

## Perception of avatar attitudes in Virtual Reality

Etienne, E.<sup>a</sup>, Leclercq, A.-L.<sup>bcd</sup>, Remacle, A.<sup>b</sup>, and Schyns M.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *QuantOM, HEC Liège, University of Liège, Belgium*

<sup>b</sup> *Département De Logopédie, Université De Liège, Belgium*

<sup>c</sup> *Unité De Recherche Enfances, Université De Liège, Belgium*

<sup>d</sup> *Clinique Psychologique Et Logopédique De L'université De Liège, Belgium*

**Type of manuscript:** Extended abstract

*Keywords:* virtual reality; metaverse; avatars.

Virtual Reality (VR) has considerable potential in psychology and business when human behavior is under scrutiny. It's, therefore, no wonder that marketing research is so active in VR (Loureiro et al., 2019, Boyd and Koles, 2019, Beck et al., 2019, Bonetti et al., 2018).

Our project focuses on improving a very common business activity: speaking in public. It is well known that repeated training in front of an audience can help to improve speaking performances (Wallach et al., 2009). We, therefore, want to create a realistic, challenging, and interactive (as defined in Flavián et al., 2019) audience through AI-powered avatars. In this environment, the audience must be faithfully represented and correctly perceived and the VR environment itself must be truly immersive, Chollet et al. tried to understand in their work (2017) how participants perceive virtual audiences based on the nonverbal behavior of their members, also named avatars in this digital context. They explored which non-verbal behaviors are relevant to be perceived by the speaker as expressing high or low arousal and positive or negative attitude in terms of arousal and valence. However, their experiment was conducted on a 2D flat screen through the web and not in a fully VR (3D) setting. Furthermore, some work exists on how to improve the presence and immersion (Hyun and O'Keefe, 2012, and Hudson et al., 2019) and on how to stimulate additional emotions (Flavián et al., 2021, Collange and Guegan, 2020 but also Gabory and Chollet, 2020, and Mostajeran et al., 2020 in the public speaking context). However, to the best of our knowledge, a few studies (e.g. Amin et al. 2016) have compared the immersion between high-end and low-end headsets. Moreover, the presence and the immersion are real concerns when dealing with avatars and robots (Letheren et al., 2021 and Belanche, 2021a and b). However, too little had been done considering the quality of graphics used to represent the avatars.

Our research aims to fill in the identified gaps.

Our first goal is to build a library of animated avatars representing the most common attitudes and emotions of an audience as faithfully as possible. We use Chollet and Scherer's methodology (2017) to combine different body postures, facial expressions, and head movements such as to define various sets of potentially representative attitudes. We then measure how a speaker perceives them in VR through the concepts of valence (attractiveness and averseness) and arousal (level of alertness). Finally, based on these measures, the final step is to sort the different animations into categories corresponding to typical reactions to speeches of different qualities. In practice, we surveyed 125 adults in VR. They together rated the emotional valence and arousal of 40 animated sequences. Our second related question investigates whether fully rigged 3D photo-realistic models can significantly improve participants' perception of the avatar's arousal and valence or their confidence levels

interpretation of the audience. To answer this question, four cartoon and four photo-realistic avatar models were designed in our lab. Each participant evaluated ten sequences out of the forty, featuring a cartoon model and ten sequences featuring a photo-realistic avatar. Finally, our last question investigates whether high-end or low-end headsets, like cardboards, impact the quality of immersion (four items of the Gatteau presence questionnaire) and the quality of the results. We expect better results with high-end headsets. However, if the difference in this context is limited, low-end headsets being extremely cheap, it would allow mass usage.

Regarding our first question, our study shows the associated valence and arousal for each parameter (posture and hands, facial expression, and head movements). Our results are coherent with Chollet's findings (2017), but in our case, for a 3D VR setting. Furthermore, among the combinations of behavior selected, we now have a library of avatar attitudes associated with some levels of valence and arousal. Moreover, we observe that some gestures dominate others and that links exist between valence and arousal. Thanks to these results, we know which animation to choose to represent a specific sentiment for the audience. Considering our second question, there is a positive impact of using photo-realistic avatars. While keeping the participants' judgment of valence and arousal unchanged, photo-realistic avatars improve their judgment's confidence level. Our results are coherent with Seymour et al.'s results (2021) about trustworthiness and affinity with human-realistic avatars. We expected that the assessment of the valence and the level of arousal would be changed, but this can probably be explained by a limited level of interactions in the setup. Regarding our last question, participants evaluated the level of arousal as higher when they used the high-end headset. Furthermore, the quality of immersion (the feeling of presence, the level of realism, and the spatial awareness) is improved when using a high-end headset instead of a low-end headset. Our results are coherent with Orús et al. (2021).

This research focused on investigating how people perceive a virtual audience delivered by VR technology and selecting attitudes to represent a set of audience reactions faithfully. We now have a library of avatar attitudes associated with some levels of valence and arousal.

This research is part of a three-step project to create a VR environment for public speaking training where the speaker will train himself in front of a realistic and challenging audience. We have already created different virtual rooms where participants will hold a presentation in front of a virtual audience. We have also started to work on automatic methods based on statistical, machine learning, and natural language processing methods to implement real-time biofeedback of the audience to the speaker's presentation. Even if the focus in this project is on speaking skills, the VR environment created can have many other applications to develop different business skills.

The project itself is part of a long-term project. We want to create a collaborative platform called *Eduverse* (a Metaverse for Education), where immersed users will train in totally controlled VR environments in front of two types of avatars: other participants (trainees, experts, teachers...) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) powered avatars (Butt, 2021).

### **Acknowledgments:**

We would like to thank the reviewers for several interesting and useful comments. We have modified the extended abstract accordingly. We are working on a full paper in which the methodology, concepts, and theories will be precisely developed.

### **References**

Abraham, M., & Annunziata, M. (2017). Augmented reality is already improving worker performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 13, 1–5.

- Amin, A., Gromala, D., Tong, X., & Shaw, C. (2016). Immersion in cardboard VR compared to a traditional head-mounted display. *International Conference on Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality*, (pp. 269–276).
- Batrinca, L., Stratou, G., Shapiro, A., Morency, L.-P., & Scherer, S. (2013). Cicero-towards a multimodal virtual audience platform for public speaking training. *International workshop on intelligent virtual agents*, (pp. 116–128).
- Beck, J., Rainoldi, M., & Egger, R. (2019). Virtual reality in tourism: A state-of-the-art review. *Tourism Review*.
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., & Flavián, C. (2021). Frontline robots in tourism and hospitality: service enhancement or cost reduction? *Electronic Markets*, 31, 477–492.
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., Schepers, J., & Flavián, C. (2021). Examining the effects of robots' physical appearance, warmth, and competence in frontline services: The Humanness-Value-Loyalty model. *Psychology & Marketing*, 38, 2357–2376.
- Benbasat, I., & Wang, W. (2005). Trust in and adoption of online recommendation agents. *Journal of the association for information systems*, 6, 4.
- Blöte, A. W., Kint, M. J., Miers, A. C., & Westenberg, P. M. (2009). The relation between public speaking anxiety and social anxiety: A review. *Journal of anxiety disorders*, 23, 305–313.
- Bonetti, F., Warnaby, G., & Quinn, L. (2018). Augmented reality and virtual reality in physical and online retailing: A review, synthesis and research agenda. *Augmented reality and virtual reality*, 119–132.
- Bouchard, S., & Robillard, G. (2019, May). Validation canadienne-française du Gatineau Presence Questionnaire auprès d'adultes immergés en réalité virtuelle. 87e Congrès de l'ACFAS, Québec, mai 2019.
- Bouchard, S., Dumoulin, S., Robillard, G., Guitard, T., Klinger, E., Forget, H., . . . Roucaut, F.-X. (2017). Virtual reality compared with in vivo exposure in the treatment of social anxiety disorder: A three-arm randomized controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 210, 276–283.
- Boyd, D. E., & Koles, B. (2019). An Introduction to the Special Issue “Virtual Reality in Marketing”: Definition, Theory and Practice. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 441–444. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.04.023>
- Butt, A. H., Ahmad, H., Goraya, M. A., Akram, M. S., & Shafique, M. N. (2021). Let's play: Me and my AI-powered avatar as one team. *Psychology & Marketing*, 38, 1014–1025.
- Cafaro, A., Vilhjálmsón, H. H., & Bickmore, T. (2016). First impressions in human-agent virtual encounters. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 23, 1–40.
- Chollet, M., & Scherer, S. (2017). Perception of virtual audiences. *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, 37, 50–59.
- Chollet, M., Marsella, S., & Scherer, S. (2021). Training public speaking with virtual social interactions: effectiveness of real-time feedback and delayed feedback. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 1–13.
- Chollet, M., Massachi, T., & Scherer, S. (2016). Investigating the Physiological Responses to Virtual Audience Behavioral Changes A Stress-Aware Audience for Public Speaking Training. *IVA 2017 Workshop on Physiologically-Aware Virtual Agents*.
- Chollet, M., Wörtwein, T., Morency, L.-P., & Scherer, S. (2016). A multimodal corpus for the assessment of public speaking ability and anxiety. *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16)*, (pp. 488–495).
- Chollet, M., Wörtwein, T., Morency, L.-P., Shapiro, A., & Scherer, S. (2015). Exploring

- feedback strategies to improve public speaking: an interactive virtual audience framework. Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing, (pp. 1143–1154).
- Collange, J., & Guegan, J. (2020). Using virtual reality to induce gratitude through virtual social interaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 113, 106473.
- Cowan, K., & Ketron, S. (2019). A dual model of product involvement for effective virtual reality: The roles of imagination, co-creation, telepresence, and interactivity. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 483–492.
- Cowan, K., Spielmann, N., Horn, E., & Griffart, C. (2021). Perception is reality... How digital retail environments influence brand perceptions through presence. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 86–96.
- Craske, M. G., Treanor, M., Conway, C. C., Zbozinek, T., & Vervliet, B. (2014). Maximizing exposure therapy: An inhibitory learning approach. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 58, 10–23.
- Deng, X., Unnava, H. R., & Lee, H. (2019). “Too true to be good?” when virtual reality decreases interest in actual reality. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 561–570.
- Donegan, N. H., Sanislow, C. A., Blumberg, H. P., Fulbright, R. K., Lacadie, C., Skudlarski, P., . . . Wexler, B. E. (2003). Amygdala hyperreactivity in borderline personality disorder: implications for emotional dysregulation. *Biological psychiatry*, 54, 1284–1293.
- Eckert, D., & Mower, A. (2020). The effectiveness of virtual reality soft skills training in the enterprise: a study. PwC Public Report. Retrieved from <https://www.5discovery.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/pwc-understanding-the-effectiveness-of-soft-skills-training-in-the-enterprise-a-study.pdf>
- Etemad-Sajadi, R. (2016). The impact of online real-time interactivity on patronage intention: The use of avatars. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 61, 227–232.
- Farrell, W. A. (2018). Learning becomes doing: Applying augmented and virtual reality to improve performance. *Performance Improvement*, 57, 19–28.
- Fernandez, M. (2017). Augmented virtual reality: How to improve education systems. *Higher Learning Research Communications*, 7, 1–15.
- Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2019). Integrating virtual reality devices into the body: Effects of technological embodiment on customer engagement and behavioral intentions toward the destination. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 36, 847–863.
- Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2019). The impact of virtual, augmented and mixed reality technologies on the customer experience. *Journal of business research*, 100, 547–560.
- Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2021). The influence of scent on virtual reality experiences: the role of aroma-content congruence. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 289–301.
- Franceschi, K., Lee, R. M., Zanakis, S. H., & Hinds, D. (2009). Engaging group e-learning in virtual worlds. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 26, 73–100.
- Gabory, E., & Chollet, M. (2020). Investigating the Influence of Sound Design for Inducing Anxiety in Virtual Public Speaking. Companion Publication of the 2020 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, (pp. 492–496).
- Glémarec, Y., Bossier, A.-G., Buche, C., Lugrin, J.-L., Landeck, M., Latoschik, M. E., & Chollet, M. (2019). A Scalability Benchmark for a Virtual Audience Perception Model in Virtual Reality. 25th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, (pp. 1–1).
- Goberman, A. M., Hughes, S., & Haydock, T. (2011). Acoustic characteristics of public

- speaking: Anxiety and practice effects. *Speech communication*, 53, 867–876.
- Hainey, T., Connolly, T. M., Boyle, E. A., Wilson, A., & Razak, A. (2016). A systematic literature review of games-based learning empirical evidence in primary education. *Computers & Education*, 102, 202–223.
- Harris, S. R., Kemmerling, R. L., & North, M. M. (2002). Brief virtual reality therapy for public speaking anxiety. *Cyberpsychology & behavior*, 5, 543–550.
- Heilig, M. L. (1962, August). Sensorama simulator. Sensorama simulator. Google Patents.
- Hibbeln, M. T., Jenkins, J. L., Schneider, C., Valacich, J., & Weinmann, M. (2017). How is your user feeling? Inferring emotion through human-computer interaction devices. *Mis Quarterly*, 41, 1–21.
- Howard, M. C. (2017). A meta-analysis and systematic literature review of virtual reality rehabilitation programs. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 70, 317–327.
- Howard, M. C., Gutworth, M. B., & Jacobs, R. R. (2021). A meta-analysis of virtual reality training programs. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 121, 106808.
- Howe, W. T., & Cionea, I. A. (2021). Exploring the associations between debate participation, communication competence, communication apprehension, and argumentativeness with a global sample. *Argumentation and Advocacy*, 57, 103–122.
- Hudson, S., Matson-Barkat, S., Pallamin, N., & Jegou, G. (2019). With or without you? Interaction and immersion in a virtual reality experience. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 459–468.
- Hyun, M. Y., & O'Keefe, R. M. (2012). Virtual destination image: Testing a telepresence model. *Journal of Business Research*, 65, 29–35.
- Jerald, J. (2015). *The VR book: Human-centered design for virtual reality*. Morgan & Claypool.
- Jingen Liang, L., & Elliot, S. (2021). A systematic review of augmented reality tourism research: What is now and what is next? *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 21, 15–30.
- Jung, T., & Dalton, J. (2021). *XR Case Studies*. Tech. rep., Springer.
- Juslin, P. N., & Laukka, P. (2001). Impact of intended emotion intensity on cue utilization and decoding accuracy in vocal expression of emotion. *Emotion*, 1, 381.
- Kahlon, S., Lindner, P., & Nordgreen, T. (2019). Virtual reality exposure therapy for adolescents with fear of public speaking: a non-randomized feasibility and pilot study. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health*, 13, 47.
- Kang, N., Brinkman, W.-P., van Riemsdijk, M. B., & Neerincx, M. (2016). The design of virtual audiences: noticeable and recognizable behavioral styles. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55, 680–694.
- Keeling, K., McGoldrick, P., & Beatty, S. (2010). Avatars as salespeople: Communication style, trust, and intentions. *Journal of Business Research*, 63, 793–800.
- Kleinsmith, A., Rivera-Gutierrez, D., Finney, G., Cendan, J., & Lok, B. (2015). Understanding empathy training with virtual patients. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 52, 151–158.
- Krokos, E., Plaisant, C., & Varshney, A. (2019). Virtual memory palaces: immersion aids recall. *Virtual reality*, 23, 1–15.
- Krumhuber, E., Manstead, A. S., Cosker, D., Marshall, D., & Rosin, P. L. (2009). Effects of dynamic attributes of smiles in human and synthetic faces: A simulated job interview setting. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior*, 33, 1–15.
- Laboratoire de Cyberpsychologie de l'UQO. (2006). Questionnaire de présence de l'UQO (QP-UQO).
- Laforest, M., Bouchard, S., Crétu, A.-M., & Mesly, O. (2016). Inducing an anxiety response using a contaminated Virtual environment: Validation of a therapeutic tool for

- obsessive–compulsive disorder. *Frontiers in ICT*, 3, 18.
- Laurell, C., Sandström, C., Berthold, A., & Larsson, D. (2019). Exploring barriers to adoption of Virtual Reality through Social Media Analytics and Machine Learning—An assessment of technology, network, price and trialability. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 469–474.
- Letheren, K., Jetten, J., Roberts, J., & Donovan, J. (2021). Robots should be seen and not heard... sometimes: Anthropomorphism and AI service robot interactions. *Psychology & Marketing*, 38, 2393–2406.
- Linden, A., & Fenn, J. (2003). Understanding Gartner's hype cycles. Strategic Analysis Report Ntextordmasculine R-20-1971. Gartner, Inc, 88, 1423.
- Lindner, P., Miloff, A., Fagnäs, S., Andersen, J., Sigeman, M., Andersson, G., . . . Carlbring, P. (2019). Therapist-led and self-led one-session virtual reality exposure therapy for public speaking anxiety with consumer hardware and software: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of anxiety disorders*, 61, 45–54.
- Loureiro, S. M., Guerreiro, J., Eloy, S., Langaro, D., & Panchapakesan, P. (2019). Understanding the use of Virtual Reality in Marketing: A text mining-based review. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 514–530.
- Lugrin, J.-L., Latoschik, M. E., Habel, M., Roth, D., Seufert, C., & Grafe, S. (2016). Breaking bad behaviors: A new tool for learning classroom management using virtual reality. *Frontiers in ICT*, 3, 26.
- Manis, K. T., & Choi, D. (2019). The virtual reality hardware acceptance model (VR-HAM): Extending and individuating the technology acceptance model (TAM) for virtual reality hardware. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 503–513.
- Menzel, K. E., & Carrell, L. J. (1994). The relationship between preparation and performance in public speaking. *Communication Education*, 43, 17–26.
- Milgram, P., & Kishino, F. (1994). A taxonomy of mixed reality visual displays. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, 77, 1321–1329.
- Mostajeran, F., Balci, M. B., Steinicke, F., Kühn, S., & Gallinat, J. (2020). The effects of virtual audience size on social anxiety during public speaking. 2020 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR), (pp. 303–312).
- Nash, E. B., Edwards, G. W., Thompson, J. A., & Barfield, W. (2000). A review of presence and performance in virtual environments. *International Journal of human-computer Interaction*, 12, 1–41.
- Orús, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Flavián, C. (2021). Enhancing the customer experience with virtual and augmented reality: The impact of content and device type. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 98, 103019.
- Perkis, A., Timmerer, C., Baraković, S., Husić, J. B., Bech, S., Bosse, S., . . . others. (2020). QUALINET white paper on definitions of immersive media experience (IMEx). arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.07032.
- Pertaub, D.-P., Slater, M., & Barker, C. (2002). An experiment on public speaking anxiety in response to three different types of virtual audience. *Presence*, 11, 68–78.
- Poeschl, S. (2017). Virtual reality training for public speaking — A QUEST-VR framework validation. *Frontiers in ICT*, 4, 13.
- Pollard, C. A., & Henderson, J. G. (1988). Four types of social phobia in a community sample. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 176(7), 440–445.
- Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Botella-Carrubi, D., Palacios-Marqués, D., & Orero-Blat, M. (2021). The effect of digitalization on business performance: An applied study of KIBS. *Journal of Business Research*, 126, 319–326.
- Rothwell, J. D. (2010). *In the company of others: An introduction to communication*. Oxford University Press New York.

- Rust, C., Gentry, W. M., & Ford, H. (2020). Assessment of the effect of communication skills training on communication apprehension in first year pharmacy students—A two-year study. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 12, 142–146.
- Scherer, K. R., Banse, R., Wallbott, H. G., & Goldbeck, T. (1991). Vocal cues in emotion encoding and decoding. *Motivation and emotion*, 15, 123–148.
- Scheveneels, S., Boddez, Y., Van Daele, T., & Hermans, D. (2019). Virtually unexpected: No role for expectancy violation in virtual reality exposure for public speaking anxiety. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 2849.
- Schwind, V., Knierim, P., Haas, N., & Henze, N. (2019). Using presence questionnaires in virtual reality. *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, (pp. 1–12).
- Seymour, M., Riemer, K., & Kay, J. (2018). Actors, avatars and agents: Potentials and implications of natural face technology for the creation of realistic visual presence. *Journal of the association for Information Systems*, 19, 4.
- Seymour, M., Yuan, L. I., Dennis, A., Riemer, K., & others. (2021). Have We Crossed the Uncanny Valley? Understanding Affinity, Trustworthiness, and Preference for Realistic Digital Humans in Immersive Environments. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 22, 9.
- Slater, M. (2003). A note on presence terminology. *Presence connect*, 3, 1–5.
- Slater, M., & Steed, A. (2000). A virtual presence counter. *Presence*, 9, 413–434.
- Steffen, J. H., Gaskin, J. E., Meservy, T. O., Jenkins, J. L., & Wolman, I. (2019). Framework of affordances for virtual reality and augmented reality. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 36, 683–729.
- Sutherland, I. (1965). The ultimate display.
- Tsang, A. (2020). The relationship between tertiary-level students' self-perceived presentation delivery and public speaking anxiety: A mixed-methods study. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45, 1060–1072.
- Van Bennekom, M. J., de Koning, P. P., & Denys, D. (2017). Virtual reality objectifies the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders: a literature review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 8, 163.
- VandenBos, G. R. (2007). *APA dictionary of psychology*. American Psychological Association.
- Vlachopoulos, D., & Makri, A. (2017). The effect of games and simulations on higher education: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14, 1–33.
- Wang, W., & Benbasat, I. (2005). Trust in and adoption of online recommendation agents. *Journal of the association for information systems*, 6, 4.
- Weech, S., Kenny, S., & Barnett-Cowan, M. (2019). Presence and cybersickness in virtual reality are negatively related: A review. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 158.
- Witmer, B. G., & Singer, M. J. (1998). Measuring presence in virtual environments: A presence questionnaire. *Presence*, 7, 225–240.
- Wohlgenannt, I., Simons, A., & Stieglitz, S. (2020). Virtual reality. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 62, 455–461.
- Wörtwein, T., Chollet, M., Schauerte, B., Morency, L.-P., Stiefelhagen, R., & Scherer, S. (2015). Multimodal public speaking performance assessment. *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, (pp. 43–50).