31st ECCV D Online 9 – 12 July 2021 EUROPEAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES

INTRODUCTION

The genetic diversity of *Echinococcus multilocularis* (Em) is a major field of investigations to correlate with sources of infection or variable clinical manifestations of the alveolar echinococcosis (AE). Molecular markers able to distinguish strains are already used such as the EmsB microsatellite (Knapp J. et al., 2007). This marker is present in about 40 copies in the Em genome.

AIM

The molecular characterization of Em has never been done before in Belgium. Here, we report the use of EmsB microsatellite polymorphism for the typing of Belgian specimens isolated from patients with AE between 2015 and 2020 and their introduction into the European EWET data.

METHOD

Total DNA was isolated from liver, pleural fluid and lung biopsy samples using a DNA extraction kit for tissue (Promega). The PCR was performed according to Knapp et al, 2020. The EmsB A primer was 5'-labeled with FAM-fluorochrome. Fragment size analysis was performed on an ABI3500 automatic sequencer (ThermoFisher). The fluorescence signal was detected by colorimetric reading. Correspondences were established to assess the size of the amplified fragments using Gene mapper (ThermoFisher). "R studio" was used to generate a distance matrix, calculate the Euclidian distance and obtain a UPGMA method dendrogram in order to assess the genetic similarity among samples. The profiles obtained were compared with those included in the EWET data collection (Knapp et al, 2017).



Figure 1: Electrophoregram of EmsB-PCR products (1): size standard in orange, (2): the EmsB fragments classified by size in blue (in base pair) and (3) peaks under 5% of the highest peak to be removed from the analysis. (From « EmsB analysis guidelines V.7 » Knapp J. et al, 2017)

Sample results obtained after migration on a ABI3500 were treated as followed:

- The **peak size was adjusted** compared to **the calibrator** values (our own values) and the reference values
- The peaks that showed intensities lower than 5% of the higher fluorescence intensity, were removed and putted to zero.
- Then the fluorescence values were **normalized**: for each peak the fluorescence is divided by the entire fluorescence values sum for a given sample.

| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 215 | 217 | 219 | 221 | 223 | 225 | 227 | 229 | 231 | 233 | 235 | 237 | 239 | 241 | 243 | - |
| 6185 | 0 | о | 300 | 831 | 1985 | 2210 | 2368 | 2252 | 1741 | 1716 | 1642 | 867 | 455 | 450 | Sum |
| 6185 | о | 0 | 0 | 831 | 1985 | 2210 | 2368 | 2252 | 1741 | 1716 | 1642 | 867 | 0 | 0 | 21797 |
| 0.28 | 0 | о | 0 | 0.038 | 0.091 | 0.101 | 0.108 | 0.103 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.075 | 0.039 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | 215 6185 6185 0.28 | 215 217 6185 0 6185 0 0.28 0 | 215 217 219 6185 0 0 6185 0 0 0.28 0 0 | 215 217 219 221 6185 0 0 300 6185 0 0 0 6185 0 0 0 6185 0 0 0 | 215 217 219 221 223 6185 0 0 300 831 6185 0 0 0 831 6185 0 0 0 0.303 0.28 0 0 0 0.038 | 215 217 219 221 223 225 6185 0 0 300 831 1985 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 0.28 0 0 0 0.038 0.091 | 215217219221223225227618500300831198522106185000831198522100.280000.0380.0910.101 | 215 217 219 221 223 225 227 229 6185 0 0 300 831 1985 2210 2368 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 2210 2368 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 2210 2368 0.28 0 0 0.038 0.091 0.101 0.108 | 2152172192212232252272292316185003008311985221023682252618500083119852210236822520.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.103 | 215217219221223225227229231233618500300831198522102368225217416185000831198522102368225217410.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.1030.079 | 21521721922122322522722923123323561850030083119852210236822521741171661850008311985221023682252174117160.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.1030.0790.078 | 215217219221223225227229231233235237618500300831198522102368225217411716164261850008311985221023682252174117161642618500083119852210236822521741171616420.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.1030.0790.0780.075 | 215217219221223225227229231233235237239618500300831198522102368225217411716164286761850008311985221023682252174117161642867618500083119852210236822521741171616428670.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.1030.0790.0780.0750.039 | 2152172192212232252272292312332352372392416185003008311985221023682252174117161642867455618500083119852210236822521741171616428674556185000831198522102368225217411716164286700.280000.0380.0910.1010.1080.1030.0790.0780.0750.0390 | 215 217 219 221 223 225 227 229 231 233 235 237 239 241 243 6185 0 0 300 831 1985 2210 2368 2252 1741 1716 1642 867 455 450 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 2210 2368 2252 1741 1716 1642 867 455 450 6185 0 0 0 831 1985 2210 2368 2252 1741 1716 1642 867 0 0 0.28 0 0 0.038 0.091 0.108 0.103 0.079 0.078 0.075 0.039 0 0 |

Table 1: Table showing the different steps of the treatment of the data obtained after EmsB amplification by PCR. (From EmsB analysis guidelines V.7 Knapp J., 2017)



Molecular typing of Belgian *Echinococcus multilocularis* specimens from alveolar echinococcosis human lesions using EmsB microsattelites analysis

Authors: R. Sacheli¹, J. Knapp², O. Mievis¹, J-B. Giot^{3,4}, P. Léonard^{3,4}, B. Delaere^{4,5}, N. Blétard^{4,6}, O. Detry^{4,7}, L. Millon², M-P. Hayette^{1,4}. ¹University Hospital of Liège, Department of Clinical Microbiology – National reference laboratory for Echinococcus, Liege (Belgium) ²University Bourgogne Franche Compté Laboratory Chrono-environnement UMR CNRS 6249 – Besançon (France) ³University Hospital of Liège, Department of infectiology - Liège (Belgium), ⁴ECHINOLIEGE, University Hospital of Liège (CHU-ULg) - Liege (Belgium) ⁵*Catholic University of Louvain, CHU-UCL Namur, Department of infectiology - Yvoir (Belgium)* ⁶University Hospital of Liege, Department of pathology – Liege (Belgium) ⁷University Hospital of Liege, Department of Abdominal Surgery and Transplantation of – Liege (Belgium)

RESULTS

- > Twenty six specimens have been successfully analyzed. In figure 2, only the Belgian strains are represented on the dendrogram of similarity. We can distinguish five different groups (G) among our strains. One comprising 9/26 strains is the biggest group, a second group contained 4/26 strains and the Fe792 French reference strain, then three small groups of 2 strains each are also visible in the dendrogram. "Groups" were defined when the Euclidian distance was lower than 0.1 between samples.
- > According to a comparison with European samples previously characterized from the EWET collection (Knapp J. et al., 2017), 9 Belgian specimens shared the same P1 genomic profile (P) while two other strains shared a profile near to P1 that we renamed "like P1". This P1 profile has already been characterized in Switzerland, Germany and France. Three strains are included into the **P8 profile** (together with the reference strain Fe792). This P8 profile has essentially been found in France since now. Two strains shared the **P4 profile** (already found in France and Germany) while one strain was assimilated to P9 profile. P9 profile was present in France and Switzerland. Nine out of 26 strains, were out of known profiles (**Figure 3**).



Figure 2: Dendrogram representing the twenty six Belgian strains and 1 French reference strain (Fe792) (Based on Euclidian distances and UPGMA method). G= group

CONCLUSIONS

The EmsB microsatellites analysis allowed to genotypically characterize Em clinical specimens isolated in Belgium for the first time. Twenty six strains have been treated by EmsB method in this work and will be further published. This study highlights that some Belgian samples share the same genotypic profile already found in other European countries but that heterogenetic diversity exist in Belgium. Some profiles are unique and differ from other European ones. No clear correlation can be established between the geographical location of the patient and the belonging to a given profile. Information about trips and risk factors experimented by patients have to be obtained to go further in the comparison between epidemiology and parasite genetic diversity.



Figure 3: Dendrogram representing the twenty six Belgian strains among other European strains from the EWET data collection. Belgian strains are highlighted in yellow (except Fe792 which is a French reference strain)(Knapp J. et al, 2020) . P=profile

REFERENCES

- Knapp J, Bart JM, Glowatzki ML, Ito A, Gerard S, Maillard S, Piarroux R, Gottstein B. Assessment of use of microsatellite polymorphism analysis for improving spatial distribution tracking of echinococcus multilocularis. J Clin Microbiol. 2007 Sep;45(9):2943-50. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02107-06. Epub 2007 Jul 18. PMID: 17634311; PMCID: PMC2045259.
- Knapp J, Damy S, Brillaud J, Tissot JD, Navion J, Mélior R, Afonso E, Hormaz V, Gottstein B, Umhang G, Casulli A, Dadeau F, Millon L, Raoul F. EWET: Data collection and interface for the genetic analysis of Echinococcus multilocularis based on EmsB microsatellite. PLoS One. 2017 Oct 3;12(10):e0183849. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183849. PMID: 28972978; PMCID: PMC5626424.
- Knapp J, Gottstein B, Bretagne S, Bart JM, Umhang G, Richou C, Bresson-Hadni S, Millon L. Genotyping Echinococcusmultilocularis in Human Alveolar Echinococcosis Patients: An EmsB Microsatellite Analysis. Pathogens. 2020 Apr 13;9(4):282. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9040282. PMID: 32295095; PMCID: PMC7238142.



| .3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|----|-----|-----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

> Figure 4 represents the map of Belgium with different profiles found in different Belgian regions in reference to EWET profiles. We can see that no clear relationship can be established between the different profiles found and the geographical region of residence of the patient. However P1 and "P1 like" profiles are essentially found in South West Belgium.



Figure 4: Map representing the geographical repartition of the analysed strains (Fe792 which is a French reference strain, is not included)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We specially thank Jenny Knapp and Laurence Millon for their technical assistance during this project and all the contributors of the "ECHINOLIEGE" group for their contribution.

CONTACT INFORMATION

R.sacheli@chuliege.be

