)	7-99- 3			
2,6,6-trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1- carboxaldehyde (safranal)	116- 26-7	14.1 75	1209	83.537
4-methylene-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone	2054	14.5	1226	1.269
	8-00- 9	75		
2-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-ene-1,4- dione	3569 2-98-	14.8 81	1239	1.267
	9	16.6	1211	1 420
4-nydroxy-3,5,5-trimetnyicyclonex-2-enone	1420 3-59-	16.6 87	1311	1.429
2.4.4-trimethyl-3-carboxaldehyde-5-hydroxy-2.5-	9 3569	18.6	1396	1.143
cyclohexadien-1-one	2-95-	63	1070	
4-hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-	6 3569	19.3	1427	1.122
enecarbaldehyde	2-94- 5	45		
	Delta-cadinene3,7-dimethyldecane1-carboxaldehyde-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylene-3-cyclohexene3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (Isophorone)3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1,4-dione2,6,6-trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione(Kétoisophorone)2,6,6-trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1-carboxaldehyde (safranal)4-methylene-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone2-hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone2,4,4-trimethyl-3-carboxaldehyde-5-hydroxy-2,5-cyclohexadien-1-one4-hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-enecarbaldehyde	Delta-cadinene $483-76-1$ $3,7$ -dimethyldecane1731 $3,7$ -dimethyldecane $2-54-8$ 1 -carboxaldehyde-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylene-3- cyclohexene6910 $2,54-5$ 8 $3,5,5$ -trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (Isophorone) $78-59-1$ $3,5,5$ -trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1,4-dione $1125-21-9$ $2,6,6$ -trimethyl-1,4-cyclohexanedione 2054 (Kétoisophorone) $7-99-3$ $2,6,6$ -trimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene-1- carboxaldehyde (safranal) $26-7$ 4 -methylene-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone 2054 6 -00- 9 9 2 -hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone 2054 4 -hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone 9 4 -hydroxy-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-enone 1420 $3-59-$ 9 9 $2,4,4$ -trimethyl-3-carboxaldehyde-5-hydroxy-2,5- cyclohexadien-1-one 3569 6 4 -hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1- 3569 569 4 -hydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1- 3569 $59-1$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Author Statement

Nadia Naim: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, data curation, Writing original draft preparation. Nabil Ennahli: Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation. Hanine
Hafida: Superivion. Rachid Lahlali: Visualization, Investigation, Supervision, WritingReviewing and Editing. Abdessalem Tahiri: Visualization, Investigation. Marie Laure
Fauconnier: Software, Validation, Data Curation. Ilham Madani: Supervision. Said
Ennahli: Visualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing-

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Highlights

- FTIR characterization of 11 samples of Moroccan saffron
- Identification of the adulterated saffron among 3 samples bought from local markets in different countries (Spain, Iran and Morocco)
- Validation of the authenticity and the purity through a molecular analysis (DNA barcoding coupled to sequencing)
- GC-MS confirmed the results obtained by FTIR

26