Microclimatic niche partitioning and conservatism in tropical canopy-dwelling bryophytes: a window into their vulnerability to climate change

Ting Shen (ting.shen@doct.uliege.be)

University of Liege, Belgium Xishuangbanna tropical botanical garden, CAS, China University of Lausanne, Switzerland 31/08/2022





Temperatures at different scales

(Jucker et al., 2020)



Temperature, light intensity, Air humidity ...



Topography...

Horizontal gradient

Trees as habitat islands



Epiphytes in the air

Objective: epiphytic bryophytes

An ideal model

 $\mathbf{1}$

Impact of microclimatic variation on community composition



Global canopy cranes



(Akihiro et al., 2017)

Study area



(Mittermeier et al. 2004)



Q1: What are the mechanisms shaping species turnover and phylogenetic turnover of moss and liverwort epiphytic communities along vertical microclimatic gradients?

H1: We test the hypotheses that both **taxonomic and phylogenetic turnover correlate with microclimatic variation**, evidencing microclimatic niche conservatism.



Q2: To what extent are these changes in community composition phylogenetically constrained?

H2: Within communities, we test the hypotheses that species exhibit increasingly competitive interactions, and hence, increasing phylogenetic overdispersion, from the canopy to the base, and from young to old trees.





5 height zones

Microclimate modelling

Huge vertical variation Subtle horizontal variation



Figure 1 Microclimate modelling of relative humidity (RH), Temperature (T), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and light intensity (L)



Taxonomic species turnover

Turnover = 0.59 ± 0.25 in mosses, p < 0.001Turnover = 0.66 ± 0.24 in liverworts, p < 0.001



Figure 2 Vertical variable contribution in taxonomic species turnover among epiphytic moss (green) and liverwort (brown) communities Niche conservatism ?

$\mathbf{\Lambda}$

Phylogenetic turnover (πst)

(Hardy & Senterre, 2007)



Phylogenetic turnover

π st > 0, p < 0.001 \rightarrow Phylogenetic clustering



Figure 3 Vertical variable contribution in phylogenetic turnover among epiphytic moss (green) and liverwort (brown) communities

Phylogenetic constraints contribute to shaping the assembly



Figure 4 Variation of average phylogenetic turnover within epiphytic moss and liverwort communities along a gradient of height zone difference.



- Microclimatic variation is the main driver of community composition and phylogenetic structure.
- Epiphytic community assembly mechanisms shift from competitive interactions to phylogenetic constraints upon colonization of different micro-habitats.
- An emerging evidence for the role of phylogenetic niche conservatism in community assembly, including at the small spatial scale

 \rightarrow But what will happen in the future

Perspective: a tropical forest microclimate impacts on vascular epiphyte redistribution under macroclimate change



Thank you for your attention!

