#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Fine mapping and identification of the fuzzless gene *GaFzl* in DPL972 (*Gossypium arboreum*)

Xiaoxu Feng<sup>1,2</sup> · Hailiang Cheng<sup>1</sup> · Dongyun Zuo<sup>1</sup> · Youping Zhang<sup>1</sup> · Qiaolian Wang<sup>1</sup> · Ke Liu<sup>1</sup> · Javaria Ashraf<sup>1</sup> · Qiuhong Yang<sup>1</sup> · Simin Li<sup>1</sup> · Xiaoqin Chen<sup>1</sup> · Guoli Song<sup>1</sup>

Received: 10 April 2018 / Accepted: 14 September 2018 © The Author(s) 2019

#### Abstract

### *Key message* The fuzzless gene *GaFzl* was fine mapped to a 70-kb region containing a *GIR1* gene, Cotton\_A\_11941, responsible for the fuzzless trait in *Gossypium arboreum* DPL972.

**Abstract** Cotton fiber is the most important natural textile resource. The fuzzless mutant DPL972 (*Gossypium arboreum*) provides a useful germplasm resource to explore the molecular mechanism underlying fiber and fuzz initiation and development. In our previous research, the fuzzless gene in DPL972 was identified as a single dominant gene and named *GaFzl*. In the present study, we fine mapped this gene using  $F_2$  and BC<sub>1</sub> populations. By combining traditional map-based cloning and next-generation sequencing, we mapped *GaFzl* to a 70-kb region containing seven annotated genes. RNA-Sequencing and resequencing analysis narrowed these candidates to two differentially expressed genes, Cotton\_A\_11941 and Cotton\_A\_11942. Sequence alignment uncovered no variation in coding or promoter regions of Cotton\_A\_11942 between DPL971 and DPL972, whereas two single-base mutations in the promoter region and a TTG insertion in the coding region were detected in Cotton\_A\_11941 in DPL972. Cotton\_A\_11941 encoding a homologous gene of *GIR1 (GLABRA2-interacting repressor)* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is thus the candidate gene most likely responsible for the fuzzless trait in DPL972. Our findings should lead to a better understanding of cotton fuzz formation, thereby accelerating marker-assisted selection during cotton breeding.

### Introduction

In the history of development economics, cotton has been thought as a great commercial crop due to its edible oil and especially fiber which regarded as an important natural textile resource. Similar to trichomes in Arabidopsis, cotton fibers are single cells derived from the ovule epidermis (Ishida et al. 2008; Wan et al. 2014). Cotton fiber is categorized into two types according to the initiation stage: lint, developing

Communicated by Yunbi Xu.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03330-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Guoli Song sglzms@163.com

<sup>2</sup> Plant Genetics, Gembloux Agro Bio Tech, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium before or at 0 days post-anthesis (DPA), and fuzz, developing approximately 3–5 DPA (Lee et al. 2007). Compared with lint, fuzz impedes seed germination and therefore leads to cause a low fiber production in the next growing season. Fuzzless breeds are thus increasingly being considered as important resources in cotton genetics and breeding.

Fuzzless mutants have been a major focus of cotton research since 1927 (Jiang et al. 2015; Liang et al. 2015). Two fuzzless loci in G. hirsutum,  $N_1$  and  $n_2$ , are currently known to inhibit fuzz development. N1 is a single dominant gene and controls a fuzzless-lint trait (Rong et al. 2005; Wan et al. 2014, 2016). According to map-based cloning, N1 is located on chromosomes 12 (A12) and has been annotated as a MIXTA-like gene, MYB25-like (also known as *GhMML3*). In the mutant  $N_1$ , *GhMML3* also shows reverse transcriptional activity and generates natural antisense transcripts (NATs) (Wan et al. 2016). The bidirectional transcripts of GhMML3 A12 form double-stranded RNAs and small RNAs. Small RNAs may mediate self-degradation and self-cleavage of mRNA and then result in a naked seed phenotype. In addition, data from virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) suggest that disruption of *GhMML3* in TM-1 leads

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, Institute of Cotton Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Anyang 455000, Henan, China

to a fuzzless or reduced fuzz phenotype. The other fuzzless gene,  $n_2$ , is a single recessive locus, located on chromosome26 (D12) (Zhu et al. 2018). But the candidate gene for  $n_2$  has not yet been identified. Recent research has shown that  $N_2$  and  $Li_3$  are, respectively, responsible for the production of fuzz fiber and lint fiber in the fuzzless–lintless mutant XuZhou142 (Wu et al. 2018). Using a map-based cloning strategy, the authors of cited study identified  $Li_3$  as another MML transcription factor (*GhMML4\_D12*) regulating lint development. Nevertheless, the  $n_2$  gene has remained elusive. The inheritance of fuzz and lint fiber initiation and development is complex (Naoumkina et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2017). These studies on fuzzless mutants and fiber-related genes have contributed to our understanding of the mechanism of fiber/fuzz initiation and regulation.

In contrast to research on N1 and n2, few systematic studies have been carried out on the diploid G. arboreum fuzzless mutant DPL972. A comparison of molecular mechanisms between diploids and tetraploids is thus needed (Parekh et al. 2016). DPL972 is a near-isogenic line (NIL) of the wild-type DPL971. They carry the same genetic background with the exception of traits for fuzz and flower color. In a whole-genome expression analysis, MYB23, MYB5 and TTG1 were significantly differentially expressed between DPL971 and DPL972. Wang et al. (2013) subsequently identified MYB23 as a homologous gene of GLABRA1 involved in the regulation of Arabidopsis trichome development and cotton fiber initiation. Whether MYB23 controls the fuzzless trait in the mutant DPL972 is still unknown. In a previous study, we developed SSR markers linked to GaFzl and mapped this gene to chromosome A08 (Feng et al. 2016), but were unable to identify the exact gene that controls fuzz development.

In the present study, we explored and identified GaFzl to better understand fiber initiation and fuzz development. To fine map the target gene, we integrated bulked segregant analysis sequencing (BSA-Seq), map-based cloning and RNA-Sequencing (RNA-Seq) strategies. We found a *GIR1* gene significantly differentially expressed between the mutant and wild type in the candidate region. Our finding should contribute to a deeper understanding of fuzz development.

### Materials and methods

### Materials and population construction

In this study, we used *G. arboreum* DPL971 and its fuzzless mutant DPL972, which had been self-pollinated for more than 10 generations in our laboratory. Plants were grown annually in accordance with standard agronomic practices at

the farm of the Institute of Cotton Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Anyang, China.

To fine map the target gene, we consecutively constructed  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  populations. The  $F_2$  population comprised 4010 individuals derived from a cross between DPL971 and DPL972 in 2014.  $F_1$  plants were then backcrossed with DPL971 in 2017 to produce a  $BC_1$  population comprising 607 individuals. These two populations were used to fine map the fuzzless gene in DPL972. The  $F_2$  population was also used as a source of individuals with extreme traits for construction of sequencing pools. The phenotypic segregation ratios of the two populations were analyzed by a  $\chi^2$  goodness-of-fit test in SAS.

### **Genomic DNA and RNA extraction**

Young leaves from the parents and  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  individuals were collected and stored at -80 °C. Young leaf tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into a fine powder using a hybrid grinding machine (Retsch MM400, Verder Scientific, Germany). Genomic DNA was then extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide method and stored at -20 °C.

Fiber-bearing ovules were excised from developing cotton bolls at 2-day collecting intervals [i.e., at 1, 3 and 5 days post-anthesis (DPA)]. The ovule samples were wrapped in tinfoil, frozen directly in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for subsequent experiments. Total RNA was isolated from the collected ovules using an EASY-spin Plus Plant RNA kit (Aidlab, China) and an RNA Prep Pure Plant kit (Polysaccharides and Polyphenolics-rich) (Tiangen, China) according to the kit manuals. Total RNA integrity, purity and concentration were examined using an Agilent 2100 RNA 6000 nanokit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Suitable RNA samples (A260/A280 ratio = 1.8–2.0, A260/A230 ratio > 1.5, and RNA integrity number > 8) were stored at -80 °C for subsequent deep sequencing and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis.

### **BSA-Seq and re-sequencing analyses**

Genomic DNA of 30 extremely fuzzy and 30 extremely fuzzless individuals from the F2 progenies was selected to generate two bulked pools. The DNAs of parents DPL971 and DPL972 were also extracted for BSA-Seq and re-sequencing on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform. Raw reads from the four DNA pools were filtered and then aligned to the cotton genome sequence using the Burrows–Wheeler alignment tool (BWA) (Li and Durbin 2009). GATK and Picard were used to detect InDels and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Mckenna et al. 2010). Euclidean distance (ED) and  $\Delta$ SNP index values were calculated to identify candidate genomic regions associated with the fuzzy trait (Fekih et al.

2013). In regards to the ED strategy, ED values of regions other than target gene-related ones tend to be consistent and trend toward 0.  $\Delta$ SNP index values were determined as the difference in the SNP index between fuzzy and fuzzless pools with values of genomic regions including the target gene expected to approach 1. By examining ED and  $\Delta$ SNP index values between the two bulked pools, the plot peak regions above the threshold value were defined as candidate regions for association with the fuzzless trait.

### Fine mapping of the fuzzless gene

In our previous study, we developed genome-wide SSR markers and identified 13 markers linked to the fuzzless gene. In the present study, we used these same markers to further fine map the target gene. To perform the subsequent mapping, additional SSR and InDel marker primers were designed with software SnapGene and Primer5 software based on the results of re-sequencing. Those markers with suitable levels of polymorphism and high specificity between the two mapping parents and bulked pools were used to construct the linkage map and narrow the candidate region.

### **RNA-Seq analysis**

To explore the molecular mechanism underlying fuzz initiation and development in *G. arboreum*, fiber-bearing ovules were selected at three developmental stages (1, 3 and 5 DPA). Two biological replicates of three stages of ovules of the DPL972 fuzzless mutant and its wild-type DPL971 were used to create 12 independent RNA libraries. These libraries were subjected to 101-cycle paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform at Berry Genomics (Beijing, China).

Raw data from the 12 sample libraries were assessed and filtered to remove adaptors and low-quality reads. The remaining high-quality reads, referred to as clean data, were aligned to the reference genomes of G. arboreum (Li et al. 2014), G. raimondii (Wang et al. 2012) and G. hirsutum (Li et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015a) using TopHat2 (Kim et al. 2013). The mapped sequences were then annotated against the above-mentioned reference genomes, with a maximum of two bases allowed per mismatch in our alignments. In addition, the number of fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) of each transcript in the fuzzless mutant DPL972 and wild-type DPL971 was calculated using Cuffdiff (v2.2.1) software (Trapnell et al. 2016). Based on these FPKM values, a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01 and  $|\log 2 (ratio)| \ge 1$  were set as criteria to identify differentially expressed transcripts between the mutant and the wild type. P values of the statistical t test were adjusted and applied to control FDR using multiple testing procedures. HemI 1.0 was used for clustering analysis of DEGs (Deng et al. 2014).

### Gene cloning and multiple sequence alignment

Gene- and promoter-amplification primers were designed using Primer5 and SnapGene software. The full-length sequences of genes and promoters were, respectively, amplified using cDNA and genomic DNA from DPL971 and DPL972. PCR products were purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction kit and sequenced by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). The resulting sequences were aligned with DNA-MAN software.

### **QRT-PCR**

To verify the accuracy of RNA-Seq and identify expression levels of candidate genes, qRT-PCR was also performed. Fiber-bearing ovules were selected at two developmental stages (1 and 3 DPA). RNA samples (1-2 µg) were subjected to reverse transcription using TransScript All-in-one First-strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen Biotech). QRT-PCR amplifications were conducted using TransStart TOP Green qPCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech) on an ABI Prism7500 Fast Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA). DEG expressions were normalized using GaHis3 as a reference gene. Primers for amplifying DEGs were designed with NCBI Primer-BLAST (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and BatchPrimer3 (http:// wheat.pw.usda.gov/demos/BatchPrimer3/) and synthesized by Genewiz (Beijing, China). Primer sequences are provided in Table S1. Each qRT-PCR reaction included three biological replicates and three technical replicates. Relative expression levels were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$  method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

### Results

### Phenotypes and segregation analysis of genetic populations

In the current study, we used two mapping parents: wildtype DPL971 and the fuzzless mutant DPL972 (Fig. 1). We successively constructed  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  populations in 2014 and 2016. Phenotypes of  $F_1$  individuals derived from the cross between DPL971 and DPL972 were always consistent with those of DPL972, which indicates that the fuzzless trait in *G. arboreum* was dominantly inherited. As can be seen from Table 1, the observed segregations in  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$ generations fit the expected phenotypic segregation ratios of 3:1 and 1:1, respectively. Consistent with our previously published data (Feng et al. 2016), the phenotypes of the  $BC_1$  Fig. 1 Phenotypes of fuzzy seeds DPL971 (a) and the fuzz-less mutant DPL972 (b)



# **Table 1** Genetic analysis offiber trait in parents and twosegregating populations

Material	Total	Phenotype		Observed ratio	Expected ratio	$\chi^2$	Р
		Fuzzless	Fuzzy				
DPL971	20	0	20				
DPL972	20	20	0				
$F_1$	300	300	0				
F <sub>2</sub> population	4010	3004	1006	2.99:1	3:1	0.02	0.9
BC <sub>1</sub> population	607	315	292	1.08:1	1:1	0.87	0.35

population further validated our finding that a single dominant gene controls the fuzzless phenotype in DPL972. The new BC<sub>1</sub> population and the previous  $F_2$  were both used for subsequent mapping of *GaFzl*.

# Mapping of *GaFzl* gene to chromosome A08 by BSA-Seq

To rapidly map and identify the genomic region contributing to the fuzzless trait in G arboreum DPL972, we used BSA-Seq to fine map the mutation. A total of 228.9 Gb clean data were obtained by re-sequencing. These re-sequencing reads were then aligned to the preferred TM-1 reference genome using BWA software (Table S1). Details of all SNPs and InDels between DPL971 and DPL972 as well as the two bulked pools were listed in Tables S2 and S3. A total of 353,611 high-quality SNPs and 51,244 small InDels were filtered out and identified between the two bulked pools. Applying the ED method to InDels and SNPs, we chose 0.35 (median + 3SD of all fitted values of points) as the threshold value and identified a 2.23 Mb region from 76,294 to 2,305,623 bp on chromosome A08 (Figs. 2a, 3a). Using the  $\Delta$ SNP index strategy, we also identified a 2.22 Mb region from 68,670 to 2,195,304 bp on A08 (Figs. 2b, 3b). These regions overlapped. The merged region from 76,294 to 2,195,304 bp is thus likely the candidate region of the *GaFzl* locus controlling the fuzzless trait in *G. arboreum*.

# Narrowing of the *GaFzI* gene to a 70-kb region using SSR and InDel markers

In our previous study, we identified 62 polymorphic SSR markers between DPL971 and DPL972 by screening the whole genome. Only one marker on chromosome A08 showed a tight linkage with the fuzzless trait. Because the exact region was undetermined, we developed 652 SSR markers on A08, of which 13 polymorphic SSR markers showed a linkage relationship with the fuzzless trait (Table 2). The location of these SSR markers overlapped with the region obtained by BSA-Seq.

To rapidly shorten the physical mapping interval and validate the target gene, we developed 11 InDels and 98 SSR markers (detailed in Tables 2 and S4) based on the results of the re-sequencing of DPL971, DPL972 and the bulked pools. *GaFzl* was narrowed down to a 70-kb region between SSR82 and InDel9 on chromosome A08. According to cotton genome annotation information, only seven ORFs exist in the corresponding genomic region (Fig. 4). Details on ORF position are given in Table 3.



**Fig.2** Candidate region of GaFzl based on application of a combined ED/ $\Delta$ SNP index strategy to InDels. **a** ED graph of InDels between DPL971, DPL972 and two bulked pools. **b**  $\Delta$ SNP index graph of InDels between DPL971, DPL972 and two bulked pools

### **Application of RNA-Seq to filter DEGs**

To further identify the candidate gene related to fiber initiation and fuzz development, RNA-Seq was performed on 12 independent ovule RNA libraries derived from two replicates each of the fuzzless mutant DPL972 and WT DPL971 at three fiber developmental stages (1, 3 and 5 DPA). After removal of adaptors and low-quality reads, 151,811,659 and 149,115,836 clean reads (44.73 and 45.54 Gb of clean data) were generated from DPL971 and DPL972, respectively. On average, we obtained 25,077,291 high-quality reads (7.52 Gb of clean data) from each library (Table S5). More than 91.00% of the total reads were then aligned and mapped to the published *G. arboreum* genome. After calculating FPKM values, a FDR normalized to a *P* value < 0.01 and a llog2 (ratio) $l \ge 1$  was set as appropriate thresholds to distinguish significant differences in gene expression. The numbers of DEGs identified by RNA-Seq are statistically summarized in Fig. 5a as a Venn diagram. A total of 383 DEGs were identified as differentially expressed between the fuzzless mutant DPL972 and the wild-type DPL971 at three developmental stages (Fig. 5b). Of them, 370 were



**Fig. 3** Candidate region of *GaFzl* based on application of a combined ED/ $\Delta$ SNP index strategy to SNPs. **a** ED graph of SNPs between DPL971, DPL972 and two bulked pools. **b**  $\Delta$ SNP index graph of SNPs between DPL971, DPL972 and two bulked pools

differentially expressed at 1 DPA, while 13 and 22 were detected at 3 DPA and 5 DPA, respectively. Although fuzz emerged from the ovule epidermis at 3–5 DPA, this result indicates that the genes controlling fuzz development may come into play at an earlier stage.

### Identification and sequence analysis of candidate genes

According to the genome comparison and RNA-Seq data annotation, only two DEGs (ORF1 and ORF2) were tandemly located in the mapping region and both were notably up-regulated in DPL972 at 1 DPA. The other five genes exhibited no differences in expression levels. In addition, no InDels or SNPs were observed in the target region that could lead to a point mutation or frame-shift mutation of those five genes based on the re-sequencing results. We therefore finally identified ORF1 and ORF2 as fuzzless trait candidate genes. ORF2 (Cotton\_A\_11942), annotated as *CAF1*, encodes a transcription factor annotated as a component of the *CCR4* complex. In contrast, no annotation information is available in cotton for ORF1 (Cotton\_A\_11941), it could only be annotated on the basis of sequence similarity as a *GIR1* gene (*AT5G06270*), which interacts with the

#### Table 2 Details of linked markers on A08 used for fine mapping

Primer name ForwardPrimer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$		ReversePrimer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Product Length (bp)	Start position (bp)	
InDel5	CGAATCCTGAACCCCAAACCTAAAC	CATCACCATGGCAACAACTCC	376	532,619	
InDel6	CGCGAGGACTAAAATTTGAAAGTTTGGA	GGTAAGGATTGGGGGCATTAACTGG	178	534,601	
SSR82	CCTTCCATGCATATTGGAAA	CAAAGCACCCAATTTCAAGG	283	580,404	
InDel9	GATTTCCCTTTCATCAAATATTCTATG TTAGCG	ACTCAAATACTTAGTATACCATATTAG CCTCTTTC	536	650,514	
SSR3590	AAACCCAATATATTCTGAGTTAAATGT	TCACTATAACTAGCGGGTGGAAA	184	665,115	
SSR3616	GGGATACCTGCAAACATTGTG	TTCATGGCCTTCCTCTCTGT	154	742,113	
SSR3668	TGGATCGGTAATGGTAGAAAGC	AGCAAGGTCTTAGATGGCAA	240	773,922	
SSR3692	GCTGTGAGGACATGAAACGA	TTGGTCTCCCTTTAGCAACG	222	821,505	
SSR3699	TCGAGTTCGGTTAACTCATAACA	CCGAACACAAACTTAATTGGAA	151	877,473	
SSR9	AAATATAACGATGTGGGTGGAAA	TGTCATGACTTAACCGAACACA	292	877,601	
SSR6-38	CGTCGTTGGGTACTGATCCA	CCAACCAAGCCTTTCACACG	329	1,006,357	
SSR67	AAGTGGGATATTGCCATCCA	GCTATGTTAATAGTGTCATCAAATGAA	274	1,083,921	
SSR3741	AACATGGTCAAGATAATTGCACTA	AAAGTGCATACAGATGCCAAA	244	1,091,420	
SSR3465	GCTTAGGACGGATTTGGTAAA	TGCAAGTTTGAAGGAATATAATGAA	229	1,478,980	
SSR3257	GAATACTCCCTCATCCCAATAAA	TGATCGACACTTCTTCTGTCTCA	246	1,578,266	
SSR3291	ATCCTTGTTATGCTCCGCTC	TTCAATGGACTGTGAGGGTAAA	236	1,683,443	
SSR3370	TTTGGATCGGATTTGGGTTA	GCAATCAAATCCTTGAAGCC	188	2,183,521	



**Fig.4** Map position of the fuzzless gene *GaFzl* in *Gossypium arboreum* DPL972 on chromosome A08. **a** Primary mapping for the fuzzless trait. Map distances (cM) are on the left. **b** Linkage map based on SSR and InDel markers. Map distances (cM) are on the left with marker names on the right. **c** A physical map of the candidate region for the *GaFzl* gene. Numbers on the right indicate loci of the markers. **d** ORFs in the candidate region

*GLABRA2* (*GL2*) repressor and is a regulator of root hair development.

Based on the re-sequencing data and amplification results, we compared the sequences of Cotton\_A\_11941 and Cotton\_A\_11942 in DPL971 and DPL972. No differences in the genomic or promoter sequence of Cotton\_A\_11942 were observed between the two parents. In Cotton\_A\_11941 of DPL972, however, we detected a TTG insertion that resulted in the insertion of a leucine rather than causing a shift or nonsense mutation (Fig. 6). In addition, BSA and re-sequencing data from the two parents and bulked pools revealed single  $G \rightarrow A$  and  $C \rightarrow A$  mutations in the promoter region of Cotton\_A\_11941 (Fig. 6). These mutations in the promoter may lead to differences in expression levels. Cotton\_A\_11941 is thus the most likely gene corresponding to *GaFz1*.

### Expression profiling to check candidate genes

To verify the authenticity of the RNA-Seq results, we examined the expression levels of candidate genes in ovules at different stages by qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 7, expression levels of Cotton\_A\_11941 at 1 and 3 DPA in DPL972 were much higher than those in DPL971, consistent with the RNA-Seq data. Interestingly, Cotton\_A\_11941 was annotated and identified as a regulator of root and trichome development, thus indicating that elevated expression of Cotton\_A\_11941 is more likely to result in a repression of fuzz development. This result further suggests that

Table 3Position details ofseven ORFs

Gene	Start (bp)	End (bp)	Strand	CDS length (bp)	Annotation
ORF1	580,856	581,116	+	261	GLABRA2 interacting repressor
ORF2	605,735	606,576	+	813	Probable CCR4-associated factor 1 homolog 11
ORF3	607,704	618,632	-	2865	Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase BSL2
ORF4	626,811	632,509	+	1770	Outer envelope protein 61
ORF5	635,236	638,304	+	1158	E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RHF2A
ORF6	639,890	642,641	-	441	Succinate dehydrogenase subunit 6, mitochondrial
ORF7	645,188	647,657	+	651	Small heat-shock protein 1



Fig. 5 Differentially expressed genes detected by RNA-Seq. a Venn graph of DEGs during different ovule developmental stages. b Numbers of up-regulated/down-regulated DEGs at different stages



Fig. 6 Sequence comparison of Cotton\_A\_11941 between DPL971 and DPL972. a Gene sequence in DPL971. b Gene sequence in DPL972

**Fig. 7** Expressions profiling of Cotton\_A\_11941 in DPL971 and DPL972. **a** Relative expression level. **b** FPKMs from RNA-Seq data. The x-axis represents different developmental stages. The y-axis corresponds to relative expression level. Error bars indicate standard deviations



Fig. 8 Expressions profiling of Cotton\_A\_11942 in DPL971 and DPL972. a Relative expression level. b FPKMs from RNA-Seq data. The x-axis represents different developmental stages. The y-axis corresponds to relative expression level. Error bars indicate standard deviations



Cotton\_A\_11941 is the candidate gene controlling fuzz development.

### Discussion

### A sequencing-assisted strategy is an efficient method for gene fine mapping

Identification of target genes based on natural or mutagenic mutants is the central idea of forward genetics (Chang et al. 2016; Andres et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2018; Qureshi et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018). Map-based cloning, the most efficient and visual strategy for gene identification, has been used to obtain numerous genes (Dou et al. 2018; Han et al. 2018; Jeong et al. 2018; Karthikeyan et al. 2018). For instance, a previous study used this technique to map and characterize a dominant single gene  $Gl_2^e$  that controls the development of gland pigment (Cheng et al. 2016). However, this strategy has apparent drawbacks as it is time-consuming and laborious. To guarantee accuracy and pinpoint recombinants, we always expend considerable time to develop sufficiently large populations and copious markers (Zhu et al. 2017). Otherwise, the experiment may be hindered or even failed to yield final results because variants are absent or the mapping parents possess low polymorphism.

Recently, BSA and re-sequencing have been of tremendous assistance in gene fine mapping and cloning (Yang et al. 2017). For instance, Wang et al. (2017) narrowed the genetic locus of citrus polyembryony to an 80-kb region through the strategy including de novo sequencing and BSA-Seq and identified a *CiRWP* gene responsible for this apomictic phenotype. As another example, Huang et al. (2017) performed BSA and deep sequencing in *Setaria viridis* to fine map the sparse panicle gene to a 1 Mb interval and characterized *SvAUX1* as a regulator of inflorescence development and root gravitropism. In cotton, Zhu et al. (2017) combined the strategy of BSA and VIGS strategies to rapidly map and identify the target v1 locus, an approach that proved to be an efficient and reliable method to complete map-based gene cloning.

In the current study, our combined application of BSA-Seq and traditional fine mapping uncovered a quite very narrow candidate region of 70 kb. We also performed a RNA-Seq analysis to assist filtering of candidate genes. We eventually confirmed that only one candidate gene existed in the 70-kb region. High-throughput sequencing has greatly improved and accelerated fine mapping and cloning of genes with important agronomical traits.

### Transcription factors and other genes regulate fiber and fuzz development

As mentioned earlier, cotton fiber initiation and development share similarity with Arabidopsis trichome development (Hulskamp 2004; Yoshida et al. 2009). Previous studies have noted that homologs of the MYB-bHLH-WD40 complex also influence fiber initiation in cotton (Lu et al. 2017). *GhMYB2 (GL1), GhMYB25/MIXTA, GhTTG1* and *GhHOX3* (*GL2*) are essential for fiber initiation and development (Walford et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014; Salih et al. 2016). In contrast, *GhCPC* functions as a negative regulator for fiber early initiation and elongation (Zhang et al. 2015b). Recently Zhang proposed the existence of a new MYB-MIXTA-WD complex, including the MIXTA and WD40 genes that controls fiber and fuzz formation.

In this study, we found that Cotton\_A\_11942 is differentially expressed in DPL971 and DPL972, with qRT-PCR results consistent with the RNA-Seq data (Fig. 8). This gene encodes a cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR)-associated factor (*CAF1*). Previous investigations have demonstrated that high expression of *GhCCR4* in fiber can cause an obvious thickening of fiber cell wall, which results in a pre-termination and a shorter fiber length. Although we failed to detect variable sites in Cotton\_A\_11942 between DPL971 and DPL972, distinct expression differences were definitely observed. Cotton\_A\_11942 may therefore act during fiber elongation and secondary wall thickening or function downstream of genes controlling fuzz development.

We found that the most likely candidate gene, Cotton\_A\_11941, shared a high sequence similarity with GIR1 in Arabidopsis (Wu and Citovsky 2017). Using lossand gain-of-function strategies, Wu and Citovsky (2017) discovered that GIR1 and GIR2 interact with the repressor of GL2 to repress root hair development. We checked the expression levels of GaGL2 in our study, and detected no significant differences between the wild type and the mutant (Fig. S1), which suggest that *GaGIR1* may interact with GaGL2 and repress the transcriptional activity of GaGL2 to regulate the downstream gene and fuzz formation. The function of GIR1 in cotton is still unclear, however, and we suspect that GIR1 also influences fiber/fuzz initiation and formation. Cotton\_A\_11941, as the homolog of GIR1, is thus most likely the gene that regulates fuzz development. According to RNA-Seq data, in addition, expression levels of MYB-MIXTA-WD complex genes were not significantly different between DPL971 and DPL972, thus suggesting that Cotton\_A\_11941 may function at the downstream of the MYB-MIXTA-WD complex to participate in the control of fuzz development.

**Author contribution statement** GS managed the project. GS, XF, HC and DZ designed the research. XF, HC, DZ, YZ, QW, KL, JA, QY, SL and XC performed the experiments. XF wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by Grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31621005) and the National Key R and D Plan of China (No. 2018YFD0100402). We thank Hervé Vanderschuren (Gembloux Agro BioTech, University of Liège), for revising the draft of this manuscript.

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical standard** The experiments comply with the ethical standards in the country in which they were performed.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

- Andres RJ, Coneva V, Frank MH, Tuttle JR, Samayoa LF, Han SW, Kaur B, Zhu L, Fang H, Bowman DT, Rojas-Pierce M, Haigler CH, Jones DC, Holland JB, Chitwood DH, Kuraparthy V (2017) Modifications to a *LATE MERISTEM IDENTITY1* gene are responsible for the major leaf shapes of Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114(1):E57–E66
- Chang L, Fang L, Zhu Y, Wu H, Zhang Z, Liu C, Li X, Zhang T (2016) Insights into interspecific hybridization events in allotetraploid cotton formation from characterization of a gene-regulating leaf shape. Genetics 204(2):799–806
- Cheng H, Lu C, Yu JZ, Zou C, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Huang J, Feng X, Jiang P, Yang W (2016) Fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of the dominant glandless gene  $Gl_2^{e}$  in cotton (*Gossypium* spp.). Theor Appl Genet 129(7):1347–1355
- Deng W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Cheng H, Xue Y (2014) HemI: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. PLoS ONE 9(11):e111988
- Dou J, Zhao S, Lu X, He N, Zhang L, Ali A, Kuang H, Liu W (2018) Genetic mapping reveals a candidate gene (*ClFS1*) for fruit shape in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* L.). Theor Appl Genet 131(4):947–958
- Fekih R, Takagi H, Tamiru M, Abe A, Natsume S, Yaegashi H, Sharma S, Sharma S, Kanzaki H, Matsumura H (2013) MutMap +: genetic mapping and mutant identification without crossing in rice. PLoS ONE 8(7):e68529
- Feng X, Zou C, Lu C, Cheng H, Zhang Y (2016) Simple sequence repeat markers closely linked with a new fuzzless gene in fuzzless mutant DPL972(Gossypium arboreum). Cotton Sci 28(4):392–398
- Han Y, Zhao F, Gao S, Wang X, Wei A, Chen Z, Liu N, Tong X, Fu X, Wen C, Zhang Z, Wang N, Du S (2018) Fine mapping of a male sterility gene *ms-3* in a novel cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) mutant. Theor Appl Genet 131(2):449–460
- Huang P, Jiang H, Zhu C, Barry K, Jenkins J, Sandor L, Schmutz J, Box MS, Kellogg EA, Brutnell TP (2017) Sparse panicle1 is required for inflorescence development in *Setaria viridis* and *maize*. Nat Plants 3:17054
- Hulskamp M (2004) Plant trichomes: a model for cell differentiation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5(6):471–480
- Ishida T, Kurata T, Okada K, Wada T (2008) A genetic regulatory network in the development of trichomes and root hairs. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59(1):365–386
- Jeong K, Choi D, Lee J (2018) Fine mapping of the genic malesterile *ms 1* gene in *Capsicum annuum* L. Theor Appl Genet 131(1):183–191
- Jiang Y, Ding M, Cao Y, Yang F, Zhang H, He S, Dai H, Hao H, Rong J (2015) Genetic fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of the *Gossypium hirsutum Ligon lintless-1* (*Li1*) mutant on chromosome 22(D). Mol Genet Genomics 290(6):2199–2211
- Karthikeyan A, Li K, Li C, Yin J, Li N, Yang Y, Song Y, Ren R, Zhi H, Gai J (2018) Fine-mapping and identifying candidate genes conferring resistance to Soybean mosaic virus strain SC20 in soybean. Theor Appl Genet 131(2):461–476
- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL (2013) TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol 14(4):R36
- Lee JJ, Woodward AW, Chen ZJ (2007) Gene expression changes and early events in cotton fiber development. Ann Bot 100(7):1391-1401
- Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Li F, Fan G, Wang K, Sun F, Yuan Y, Song G, Li Q, Ma Z, Lu C, Zou C, Chen W, Liang X, Shang H, Liu W, Shi C, Xiao G, Gou C, Ye W, Xu X, Zhang X, Wei H, Li Z, Zhang G, Wang J, Liu K, Kohel

RJ, Percy RG, Yu JZ, Zhu YX, Wang J, Yu S (2014) Genome sequence of the cultivated cotton *Gossypium arboreum*. Nat Genet 46(6):567–572

- Li F, Fan G, Lu C, Xiao G, Zou C, Kohel RJ, Ma Z, Shang H, Ma X, Wu J, Liang X, Huang G, Percy RG, Liu K, Yang W, Chen W, Du X, Shi C, Yuan Y, Ye W, Liu X, Zhang X, Liu W, Wei H, Wei S, Huang G, Zhang X, Zhu S, Zhang H, Sun F, Wang X, Liang J, Wang J, He Q, Huang L, Wang J, Cui J, Song G, Wang K, Xu X, Yu JZ, Zhu Y, Yu S (2015) Genome sequence of cultivated Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* TM-1) provides insights into genome evolution. Nat Biotechnol 33(5):524–530
- Liang W, Fang L, Xiang D, Hu Y, Feng H, Chang L, Zhang T (2015) Transcriptome analysis of short fiber mutant *Ligon lintless-1 (Li1)* reveals critical genes and key pathways in cotton fiber elongation and leaf development. PLoS ONE 10(11):e0143503
- Liu K, Xu H, Liu G, Guan P, Zhou X, Peng H, Yao Y, Ni Z, Sun Q, Du J (2018) QTL mapping of flag leaf-related traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 131(4):839–849
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. Methods 25(4):402–408
- Lu N, Roldan M, Dixon RA (2017) Characterization of two TT2-type MYB transcription factors regulating proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in tetraploid cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum*. Planta 246(2):323–335
- Mckenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M (2010) The genome analysis toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 20(9):1297–1303
- Naoumkina M, Thyssen GN, Fang DD, Hinchliffe DJ, Florane CB, Jenkins JN (2016) Small RNA sequencing and degradome analysis of developing fibers of short fiber mutants *Ligon-lintles-1* (*Li 1*) and -2 (*Li 2*) revealed a role for miRNAs and their targets in cotton fiber elongation. BMC Genom 17:360
- Parekh MJ, Kumar S, Zala HN, Fougat RS, Patel CB, Bosamia TC, Kulkarni KS, Parihar A (2016) Development and validation of novel fiber relevant dbEST–SSR markers and their utility in revealing genetic diversity in diploid cotton (*Gossypium herbaceum* and G. *arboreum*). Ind Crops Prod 83:620–629
- Qureshi N, Bariana H, Kumran VV, Muruga S, Forrest KL, Hayden MJ, Bansal U (2018) A new leaf rust resistance gene *Lr79* mapped in chromosome 3BL from the durum wheat landrace Aus26582. Theor Appl Genet 131(2):1–8
- Rong J, Pierce GJ, Waghmare VN, Rogers CJ, Desai A, Chee PW, May OL, Gannaway JR, Wendel JF, Wilkins TA, Paterson AH (2005) Genetic mapping and comparative analysis of seven mutants related to seed fiber development in cotton. Theor Appl Genet 111(6):1137–1146
- Salih H, Gong W, He S, Sun G, Sun J, Du X (2016) Genome-wide characterization and expression analysis of MYB transcription factors in *Gossypium hirsutum*. BMC Genet 17(1):129
- Sun R, Li C, Zhang J, Li F, Ma L, Tan Y, Wang Q, Zhang B (2017) Differential expression of microRNAs during fiber development between fuzzless-lintless mutant and its wild-type allotetraploid cotton. Sci Rep 7(1):3
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn JL, Pachter L (2016) Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-Seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc 7(3):562
- Walford S-A, Wu Y, Llewellyn DJ, Dennis ES (2012) Epidermal cell differentiation in cotton mediated by the homeodomain leucine zipper gene, *GhHD-1*. Plant J 71(3):464–478
- Wan Q, Zhang H, Ye W, Wu H, Zhang T (2014) Genome-wide transcriptome profiling revealed cotton fuzz fiber development having a similar molecular model as *Arabidopsis* trichome. PLoS ONE 9(5):e97313

- Wan Q, Guan X, Yang N, Wu H, Pan M, Liu B, Fang L, Yang S, Hu Y, Ye W, Zhang H, Ma P, Chen J, Wang Q, Mei G, Cai C, Yang D, Wang J, Guo W, Zhang W, Chen X, Zhang T (2016) Small interfering RNAs from bidirectional transcripts of *GhMML3\_A12* regulate cotton fiber development. New Phytol 210(4):1298–1310
- Wang K, Wang Z, Li F, Ye W, Wang J, Song G, Yue Z, Cong L, Shang H, Zhu S, Zou C, Li Q, Yuan Y, Lu C, Wei H, Gou C, Zheng Z, Yin Y, Zhang X, Liu K, Wang B, Song C, Shi N, Kohel RJ, Percy RG, Yu JZ, Zhu YX, Wang J, Yu S (2012) The draft genome of a diploid cotton *Gossypium raimondii*. Nat Genet 44(10):1098–1103
- Wang G, Zhao GH, Jia YH, Du XM (2013) Identification and characterization of cotton genes involved in fuzz-fiber development. J Integr Plant Biol 55(7):619–630
- Wang L, Cook A, Patrick JW, Chen XY, Ruan YL (2014) Silencing the vacuolar invertase gene *GhVIN1* blocks cotton fiber initiation from the ovule epidermis, probably by suppressing a cohort of regulatory genes via sugar signaling. Plant J 78(4):686–696
- Wang X, Xu Y, Zhang S, Cao L, Huang Y, Cheng J, Wu G, Tian S, Chen C, Liu Y, Yu H, Yang X, Lan H, Wang N, Wang L, Xu J, Jiang X, Xie Z, Tan M, Larkin RM, Chen LL, Ma BG, Ruan Y, Deng X, Xu Q (2017) Genomic analyses of primitive, wild and cultivated citrus provide insights into asexual reproduction. Nat Genet 49(5):765–772
- Wu R, Citovsky V (2017) Adaptor proteins GIR1 and GIR2. I. Interaction with the repressor *GLABRA2* and regulation of root hair development. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 488(3):547–553
- Wu H, Tian Y, Wan Q, Fang L, Guan X, Chen J, Hu Y, Ye W, Zhang H, Guo W, Chen X, Zhang T (2018) Genetics and evolution of *MIXTA* genes regulating cotton lint fiber development. New Phytol 217(2):883–895
- Yang X, Xia X, Zhang Z, Nong B, Zeng Y, Xiong F, Wu Y, Gao J, Deng G, Li D (2017) QTL mapping by whole genome re-sequencing and analysis of candidate genes for nitrogen use efficiency in rice. Front Plant Sci 8:1634
- Yang M, Chen L, Wu X, Gao X, Li C, Song Y, Zhang D, Shi Y, Li Y, Li YX, Wang T (2018) Characterization and fine mapping of *qkc7.03*: a major locus for kernel cracking in maize. Theor Appl Genet 131(2):437–448
- Yoshida Y, Sano R, Wada T, Takabayashi J, Okada K (2009) Jasmonic acid control of *GLABRA3* links inducible defense and trichome patterning in *Arabidopsis*. Development 136(6):1039–1048
- Zhang T, Hu Y, Jiang W, Fang L, Guan X, Chen J, Zhang J, Saski CA, Scheffler BE, Stelly DM, Hulse-Kemp AM, Wan Q, Liu B, Liu C, Wang S, Pan M, Wang Y, Wang D, Ye W, Chang L, Zhang W, Song Q, Kirkbride RC, Chen X, Dennis E, Llewellyn DJ, Peterson DG, Thaxton P, Jones DC, Wang Q, Xu X, Zhang H, Wu H, Zhou L, Mei G, Chen S, Tian Y, Xiang D, Li X, Ding J, Zuo Q, Tao L, Liu Y, Li J, Lin Y, Hui Y, Cao Z, Cai C, Zhu X, Jiang Z, Zhou B, Guo W, Li R, Chen ZJ (2015a) Sequencing of allotetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. acc. TM-1) provides a resource for fiber improvement. Nat Biotechnol 33(5):531–537
- Zhang X, Liu B, Zhu Y, Zhang T (2015b) The R3-MYB gene *GhCPC* negatively regulates cotton fiber elongation. PLoS ONE 10(2):e0116272
- Zhu J, Chen J, Gao F, Xu C, Wu H, Chen K, Si Z, Yan H, Zhang T (2017) Rapid mapping and cloning of the *virescent-1* gene in cotton by bulked segregant analysis-next generation sequencing and virus-induced gene silencing strategies. J Exp Bot 68(15):4125–4135
- Zhu QH, Yuan Y, Stiller W, Jia Y, Wang P, Pan Z, Du X, Llewellyn D, Wilson I (2018) Genetic dissection of the fuzzless seed trait in *Gossypium barbadense*. J Exp Bot 69(5):997–1009

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.