### MINERAL CONTENT AND BIOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF FIBROLAMELLAR BONE

A Cantamessa<sup>1</sup>, P Muraro<sup>1</sup>, Y Delaunois<sup>1</sup>, P Compère<sup>1</sup>, S Blouin<sup>2</sup>, MA Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, D Ruffoni<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> University of Liege, Liege, Belgium ; <sup>2</sup> Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Osteology, Vienna, Austria



#### Hard Tissue I: Tissue Interactions

Im 27/06/2022
Im Astrid.Cantamessa@uliege.be
Im www.biomat.uliege.be





#### Introduction





# Introduction: fibrolamellar bone





- Apparent mechanical properties
- Spatial distribution of mineral content old X
- Local mechanical properties  $\mathbf{X}$

**Clinical relevance**: Similarity between deposition and callus formation in bone healing





#### Aims

Combination of imaging & mechanical testings to explore structure-function relationship





# Mineral content, structure & nanoporosity

**Quantification** of local mineral content and visualization of microstructure & nanoscale porosity of fibrolamellar bone







Magal, J. Struct. Biol., 2014 – Kerschnitzki, Cells Tissues Organs, 2011



# Mechanical properties with nanoindentation

#### ▼ nIND

- Spacing: ~6 µm
- Applied force: 500  $\mu N$
- Corresponding penetration depth: ~150 nm

- PHL is softer than PFB
- PFB is the stiffest region
- Clear difference in mechanical behavior between lamellae
- What about their transition?
- → Need of **higher resolution** to characterize submicron mechanical behavior within LB









# Modulus Mapping based on nanoindentation

Nanoindentation combined with AFM-like piezo-scanner and force modulation system





Zlotnikov, Prog. Mater. Sci., 2017

### Mechanical properties with modulus mapping

#### ▼ NanoMM

Static force: 3 µN | Dynamic force: 1 µN & 285 Hz | Penetration depth: ~6 nm | Lateral resolution: ~80 nm









### Two techniques, two length scales

#### ▼ nIND

- Large elastic field: 25X larger
- Probing pores & canaliculi
- ~24% difference between stiff and soft lamellae

#### ▼ NanoMM

- Small elastic field: 25X lower
- Probing crystal-collagen composite on the surface
- ~25% difference between stiff and soft lamellae for storage & loss





### Fracture behavior

Qualitative analysis of fracture behavior in each region







### Conclusion & Perspective

Mechanical and structural heterogeneity of fibrolamellar bone

Primary hypercalcified layer: Lower stiffness although higher mineral content and less porosity  $\rightarrow$  Critical role of collagen organization

Parallel-fibered bone: Stiffest region

Lamellar bone: **Very sharp** modulation of elastic properties → Known to hamper crack propagation [Fratzl, Adv. Mater., 2007]

NanoMM technique is ideal to characterize small features/**interfaces** such as lamellae or cement lines (1-5  $\mu$ m)







#### Thanks to all co-authors!

PhD Candidate in Biomedical Engineering at the MBBM lab  $\mathbf{Q}$ University of Liege, Belgium





Astrid CANTAMESSA



Yann DELAUNOIS

Philippe COMPERE

Pauline

MURARO



Davide RUFFONI









Markus HARTMANN



Stéphane BLOUIN





# Thank you for your attention!



@astrid\_canta

